



**CITY COUNCIL
17 SEPTEMBER 2024**

**WRITTEN
QUESTIONS TO
CABINET MEMBERS**

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTIONS

A To the Leader of the Council

1. **Savings**
From Councillor Robert Alden
2. **Social Media Advertising**
From Councillor Adrian Delaney
3. **Perry Barr regeneration area**
From Councillor Jon Hunt
4. **Tower Hill Library**
From Councillor Morriam Jan
5. **Budget Savings**
From Councillor Ewan Mackey
6. **Professional register of interests**
From Councillor Deirdre Alden

B To the Deputy Leader of the Council

1. **Invictus Games**
From Councillor Bruce Lines
2. **Community Infrastructure Levy and s106**
From Councillor Robert Alden
3. **Perry Barr Masterplan**
From Councillor Jon Hunt
4. **MOVED TO F21**
5. **MOVED TO F22**
6. **MOVED TO F23**
7. **Highbury Hall liabilities**
From Councillor Meirion Jenkins

8. **Highbury Hall grant**
From Councillor Bruce Lines
9. **Highbury Hall revenue costs**
From Councillor Ewan Mackey
10. **Applications 1**
From Councillor David Pears
11. **Applications 2**
From Councillor Matt Bennett
12. **Applications 3**
From Councillor Deirdre Alden
13. **Applications 4**
From Councillor Robert Alden
14. **Applications 5**
From Councillor Ewan Mackey

C To the Cabinet Member for Children Young People and Families

1. **Children Missing Education**
From Councillor Debbie Clancy
2. **SEND Children in private school**
From Councillor Deirdre Alden
3. **School Transport Appeals**
From Councillor Darius Sandhu
4. **SEND Children in private school VAT costs**
From Councillor Robert Alden
5. **MOVED TO D5**

D To the Cabinet Member for Digital, Culture, Heritage and Tourism

1. **Library consultation**
From Councillor Clifton Welch
2. **Library consultation weighting**
From Councillor Robert Alden
3. **Tower Hill Library**
From Councillor Jon Hunt
4. **Centenary Square fountain**
From Councillor Zaker Choudry
5. **Small Heath Leisure Centre**
From Councillor Babar Baz

E To the Cabinet Member for Environment and Transport

1. **Potholes**
From Councillor Adam Higgs
2. **Manhole covers**
From Councillor Adrian Delaney
3. **Vehicle Hire**
From Councillor Alex Yip
4. **Tame Valley Viaduct contract management**
From Councillor Bruce Lines
5. **Road repair response times**
From Councillor Clifton Welch
6. **Fleet and Waste vehicle hire**
From Councillor Darius Sandhu
7. **Grass Cutting**
From Councillor Ewan Mackey

8. **Waste vehicle hire**
From Councillor David Pears
9. **Grass cutting staff**
From Councillor Debbie Clancy
10. **Road Closures**
From Councillor Deirdre Alden
11. **Tame Valley Viaduct project reviews**
From Councillor Gareth Moore
12. **Ground Maintenance KPIs**
From Councillor Kerry Brewer
13. **Green Flag Parks**
From Councillor Maureen Cornish
14. **Kier Payments**
From Councillor Meirion Jenkins
15. **Tame Valley Viaduct funding**
From Councillor Timothy Huxtable
16. **Replacement and new bins**
From Councillor Robert Alden
17. **Recycling**
From Councillor David Barrie
18. **Fortnightly Collections**
From Councillor Ron Storer
19. **Brum Breathes funding**
From Councillor Jon Hunt
20. **Fly tipping locations in Yardley**
From Councillor Roger Harmer
21. **Gully cleaning and maintenance**
From Councillor Babar Baz

22. **Average Speed Cameras on A45 Coventry Road**

From Councillor Paul Tilsley

23. **MOVED TO H8**

24. **MOVED TO F24**

25. **Additional Recycling container**

From Councillor Matt Bennett

F To the Cabinet Member for Finance

1. **Planning budget**

From Councillor Deirdre Alden

2. **Duplicate Payments**

From Councillor Robert Alden

3. **Council Tax Discounts**

From Councillor David Barrie

4. **Asset Disposal Programme**

From Councillor Matt Bennett

5. **Asset Disposal Programme revenue impact**

From Councillor Kerry Brewer

6. **Insurance**

From Councillor Debbie Clancy

7. **Wifi Upgrade**

From Councillor Maureen Cornish

8. **Plan B Management Solutions consultancy work**

From Councillor Adrian Delaney

9. **Highbury Hall Income**

From Councillor Adam Higgs

10. **Contract Register**

From Councillor Timothy Huxtable

11. **MOVED TO B7**
12. **MOVED TO B8**
13. **MOVED TO B9**
14. **MOVED TO J7**
15. **MOVED TO J8**
16. **Apprenticeship Levy**
From Councillor David Pears
17. **Pressures**
From Councillor Darius Sandhu
18. **Public Health Grant**
From Councillor Ron Storer
19. **Public Health Grant and general fund**
From Councillor Alex Yip
20. **Traded Services**
From Councillor Clifton Welch
21. **Acivico**
From Councillor Richard Parkin
22. **Acivico 2**
From Councillor Ewan Mackey
23. **Acivico 3**
From Councillor Adam Higgs
24. **Pinnacle**
From Councillor Clifton Welch

G To the Cabinet Member for Health and Social Care

NONE SUBMITTED

H To the Cabinet Member for Housing and Homelessness

1. **Empty Properties**

From Councillor Gareth Moore

2. **Empty Properties 2**

From Councillor Robert Alden

3. **Temporary Accommodation**

From Councillor Ron Storer

4. **Void properties**

From Councillor Alex Yip

5. **Prisoner release**

From Councillor Debbie Clancy

6. **Gas and Electric Safety Certificates**

From Councillor Bruce Lines

7. **Perry Barr Village Estate Agency costs**

From Councillor Darius Sandhu

8. **Perry Barr Village contracts**

From Councillor Deirdre Alden

9. **MOVED TO E24**

10. **Prisoner Release scheme**

From Councillor Ewan Mackey

11. **Housing maintenance changes**

From Councillor Adam Higgs

12. **Housing charges**

From Councillor David Pears

I To the Cabinet Member for Social Justice, Community Safety and Equalities

1. **ASB Ombudsman Findings**

From Councillor Robert Alden

2. **ASB Council motion**
From Councillor Ewan Mackey

3. **Prisoner Release**
From Councillor Debbie Clancy

J To the Cabinet Member for Transformation, Governance and Human Resources

1. **DBS Checking**
From Councillor Alex Yip

2. **Gifts and Hospitality**
From Councillor Debbie Clancy

3. **Delegated Decisions**
From Councillor Darius Sandhu

4. **Job Evaluation**
From Councillor Ron Storer

5. **Job Evaluation milestones**
From Councillor David Pears

6. **Childcare vouchers**
From Councillor Clifton Welch

7. **Job Evaluation budget**
From Councillor Gareth Moore

8. **Transport Levy**
From Councillor Richard Parkin

K Chair of the Planning Committee

1. **MOVED TO B10**

2. **MOVED TO B11**

3. **MOVED TO B12**

4. **MOVED TO B13**

5. **MOVED TO B14**

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO LEADER OF THE CITY COUNCIL FROM
COUNCILLOR ROBERT ALDEN**

“Savings”

Question:

At July Council, the Labour Group amended a motion on the urgency of identifying and delivering savings. In the amended motion, you said that directorates would put forward savings by the end of July.

September’s update to Cabinet showed that less than half of the new savings needed have been identified (£56m out of £119m needed) and commissioners have said they are not confident that the Council can deliver sufficient savings to bridge the budget gap. Meanwhile, failings in delivering the current year’s savings are adding a £20.383m pressure.

Given your confidence in July that the Executive would do what was necessary over the summer to close the budget gap, why have you come up so short?

Answer:

The task of finding sufficient savings to close the budget gap is an ongoing task and activity for the council as it seeks to produce the MTFP and we are mindful that all savings that are put forward need to be robust and deliverable and be taken through a process of review. The budget gap at July Cabinet was between £74.7m and £79.2m, at September Cabinet this had reduced to £62.3m.

Since the July Cabinet meeting Officers and Members have been working on multiple tasks to reduce the budget gap;

- Further work to identify savings has continued since the July Cabinet meeting, Star Chambers have been held through July, August and have continued into September, areas for potential savings that have been identified are being worked up and were not able to be included in the September S151 Update Cabinet report, an update will be shared at October’s Cabinet meeting. This work has been jointly undertaken by Councillors and Officers and has been led by the Cabinet Member for Finance.
- Delivery Plans for savings proposals have been submitted for 2025/26 and are currently being reviewed by Officers, this will be concluded by the end of September

and an updated savings figure for the MTFP will be shared in the October S151 Update Cabinet report.

- As detailed in the September S151 Update Cabinet report (Section 6), further areas of potential savings have been identified and are being worked through by Officers, it is expected that these will be brought forward during September and reviewed.
- Throughout September, pressures that have been put forward by Directorates are being reviewed by CLT and by Corporate & Finance OSC Budget Task & Finish Group. It is expected that mitigations will be identified to reduce down these pressures.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE LEADER OF THE CITY COUNCIL FROM
COUNCILLOR ADRIAN DELANEY**

“Social Media Advertising”

Question:

What was the total spend on social media advertising in each year since 2012, broken down by funding source (general fund, public health grant, external grant etc.)

Answer:

The spend figures relating to Corporate Communications (general fund) advertising spend on social media (Facebook, Twitter, Instagram and LinkedIn) are listed below.

Spend has historically been used to boost the reach of posts for various campaigns, for example: Budget consultation; green waste sales; Future City Plan; Zero Hero (reduce, reuse, recycle campaign) amongst others.

There was an increase in spend around Covid, where initiatives like business grants and protect your family and friends messaging were promoted and also around the Commonwealth Games period where, for example, the Queens Baton Relay and “I’m ‘Proud because..” campaigns were highlighted.

Extra Government funding for Covid and the Games would have been used to support these campaigns.

Since the S151 was announced there has been no spend on advertising.

Nothing before 2015/16

2015/16 - £300

2016/17 – £1,528

2017/18 – £2,701

2018/19 - £2,199

2019/20 – £1,005

2020/21 - £7,701

2021/22 - £1,004

2022/23 - £11,925

2023/24 – £1,096

2024/25 - £0

In addition, Public Health colleagues have recorded the following spend:

23/24 to support three areas of work:

- Physical activity: £6,800
- Cardiovascular health: £7,200
- Mental health: £3,700

24/25: £0 to date

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL FROM
COUNCILLOR JON HUNT**

Perry Barr regeneration area

Question:

In the light of the Council's failure to achieve its target for housing sales in the Perry Barr regeneration area, will the leader establish a strategic oversight board for the Perry Barr Masterplan, with local member involvement, and – as in East Birmingham, where Liam Byrne MP is Chair – chaired by an elected member, particularly in the light of the development place-based strategy?

Answer:

As members will be aware, the bulk sale of plots on Perry Barr Residential Scheme has moved forward significantly. Cabinet approval was given on 23 July 2024 for one plot to be retained by the Council for social rent, with Cabinet Sub-Committee (Property) approving the bulk sale, as affordable housing, of three other plots to a third party on 08 August 2024. Within PBRS, a further 4 plots (2,3,4 and 5) have been marketed for sale, with high levels of interest shown.

The masterplan sets out a long-term vision for Perry Barr, part of which focuses on creating opportunities for the development of more housing. With many sites already assembled in advance, and in tandem with the development of PBRS Phase One, the priority will be to seek ways to turn-around these sites as part of the next two phases of PBRS.

I think it is right to set up a Strategic Oversight Board with local member and relevant council officers also involved. The Board will provide strategic oversight and improved member engagement, which I hope will be welcomed by Councillor Hunt.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL FROM
COUNCILLOR MORRIAM JAN**

Tower Hill Library

Question:

In the light of the Jack Reacher writer Lee Child’s powerful advocacy for Tower Hill library will he endure the libraries department and the housing dept have meaningful discussions about how this facility (which he may have used in his youth) can stay open with community support?

Answer:

We understand the library consultation is a sensitive matter for many library users and that they may have concerns about the future of our libraries. We also understand the importance of them all for education and learning for young authors and library users.

Throughout the consultation period we have had and continue to have meaningful discussions with all stakeholders including the housing department about the proposed library provision and what this could look like for each library.

Unfortunately, we cannot comment further on specific issues during the consultation period. Further information about the final phase of the library consultation can be found on the council’s website where you will find the BeHeard survey. The library consultation closes on Friday 27 September, and we would like to urge as many people as possible to respond to the consultation and have their say.

A5

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL FROM
COUNCILLOR EWAN MACKEY**

“Budget Savings”

Question:

Please provide a breakdown of the value of savings that are forecast to be delivered in 2024/25 for the savings identified as being brought forward in Appendix 2 of your September Cabinet report.

Answer:

Appendix 2 of the ‘Section 151 Officer Update for September 2024, including Quarter 1 2024/25 Monitoring’ Cabinet paper outlines a list of potential savings that have been proposed for 2025/26, the full year effect of these savings total £11.982m. At Cabinet on 10th September 2024, it was agreed to accelerate work in relation to these savings. This will include producing Equality Impact Assessments and Health Impact Assessments, to identify whether any of these savings can be brought forward into 2024/25. Until the Directorates have completed this work it will not be possible to know the actual value of savings that could be brought forward into 2024/25.

A6

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL FROM
COUNCILLOR DEIRDRE ALDEN

“Professional register of interests”

Question:

On 13 June 2017 Full Council agreed a motion to progress a professional register of interests for council officers. Despite being chased a number of times since, the will of full council has still not been implemented. Why has this not happened, and when will you commit to it being done by?

Answer:

There is now an up-to-date Register of Chief Officer Interests which all senior offices must complete. This was established over the summer.

It is imperative that our senior officers, and indeed all officers, uphold the highest standards of integrity and transparency in all their dealings, both internally and externally. Updating the Register is not merely a procedural requirement but a fundamental aspect of our commitment to the Nolan Principles of Public Life.

The Register is maintained by the Monitoring officer and there will be regular reports to the Standards Committee to ensure compliance.

B1

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE DEPUTY LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR
BRUCE LINES

“Invictus Games”

Question:

The 21+ budget set out an estimate of the revenue cost of the commonwealth games that would fall on the council for additional operational activity such as street cleaning, traffic management etc. The cost of this was estimated to be in the region of £15m and was to be substantially funded through "business as usual budgets." What estimate has been made of these additional costs for the Invictus Games, and how will this be funded?

Answer:

The Birmingham 2027 Invictus Games will take place at the National Exhibition Centre (NEC) Birmingham. We do not anticipate that there will be any BCC costs linked to additional operational activity such as street cleaning, traffic management etc as the site falls outside the city boundary.

I am very supportive and excited about the opportunity the Invictus Game will bring to the city, such as tourism, job creation and bringing communities together. The games will be great for our schools and young people, and we will be seeking to be a convenor and collaborating across BCC service areas, city and regional partners to maximise the benefits for Birmingham.

B2

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE DEPUTY LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR
ROBERT ALDEN

“Community Infrastructure Levy and s106”

Question:

Please provide a breakdown of all CIL and s106 funding currently held by the council, including a list of committed projects and the cost of these

Answer:

S106	
£46,548,013.32	Total S106 sums
£35,627,075.20	Committed S106 sums
£10,594,835.76	Uncommitted S106 sums
£326,102.36	S106 sums to be refunded

Notes:

Committed S106 sums could mean:

- Projects which have fully spent but funds haven't been drawn down from S106 account
- Projects which are part spent/on site and haven't been drawn down from S106 account
- Agreements which have very narrow wording which doesn't allow for interpretation (e.g. provision of affordable housing, payment to named groups/organisations) and therefore should be considered committed.
- Projects where the implementing service are already in discussions regarding possible projects/locations for works

Sums to be refunded:

- These could be part refund/residual balances after works are complete/balances which have been refunded but are still showing in Oracle.

Strategic CIL	
£12,993,970.00	Strategic CIL balance
£16,327,110.00	Strategic CIL commitments
£5,237,967.70	Unallocated strategic CIL balance

Local CIL	
£3,717,160.00	Unallocated local CIL balance

We are beginning a review of the CIL allocation process with an aim to move to a targeted, proactive approach, addressing different needs in different parts of the city. As CIL is a funding resource relatively free of funding restrictions (compared to many other funding streams), there is an opportunity to maximise the geographic and thematic reach of CIL using a place-based approach to increase economic growth within the city using other available funding sources as match. This place-based approach would be underpinned by the growth zones within the emerging Local Plan, ensuring CIL is spent in line with the Regulations.

Members will be consulted during the development of this approach.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE DEPUTY LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR JON HUNT

Perry Barr Masterplan

Question:

Will the Deputy Leader meet Ward Councillors to review progress and appropriateness of the Perry Barr masterplan, particularly in the light of the development of economic place-based strategy?

Answer:

I am always willing to meet with ward members and have recently following a request from Cllr Paul Tilsley met with him, alongside key lead officers to discuss Perry Barr.

If local ward members in Perry Barr would like to meet, I am more than happy to arrange a meeting and will invite key lead officers.

B4

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**PLEASE NOTE WRITTEN QUESTION B4 - HAS NOW BEEN REDIRECTED TO
F21 – CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE**

B5

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**PLEASE NOTE WRITTEN QUESTION B5 - HAS NOW BEEN REDIRECTED TO
F22 – CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE**

B6

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**PLEASE NOTE WRITTEN QUESTION B6 - HAS NOW BEEN REDIRECTED TO
F23 – CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE**

B7

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE FROM
COUNCILLOR MEIRION JENKINS**

“Highbury Hall liabilities”

Question:

The cabinet report approving £3.5m match funding for the restoration of Highbury Hall cited as a key benefit the reduction in the financial risks relating to the maintenance and repairs of the property held in Trust. With the council now reneging on its commitments to match funding, what assessment has been made of the financial risk to the council from maintenance and repairs liabilities, and what provision has been made for this within financial plans?

Answer:

Highbury Hall is held by Highbury Trust rather than Birmingham City Council, so any liability rests with the Trust. The Trust currently has funds available and is seeking to rationalise the estate into its core holdings and use receipts generated to maintain the estate.

B8

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE FROM
COUNCILLOR BRUCE LINES**

“Highbury Hall grant”

Question:

The £5 NLHF award for Highbury Hall was conditional on match funding from the council of £3.5m, now the council has withdrawn the match funding, what claw back is there on any money already received from NLHF and impact on further sums due to be received?

Answer:

Following a Stage 1 NLHF bid the Chamberlain Highbury Trust (CHT) were awarded, in September 2021 £368K by the NLHF towards costs of £619K to develop the proposal to restore Highbury as a community Heritage asset. The Round 1 funding grant was awarded directly to CHT. Therefore, all conditions including any claw back will be the responsibility of CHT.

The Council provided £150k match funding towards the total development costs of £619k to deliver the proposal to RIBA Stage 3. These monies have been drawn down.

The round 2 application for the delivery of the project was a joint submission from CHT and the Council. Although the application was successful the award has not been formally accepted so no conditions apply.

B9

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE DEPUTY LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR EWAN
MACKEY**

“Highbury Hall revenue costs”

Question:

Future plans for Highbury Hall were predicated on reducing the ongoing revenue cost to the council to zero. With that work no longer progressing, what revenue costs have been included in the council’s mtfp for any costs associated with Highbury hall

Answer:

The City Council's Civic Catering service is exiting operations at Highbury Hall at the end of September 2024. Responsibility for the building will revert back to Highbury Trust. The Trust currently has funds available and is seeking to rationalise the estate into its core holdings and use receipts generated to maintain the estate.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE DEPUTY LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR DAVID PEARS

“Applications 1”

Question:

In each year since April 2020 how many planning applications were received and how many were determined in each year (split between major and minor)

Answer:

Year	Majors Received	Majors Determined	Minors Received	Minors Determined	Minor "Other" Received	Minors "Other" Determined
2020	186	164	328	272	964	833
2021	208	181	314	285	965	832
2022	160	133	265	198	876	823
2023	128	125	248	240	804	768

*Excludes Telecomms Licence Advisory, Enforcement, Pre-application Advice and Non-material amendment

"Minor Others" have traditionally been reported separately, they cover conditions Material Amendments and lawful development certificates.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE DEPUTY LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR MATT BENNETT

“Applications 2”

Question:

In each month since April 2022, please detail the number of planning applications received each month and the number registered each month, split between minor and major.

Answer:

Month	Majors Received	Majors Determined	Minors Received	Minors Determined	Minor "Other" Received	Minors "Other" Determined
Apr-22	12	16	20	16	74	74
May-22	14	11	14	15	74	80
Jun-22	9	10	14	14	72	63
Jul-22	6	15	25	14	65	61
Aug-22	13	9	22	15	68	73
Mar-00	13	10	17	19	70	54
Oct-22	16	11	33	17	72	71
Nov-22	17	7	27	7	63	56
Dec-22	14	12	29	21	78	81
Jan-23	3	17	23	19	60	69
Feb-23	14	17	6	31	72	68
Mar-23	10	16	18	21	63	71
Apr-23	5	11	24	10	59	62
May-23	11	6	25	20	80	62
Jun-23	9	8	26	22	70	67
Jul-23	17	10	21	16	72	61
Aug-23	10	5	22	21	50	67
Sep-23	7	13	18	13	75	49
Oct-23	11	11	20	21	76	65
Nov-23	15	4	26	28	79	71
Dec-23	16	7	19	18	48	56

Jan-24	2	14	14	31	57	61
Feb-24	6	6	26	22	74	70
Mar-24	5	10	19	16	73	55
Apr-24	9	4	20	13	88	63
May-24	13	10	13	18	63	68
Jun-24	10	10	11	15	49	55
Jul-24	10	8	25	10	48	49

"Minor Others" have traditionally been reported separately, they cover conditions Material Amendments and lawful development certificates.

B12

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE DEPUTY LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR
DEIRDRE ALDEN**

“Applications 3”

Question:

In each month since April 2022, what was the average time between an application being submitted, and an application being determined as valid, split between minor and major

Answer:

Unfortunately, the planning department doesn't retain historic records of the time applications take from being received to being made valid. Once applications are received, we process that application to ensure all required information accompanies that application. We have a working target that seeks to complete that process within five days. Unfortunately, a number of applications are submitted without all the required documents or elements that are necessary to assess that planning application. Applications can be 'held' while we go back to applicants to seek correct plans, the fee, or the required documents to assess the application before they are made valid.

Currently we have a backlog of applications within the planning registration process which we have been working to reduce through streamlining our processes and putting additional resource into the team to work through the backlog.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE DEPUTY LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR
ROBERT ALDEN**

“Applications 4”

Question:

In each month since April 2022, what total number and percentage of applications were determined within statutory timescales?

Answer:

Year	Month	In date	Out of date	Total Apps	Decimal In Date	Percentage in Date
2022	4	516	62	578	0.89	89.27
2022	5	575	77	652	0.88	88.19
2022	6	550	61	611	0.90	90.02
2022	7	511	43	554	0.92	92.24
2022	8	519	40	559	0.93	92.84
2022	9	473	69	542	0.87	87.27
2022	10	469	43	512	0.92	91.60
2022	11	503	70	573	0.88	87.78
2022	12	621	54	675	0.92	92.00
2023	1	510	37	547	0.93	93.24
2023	2	444	35	479	0.93	92.69
2023	3	580	56	636	0.91	91.19
2023	4	468	48	516	0.91	90.70
2023	5	544	57	601	0.91	90.52
2023	6	521	66	587	0.89	88.76
2023	7	573	54	627	0.91	91.39
2023	8	464	47	511	0.91	90.80
2023	9	428	57	485	0.88	88.25
2023	10	544	50	594	0.92	91.58
2023	11	481	57	538	0.89	89.41
2023	12	399	51	450	0.89	88.67
2024	1	467	44	511	0.91	91.39
2024	2	426	58	484	0.88	88.02
2024	3	432	51	483	0.89	89.44

2024	4	390	60	450	0.87	86.67
2024	5	308	92	400	0.77	77.00
2024	6	299	32	331	0.90	90.33
2024	7	148	22	170	0.87	87.06

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE DEPUTY LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR EWAN MACKEY

“Applications 5”

Question:

In each month since April 2022, what total number and percentage of applications required an agreement of extension of time in order to be determined within statutory timescales?

Answer:

Year	Month	Total Applications	Total number of applications with extension of time	% of all applications decided with an extension of time within the agreed timetable (meeting statutory timetable)	% of all cases with an extension that were decided within the agreed timetable (meeting the statutory timetable)	Number of applications where there was an extension of time but the timetable was missed (failing statutory timetable)	Number of applications where there was an extension of time and timetable met (meeting statutory timetable)
2022	4	578	190	25%	75%	47	143
2022	5	652	213	20%	61%	83	130
2022	6	611	226	26%	71%	66	160
2022	7	554	208	28%	74%	55	153
2022	8	559	225	30%	75%	56	169
2022	9	542	188	22%	64%	67	121
2022	10	512	178	27%	76%	42	136
2022	11	573	184	21%	67%	61	123
2022	12	675	166	18%	74%	43	123
2023	1	547	131	19%	78%	29	102
2023	2	479	125	19%	71%	36	89
2023	3	636	171	21%	78%	38	133
2023	4	516	127	18%	73%	34	93
2023	5	601	142	16%	68%	45	97
2023	6	587	170	19%	66%	58	112
2023	7	627	183	21%	72%	51	132

2023	8	511	131	18%	72%	37	94
2023	9	485	131	19%	70%	39	92
2023	10	594	136	15%	66%	46	90
2023	11	538	170	22%	69%	52	118
				% of all applications decided with an extension of time within the agreed timetable (meeting statutory timetable)	% of all cases with an extension that were decided within the agreed timetable (meeting the statutory timetable)	Number of applications where there was an extension of time but the timetable was missed (failing statutory timetable)	Number of applications where there was an extension of time and timetable met (meeting statutory timetable)
Year	Month	Total Applications	Total number of applications with extension of time				
2023	12	450	125	20%	73%	34	91
2024	1	511	110	17%	79%	23	87
2024	2	484	129	20%	74%	34	95
2024	3	483	122	18%	73%	33	89
2024	4	450	124	20%	74%	32	92
2024	5	400	124	22%	72%	35	89
2024	6	331	59	15%	81%	11	48
2024	7	170	13	6%	77%	3	10

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN, YOUNG PEOPLE AND FAMILIES FROM COUNCILLOR DEBBIE CLANCY

“Children Missing Education”

Question:

For each month since September 2022, how many new Children Missing Education Referrals were received and what was the size of the backlog of referrals waiting to be allocated\processed at that point?

Answer:

The Children Missing Education service fulfils the statutory duty under 436A of the Education Act 1996 *to make arrangements to identify all school aged pupils* resident within Birmingham Authority boundary. The Service complies with the DfE Guidance for Children Missing Education dated August 2024, in that schools, academies and partners can refer in pupils who are deemed as not in receipt of a suitable education to be investigated, whereabouts confirmed, and pupils reconnected back into education.

For the purposes of this question, the CME service only collates data on school aged pupils whose whereabouts are unknown and as a result have become disconnected from education (not in receipt of a suitable education). Pupils subject to a CME investigation may be registered in name only at a school, but with no registered attendance, or are Electively Home Educated pupils whose education is deemed unsuitable by the Local Authority. There are wider safeguarding concerns as a result in relation to this cohort of pupils.

Children Missing Education Data

Table 1- shows the number of CME Referrals received, and the backlog between September 2022- December 2022

2022	Total Received	Backlog
September	375	2
October	170	4
November	208	12

December	109	1
----------	-----	---

Table 2- shows the number of CME Referrals received, and the back log between January 2023 and August 2023

2023	Total Received	Backlog
January	207	13
February	126	33
March	206	45
April	87	8
May	142	36
June	193	45
July	163	50
August	37	8
September	296	45
October	183	16
November	145	27
December	122	30

Table 3-shows the number of CME Referrals received, and the back log between January 2024- August 2024

2024	Total Received	Backlog
January	229	68
February	153	67
March	126	71
April	147	80

May	168	120
June	203	161
July	213	139
August	86	74

Historically, the typical referral flow for the service registers its ultimate peak across September/October (beginning of the academic year), when schools are busy processing pupil movements across all year groups especially for those pupils in the transition years (reception, Yr 2/3 and Yr 7) Referral flow will dip towards the end of each academic term, and peak again at the beginning of each term. The data clearly indicates this trend. The backlogs accrue as the academic year progresses and this can be explained by the increase in case complexity and the retrospective nature of how cases are progressed.

The redesign of the Thriving Children and Families division has taken account of the capacity within teams to meet statutory duties. The Business Case for the Thriving Children and Families redesign aims to increase capacity and capability and introduces a structure that is fit to deliver our statutory obligations. The service is now in the staff consultation phase and we expect this to continue until the end of October. The service has recruited additional agency staff to manage the backlog whilst we recruit to the permanent structure. In partnership with Health, the service has introduced integrated triage to ensure that the most vulnerable children are prioritised and work with our Early Help Team in Birmingham Children's Trust to wrap support around children and families.

C2

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN, YOUNG PEOPLE AND FAMILIES FROM COUNCILLOR DEIRDRE ALDEN

“SEND Children in private school”

Question:

How many SEN children, and at what total cost, does the council place in private schools a) inside and b) outside the city?

Answer:

The number of SEND children with EHCPs placed in private (independent) schools as at the end of the academic year 2023/2024 is **539**.

Of these:

- 400 are placed inside the city (schools in Birmingham) at a full year annual equivalent cost of £15,597,504.
- 139 are placed outside the city (schools in other local authority areas) at a full year annual equivalent cost of £11,851,643.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN, YOUNG PEOPLE AND FAMILIES FROM COUNCILLOR DARIUS SANDHU

“School Transport Appeals”

Question:

Since April 2024, please provide a breakdown of school transport appeals, split between pre and post-16 travel. This should include the number of appeals at each stage, the outcome of appeals, the average time to hear appeals, and the percentage heard and the appellants notified within timescales (i.e. 20 days for stage one and 40 days for stage two)

Answer:

Stage 1

The Stage 1 table below, shows the number of stage 1 appeals, divided into categories and number that did not meet Service Level Agreement as requested

April 2024 – September 2024	Number of appeals	Transport	Spare Seat	PTB	Bus Pass	ITT	Upheld	Withdrawn
Pre-Statutory	14	1	1		1		10	1
Statutory	141	20	1	13	13	6	86	2
Post 16	133	6		60	8	14	45	
Post 19	6			2		1	3	

Stage 2

The Stage 2 table below, shows the number of stage 2 appeals, divided into categories and number that did not meet Service Level Agreement as requested

April 2024 – September 2024	Number of appeals	Transport	Spare Seat	PTB	Bus Pass	ITT	Upheld	Withdrawn
Pre-Statutory	2	2						

Statutory	40	18		1	2		19	
Post 16	2				1		1	
Post 19	1						1	

	April Rec	Not met SLA	May	Not met SLA	June	Not met SLA	July	Not met SLA	August	Not met SLA	Sep	Not met SLA
Stage 1	18	0	40	5	25	7	32	30	150	146	29	18

Stage 2 100% met Service Level Agreement

The average time to hear appeals

APRIL	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUGUST
Avg 11 days to hear appeals	Avg 17 days to hear appeals	Avg 19 days to hear appeals	Avg 28 days to hear appeals	Avg 32 days to hear appeals

The percentage of Post 16 appeals heard between April to September

April 2024 – September 2024	% of appeals heard
Pre-Statutory	61%
Statutory	76%
Post 16	65%
Post 19	67%

The appellants notified within timescales

The service is in the process of developing a system to capture this data in accordance with the newly implemented policy.

Appeals

Appeals should be made within 20 days to the council. The appeals process has two stages:

Stage 1 – A Council officer will consider the appeal within 5 working days of the receipt of the appeal form and the applicant will receive confirmation that the appeal is under review.

SLA:

Stage 1 - A decision and notification will be made within 20 working days from receipt of the appeals form.

Stage 2 – Stage 2 is a review by a panel of 3 Council officers independent of the original decision-making process which will take place within 40 working days. Council officer involved in the case will provide the applicant with written notification of its decision within 5 working days.

C4

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN, YOUNG PEOPLE AND FAMILIES FROM COUNCILLOR ROBERT ALDEN

“SEND Children in private school VAT costs”

Question:

For SEN children placed within private schools, has any presumption been made for VAT within future budgets, and if so what assessment has been made of the cost of this?

Answer:

No presumption has been made for VAT within the High Needs Block as there will be a nil impact on this budget. This is because VAT is not included as part of any service budgets and all invoices/costs that are coded to these budgets exclude VAT.

C5

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**PLEASE NOTE WRITTEN QUESTION C5 - HAS NOW BEEN
REDIRECTED TO D5 – CABINET MEMBER FOR DIGITAL,
CULTURE, HERITAGE AND TOURISM**

D1

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR DIGITAL, CULTURE,
HERITAGE AND TOURISM FROM COUNCILLOR CLIFTON WELCH**

“Library consultation”

Question:

At what time and date were the Labour Group briefed on the proposals for the second phase of the library consultation and what time were they provided with a copy of the presentation?

Answer:

The Labour Group were briefed at 9 am on Thursday 22nd August, the presentation was shared with Labour Group after the meeting took place at 10.28 am on the same day.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR DIGITAL, CULTURE, HERITAGE AND TOURISM FROM COUNCILLOR ROBERT ALDEN

“Library consultation weighting”

Question:

Please provide a copy of the full scoring and weighting used to rank each of the 35 community libraries in the recent consultation?

Answer:

Option 4, the recommended option, does not use the priority list data, this option suggests all Libraries remain open apart from SpringHill which has been closed since Dec 2022 due to building conditions.

The proposed provision for option 3 was selected using a priority list Framework. Equal weighting of 50 percent has been applied to both **Community Need** and **Usage & Access**'.

The methodology below details how the priority list was created.

Ward Level Community Need-Includes multiple dimensions of deprivation. The Index of Multiple Deprivation (known as IMD) is a standard and universally used central Government measure of relative deprivation for communities in England published in 2019. The City Observatory are using the data sources that they have, to create a more up to date version. The IMD combines data about income, employment, education, health, crime, living environment and barriers to housing and services.

All areas in England are ranked from most deprived to least deprived and are then split into 10 equal groups called deciles. Decile 1 contains the most deprived areas and Decile 10 the least deprived. An IMD score is identified for each library community, showing which library catchment areas are more deprived than others. This information is essential to help assess the need and potential demand for local library services and the ability to access these.

The weighting of the Community Needs data fields are in line with the English Indices of Deprivation 2019. In the current English Indices of Deprivation 2019 (IoD2019) seven domains of deprivation are considered and **weighted** as follows, Income. (22.5%) Employment. (22.5%) Education. (13.5%) Health. (13.5%) Crime. (9.3%) Barriers to Housing and Services. (9.3%) Living Environment. (9.3%)

A full list of all the data points used are listed below:

Income Deprivation Domain

- Library Name
- Adults and children in Income Support families

- Adults and children in income-based Employment and Support Allowance families
- Adults and children in Working Tax Credit and Child Tax Credit families not already counted, and whose equivalised income (excluding housing benefit) is below 60 per cent of the median before housing costs
- Asylum seekers in England in receipt of subsistence support, accommodation support, or both
- Adults and children in Universal Credit families where no adult is classed within the 'Working - no requirements' conditionality regime
- Income Deprivation Domain numerator
- Individual Indicators comprising the Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index
- Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index Numerator
- Individual Indicators comprising the Income Deprivation Affecting Older People Index
- Income Deprivation Affecting Older People Index Numerator
- **Employment Deprivation Domain**
- Claimants of Jobseeker's Allowance (both contribution-based and income-based), women aged 18-59 and men aged 18-64
- Claimants of Employment and Support Allowance (both contribution-based and income-based), women aged 18-59 and men aged 18-64
- Claimants of Incapacity Benefit, women aged 18-59 and men aged 18-64
- Claimants of Severe Disablement Allowance, women aged 18-59 and men aged 18-64
- Claimants of Carer's Allowance, women aged 18-59 and men aged 18-64
- Claimants of Universal Credit in the 'Searching for work' and 'No work requirements' conditionality groups.
- Employment Deprivation Domain numerator
- **Education, Skills and Training Deprivation Domain**
- Key Stage 2 attainment
- Key Stage 4 attainment
- Secondary school absence
- Staying on in education post 16
- Entry to higher education
- Adult Skills
- English language proficiency
- Adult skills and English language proficiency indicators - combined
- **Health Deprivation and Disability Domain**
- Years of potential life lost
- Comparative illness and disability ratio
- Acute morbidity
- Mood and anxiety disorders
- **Crime Domain**
- Violence
- Burglary
- Theft
- Criminal damage
- **Barriers to Housing and Services Domain**
- Road distance to a post office
- Road distance to a primary school
- Road distance to general store or supermarket

- Road distance to a GP surgery
- Household overcrowding
- Homelessness
- Housing affordability

Usage and Access-Understanding how those who 'live, work or study' in Birmingham currently access and use the Community Libraries. The Community Library usage and access data source is taken wherever available from the Sydpus Integrated Library Management system. Where data was not currently available, the source of data was provided by the Community Library service. The reporting period of January 2023 – December 2023 was used to ensure consistency across the Usage and Access dataset. Each of the Usage & Access data fields are equally weighted. A full list of all the data points used are listed below:

- Library Name
- Items issued
- Tier
- Open hours per week
- Computer (PC) bookings
- Number of event sessions: Councillor's Advice Sessions
- Number of attendees: Councillor's Advice Sessions
- Number of event sessions: Room Hired Events
- Number of attendees: Room Hired Events
- Number of event sessions: Activities for Adults
- Number of attendees: Activities for Adults
- Number of event sessions: Adult activities out of the building
- Number of attendees: Adult activities out of the building
- Number of event sessions: National Initiatives
- Number of attendees: National Initiatives
- Number of event sessions: Under 5's events/activities
- Number of attendees: Under 5's events/activities
- Number of event sessions: Over 5's events/ activities
- Number of attendees: Over 5's events/ activities
- Number of event sessions: Teenage activities
- Number of attendees: Teenage activities
- Number of event sessions: School visits (internal/External to the library)
- Number of attendees: School visits (internal/External to the library)
- Number of event sessions: Nursey & Playgroups visits (internal/External to the library)
- Number of attendees: Nursey & Playgroups visits (internal/External to the library)
- Number of event sessions: UK online
- Number of attendees: UK online
- Number of event sessions: ESOL activities
- Number of attendees: ESOL activities
- Number of event sessions: Author visits
- Number of attendees: Author visits
- Number of participants 'completed' in the Summer Reading Challenge or similar initiatives (in England).

- Number of participants 'registered 'in the Birmingham Winter Reading Challenge.
- Number of participants who 'completed' the Birmingham Winter Reading Challenge.
- Number of reading groups supported per library service. (Total number of event sessions: Includes Adults & Children's)
- Number of reading groups supported per library service. (Total number of attendees at event sessions: Includes Adults & Children)
- Number of events focused on digital support (Total number of event sessions: Coding & IT Clubs (Includes Adults & Children's)
- Number of events focused on digital support (Total number of attendees at event sessions: Coding & IT Clubs (Includes Adults & Children)
- Number of borrows who took out book loans by Library (Registered Home Location)
- Current number of members
- New memberships
- Members Re-registered
- Members Deleted
- Number of desk spaces made available within libraries on average each day

Using the **Ward level Community Need** and **Usage and Access** scores the libraries were prioritised (ranked) in order 1-35, with 1 being the highest priority for inclusion in the model. The table below gives a summary of the analysis and overall score:

Fig 1. Community Libraries Priority List -Summary Analysis*

*A full breakdown of all scores is attached in the excel spreadsheet below:

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR DIGITAL, CULTURE,
HERITAGE AND TOURISM FROM COUNCILLOR JON HUNT**

Tower Hill Library

Question:

The Guardian has run a report about the Jack Reacher author Lee Child's support for Tower Hill Library and fond memories of how it inspired him as a writer. The on-line piece states that the City Council was approached for comment, but nothing is quoted. What would the cabinet member have said in response?

Answer:

I understand the library consultation is a sensitive matter for many library users and that they may have concerns about the future of our libraries. I also understand the importance of them all for education and learning for young authors and library users.

It is not appropriate to reply to comments during an ongoing statutory public consultation. However, further information about the final phase of the library consultation can be found on the council's website where the BeHeard survey can be found, for people to have their say about the proposals. Additionally, there are also in-person drop-in sessions, which people can attend to share their views about the proposals. The library consultation closes on Friday 27 September.

D4

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR DIGITAL, CULTURE, HERITAGE AND TOURISM FROM COUNCILLOR BABER BAZ

Centenary Square fountain

Question:

The water fountain in Centenary Square, a relatively recent addition, appears to have been switched off for many months. Why has it been out of use and is there a plan for it to be fully functional again?

Answer:

The fountains have a scheduled annual maintenance regime and also require some additional remedial work. The options, costs and timing of the work are being assessed and if approved at spend control would commence. Once scheduled, I will be in a position to advise further.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR DIGITAL, CULTURE,
HERITAGE & TOURISM FROM COUNCILLOR BABER BAZ**

Small Heath Leisure Centre

Question:

The Small Heath Leisure Centre has been without a Swimming Pool. Can you please tell me if the contract work for the work to begin has been decided yet? And if not when can we expect a date?

Answer:

The project to bring the pool back into operation was deferred by the spending controls introduced to stabilise the Council's financial position. Obviously, revenue and capital resources remain severely constrained, but I have asked officers to explore how we might bring the project forward again. This would be in the context of the final phase of transformation for the leisure facilities remaining in the direct management of the Council (target implementation date 2027).

E1

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND
TRANSPORT FROM COUNCILLOR ADAM HIGGS**

“Potholes”

Question:

How many potholes were repaired last financial year (split between permanent and temporary repairs)

Answer:

From 01/04/2023 to 31/03/2024:

4872 temporary repairs were carried out.

4191 full repairs were completed on initial attendance.

6383 pothole/patch ‘full’ repairs were made.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND TRANSPORT FROM COUNCILLOR ADRIAN DELANEY

“Manhole covers”

Question:

Broken down by constituency, how many manhole covers were reported missing in each month since April 2021

Answer:

Ward	2021								
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
Acocks Green			1						
Allens Cross									
Alum Rock							1		
Aston		1		1					1
Balsall Heath West	1				1				
Bartley Green		1		1	2	4			
Billesley								1	
Birchfield									
Bordesley & Highgate						1	1		
Bordesley Green	1								
Bournbrook & Selly Park									
Bournville & Cotteridge					1				
Brandwood & Kings Heath	2			1	1				1
Bromford & Hodge Hill			1						
Castle Vale								1	
Druids Heath & Monyhull	1		1	1					
Edbaston			2	1		2	2		1
Erdington							1	1	
Frankley Great Park				1				1	1
Garretts Green	1								
Glebe Farm & Tile Cross		1			1				
Gravelly Hill					1				
Hall Green									1

Handsworth		1							
Handsworth Wood						1			
Harborne					3				2
Heartlands									
Highters Heath				1					
Holyhead		1							
Kings Norton			1	1			1		
Kingstanding			1						1
Ladywood	4		2	2			1	1	
Longbridge & West Heath			1	1					
Lozells									
Moseley					3		1		
Nechells	2	1			1	1		2	3
Newtown	6		1						
Northfield			1						
Oscott									
Perry Barr					1				1
Perry Common									
Pype Hayes				1					
Quinton			1						
Rubery & Rednal									1
Sheldon		1			1	1			
Small Heath			1					1	
Soho & Jewellery Quarter						2			2
South Yardley				1					
Sparkbrook & Balsall Heath Eas				2			1	1	
Sparkhill									
Stirchley									
Stockland Green									
Sutton Four Oaks		1			1				
Sutton Mere Green						1			
Sutton Reddicap									
Sutton Roughley									
Sutton Trinity									
Sutton Vesey				2		1		2	
Sutton Walmley & Minworth	2								
Sutton Wylde Green				2					
Tyseley & Hay Mills									
Ward End									
Weoley & Selly Oak									
Yardley East									

Yardley West & Stechford

1

1

1

2

Ward	2022											
	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
Acocks Green				1	1							
Allens Cross												
Alum Rock		1					1				1	
Aston											1	
Balsall Heath West				1					1			
Bartley Green	1		1	1			4	2				
Billesley												
Birchfield												
Bordesley & Highgate	1				2				1		1	1
Bordesley Green												
Bournbrook & Selly Park									2			
Bournville & Cotteridge					1				1			
Brandwood & Kings Heath			1							1		
Bromford & Hodge Hill			1				1					
Castle Vale	1				1							
Druids Heath & Monyhull							1				1	1
Edgbaston	1	2								1		2
Erdington				1		2		1				
Frankley Great Park							1					
Garretts Green												
Glebe Farm & Tile Cross										1		
Gravelly Hill				1							1	
Hall Green									1			
Handsworth					1						1	
Handsworth Wood		1										
Harborne				1			7	1				
Heartlands							1		1			
Highters Heath												
Holyhead												
Kings Norton								6		2		
Kingstanding			1			2						
Ladywood	1		1	1	1			2	1	1	2	
Longbridge & West Heath					1	1	1	1		1		
Lozells			1									
Moseley							1					
Nechells					1				1			1

Newtown		1	1								1	
Northfield								2				
Oscott						5	2	1	1			
Perry Barr								1		1	1	
Perry Common												
Pype Hayes											2	
Quinton			1		2			1		1		
Rubery & Rednal										1		
Sheldon				1					1		1	
Small Heath				1	1			1				1
Soho & Jewellery Quarter		2						1				1
South Yardley					1				1			
Sparkbrook & Balsall Heath Eas				1							1	
Sparkhill												
Stirchley												1
Stockland Green	1											
Sutton Four Oaks		1							1		2	
Sutton Mere Green												
Sutton Reddicap					1							
Sutton Roughley												
Sutton Trinity		1										1
Sutton Vesey	1								2			
Sutton Walmley & Minworth												
Sutton Wylde Green			1									
Tyseley & Hay Mills	1				1							
Ward End									1			
Weoley & Selly Oak			1					8				
Yardley East												
Yardley West & Stechford						1						

	2023											
Ward	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
Acocks Green												
Allens Cross												
Alum Rock		1					1		2		1	
Aston	1	2	2			1	1					
Balsall Heath West									1			
Bartley Green		1	1								1	1
Billesley										1		
Birchfield									1			

Bordesley & Highgate					1		2		1			
Bordesley Green						1	1				1	
Bournbrook & Selly Park				1			1					
Bournville & Cotteridge							1		2		1	
Brandwood & Kings Heath					1							
Bromford & Hodge Hill	1			1				1				
Castle Vale												
Druids Heath & Monyhull							1					
Edgbaston	1			1		2	3				1	
Erdington	1		1			1						
Frankley Great Park							1					
Garretts Green										1		
Glebe Farm & Tile Cross	1					1						
Gravelly Hill												
Hall Green												
Handsworth					1							
Handsworth Wood						1						1
Harborne			1					2	1			
Heartlands												
Highters Heath				1	1				1			
Holyhead												
Kings Norton							2			1	1	
Kingstanding												
Ladywood	2	2		1	1	2			1		1	
Longbridge & West Heath						1				1		
Lozells												
Moseley						1						
Nechells	1	1	1	1	1	2						1
Newtown												
Northfield	1											
Oscott	2			1								1
Perry Barr				1	2							
Perry Common												
Pype Hayes	1					2			1			
Quinton					1							
Rubery & Rednal									2			
Sheldon			1	1								
Small Heath						1						
Soho & Jewellery Quarter										1		
South Yardley									1			
Sparkbrook & Balsall Heath Eas							2			1		

Sparkhill						2			1			
Stirchley						1					1	
Stockland Green	1											
Sutton Four Oaks		1										
Sutton Mere Green				1								
Sutton Reddicap					1		3					
Sutton Roughley						1						
Sutton Trinity							4					
Sutton Vesey						1			1			
Sutton Walmley & Minworth	1					1	1					
Sutton Wylde Green					1		1					
Tyseley & Hay Mills					1	1	1	1				
Ward End							2					
Weoley & Selly Oak			1					1				
Yardley East												1
Yardley West & Stechford						1						

	2024								
Ward	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP
Acocks Green									
Allens Cross							4		
Alum Rock									
Aston			1						1
Balsall Heath West									
Bartley Green		2							
Billesley						1			
Birchfield	1								
Bordesley & Highgate		1	2	3	4				
Bordesley Green	1			1				1	
Bournbrook & Selly Park								1	
Bournville & Cotteridge							5		1
Brandwood & Kings Heath	1	1							
Bromford & Hodge Hill				2					
Castle Vale									
Druids Heath & Monyhull									
Edgbaston	1	1					1	1	1
Erdington	3								
Frankley Great Park							3		
Garretts Green			1						
Glebe Farm & Tile Cross									

Gravelly Hill	1						2		
Hall Green									1
Handsworth			1						
Handsworth Wood		1	1						
Harborne	2								
Heartlands			1						
Highters Heath								1	
Holyhead									
Kings Norton			2	1	6		11	9	
Kingstanding									
Ladywood			1	1	2			1	1
Longbridge & West Heath				7		13	12	8	
Lozells									
Moseley									
Nechells			1				2		
Newtown					1	1	1		
Northfield							1		
Oscott			1				1	1	
Perry Barr							2		
Perry Common							1		
Pype Hayes			1		1		1		
Quinton	1							1	
Rubery & Rednal							5		1
Sheldon									
Small Heath				1					
Soho & Jewellery Quarter		1	1	1	3		1		
South Yardley								1	
Sparkbrook & Balsall Heath Eas			2						
Sparkhill							1	1	1
Stirchley									
Stockland Green	4	1					1		
Sutton Four Oaks									
Sutton Mere Green									
Sutton Reddicap									
Sutton Roughley								1	
Sutton Trinity				1				1	
Sutton Vesey					1				
Sutton Walmley & Minworth	1	1						2	
Sutton Wylde Green									
Tyseley & Hay Mills									
Ward End									

Weoley & Selly Oak				1			5		
Yardley East							1		
Yardley West & Stechford		1							

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND TRANSPORT FROM COUNCILLOR ALEX YIP**“Vehicle Hire”****Question:****In each month since April 2023, broken down by month, please list the total of number of vehicles hired for fleet and waste, the total amount spent, and the name of the hire company used****Answer:**

Month	Total Vehicles Hired	Total Spent	Hire Companies
Information relating to 2023/24 will be forwarded separately when it is fully collated.			
April 24	89	£145,281	Priority Mid Hire Dawson Group Endurance Northgate Days Rental
May 24	89	£152,687	Priority Mid Hire Dawson Group Endurance Northgate Days Rental
June 24	89	£147,199	Priority Mid Hire Dawson Group Endurance Northgate Days Rental
July 24	90	£152,629	Priority Mid Hire Dawson Group Endurance Northgate

			Days Rental
August 24	88	£151,536	Priority Mid Hire Dawson Group Endurance Northgate Days Rental

E4

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND
TRANSPORT FROM COUNCILLOR BRUCE LINES**

“Tame Valley Viaduct contract management”

Question:

The mitigation for overruns and overspends on the tame valley viaduct was to ‘employ experienced and professional site and contract management team who will implement a robust management and commercial system’ - how much has the council spent on this experienced and professional team?

Answer:

The procurement of a suitable consultant for undertaking site supervision, contract and commercial management tasks was undertaken using a mini competition under the Birmingham City Council’s Transportation and Connectivity Infrastructure Framework Contract. Following the issue of an order in February 2022, the consultant commenced work in March 2022 and the expenditure to date is £2.397m.

E5

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND TRANSPORT FROM COUNCILLOR CLIFTON WELCH

“Road repair response times”

Question:

What changes have been agreed to response times for highways repairs with Keir, on what date was this agreed and what assessment was made of risk to highways users and financial risks to council from potential claims, as a result of any increase in response times?

Answer:

In light of the uncertainty around the Highways PFI contract it has been necessary to make some changes to the Interim Services Contract with Kier. These changes are financially prudent while ensuring that the Council maintains its statutory duties under Section 41 of the Highways Act.

Response times for safety critical issues on the highway infrastructure are unchanged. The changes have been agreed and implemented on an incremental basis made from April and assessments of all risks is done on an ongoing basis in-line with the Council's Safety Inspection Strategy.

Officers will forward more detail to Elected Members.

E6

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND
TRANSPORT FROM COUNCILLOR DARIUS SANDHU**

“Fleet and Waste vehicle hire”

Question:

Please list all dates on which 114 control board approval was given for the purchase of hire vehicles for fleet and waste and the value of that approval

Answer:

Spend approval as follows:

- 30/11/23 ID no. 1045 for £3.5m
- 2/5/24 ID No. 6305 for £1.25m
- 28/8/24 ID no. 8836 for £3.1m

E7

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND
TRANSPORT FROM COUNCILLOR EWAN MACKEY**

“Grass Cutting”

Question:

What is the maximum length of grass that the council's standard grounds maintenance equipment can cut and how many occasions in each of the last 3 years has the council been unable to cut grass on council owned land using its standard equipment, due to the length of the grass being too long?

Answer:

The standard machinery used to cut grass can cut up to a maximum length of approximately 8 inches. If the grass is longer, due to weather conditions access problems etc other machinery that can cope with longer grass is deployed. All cuts take place, however on some occasions it may be later than scheduled due to underlying factors as highlighted.

E8

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND
TRANSPORT FROM COUNCILLOR DAVID PEARS**

“Waste vehicle hire”

Question:

Please list all companies on the framework contract for the hire of waste vehicles.

Answer:

On the Yorkshire Purchasing Organisation (YPO) framework the companies are as follows:

CP Davidson
Castlereagh Motos
Dawson Group
Cooper Group
Dennis Eagle
Elektra
Endurance
Farid Hillend
Faun Zoeller
Garwood
Geesink Norba
John G Russell
Macpac
NTM GB
Riverside NRG
Romaquip Ltd
SFS
Stock Rental
Trest Ltd
Bucher municipal
Days rental
Econ engineering
Hako
James A Cuthbertson Limited,
Karcher UK,
Leeds Commercial Ltd,
Limesquare Vehicle Rental Limited,
London Hire,
Lynton Trailers UK Limited,

Mistral Bus & Coach Plc,
Mullevo Ltd, Neat Vehicles Ltd,
Pelican Engineering Company (Sales) Ltd,
Torton Bodies Limited,
Trash Uk Limited,
Victory

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND TRANSPORT FROM COUNCILLOR DEBBIE CLANCY

“Grass cutting staff”

Question:

In the month of June in each of the last 5 years, how many staff were available in each constituency for grass cutting?

Answer:

Answer:

Northfield and Edgbaston

2020	50
2021	48
2022	52
2023	48
2024	39

Sutton Coldfield

2020	13
2021	11
2022	12
2023	13
2024	10

Yardley & Hodge Hill

2020	32
2021	33
2022	36
2023	36
2024	31

Erdington

2020	20
2021	15
2022	17
2023	19
2024	13

Ladywood

2020	21
2021	21
2022	22
2023	22
2024	19

Hall Green

2020	20
2021	20
2022	21
2023	14
2024	14

Perry Barr

Selly Oak

2020	24	2020	22
2021	21	2021	22
2022	29	2022	23
2023	28	2023	16
2024	21	2024	15

E10

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND
TRANSPORT FROM COUNCILLOR DEIRDRE ALDEN**

“Road Closures”

Question:

Over the last 3 years please list all road closures by developers for construction work within the ring road, including the length of closure and the amount paid by developers to the council for this closure.

Answer:

There were 2364 specified licences issued in Wards that wholly or partly comprise of those within the ring road from 01 January 2021 to date, broken down as follows:

Bordesley & Highgate - 423

Ladywood - 1141

Soho & Jewellery Quarter - 470

Newtown - 169

Aston - 161

The historic systems for managing specified licences do not allow a readily available break down by work type, cost or whether a road closure was required.

As part of the amalgamation and transformation of specified licences into the Streetworks Permitting team, these shortcomings are being addressed to enable a better intelligence picture for how developers are operating on the Highways to be produced.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND TRANSPORT FROM COUNCILLOR GARETH MOORE

“Tame Valley Viaduct project reviews”

Question:

The risk register for the Tame Valley viaduct said that all variations and changes will be fully reviewed and cost increases verified as soon as possible’ since the cabinet decision in 2019 please list all dates on which a project review identified a cost increase, how much this increase was, what it related to, and what mitigations were put in place at the time.

Answer:

The ongoing Tame Valley Viaduct (TVV) strengthening scheme is being delivered under the conditions of the Engineering and Construction Contract.

The scheme undergoes regular contract, commercial and risk management reviews. These include weekly reviews and monthly updates of the risk register including mitigation measures. In addition, regular quantitative and qualitative risk assessments are also undertaken.

The main additional risks / issues identified for the project are;

1. Inflationary pressures -the level of inflation was many time greater than expected when the 2019 Cabinet report was submitted.
2. Presence of Hexavalent Chromium in the paint system resulting in significant additional health and safety measures.
3. Latent defects including microcracking of structural members, welds and corroded steel component following removal of the paint system in the structure.

A detailed review of the scope of the works with the aim of keeping the costs as close as possible to the approved budget is being undertaken. The proposals being evaluated include;

- Omission of works relating to the viaducts structural elements where immediate repairs are not required,
- Reduction in the amount of painting works
- Acceleration of works to reduce the costs associated with extension of time and contractor’s site set up.

Currently, the revised costs and scope of the works are being reviewed by the project's commercial and contract management team in conjunction with the appointed contractor.

E12

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND
TRANSPORT FROM COUNCILLOR KERRY BREWER**

“Ground Maintenance KPIs”

Question:

Since the Grounds Maintenance Service was taken in-house 5 year ago, please list the KPIs the service has been working too and the latest performance against each of these

Answer:

The grass cutting schedules currently equate to 10 cuts per year. Crews are dispatched using schedule maps to work across the city and as one cut completes the next one begins. This is backed up by strimming and hand mowing crews, that strim around the perimeters and hand mow along the edges where the larger ride on mowers cannot reach, or where the area to be mowed is better carried out by a hand mower. The crews are overseen by performance managers that manage the day-to-day expectations of the crews and they carry out random physical checks to ensure work is completed to the required standard.

The growing season runs for 30 weeks of the year and at the end of the mowing season the crews move on to the winter works. This is cutting back hedgerows from across the city, carried out in the winter months so that the spring bird nesting season is not interrupted and wildlife around Birmingham is maintained.

The service is currently looking at technology to help manage and monitor the schedules and it is envisaged that this management system will be more interactive in how the service is delivered.

E13

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND
TRANSPORT FROM COUNCILLOR MAUREEN CORNISH**

“Green Flag Parks”

Question:

How many (and which) parks were awarded Green Flag status in 2024?

Answer:

The City Council did not enter the green flag awards in 2024.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND TRANSPORT FROM COUNCILLOR MEIRION JENKINS

“Kier Payments”

Question:

In each year since Kier took over the highways contract, how much has the council paid to them, split between payments inside and outside the PFI contract

Answer:

Financial Year	Payment to Kier for Services
2020-21	£ 48,927,597.69
2021-22	£ 90,176,734.75
2022-23	£ 102,648,817.04
2023-24	£ 99,934,697.63
2024-25 as at Aug-24	£ 31,812,811.49

E15

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND
TRANSPORT FROM COUNCILLOR TIMOTHY HUXTABLE**

“Tame Valley Viaduct funding”

Question:

When the previous Conservative Government committed £72m towards the £93m forecast cost of the Tame Valley Viaduct Strengthening Work, Baroness Vere described the viaduct as the ‘lifeblood of Birmingham.’ With the latest financial monitoring report describing the project as a financial risk to the council - despite 21% contingency built into the original bid - will the council be showing the same commitment to this scheme as the previous government and ensure funding is in place to complete the scheme in full and to the standard required within the original bid?

Answer:

Requests for potential additional capital funding for 2026/27 and 2027/28 have been submitted to the council’s Capital Board as a financially prudent step to ensure the project delivers its intended objectives.

E16

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND TRANSPORT FROM COUNCILLOR ROBERT ALDEN

“Replacement and new bins”

Question:

In each month for the last 2 years, how many residents paid for a new or replacement wheelie bin and how many of these are still waiting for their bin?

Answer:

Attached below is a table over the last 2 years which includes the number of residents who paid for a replacement wheelie bin.

Month and year request received	Total Number Requested
08/2022	316
09/2022	329
10/2022	287
11/2022	278
12/2022	367
01/2023	311
02/2023	350
03/2023	308
04/2023	311
05/2023	293
06/2023	285
07/2023	288
08/2023	277
09/2023	301
10/2023	261
11/2023	267
12/2023	340
01/2024	305
02/2024	285

03/2024	292
04/2024	264
05/2024	266
06/2024	299
07/2024	262
08/2024	316

As at the end of August, there were 1,950 classed as outstanding deliveries on the database. It is estimated that circa 80% of these have been delivered but the job is yet to be closed down on the system.

E17

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND
TRANSPORT FROM COUNCILLOR DAVID BARRIE**

“Recycling”

Question:

In each month since April 2022, how many rounds for recycling were collected with general waste rather than kept separate for recycling?

Answer:

Unfortunately, we do not have historical information on a round-by-round basis. The new IT platform, currently being procured and the new fleet of vehicles will allow far more visibility of information relating to waste collections and how individual waste streams are collected

E18

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND
TRANSPORT FROM COUNCILLOR RON STORER**

“Fortnightly Collections”

Question:

Councillor Waseem Zaffar has been reported as telling Lozells residents in a community whatsapp group that 'there is fake news beign disseminated that our rubbish collection in Lozells is going fortnightly. This is not the case.' and that "weekly rubbish collections are continuing in Lozells" Please list all other wards that will retain weekly residual waste collections following completion of the transformation programme?

Answer:

The city will move to a fortnightly residual waste collection on a phased basis commencing April 2025.

It is anticipated that all wards and therefore all 340,000 kerbside properties will move to this service. In addition to moving to a fortnightly waste collection service there will also be the introduction of weekly food waste and additional container for recycling will be introduced at the same time.

E19

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND TRANSPORT FROM COUNCILLOR JON HUNT

Brum Breathes funding

Question:

The Cabinet is rolling up unallocated Brum Breathes funds into a new citywide fund. What are the criteria for ‘unused’ given that many projects appear not to have been progressed due to lack of engineering capacity, as discussed in a recent Council meeting?

Answer:

The criteria used to determine the amount of ‘unused’ budget from the Brum Breathes Fund is whether or not an application to the Fund has been received from that ward.

To date the Fund has received 23 applications from 20 wards. Of the applications received 12 have been approved. The remainder are either awaiting further information or may be incorporated into the delivery programme for the Environment and Neighbourhoods Transport Fund (ETNF).

Of the applications that have been approved (12) four have been completed, four are in progress – with the remainder awaiting updates from the relevant delivery team. It is important to note that the Brum Breathes Fund was open to applications from the community therefore delivery of an approved scheme could be through any relevant Birmingham City Council service or a community group.

E20

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND TRANSPORT FROM COUNCILLOR ROGER HARMER

Fly tipping locations in Yardley

Question:

Which locations in the Yardley Constituency have had BCC CCTV installed to tackle fly tipping in the past 2 years? What were the results of these operations?

Answer:

Waste Enforcement cameras have been installed at 12 locations within Yardley Constituency with 5 sites still active. These installations have resulted in 62 incidents of vehicle based tipping being captured on camera and 29 pedestrians seen offending.

It has not been possible to identify in such a short period of time the outcomes of these interventions. A separate email will be sent to Cllr Harmer once this has been compiled with information on locations and outcomes.

E21

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND TRANSPORT FROM COUNCILLOR BABAR BAZ

Gully cleaning and maintenance

Question:

Could the Cabinet Member provide a schedule of gully cleaning and maintenance undertaken by Kier? Given the increase in rainfall recorded, it is vital that gullies are clean and able to meet the drainage requirements of the City therefore preventing unnecessary flooding.

Response

Gullies are inspected and cleaned when appropriate.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND TRANSPORT FROM COUNCILLOR PAUL TILSLEY

Average Speed Cameras on A45 Coventry Road

Question:

When will the promised average speed cameras for the A45 Coventry Road be installed? Sheldon Ward's portion of the A45 is subject to weekly serious Road Traffic Accidents causing considerable inconvenience and distress to local residents

Answer:

The Council along with the other West Midlands District Authorities is working with the West Midlands Combined Authority and West Midlands Police on a new regional agreement for Average Speed Enforcement covering existing sites and roll-out of new locations. This will include criteria for enforcement locations which is yet to be determined. We cannot therefore say at the present time which locations will be prioritised and when, but the A45 Coventry Road is one of the roads which we will be putting forward for consideration in due course.

E23

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**PLEASE NOTE WRITTEN QUESTION E23 - HAS NOW BEEN
REDIRECTED TO H8 – CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING AND
HOMELESSNESS**

E24

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**PLEASE NOTE WRITTEN QUESTION E24 - HAS NOW BEEN
REDIRECTED TO F24 – CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE**

E25

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND
TRANSPORT FROM COUNCILLOR MATT BENNETT**

“Additional Recycling container”

Question:

Please provide a copy of the data evidencing how the c12k people with an additional recycling bin for paper have less contamination than those with pod inserts, as you referenced at Cabinet on 10 September. Please note, this relates to the Birmingham specific data you referred to, and not the evidence from other local authorities already set out in the report.

Answer:

The current trial of the second recycling container is still in its early stages and we are yet to have meaningful data to be shared. What we can confirm is, that other authorities who adopt this twin bin solution all evidence contamination rates are in line with the nation average of 12%

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

F1

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE FROM
COUNCILLOR DEIRDRE ALDEN**

“Planning budget”

Question:

What was the total gross and net budget for the planning department in each of year since April 2020?

Answer:

Planning Service Budget

	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25
	£	£	£	£	£
Total Expenditure	6,934,599	6,606,729	7,084,985	6,532,618	5,722,038
Total Income	(5,729,043)	(5,725,583)	(5,677,828)	(5,745,267)	(5,545,267)
Net Expenditure	1,205,556	881,146	1,407,157	787,351	176,771

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE FROM
COUNCILLOR ROBERT ALDEN**

“Duplicate Payments”

Question:

Since April 2022, broken down by department, please list the total number and total value of duplicate payments discovered, and the amount reclaimed.

Answer:

Across all transactional services Directorates over the period of **April 22 – March 23** we have identified 747 overpayments with a total value of £2.4m

Directorate	Total
Adults Social Care	£86,935.20
Partnerships Insight & Prevention	£12,123.56
Commonwealth Games 2022	£0.00
Digital & Customer	£66,917.05
Education & Skills	£1,204,688.76
Finance & Governance	£95,983.46
HR & Organisational Development	£2,124.30
Inclusive Growth	£83,475.71
Neighbourhoods	£171,960.43
Schools	£371,919.64
Non-BCC 3 rd party live	£0.00
BCT	£343,937.83
Acivico	£0.00
	£2,440,065.94

In the same period we have identified 671 recovering items with a total value of £2.3m

Directorate	Total
Adults Social Care	£80,088.54
Partnerships Insight & Prevention	£12,123.56
Commonwealth Games 2022	£0.00
Digital & Customer	£66,917.05
Education & Skills	£1,179,458.52
Finance & Governance	£95,983.46
HR & Organisational Development	£2,124.30
Inclusive Growth	£83,475.71
Neighbourhoods	£156,002.27
Schools	£317,068.77
Non-BCC 3 rd party live	£0.00
BCT	£275,220.93
Acivico	£0.00
	£2,268,463.11

Across all transactional services Directorates over the period of **April 23 – March 24** we have identified 1328 overpayments with a total value of £9.4m

	Grand Total
Children and Families Director	£4,992,750.55
City Ops Directorate	£482,490.53
Birmingham Children's Trust	£274,154.83
Non-BCC	£36,101.50
Adults Social Care Directorate	£1,241,174.52
(blank)	£477,226.30
Council Management	£510,642.73
Places, Prosperity & Sustainab	£361,556.91
City Housing Directorate	£122,205.37
No Directorate	£258,237.37
Strategy, Equalities & Partners	£19,940.00
Finance Control	£612,946.35
VAT	£13,693.27
	£9,403,120.23

In the same period we have identified 1242 recovering items with a total value of £8.7m

	Grand Total
(blank)	£4,860,248.76
City Ops Directorate	£468,055.84
Children and Families Direc	£164,712.41
Council Management	£35,616.50
City Housing Directorate	£1,239,974.52
Strategy, Equalities & Partne	£471,366.10
Birmingham Children's Trus	£458,563.98
Finance Control	£217,933.71
Places, Prosperity & Sustain	£80,480.36
No Directorate	£258,237.37
Adults Social Care Directorate	£19,940.00
	£465,934.00
	£13,693.27
Grand Total	£8,754,756.82

Across all transactional services Directorates over the period of April 24 – September 24 we have identified 1328 overpayments with a total value of £9.4m

(blank)	59826.22
City Ops Directorate	214983.56
Children and Families Direc	662456.89
Council Management	346760.4
City Housing Directorate	313660.89
Strategy, Equalities & Partne	2427.55
Birmingham Children's Trus	224049.36
Finance Control	1041608.09
Places, Prosperity & Sustain	53274.19
No Directorate	8147.22
Adults Social Care Directorate	122026.49
	3049220.86

Please note that lines labelled as "(blank)" have not been assigned to a Directorate due to missing budget codes.

Question:

In each year since April 2019, how many successful applications were there for a) council tax support scheme, and b) single person discount and what was the total net cost of each scheme in these years?

Answer:

- a) Since April 2019, how many successful applications were there for **council tax support scheme**, and what was the total net cost of each scheme in these years?

1. Successful CTS Claims (Processed and Awarded) by Year

Financial Year	No. of successful claims
2019/20	11223
2020/21	11959
2021/22	9984
2022/23	9513
2023/24	10697
2024/25*	4108

The table shows CTS claims which were processed and awarded a payment of CTS within the financial year. It does not include claims which were decided as ineligible/defective (for example no liability or a person not eligible to claim e.g. student/supporting evidence was not provided) or processed with zero award (for example the household income was too high).

*April to August 2024

2. CT Claim Caseload at Q1 by Year

The table shows the caseload of active CTS claims as of April of each year.

Month	Year	No. of cases
April	2019	120240
April	2020	119862
April	2021	120583
April	2022	115957
April	2023	110799
April	2024	113149

3.The Cost of the Scheme by Year

Year	£
2019-2020	£99,749,401.28
2020-2021	£109,012,236.60
2021-2022	£113,382,279.79
2022-2023	£109,913,179.74
2023-2024	£117,350,413.69
2024-2025*	£126,949,531.90

The table shows the cost of the CTS by financial year

*balance at 09.09.24

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE FROM
COUNCILLOR MATT BENNETT**

“Asset Disposal Programme”

Question:

Please list all assets sold (inc sold subject to contract) as part of the EFS disposal programme target of £750m, that had previously already been earmarked for disposal prior to the EFS request. Please include the original estimated sale receipt, and original planned use of that capital receipt as well as the final selling price

Answer:

Please find below the list of completed cases with detail regarding pre/post EFS decisions and rent loss implications.

With regards to information on properties sold “subject to contract”, this is commercially sensitive. Where sale contracts have exchanged but not completed there’s a risk they won’t complete.

In terms of use of the receipts, all Commercial Portfolio disposals were previously (Prior to EFS) earmarked for re-investment in new higher yielding Commercial Assets or in the Improvement of existing Commercial Assets to increase returns as per the approved Investment Property Strategy.

General Fund Disposals were previously used as part of the Corporate Capital Budget, managed by Corporate Finance.

F5

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE FROM
COUNCILLOR KERRY BREWER**

“Asset Disposal Programme revenue impact”

Question:

For all assets sold, or agreed to be sold, under the EFS assets disposal programme, what is the total lost revenue per year?

Answer:

Since the start of the £750m Asset Disposals Programme (ADP) and the EFS, the Qualifying Assets sold to date are £116.2m. The Annualised Rental Income Loss associated with the sales is £1.2m.

The Total Annualised Rental Income Loss Forecast for the cases we currently have in the Full Programme is approx. £10.2m.

F6

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE FROM
COUNCILLOR DEBBIE CLANCY**

“Insurance”

Question:

Please list all payments to insurance companies for products not procured centrally through the finance team?

Answer:

There are two Insurance policies outside the Finance Team that we have been able to identify

Liability Insurance for the Friends of Parks Groups while doing light maintenance work and litter picking in Council parks and green areas. Annual Premium £20,000.

Liability insurance for Allotment Associations acting on behalf of BCC while managing allotments owned by the Council. Annual Premium £14,500.

We are aware that at least one property contract includes an insurance element.

It is possible that insurance related payments have been made to other entities who are not generally considered as insurance companies for the purposes of managing risk to Birmingham City Council.

More work will be conducted to identify these potential payments and every effort to centralise these into the insurance team will be made.

Both of the above policies and any additional policies identified will be reviewed by the insurance department prior to their renewal.

F7

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE FROM
COUNCILLOR MAUREEN CORNISH**

“Wifi Upgrade”

Question:

For the recent procurement of Cisco wifi upgrade to the council house, what procurement route was followed and what was the total cost (split between implementation cost and ongoing revenue cost)

Answer:

The upgrade to the Council House WiFi was undertaken using framework call off agreements the Council has in place for undertaking building surveys, cabling, hardware and specialist technical resources.

The implementation cost was £43,742.

There are no on-going revenue costs. This is because the Council maintains a small stock of replacement WiFi Access Points (AP's) which will be used to swap out any faulty WiFi AP's. Therefore, no maintenance contract is required on the additional WiFi AP's in the Council House.

F8

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE FROM
COUNCILLOR ADRIAN DELANEY**

“Plan B Management Solutions consultancy work”

Question:

On 21 May 2024 a contract notice was published for a contract with Plan B Management Solutions under Direct Award for consultancy services within Waste Services worth £175k to run from 1 July 2024 to 31 March 2025

On 18 July 2024 a contract notice was published for a contract with Plan B Management Solutions under Direct Award for consultancy services within Waste Services worth £163,125 to run from 15 July 2024 to 31 March 2025

Taken separately both these contracts fall below the thresholds for public contract regulations, however, the combined total is above thresholds which would have required, amongst other things, greater competition (or explicit justification for avoiding competition) as well as reporting through the procurement intentions report process and contract award schedule to Cabinet.

What was the justification for these two contracts - both running concurrently, both for management consultancy, both for the same service area, and both awarded to the same company without competition - being treated as separate contracts, and on what date did each receive approval from the spend control board?

Answer:

The two contracts are unrelated and deliver different services. There is no link between the two contracts. One contract (worth £175k to run from 1 July 2024 to 31 March 2025) is to continue to support the IRP priority waste transformation programme, and the other (worth £163,125 to run from 15 July 2024 to 31 March 2025) is to deliver much needed improvement and transformation to the fleet service that supports all parts of Street Scene (including waste) as well as other parts of the Council.

Approval was given at Corporate Spend Control Board on 04/04/24 for the Waste transformation spend of which these 2 contracts form a part of.

F9

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE FROM
COUNCILLOR ADAM HIGGS**

“Highbury Hall Income”

Question:

What was the net income for the general fund from Highbury Hall in each of the last 6 years?

Answer:

The City Council's Civic Catering service has operated from Highbury Hall for a number of years. Over the last six years the service has generated the following trading position for the General Fund from its Highbury activities:

FY18/19 - £71.8k surplus
FY19/20 - £74.5k surplus
FY20/21 - £180k deficit
FY21/22 - £201.3k deficit
FY22/23 - £96.9k surplus
FY23/24 - £8.6k deficit

This includes the payment of a property rental to the Highbury Trust.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE FROM
COUNCILLOR TIMOTHY HUXTABLE**

“Contract Register”

Question:

The Local Government Transparency Code requires councils to include in their contract register, details of whether or not a supplier is a small or medium-sized enterprise and/or a voluntary or community sector organisation. However, like much else, this is missing from the data published by the council, in breach of the statutory code. As well as committing to including this information going forward, please list here the percentage (of both total number and total value) of contracts with SMEs and VCS organisations and list the top ten SME and top ten VCS contractors by value?

Answer:

There are 1092 live contracts on the system. Out of these 1092 contracts, 11 are flagged as SMEs and 4 are flagged as VCSEs. The remainder are not known. The supplier data shows that 18 of the 8227 active suppliers have the VCS flag set to Y and 108 have the SME flag set to Y, the remainder are not known. The spend data over the previous 12 months show that 5% of the spend was with suppliers with SME flag set to Y and 1% with VCS.

Acknowledging that these flags are sparsely populated on the current systems, we propose to take the following actions:

1. Message all suppliers, requesting that they update their records with the SME (Y/N) and VCSE (Y/N) information.
2. Make it a mandatory requirement that the SME and VCSE flags are recorded when registering a contract.

These actions will be initiated within 2 weeks. The reports will be rerun and shared in January 2025 which should show a marked improvement.

The new Procurement Act (PA23) contains enhanced transparency requirements. A programme of works is currently underway to ensure that the council is compliant with these requirements. The first publication of the data is due on 01/04/2025

F11

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**PLEASE NOTE WRITTEN QUESTION F11 - HAS NOW BEEN REDIRECTED
TO B7 – DEPUTY LEADER**

F12

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**PLEASE NOTE WRITTEN QUESTION F12 - HAS NOW BEEN REDIRECTED
TO B8 – DEPUTY LEADER**

F13

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**PLEASE NOTE WRITTEN QUESTION F13 - HAS NOW BEEN REDIRECTED
TO B9 – DEPUTY LEADER**

F14

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**PLEASE NOTE WRITTEN QUESTION F14 - HAS NOW BEEN REDIRECTED
TO J7 – CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSFORMATION, GOVERNANCE AND
HUMAN RESOURCES**

F15

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE FROM
COUNCILLOR RICHARD PARKIN**

“Transport Levy”

Question:

What percentage increase in the Transport Levy has been presumed in the MTFP from 25/26 and in each of the following 3 years?

Answer:

The Council reviews the proposed inflationary increase each year, in conjunction with the West Midlands Combined Authority. At present, the current assumption is an increase in line with general price inflation forecasts. This will continue to be reviewed with the WMCA in the run up to budget-setting in February 2025.

F16

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**PLEASE NOTE WRITTEN QUESTION F16 - HAS NOW BEEN
REDIRECTED TO J8 – CABINET MEMBER FOR
TRANSFORMATION, GOVERNANCE AND HUMAN RESOURCES**

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE FROM
COUNCILLOR DARIUS SANDHU**

“Pressures”

Question:

Which pressures were removed from the MTFP for 25/26 and 26/27 following challenge from cabinet members at EMT on 30 July (as stated in paragraph 6.1 of the report to September Cabinet)

Answer:

Directorate	2025/26 Pressure (£)	2026/27 Pressure (£ cumulative)	Evidence Provided?	Comments
Chief Operating Officer	558,000	558,000	Figures only	Review of inflation forecast. Agreed to be removed as a Pressure.
Finance	404,000	404,000	Yes	Review of inflation forecast. Agreed to be removed as a Pressure.
SEP	161,000	161,000	Yes	Review of inflation forecast. Agreed to be removed as a Pressure.
COO, Finance, People Services, Legal & Governance	128,000	128,000	Yes	Review of inflation forecast. Agreed to be removed as a Pressure.
Total	£1.251m	£1.251m		

In regard to the pressures removed due to the inflation this can be found within the section from pages 24-26 on the latest forecasts for pay, general price inflation and specific contract inflation.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE FROM
COUNCILLOR RON STORER**

“Public Health Grant”

Question:

What is the size of the deficit on the 5-year Public Health Grant plan as referenced in the September Cabinet report?

Answer:

Public Health manages its budget using a 5-yr budget projection model which has been approved by the s151 and highlighted by the Office of Health Improvement and Disparities as national best practice. The model is based on a series of financial assumptions including.

A 1% annual increase in the public health grant allocation from DHSC

A 1% inflation pressure in block contracts for prescribed and recommended public health services passed to providers with a buffer 2% built into the model for demand pressures and higher inflation, but no inflation pressure on internal recharges or payment by result services.

Integration of cost pressures due to BCC salary increases and estimated NHS salary increases, in line with the 2024/25 shift of responsibilities for the NHS pay settlement for relevant contracts to the Public Health grant.

The 5-yr budget has been updated in the summer of 2024 and this shows that public health grant would fall into deficit in 2027/28 under current projections at which point the ring-fenced reserves will have been exhausted, this is primarily due to the impact of the NHS pay settlement, current and historical, shifting into the Public Health grant in 2024/5 which has not been matched by the uplift in the ring-fenced grant.

The projected deficit in 27/28 is circa £3.4m rising to circa £23.2m in 29/30.

In 2024/25 the Council became responsible for payment of the NHS pay settlement for public health grant funded contracts with NHS providers using NHS terms and conditions. The settlement in the NHS is paid in year through an additional uplift and then integrated into the following years baseline allocation in the recurrent staffing budget. The historical contracts in Birmingham did not account for this pressure transferring so in 2024/25 there has been the pressure of both the 2024/25 settlement in year and the historical cumulative effect of the pay settlements prior to this shift in responsibility.

Work has been undertaken for over a year with the largest contracted providers on improving efficiencies within contracts to enable absorbing these additional costs whilst maintaining service provision effectively for citizens through more agile and integrated service provision. Spend and outcomes have been benchmarked against core cities and work has been undertaken to identify efficiency learning from other areas.

The division has also put in place memorandum of understanding for all internal spend to ensure that this funding is demonstrating value for money and achieving outcomes in line with the Public Health Grant award letter criteria. There has also been a review of headcount spend in the specialist function which demonstrates that the Council is under-powered for specialist capacity and the Director of Public Health has agreed to manage this within the existing headcount through internal restructuring of vacancies into more senior roles to address this.

Birmingham is relatively unique in using this 5-yr budget projection for the grant and this allows us to forecast these challenges well in advance of them becoming a reality and this positions us well to address them in a timely manner to rebalance the grant and ensure the essential work of Public Health protecting and improving the health and Birmingham is relatively unique in using this 5-yr budget projection for the grant and this allows us to forecast these challenges well in advance of them becoming a reality and this positions us well to address them in a timely manner to rebalance the grant and ensure the essential work of Public Health protecting and improving the health and wellbeing of our citizens to continue.

If the pressure of the NHS pay settlement (historical up to March 2024 and current for 24/25) was resolved through national allocation, similar to the approach taken in the NHS finance systems, then the deficit will fall to £0.9m in 28/29 and circa £9.3m in 29/30 which demonstrates that although this impact is significant there is also a continuing need for the grant to achieve efficiencies to remain in balance if the growth in the grant remains at 1% in the allocation from DHSC which is significantly below inflation.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE FROM
COUNCILLOR ALEX YIP**

“Public Health Grant and general fund”

Question:

What was the total amount (in cash value and as a percentage of the overall grant) of the public health grant that was used to support general fund pressures in the 24/25 budget?

Answer:

In 2024/25 the annual allocation of the Public Health ring-fenced grant was £101,255,586, and the reserve opening balance was £28.8m.

The recharge to the grant for Council run services in 2024/25 was £17,820,800 (17.6%), although it is important to note that some recharge costs (c. £1.8m) were offset against the remaining Covid funding in line with the criteria of that separate grant funding non-recurrently, so the total contribution from public health to the general fund position in 2024/25 was £19,638,576 (19.4%).

The projected re-charge costs in 2025/26 are £20.6m which is estimated as 20.1%. Some of the internal recharges are fixed term and utilise the ring-fenced reserve to support transformation of some Council services and will cease in 27/28 at which point the projected contribution is forecast to fall to c. £14.9m (circa 14.0% of the projected grant value). Projects still had to meet the criteria for PH funding.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE FROM
COUNCILLOR CLIFTON WELCH**

“Traded Services”

Question:

Please provide a list of all traded services that are loss-making (as referenced in the September Cabinet Report) and for each of these, the total loss in each of the last 3 years

Answer:

(Net Surplus) / Loss	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's
Group 3 - Traded Services	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	3 Year Total
CityServe	454	(144)	(374)	(64)
Pest Control	170	87	344	601
Wildlife Park	131	79	60	270
Total	755	22	30	807

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE FROM
COUNCILLOR RICHARD PARKIN**

“Acivico”

Question:

Given the expiry of the small works contract between the council and Acivico, what steps are being taken to lawfully and efficiently carry out maintenance of council properties, including schools?

Answer:

The status of the contract is as follows:-

- (A) On 2nd May 2017 the Parties entered into an agreement (“**the Contract**”) pursuant to which Acivico and Acivico DCFM agreed to provide design, construction, facilities management services and integrated services to the Council.
- (B) The First Deed of Variation dealt with the return of the Civic Catering, Birmingham City Laboratory and Building Cleaning Services to the Council.
- (C) The Second and Third Deeds of Variation dealt with extensions to the expiry date of the Contract with the Third Deed of Variation stating that the Contract would expire on 31st March 2020.
- (D) The Council, Acivico and Acivico (DCFM) have agreed to vary the Contract as set out in this Fourth Deed.
- (E) This Fourth Deed is supplemental to the Contract.
- (F) The Parties confirm that a new contract did not come into effect on the 1st of April 2020 as envisaged and the Contract, as varied, continues in effect by way of the conduct of the Parties.

The fourth deed, as mentioned in point (E), is agreed, and is currently with Acivico for signing. This extension will end 31/03/2026 – the extension is in line with the dates agreed in the March 2024 Cabinet report.

We are currently operating the contract in effect by way of the conduct of parties. Maintenance and compliance have continued throughout the period.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE FROM
COUNCILLOR EWAN MACKEY**

“Acivico 2”

Question:

Why was the small works contract between the council and Acivico allowed to lapse without a suitable alternative being in place to allow for essential maintenance work on council properties, including schools?

Answer:

The status of the contract is as follows:-

- (A) On 2nd May 2017 the Parties entered into an agreement (“**the Contract**”) pursuant to which Acivico and Acivico DCFM agreed to provide design, construction, facilities management services and integrated services to the Council.
- (B) The First Deed of Variation dealt with the return of the Civic Catering, Birmingham City Laboratory and Building Cleaning Services to the Council.
- (C) The Second and Third Deeds of Variation dealt with extensions to the expiry date of the Contract with the Third Deed of Variation stating that the Contract would expire on 31st March 2020.
- (D) The Council, Acivico and Acivico (DCFM) have agreed to vary the Contract as set out in this Fourth Deed.
- (E) This Fourth Deed is supplemental to the Contract.
- (F) The Parties confirm that a new contract did not come into effect on the 1st of April 2020 as envisaged and the Contract, as varied, continues in effect by way of the conduct of the Parties.

The fourth deed, as mentioned in point (E), is agreed and is with Acivico for signing. This will end 31/03/2026 – the extension is in line with the dates agreed in the March 2024 Cabinet report.

As such, even though the original contract has lapsed, we are currently operating the contract in effect by way of the conduct of parties. Maintenance and compliance has continued throughout the period.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE FROM
COUNCILLOR ADAM HIGGS**

“Acivico 3”

Question:

Please provide a list of all maintenance or repairs to council properties, including schools, that have been delayed or cancelled due to the expiry of the small works contract – or other contracts - with Acivico

Answer:

No maintenance or repairs to council properties have been delayed due to the expiry of the small works contract.

The status of the contract is as follows:-

- (A) On 2nd May 2017 the Parties entered into an agreement (“**the Contract**”) pursuant to which Acivico and Acivico DCFM agreed to provide design, construction, facilities management services and integrated services to the Council.
- (B) The First Deed of Variation dealt with the return of the Civic Catering, Birmingham City Laboratory and Building Cleaning Services to the Council.
- (C) The Second and Third Deeds of Variation dealt with extensions to the expiry date of the Contract with the Third Deed of Variation stating that the Contract would expire on 31st March 2020.
- (D) The Council, Acivico and Acivico (DCFM) have agreed to vary the Contract as set out in this Fourth Deed.
- (E) This Fourth Deed is supplemental to the Contract.
- (F) The Parties confirm that a new contract did not come into effect on the 1st of April 2020 as envisaged and the Contract, as varied, continues in effect by way of the conduct of the Parties.

The fourth deed, as mentioned in point (E), is agreed and is currently with Acivico for signing. This extension period will end 31/03/2026 – the extension is in line with the dates agreed in the March 2024 Cabinet report.

We are currently operating the contract in effect by way of the conduct of parties. Maintenance and compliance has continued throughout the period.

Last week following consultation between commissioners and senior officers, it was suggested to put a temporary hold on any further capital works projects being awarded to Acivico. This hold will be lifted once the contract extension is signed this week (we 13/09/2024) as such no delays have been experienced to date, but it is now considered imperative to execute the deed to enable new orders to be placed.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE FROM
COUNCILLOR CLIFTON WELCH**

“Pinnacle”

Question:

On 17 April 2023 the council entered into a 5 year contract with Pinnacle Housing for estate management services at Perry Barr to 'include the planning and collection of the service charges and sinking funds; carrying out maintenance, repairs, and inspections to include public realm infrastructure and landscaped areas.' Given the changes to the plans for the future disposal of homes on this site, please can you update on the status of this contract, including costs incurred to date, money still owed, and what services Pinnacle will continue to carry out under the contract or exit fees if the council has now terminated the contract

Answer:

The Pinnacle Estates Management contract has not yet been mobilised, so no monies have been paid or collected, and no services have yet commenced.

The contract is due to commence soon, and we expect it to provide the services that were agreed as part of the tender process. The cost for these services will be shared across all owners who have an interest in the estate (i.e. including the proposed purchaser of plots 6-8) and not just the Council.

The site is currently being maintained by Careys as part of their post completion maintenance agreement which is due to end in March 2025.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING AND HOMELESSNESS FROM COUNCILLOR GARETH MOORE

“Empty Properties”

Question:

How many council housing properties are currently empty?

Answer:

There are currently 612 council housing void properties empty. This is a snapshot position and dwellings will be in the various phases of the void property process from receipt of keys (from the outgoing tenant) to re-letting.

There are an additional 450 void properties which are pending demolition.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING AND HOMELESSNESS FROM COUNCILLOR ROBERT ALDEN

“Empty Properties 2”

Question:

In the last 12 months, what is the average length of time a council housing property has been empty and what was the length of the ten longest empty properties?

Answer:

Over the last 12 months (August 2023 to August 2024) the average turnaround times for void properties relet were:

- Routine Voids (not affected by major work items): 31.8 days
- Major works voids including Kitchen & Bathroom replacement, Rewire & structural issues: 105.7 days

A recent House Mark report found that Birmingham City Council is performing in line with national peer group medians on re-let times for both standard and major void dwellings.

The 10 longest empty properties having been let were:

4158 days	Prefabricated bungalow – Grade 2 listed building requiring extensive works and English Heritage consent
3626 days	Prefabricated bungalow – Grade 2 listed building requiring extensive works and English Heritage consent Prefab/ listed
1771 days	Prefabricated bungalow – Grade 2 listed building requiring extensive works and English Heritage consent Prefab / listed
1080 days	Facilitating major capital works to Large Panel System High Rise Building
868 days	Long running legal dispute with owner-occupier adjoining dwelling
679 days	Significant structural works
532 days	Significant structural works

462 days	Significant structural works
448 days	Significant structural works
420 days	Significant structural works

H3

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING AND HOMELESSNESS FROM COUNCILLOR RON STORER

“Temporary Accommodation”

Question:

How many people have been living in temporary accommodation for over 10 years and how many of these were under 18 years of age?

Answer:

24 households have been in temporary accommodation for over 10 years. All are currently in self-contained homes provided either directly by Birmingham City Council or leased from a private landlord. The majority have a housing need requiring between 4 and 8 bedroom homes.

There are 29 individuals within these properties aged under 18 years.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING AND HOMELESSNESS FROM COUNCILLOR ALEX YIP

“Void properties”

Question:

What is the current average Void property turnaround time and what is the average cost per day to the council of a void property

Answer:

The average turnaround time for August 2024 for voids not affected by major works was 41 days.

Average turnaround time for major works voids for August 2024 was 196 days – this figure is distorted as it includes 2 very long-term voids recently let that are of a 1940’s prefabricated construction and are Grade 2 listed buildings requiring major works in accordance with English Heritage approval.

A recent House Mark report found that Birmingham City Council is performing in line with national peer group medians on re-let times for both standard and major void dwellings.

Based on an average weekly rent and charges of circa £120, the average cost per day to BCC when a property is void is approximately £17, annual budgets account for a 1.57% void rate at any point in time and currently the void rate is 1.56%.

Additionally, where our Repairs and Maintenance contractors exceed agreed target completion dates without BCC approval, the daily cost is recoverable from them for any days over the target date.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING AND HOMELESSNESS FROM COUNCILLOR DEBBIE CLANCY

“Prisoner release”

Question:

What assessment has been made of the potential budgetary and service delivery impact to Birmingham of the government's proposals to release prisoners early and what representations have you made to the government regarding this?

Answer:

Since government approved a Statutory Instrument to reduce the time those sentenced to eligible Standard Determinate Sentences (SDS) serve in prison from 50% to 40% of that sentence (SDS40 for shorthand), officers have been liaising with the Home Office, Prison and Probation services. This has included an understanding of process, numbers and impact about which representations have been made.

Areas of impact have been identified including Housing, Community Safety, Adult Social Care and Treatment Services. In addition, the voluntary and community organisations that provide support.

An internal Continuity of Care Task and Finish Group has been established and is meeting regularly. This will feed into a Birmingham Community Safety Partnership Continuity of Care Task and Finish Group meeting and will also identify any lessons learnt from SDS40 as well as examine prison release pathway/s and associated support. A SITREP meeting is scheduled for actual release days. Learning from tranche 1 will be picked up and fed back to address before tranche 2.

The Birmingham Community Safety Partnership's Reducing Offending Board is meeting on 16/09/2024. A key focus of the meeting will be to identify any lessons learnt from tranche 1 in terms of what worked well and any areas that require attention to inform measures for tranche 2 of early release of prisoners in October 2024.

In budgetary terms, it must be recognised that these individuals would have been released anyway, the change to SDS brings that release date forward. The numbers now cited for Birmingham are relatively low as a proportion of overall service demands.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING AND HOMELESSNESS FROM COUNCILLOR BRUCE LINES

“Gas and Electric Safety Certificates”

Question:

How many council properties don't have a a) gas safety certificate from within the last 12 months and b) an electrical safety certificate from within the last 5 years

Answer:

Based on current records, there are 60 households who have an overdue gas safety check. We are 99.87% compliant around gas safety. Based on current records, there are 60 households who have an overdue gas safety check. We are 99.87% compliant around gas safety.

Electrical safety is an area of challenge, and this was picked up in the regulatory breach notice issued in May 2023. The service has improved the electrical safety position, reporting compliance of 86.76%, with 7720 households overdue an electrical safety check.

This is an increase of just over 30% since May 2023. Electrical safety is an area whereby the Council are implementing certificates into a new software system to assure ourselves on the data we hold. Until the implementation is completed, we cannot be fully assured on the data we hold in relation to electrical safety and intend to have this process finalised by December 2024.

Electrical safety is an area of challenge, and this was picked up in the regulatory breach notice issued in May 2023. The service has improved the electrical safety position, reporting compliance of 86.76%, with 7720 households overdue an electrical safety check.

This is an increase of just over 30% since May 2023. Electrical safety is an area whereby the Council are implementing certificates into a new software system to assure ourselves on the data we hold. Until the implementation is completed, we cannot be fully assured on the data we hold in relation to electrical safety and intend to have this process finalised by December 2024.

H7

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING AND HOMELESSNESS FROM COUNCILLOR DARIUS SANDHU

“Perry Barr Village Estate Agency costs”

Question:

On 25 August 2023, the Council entered into a £400,000 contract with Connells Estate Agents for the disposal of properties on Plot 9 of Perry Barr Village, how much of this has been paid and how much is still owed to Connells, now that the council has decided to appropriate Plot 9 into the HRA?

Answer:

The Council has not paid any monies to Connells for this contract, and the contract has now been terminated.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING AND HOMELESSNESS FROM COUNCILLOR DEIRDRE ALDEN

“Perry Barr Village contracts”

Question:

Please list details of all contracts the council has entered into for estate agency or housing management services (e.g. management of sinking funds and repairs) for the Perry Barr Regeneration Scheme, including start and end date of the contract, total value, total paid to date, total still owed, purpose and current status (i.e. if still needed given changes to the disposal plans for the site)?

Answer:

The Council has entered into the following contracts for estate agency services:

Connells Estate Agents were instructed to sell homes as part of the Government’s First Homes Pilot. The contract commenced in Sept 2023 and has now ended and the Council has not incurred any cost as part of this contract.

Avison Young have been appointed as the sales and marketing consultants for the sale of the plots on the residential development. including both the developed and undeveloped plots. The contract commenced in June 2020 and continues until the scheme is sold.

The Council has entered into a contract with Acivico Group to provide facilities management services to maintain the developed plots and ensure all appropriate maintenance services are provided. At the point the developed plots are disposed of, the services of Acivico will no longer be required.

Due to the commercially sensitive nature of the contractual appointments, financial information cannot be provided.

H9

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**PLEASE NOTE WRITTEN QUESTION H9 - HAS NOW BEEN
REDIRECTED TO F24 – CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE**

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING AND HOMELESSNESS FROM COUNCILLOR EWAN MACKEY

“Prisoner Release scheme”

Question:

How many prisoners released under the government’s early release scheme, has the government informed the council will be housed in Birmingham and will any council properties be used for this purpose?

Answer:

On 5 September 2024, the Regional Probation Director for the West Midlands, wrote to Local Authorities in the region to update on the early release programme. Government approved a Statutory Instrument to reduce the time those sentenced to eligible Standard Determinate Sentences (SDS) serve in prison from 50% to 40% of that sentence (SDS40 for shorthand).

The retrospective changes will have two commencement dates for two tranches - SDS40 applies to those serving eligible sentences under 5 years from 10 September 2024 (tranche 1) and will apply to other eligible prisoners (5 years or more) from 22 October 2024 (tranche 2). A proportion of these prisoners will be due for immediate release on the two commencement dates.

The table below was included setting out the numbers expected for the two tranches related to Birmingham. In total 106 individuals are recorded for Birmingham, while 27 of those are included under Solihull as well.

Probation region	PDU	Police force area	Local Authority area	Total number of expected tranche 1 prison releases	Total number of expected tranche 2 prison releases
West Midlands Region	Birmingham Central and South	West Midlands	Birmingham	20	36
West Midlands Region	Birmingham Courts and Centralised Functions	West Midlands	Birmingham	12	11

West Midlands Region	Birmingham North, East and Solihull	West Midlands	Solihull Birmingham West Midlands	11	16
----------------------	-------------------------------------	---------------	---	----	----

These figures do not guarantee that those individuals will be housed in Birmingham. At the same time, Birmingham cannot be assured that individuals released in other areas will not be accommodated in Birmingham.

There may be Birmingham City Council tenants being released and returning to their homes and there may be household members of Birmingham City Council tenants who will occupy Council housing in Birmingham. There is no plan to specifically use Council homes for the accommodation of individuals released through this scheme.

H11

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING AND HOMELESSNESS FROM COUNCILLOR ADAM HIGGS

“Housing maintenance changes”

Question:

What changes have been made to maintenance standards in council housing communal areas (e.g. cleaning, grass cutting etc.) in the last 4 years?

Answer:

There have been no changes to maintenance standards in council housing communal areas in the last 4 years.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING AND HOMELESSNESS FROM COUNCILLOR DAVID PEARS

“Housing charges”

Question:

What assessment has been made of the fees and charges for council tenants to account for any reductions in service levels (e.g. maintenance standards) in the last 4 years?

Answer:

Service Charges to Social Housing tenants are set annually at the start of the year based on the budget set for resources and services which are chargeable. This means that annually they are reviewed, and tenants charged according to the increases or decreases of resources allocated to each applicable area.

However, in relation to the example in the question, revenue maintenance and capital stock condition improvement works are not service chargeable, they are funded directly from the annual rental income receive by the HRA. These rent levels are set annually by Cabinet but are capped by government policy. The government’s rent policy aimed for an annual cap to increases from prior year’s rent equivalent to CPI plus 1%. They have, however, set lower caps in several years to mitigate the impact on tenants’ income. These limits have led to the HRA having limited resources to invest in all the areas we would want to regarding our existing and planned new stock.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

11

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR SOCIAL JUSTICE,
COMMUNITY SAFETY, AND EQUALITIES FROM COUNCILLOR ROBERT
ALDEN**

“ASB Ombudsman Findings”

Question:

Following the Ombudsman's findings in Case Ref 22 011 865 concerning failure of the council to adequately inform residents about the community trigger process for ASB, what changes has the council made to its literature and its template responses to residents? Please provide a copy of these

Answer:

There have been several changes made to ensure that residents of Birmingham know their rights to request an ASB case review (previously known as Community Trigger) and how to do this. The Community Safety team worked with the nationally recognised ASB organisation Resolve during last year's ASB awareness week, where the focus was specifically on the ASB case reviews and informing residents of their rights. The week long campaign consisted of a range of webinars, stalls, community events and literature being distributed to empower residents on the ASB case review tool.

1. All relevant information can be found on the BCC website, this explains how to make a request, the process and the criteria. This can all be found via the following link - https://www.birmingham.gov.uk/info/20095/antisocial_behaviour_and_neighbour_disputes/1912/anti-social_behaviour_case_review
2. The attached has also been posted on the Birmingham Community Safety Partnership website - <https://www.birmingham-community-safety-partnership.co.uk/get-help/crime-and-anti-social-behaviour/#>
3. Leaflets that have been developed (please see attached), have been provided to our community safety intervention officers to disseminate and have also been placed at some local hubs around Birmingham, including council buildings, libraries and leisure centres. These leaflets have been shared with partners to build their knowledge on the ASB Case Review's so that residents can be advised or referred to the community safety team.
4. ASB Case Reviews will also have a session on this year's ASB week and is regularly discussed at Local Community Safety Partnerships with local professionals.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR SOCIAL JUSTICE, COMMUNITY SAFETY, AND EQUALITIES FROM COUNCILLOR EWAN MACKEY

“ASB council motion”

Question:

In February 2023, a motion of Full Council on ASB agreed to ask the Executive to: -

- **take steps to ensure residents are aware of their statutory right to request a community trigger where ASB issues cannot be resolved,**
- **explore options to reinstate the concierge service,**
- **support the implementation of public space protection orders, and**
- **to use ASB data as an additional criteria in the selection of wards for selective licensing.**

Please outline what specific action has been taken against each of these in the last 18 months?

Answer:

- **take steps to ensure residents are aware of their statutory right to request a community trigger where ASB issues cannot be resolved**

The council's community safety team have taken multiple steps to ensure residents of Birmingham are aware of their statutory right to request a ASB Case Review (formerly known as Community Trigger). The team worked with the nationally recognised ASB organisation Resolve during last year's ASB awareness week, where the focus was specifically on the ASB case reviews and informing residents of their rights. The week long campaign consisted of a range of webinars, stalls, community events and literature being distributed to empower residents on the ASB case review tool. All relevant information can be found on the BCC website.

- **explore options to reinstate the concierge service**

BCC housing has explored options to reinstate the concierge service, however, currently there are no plans to re-instate the service back into housing blocks. BCC housing has

cabinet approval to install monitored CCTV into blocks with a monitored level of support and this programme has started.

- **support the implementation of public space protection orders**

The council continues to support the implementation of Public Space Protection Orders (PSPO) across the city where there is evidence of need. We have introduced two new PSPO's within this year, namely the Soho, Lozells & East Handsworth PSPO and Kings Heath & Moseley PSPO which is due to be finalised imminently. The Community Safety Team are also in the process of reviewing the City Centre and Erdington PSPOs which are coming up for renewal in January 2025.

As part of the review of the City Centre PSPO will be seeking commence consultation (subject to Cabinet Member approval) with the public and interested organisations to seek views, on including additional conditions in areas across the City to prevent other forms of anti social behaviour, such as aggressive begging, street trading, highway obstruction and cycling in high pedestrian areas.

A list of the current PSPO's can be found here along with documentation - [Public space protection orders | Birmingham City Council](#)

- **to use ASB data as an additional criteria in the selection of wards for selective licensing.**

The current selective licensing designation was approved by DLUHC (now MHCLG) and the then Secretary of State on the 5 September 2022 for implementation on the 5 June 2023. Following the motion at Full Council in February 2023 as it was not possible to change the current designation, it was agreed that once it had been operating for a sufficient period we would review its effectiveness and decide on future activity. This review has now taken place and was presented to Licensing and Public Protection Committee on the 11 September before seeking approval from the Cabinet Member for Housing for it to be sent to MHCLG. As the review of the current designation was positive, over the next 12 months we will use a range of data sets (including ASB) which are relevant to making a designation, and have become available since the original designation, to identify if evidence exists to pursue any further designations.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR SOCIAL JUSTICE,
COMMUNITY SAFETY, AND EQUALITIES FROM COUNCILLOR DEBBIE
CLANCY**

“Prisoner Release”

Question:

What assessment has been made of the impact on domestic abuse survivors in Birmingham of the government’s prisoner early release programme, and what actions have been put in place, and representations made to government, to mitigate this?

Answer:

The early release of offenders in prison for domestic abuse connected crimes is excluded. This will include:

- *stalking offences*
- *controlling or coercive behaviours in an intimate or family relationship*
- *non-fatal strangulation and suffocation*
- *breach of restraining order, non-molestation order, and domestic abuse protection order*

However, not all prisoners will have domestic abuse as their “index crime” and may have been released early for another crime.

To mitigate any impact:

- The Children’s Trust have been working closely with Probation to identify and manage any risks to victims
- The Domestic Abuse Board discussed the scheme and mitigations on the 11th September 2024 with key partners across the city
- License conditions will be in place for all offenders released under the scheme,
- Birmingham and Solihull Women’s Aid, who run our commissioned Domestic Abuse Hub, are ready to support any survivors who are impacted or worried by the scheme and information to those experiencing abuse and where to get help has been shared and reinforced

The Birmingham Community Safety Partnership’s Reducing Offending Board is meeting on 19 September 2024. A key focus of the meeting will be to identify any lessons learnt from

tranche 1 in terms of what worked well and any areas that require attention to inform measures for tranche 2 of early release of prisoners in October 2024.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSFORMATION,
GOVERNANCE AND HR FROM COUNCILLOR ALEX YIP**

“DBS Checking”

Question:

In response to very serious safeguarding concerns within the Home to School Transport Service, and the damning Weightmans report that followed, a commitment was made by the previous portfolio holder for HR to bring the DBS checking service back in-house. Please confirm on what date this vital and urgent action was completed following that commitment over 3 years ago?

Answer:

The corporate DBS checks are run in accordance with requirements of the role. All staff within BCC whose role requires either a Basic, Standard or Enhanced check are facilitated via our third-party provider Security Watchdog. As additional assurance any staff who require a Standard or Enhanced check are also required to register for an annual update service.

In CYPTS service contracts between BCC and its Service Providers have been strengthened and each of our Providers are contractually obligated to provide workers with the correct level of DBS when working within Regulated Activity, this being an enhanced DBS check using the Adult and Child workforce with both Adults and Childs barred lists checked. Workers are required to sign up to the DBS Update Service, enabling their employer and/or BCC to conduct checks on the status of the DBS.

For workers where their DBS shows information with convictions and/or cautions, a Dedicated Safeguarding DBS Panel was introduced at BCC with representatives from Safeguarding (DSL) and Compliance team as Panel members.

Each applicant will have to undergo a risk assessment during the Panel process, based on the information provided to deem their suitability to work on CYPTS.

Databases have been created to monitor and maintain comprehensive records of all Service Providers worker details and approval statuses.

J2

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSFORMATION, GOVERNANCE AND HR FROM COUNCILLOR DEBBIE CLANCY

“Gifts and Hospitality”

Question:

Please list all gifts and hospitality declared by a) officers and b) members received from any of the three housing repairs contractors (Fortem, Wates, Equans) since 2016, including the estimated value of these

Answer:

Members:

Councillor	Date	Company	Gift/Hospitality	Amount	Reason for accepting
Jayne Francis	25 June 2024	Equans	Dinner	£50.00	Attending as Cabinet Member Equans are BCC contractor who do the repairs and maintenance on all the council houses. It was a wider event, which took place while they were at Housing Conference 2024.
Roger Harmer	28 June 2022	Wates Group	Dinner	Unknown	To participate in discussion about regeneration

Officers:

Officer	Date	Company	Gift/Hospitality	Amount	Reason for accepting
Phil Ross and others within Asset Management	18 February 2022	Equans	Attendance at National Energy Efficiency Awards Evening - Equans are nominated for an award for works carried out in Birmingham and have asked their client to attend	Unknown	Requested by line manager and promotes Birmingham's investment in major projects and energy saving at National Awards

Thomas Jewkes	08 March 2022	Equans	They provided snacks, tea/coffee and paid for lunch with a drink	£27.00 (estimated)	This was a council meeting to allow the council to discuss, or to continue to discuss, business with an organisation for the East contract next year. This was by invitation.
Wayne Davies, Asset Management Dewan Pervez Choudhury Nick Thomas	12 June 2024	Equans	ASCP Award Event - Equans submission was specifically around the Equans/Birmingham City Council Contract and concentrated on the following topics: Retrofit & Keeping residents safe and warm, Exceptional safety, Happy residents and less complaints & Superior gas compliance.	£1,401.00	Contractor support and recognition.
Darren Beazley, Senior Service Manager	25 June 2024	Fortem	Hospitality of Food & Drinks offered as part of Housing 2024 in Manchester.	£90.00	This hospitality has been declined by Darren Beazley.
Paul Langford	25 June 2024	Equans	Dinner	£50.00	Networking conference for BCC and attended with Cllr Jayne Francis. Equans are BCC contractor who do the repairs and maintenance on all the council houses. It was a wider event, which took place while they were at Housing Conference 2024.

J3

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSFORMATION,
GOVERNANCE AND HR FROM COUNCILLOR DARIUS SANDHU**

“Delegated Decisions”

Question:

How many officer delegated decisions were taken in each month since May 2022, and how many of these were published online. Broken down by department

Answer:

Unfortunately, given the time available, it has not been possible to respond fully to this question as the records are not all held centrally.

The need for greater accessibility and transparency to decision making, at all levels, is fully recognised. This is set out in detail via the Governance and Decision Making Workstream of the Council’s Improvement and Recovery Plan. This sets out work to update the Officer Scheme of Delegations, which will also look at ways to increase the visibility of decisions, taken by officers under delegated powers.

Such decisions can be found via [CMIS > Committee > Executive > Chief Officer](#)

J4

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSFORMATION,
GOVERNANCE AND HR FROM COUNCILLOR RON STORER**

“Job Evaluation”

Question:

Please provide the latest position on the job evaluation programme, including current forecast date the new scheme will be in place, the total number of roles to be evaluated, the number evaluated to date, the number of evaluations challenged by trade unions

Answer:

The Equal Pay programme is still working towards 1 April 2025, and holding the dates committed for the delivery of the overall programme as set out in the Addendum agreed in October 2023. The total number of agreed benchmark roles is c.200 (including Birmingham Children’s Trust and Schools) and the job information phase of the programme is working on getting these agreed and evaluated.

As of 10 September 2024, a total of 52 evaluations have been completed and a large proportion of the remaining roles are on schedule for evaluation. These evaluations are needed to validate the proposed pay and grading models that will accompany the job evaluation process. In time, all job roles in BCC will be re-evaluated. The number of evaluations challenged will crystallise during the moderation process with the Trade Unions as agreed as part of the process.

J5

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSFORMATION,
GOVERNANCE AND HR FROM COUNCILLOR DAVID PEARS**

“Job Evaluation milestones”

Question:

The milestone for evaluating benchmarked roles for the Equal Pay Programme was set as 31 May 2024, a milestone members were told was on track in February. An all-staff email has now confirmed a new deadline of 30 September - 4 months late - please provide a copy of all other milestones within the programme and an update on delivery against each of these

Answer:

The Equal Pay programme is working towards a new job evaluation and pay and grading structure to be in place for 1 April 2025, as was agreed in the Addendum of October 2023.

The delay to benchmark role evaluation was reported at April's Equal Pay Board which triggered the programme's change control protocols. Mitigation options were developed and presented to the Board, who gave programme direction to proceed with the new baselined plan on the basis that it is still on track to deliver the overall programme requirements by April 2025. The updated process and milestones have been agreed with the Equal Pay Board, together with all mitigations to ensure that the programme's objectives are delivered. There are no other programme slippages currently being reported.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSFORMATION,
GOVERNANCE AND HR FROM COUNCILLOR CLIFTON WELCH**

“Childcare vouchers”

Question:

What was the 'problem in the finance and logistics chain' that meant this month, for the second time in less than a year, staff were not paid childcare vouchers on time?

Answer:

The problem being described is due to a manual intervention that is required to ensure payment. Every month two invoices are received by the organisation for Childcare Vouchers that have to be sent on to accounts payable. These have to be processed individually. One invoice is for the childcare voucher order value (which is VAT exempt), and the other invoice is for the service provider fees associated with that order which includes VAT.

In August, our childcare voucher provider told us that they had not received payment for the childcare voucher order. It seems that the manual process had failed, and only one of the two invoices had been sent for payment and marked as 'goods received' this was human error and was immediately corrected when noticed.

We ensured that this was credited to accounts for those impacted by 30th August 2024. Further compliance checks will not be added to the manual process to limit any further risk of reoccurrence.

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSFORMATION,
GOVERNANCE AND HR FROM COUNCILLOR GARETH MOORE****“Job Evaluation budget”****Question:****Please provide the latest position on the budget for the equal pay/job evaluation programme, including total approved budget, total spend to date, current forecast spend.****Answer:**

Approved Equal Pay budget Financial Year (FY) 24/25:	£13.3m
Spend to date:	£2.7m
Current forecasted spend 24/25:	£12.62m

This leaves a contingency of circa £700k against allocated budget.

Actual spend to date is less than anticipated, however we have now had approval to recruit additional roles to facilitate the New Pay & Grading structure, stabilisation of HR data within the Oracle system and contract implementation for this financial year.

Mercer has now been engaged to provide independent modelling and benchmarking salaries against the rest of West Midlands for our NJC colleagues. No costs have been incurred yet due to contract sign off being delayed whilst clarifying legal questions. The cost of providing this service is included in the forecast for the remainder of the financial year.

Approval has also been initially approved for work to begin on JNC Pay & Grading. This is currently ready to go out to tender under Procurement. We anticipate these costs to fall within this financial year.

J8

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSFORMATION,
GOVERNANCE AND HR FROM COUNCILLOR DAVID PEARS**

“Apprenticeship Levy”

Question:

Since April 2017, in each year how much unspent Apprenticeship Levy has been returned (or is due to be returned) to the Treasury?

Answer:

As a Council we have returned £9.4m in total of the Apprenticeship Levy from 2017 to date.

We have been mitigating this by:

- Building an Early Careers team to develop and deliver a strategy for Apprenticeships
- Procuring trusted provider contracts to go out to our Schools for promotion of the levy to support new entrants for growth and upskilling along with Directorate career pathways.
- Offering upskilling opportunities to colleagues for key skills and gaps that we require as a business. For example: Leadership, Project Management and Data Analysis Apprenticeships to grow competencies internally.
- Launched our ‘Levy Transfer Scheme’ in 2023 to support our SME businesses within the surrounding area. Supported fully funded apprenticeships for 107 SME businesses, 336 apprentice candidates across 57 different career pathways. Totalling 2.84m planned spend. April 2024 we can transfer up to 50% of our levies to support our region.

K1

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**PLEASE NOTE WRITTEN QUESTION K1 - HAS NOW BEEN
REDIRECTED TO B10 – DEPUTY LEADER**

K2

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**PLEASE NOTE WRITTEN QUESTION K2 - HAS NOW BEEN
REDIRECTED TO B11 – DEPUTY LEADER**

K3

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**PLEASE NOTE WRITTEN QUESTION K3 - HAS NOW BEEN
REDIRECTED TO B12 – DEPUTY LEADER**

K4

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**PLEASE NOTE WRITTEN QUESTION K4 - HAS NOW BEEN
REDIRECTED TO B13 – DEPUTY LEADER**

K5

CITY COUNCIL – 17 SEPTEMBER 2024

**PLEASE NOTE WRITTEN QUESTION K5 - HAS NOW BEEN
REDIRECTED TO B14 – DEPUTY LEADER**