Members are reminded that they must declare all relevant pecuniary and nonpecuniary interests relating to any items of business to be discussed at this meeting

BIRMINGHAM CITY COUNCIL

LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE C

WEDNESDAY, 04 JANUARY 2017 AT 09:30 HOURS IN COMMITTEE ROOM 1, COUNCIL HOUSE, VICTORIA SQUARE, BIRMINGHAM, B1 1BB

AGENDA

1 NOTICE OF RECORDING

Chairman to advise meeting to note that members of the press/public may record and take photographs except where there are confidential or exempt items.

2 APOLOGIES AND NOTIFICATION OF NOMINEE MEMBERS

3 - 18 ³ <u>MINUTES</u>

To confirm and sign the Minutes of the Meetings held on 22 June 2016 and 7 December 2016.

4LICENSING ACT 2003 PREMISES LICENCE – GRANT CITY BARGAINS,
235 – 237 LOZELLS ROAD, LOZELLS, BIRMINGHAM, B19 1RJ

Report of the Acting Director of Regulation and Enforcement. N.B. Application scheduled to be heard at 09:30am on Wednesday 4 January 2017

5 OTHER URGENT BUSINESS

To consider any items of business by reason of special circumstances (to be specified) that in the opinion of the Chairman are matters of urgency.

BIRMINGHAM CITY COUNCIL

LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE C 22 JUNE 2016

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE C HELD ON WEDNESDAY 22 JUNE 2016 AT 1000 HOURS IN COMMITTEE ROOM 1, COUNCIL HOUSE, BIRMINGHAM

PRESENT: - Councillor Alex Buchanan in the Chair;

Councillors Mike Leddy and Neil Eustace.

ALSO PRESENT:

David Kennedy – Licensing Section Sanjeev Bhopal – Legal Services Gwin Pountney – Committee Services.

NOTICE OF RECORDING

01/220616 The Chairman advised the meeting that members of the press/public may record and take photographs except where there are confidential or exempt items.

APOLOGIES AND NOTIFICATION OF NOMINEE MEMBERS

02/220616 No apologies were submitted.

APPOINTMENT OF SUB-COMMITTEE

03/220616 The appointment by the City Council of the Sub-committee and Chairman for the Municipal Year 2016/2017 was noted.

It was further noted that Members of the Sub-Committee may nominate another Member of their respective Party Group to attend in their place.

DELEGATIONS TO SUB-COMMITTEE

04/220616 To note the delegations to the Sub-Committee as follows:-

To determine matters relating to the Licensing Act 2003, the Gambling Act 2005, hackney carriage licences and private hire licences and such business as may be referred by the Director of Regulation and Enforcement.

DATES OF MEETINGS

05/220616 To note the Sub-Committee will meet on Wednesdays at 1000 hours, subject to business.

<u>LICENSING ACT 2003 PREMISES LICENCE – GRANT THE MONASTERY, 173</u> – 175 DIGBETH HIGH STREET, DERITEND, BIRMINGHAM,B12 0LD

The following reports of the Director of Regulation and Enforcement were submitted:-

(See Document No. 1)

The following persons attended the meeting.

On behalf of the Premises Licence Holder

Mr A Curtis – Solicitor representing the Premises Licence Holder Mr H Chauhan – Premises Licence Holder

On behalf those making representations

Mr A Mroczkowski – Licensing Officer, West Midlands Police PC B Reader – West Midlands Police

Following introductions by the Chairman, David Kennedy, Licensing Section, made introductory comments relating to the report. He indicated that West Midlands Police had submitted a further document entitled 'Police Evidence Bundle – The Monastery'.

(See Document No. 2)

David Kennedy continued that the applicant had submitted further documentation in the form of an Email dated 15 June 2016 containing a plan, noise control measures, operational plan, detail of TENs and a fly posting contract.

(See Document No. 3)

Mr Curtis and Mr Chauhan in presenting the Premises Licence Holder's case made the following points:-

- a) Mr Chauhan had purchased the building when it was in a derelict state following a fire and had invested significantly to return the building to its former glory in conjunction with heritage organisations.
- b) The intention was to use the building as a nightclub.
- c) A lot pre planning and project management had taken place including discussions with Environmental Health. Site meetings with them also took place, the Fire Service and West Midlands Police.

- d) Whilst there was a large external area the application was for the internal space only with the second floor of the building not been used.
- e) Reference in the Police bundle to the Q Club was not an appropriate comparison as the Q Club was a 2,000 capacity venue under Review and the current application was for the grant for a venue with a capacity of 400 -600. The Premises License Holder had learnt from the issues at the Q Club and had a history of working closely with Responsible Authorities.
- f) It was intended to operate the premises as a late club with party events until 0400 hours in the morning three times a week. The premises would not be open more than 106 times a year.
- g) With reference to the time in the second condition on page 19 of the documentation the Premises Licence Holder was agreeable to it becoming 0600 hours.
- h) Within the supplementary documentation provided were details of TENs under which the premises had operated. This demonstrated the premises could operate to 0500 and 0800 hours without any issues. It was noted that no conditions were attached to the TENs
- i) Following the issues at the Q Club the DPS had been changed and the security company at The Monastery was that used at the Q Club.
- j) Reference was made to a statement from an owner of a business at an adjacent unit which indicated that the premises at 173 – 175 Digbeth High Street had been derelict and had attracted drug dealing and squatters. It had now been restored to its former glory and there had been no issues with the clientele using the premises. The business owner was supportive of the application. (Representatives of West Midlands Police noted that the statement had not been shared with them but were happy for it to be read out)
- k) It was noted that the Police had the power to close the premises immediately and the Premises Licence Holder was aware of this.
- I) The Premises Licence Holder was offering to limit the number of times the premises were used and the police veto for events after 0600 hours.

In response to questions from Members of the Sub-Committee, Mr Curtis and Mr Chauhan made the following points:-

- a) Mr Chauhan had been the Premises Licence Holder when the premises had operated under TENs and was the sole Director of Soundscape Limited.
- b) For the event on 1 May 2016 proposed to be held under a TEN an objection had been received from the Police and in addition the application had not been submitted within 5 working days of the event. The TENs were for 499 capacity but had used all floors of the premises whereas the

application for the grant of the licence was for the ground and first floors of the premises following discussions with Environmental Health and Heritage people on this.

- c) The proposal to brick up the windows on the building and not use double glazing was because of objections from Environmental Health that public nuisance would be caused.
- d) The evidence bundle submitted alleged flyposting and the use of drugs on the premises but the Premises Licence Holder had submitted the fly posting contract and the operational plan which confirmed the premises' drug policy. Should the premises be notified of any flyposting advertising an event to be held at the premises then the promoters would be asked to remove it within 48 hours.

In presenting the representations of the police, Mr Mroczkowski and PC Reader made the following points:-

- a) The policy around flyposting was historic and had not been adhered to.
- b) The positive endorsement from the adjoining business should not be given too much weight.
- c) There could be no control on the changes in Directorship of the company.
- d) The issues highlighted by the review of the Q club included poor management and failings and the Police felt that any conditions on the licence if granted would not be adhered to.
- e) In the Police evidence bundle there is a report from CSAW, an independent compliance company relating to the Q club. The report highlighted a number of weak areas around safety management and the need for a revised management structure. In addition page 6 of the evidence was an email to PC Demuth setting out the conditions on the Q Club licence which were of concern to the police with the reasons for those concerns. The Police felt that the same management that ran the Q club would not operate the Monastery any better.
- f) The pictures of drugs use and flyposting on the Instagram page are now out of the public domain.

Mr Mroczkowski and PC Reader further commented on particular issues as follows:

- a) Capacity was set by the Fire service and there was no reason for the Premises Licence Holder to be in doubt about capacity.
- b) Opening 106 times a year was every weekend. West Midlands Police had been expecting a lower figure
- c) With regard to the Police veto, the concession of 30 minutes was negligible.

- d) There was no pub watch scheme condition.
- e) Sound checks needed to have a documented audit trail.
- f) In addition with reference to the conditions modified for the Q club on page 2 of the bundle the police noted that there was no need to change the door company, the noise limiter would be managed by Environmental Health, all policies and procedures should be sent to the Police, robust risk assessments should be undertaken for recurring events and these should be undertaken 28 days before an event.

In response to questions from Members of the Sub-Committee, Mr Mroczkowski and PC Reader confirmed that risk assessments needed to be sent to the Police in a timely manner with an intelligence led deployment plan as they were very important. The images on pages 13, 14 and 15 of the evidence bundle were from an Instagram page of a DJ who held events at the Monastery but the premises needed a management plan to engage with DJs and promoters to deal with these type of issues. 'Void' was a reference to the premises own event.

In summing up, PC Reader indicated that the premises were responsible for the 'Void' event which had a reputation for a place for drugs. The Police would like to see the hours, policies and procedures amending. Once put in place then evidence was needed to ensure that they were being complied with on an ongoing basis otherwise the premises would be before the Sub-Committee in the near future.

In summing up, Mr Curtis emphasised that the evidence from the Police had all related to the Q club whereas the Monastery had held events under TENs without any problems. The DJ cannot control what advertising on his own page. In addition Environmental Health had had not objected to the licence being granted.

There was no reason why the premises could not open every weekend as other venues did and for later hours. The premises were happy to undertake risk assessments but had concerns re the power of veto for the police which could be abused by them. The Police had to have a certificate for Expedited Reviews for this reason.

The application should be considered on its own merits with appropriate evidence. The premises had no issue working with the Fire Service about the capacity of the premises. The operational plan could be improved re the drugs policy. The premises would look in to the issue of flyposting and have contracts with DJ around images on social media. It was noted that the Police had not advised the premises of these images. Regular sound checks would be documented.

The premises would also hold corporate events, advertorial programmes and children's events.

The premises had worked with Environmental Health, the Police and members of the local community. The owner of Soundscape Bars and Clubs Limited was not the Designated Premises Supervisor (DPS) but just part of the management

team. The DPS had been a Personal Licence Holder for 5 years having worked in premises in Gas Street. The licence should therefore be granted with the extra condition as suggested.

At 1140 hours the Sub-Committee adjourned and the Chairman requested that all present, with the exception of the Members, the Committee Lawyer and the Committee Manager withdraw from the meeting.

After an adjournment, all parties were recalled to the meeting at 1347 hours and the decision of the Sub-Committee was announced as follows:-

06/220616 **<u>RESOLVED</u>**:-

That the application by Soundscape Bars and Clubs Limited for a premises licence in respect of The Monastery, 173 – 175 Digbeth High Street, Deritend, Birmingham, B12 0LD be granted subject to the following conditions to promote the prevention of crime and disorder and the prevention of public nuisance objectives in the Act:

A.	Modification of hours – Supply of Alcohol and regulated entertainment	The hours for the supply of alcohol and provision of regulated entertainment shall apply as follows:
		Sunday to Thursday 1000 hours to 0200 hours
		Friday and Saturday 1000 hours to 0500 hours
		Non Standard Hours in respect of Statutory Bank Holidays and New Year's Eve.
		1000 hours to 0500 hours
В.	Modification of hours – Late night refreshment	The hours for the provision of late night refreshment shall apply as follows:
		Sunday to Thursday 2300 hours to 0200 hours
		Friday and Saturday 2300 hours to 0500 hours
		Non Standard Hours in respect of Statutory Bank Holidays and New Year's Eve
		2300 hours to 0500 hours
C.	Opening hours	The premises to remain open to the public as follows:
		Sunday to Thursday
	Page 8/	-of 40

·		
		1000 hours to 0200 hours
		Friday and Saturday 1000 hours to 0500 hours
		Non Standard Hours in respect of Statutory Bank Holidays and New Year's Eve
		1000 hours to 0500 hours
D.	Noise limiter	The premises licence holder must consult with Environmental Health of Birmingham City Council to establish the need for a noise limiter at the premises. If in the opinion of Environmental Health, a limiter is required, this must be calibrated at a level set by Environmental Health, Birmingham City Council.
E.	Premises Covered under the Licence	The licensed premises will be restricted to the First Floor within the Plan submitted with the premises application. The Ground floor and Second floor as set out within the submitted application will not be used for any licensable activities.
F.	(Other conditions if offered or agreed by applicant)	The condition agreed with Environmental Health of Birmingham City Council will be amended as follows:
		The First floor area will not be used for Regulated Entertainment until such time as adequate mitigation measures are undertaken to a standard agreed by Birmingham City Council Environmental Health so as not to cause a public nuisance.
G.	Policies and Procedures	BEFORE the premises undertake any licensable activities, the premises licence holder will undertake a thorough review of ALL the premises' policies and procedures, particularly those that relate to the deployment of security personnel, incident handling, health and safety of patrons, staff, and other users of the building where the premises are located, fire safety and risk assessments of all types of events the premises intend to hold, as well as training for all staff on the promotion of the four licensing objectives. [It is a matter for the Premises Licence as to who should be engaged to carry out this review for or on behalf of the licence holder, but this should be
1		an appropriately qualified person or

organisation that has relevant experience within this field having regard to obligations set out above.]
All evidence relating to the review should be retained and made available to West Midlands Police, Licensing Section, and any other Responsible Authority upon request within 48 hours.
Risk Assessments for all events MUST be disclosed to West Midlands Police at least 28 days before any event, unless in the opinion of the West Midlands Police, Licensing Section, the premises hold a regular or recurring event for which there is already a robust Risk Assessment in place.
West Midlands Police, Licensing Section may exercise a power of veto for any proposed event, where they are of the opinion that any of the licensing objectives have been or are likely to be breached

The Sub-Committee's reasons for imposing these conditions are due to the submissions made by West Midlands Police regarding the management of the proposed operation and to a lesser extent the location of the venue, which is within close proximity of residential properties.

The Sub-Committee were mindful that each application is determined on its individual merits and were careful to have regard only to those matters which related to the proposed premises and the proper promotion of the licensing objectives, as well as the matters set out below.

However, they were apprehensive that West Midlands Police had expressed concerns over the suitability the Premises Licence Holder and proposed Designated Premises Supervisor ("DPS") ability to properly promote the licensing objectives. The Sub-Committee had heard unchallenged representations from West Midlands Police that the Director of the applicant Company was closely associated with the management of another licensed premises which had been subject to Review Proceedings. During the course of these proceedings, West Midlands Police had referred to various management failings and non-compliance with licensing conditions.

The Sub-Committee had been informed by West Midlands Police that they were not objecting to the Grant of the application in principle, but they did continue to hold concerns over the ability of the applicant company's, Sole Director/Member and proposed DPS to properly promote the Licensing Objectives, unless the licence included the imposition of the conditions referred to above.

In light of the concerns expressed by West Midlands Police, the Sub-Committee also considered it was appropriate to modify the hours of operation; the hours of licensable activities and the extent of the premises, as referred to above.

The Sub-Committee considers the conditions imposed to be appropriate, reasonable and proportionate to address concerns raised.

In addition to the above conditions, those matters detailed in the operating schedule (insofar as they are not inconsistent with the Conditions referred to above) and the relevant mandatory conditions under the Licensing Act 2003 will form part of the licence issued.

In reaching this decision, the Sub-Committee has given due consideration to the City Council's Statement of Licensing Policy, the Guidance issued under Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003 by the Secretary of State, the information in the application, the written representations received and the submissions made at the hearing by the applicant, their legal adviser and those making representations.

All parties are reminded that under the provisions contained within Schedule 5 to the Licensing Act 2003, there is the right of appeal against the decision of the Licensing Authority to the Magistrates' Court, such an appeal to be made within twenty-one days of the date of notification of the decision.

GAMBLING ACT 2005 VARIATION OF A LICENSED PREMISES GAMING MACHINE PERMIT YATES, 15 – 19 BIRMINGHAM ROAD, SUTTON COLDFIELD, B72 1QA

The following report of the Director of Regulation and Enforcement were submitted:-

(See Document No. 4)

David Kennedy, Licensing Section, made introductory comments relating to the report.

Having considered the application it was-

07/220616 **<u>RESOLVED</u>**:-

That the application by Stonegate Pub Company Ltd, for a Licensed Premises Gaming Machine Permit in respect of Yates, 15 – 19 Birmingham Road, Sutton Coldfield, B72 1QA be granted.

The Sub Committee deliberated the application, including policies and procedures, put forward by the applicant and the likely impact of the application, and concluded that by granting this application, the three Licensing Objectives contained in the Act will be properly promoted.

In reaching this decision, the Sub-Committee has given due consideration to the City Council's Statement of Licensing Principles, the Guidance issued under Section 25 of the Gambling Act 2005 by the Commission, the application for the

variation of a Licensed Premises Gaming Machine Permit, and the findings of the Licensing Enforcement Department (who carried out an inspection of the premises on 9th May 2016, and identified no matters for concern in relation to the existing gaming machines being made available for use, and stated they had no concerns if the Licensing Sub-Committee were minded to grant the application).

OTHER URGENT BUSINESS

08/220616 No items of other urgent business were submitted.

The meeting ended at 1405 hours.

CHAIRPERSON

BIRMINGHAM CITY COUNCIL

LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE C 7 DECEMBER 2016

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE C HELD ON WEDNESDAY, 7 DECEMBER 2016 AT 0930 HOURS, IN COMMITTEE ROOM 1, COUNCIL HOUSE, BIRMINGHAM

PRESENT: - Councillor Alex Buchanan in the Chair;

Councillors Neil Eustace and Mike Leddy.

ALSO PRESENT

David Kennedy – Licensing Section Joanne Swampillai (accompanied by an observer) – Legal Services Paul Holden – Committee Services

NOTICE OF RECORDING

1/071216 The meeting was advised that members of the press/public may record and take photographs except where there were confidential or exempt items.

MINUTES

The Minutes of the meeting held on 9 November were included with the agenda papers and the Minutes from 4 November 2016 circulated to Members at the meeting.

2/071216 **RESOLVED**

That the Minutes of the meetings held on 4 and 9 November 2016 be confirmed and signed.

GAMBLING ACT 2005 VARIATION OF A LICENSED PREMISES GAMING MACHNINE PERMIT – BOTTLE OF SACK, BIRMINGHAM ROAD, SUTTON COLDFIELD, B72 1DD

The following report of the Acting Director of Regulation and Enforcement was submitted:-

(See document No. 1)

The Applicant

Colin Lovell - Area Manager

The Chairman introduced the Members and officers present and explained the hearing procedure.

David Kennedy, Licensing Section, outlined the main points of the report.

The applicant advised the Sub-Committee that the proposal was to replace an old entertainment quiz with prizes machine with a Category C Gaming Machine at the location opposite the bar shown (by a question mark) on the ground floor plan of the premises, included with the application form (Appendix 1).

In response to questions from Members of the Sub-Committee, the following were amongst points made by the applicant:-

- 1. It was acknowledged that no first floor plan of the premises had been provided and explained that the fourth existing Category C Gaming Machine was located by the kitchen area on that floor where there was a lot of staff activity. The Gaming Machines in the premises were also covered by CCTV.
- 2. Members were advised that there were general information notices for people who needed help overcoming gambling addiction and leaflets available that were issued by the suppliers of the Gaming Machines. Staff also watched to see if anyone appeared to be addicted to gambling, although no instances had been observed.
- 3. Another Gaming Machine had been applied for because they were a good source of income.
- 4. He indicated that in addition to protecting children he viewed other vulnerable persons as being those who relied on and were addicted to gambling.
- 5. The Sub-Committee was informed by the applicant that no specific Refusals Log was kept to record any problem use of the Gaming Machines.
- 6. Managers and Team Leaders walked around the premises and would notice if an individual was addicted to gambling and moving from one Gaming Machine to another. It was reiterated that the Gaming Machine on the first floor was by the kitchen area.
- 7. Further to a query regarding what he considered would be too many Gaming Machines the applicant commented that 5 was the maximum that he had seen in similar premises.
- 8. Members were advised that each Gaming Machine made about £100 a week.

In summing-up, the applicant also added that income from the entertainment machine had fallen because people now used their smartphones.

At 1021 hours the Sub-Committee adjourned and the Chairman requested that all present, with the exception of the Members, the Committee Lawyer and the Committee Manager withdraw from the meeting.

All parties were recalled to the meeting at 1042 hours and the decision of the Sub-Committee was announced.

3/071216 **RESOLVED**:-

That the application by JD Wetherspoon Plc, for the variation of a Licensed Premises Gaming Machine Permit in respect of Bottle of Sack, Birmingham Road, Sutton Coldfield, B72 1DD be refused.

In reaching this decision, the Sub-Committee was mindful of the promotion of the Licensing Objectives in the Act, particularly protecting children <u>and other vulnerable persons</u> from being harmed or exploited by gambling.

The Sub-Committee's reasons for refusing this application for a premises licence are due to concerns regarding the impact of the proposed operation, and in particular the management of the machines.

The Sub-Committee carefully considered the application, including policies and procedures, put forward by the applicant, and also considered the likely impact of the application. However the Sub-Committee was not persuaded that the applicant or proposed operation of the premises were capable of promoting the Licensing Objective of protecting vulnerable people from harm or exploitation. The proposed removal of an entertainment game, to replace it with a gambling game, would be likely to attract vulnerable people. The Members noticed that a cluster of machines were sited together, and although the applicant stated that staff monitor the use of the machines, which are also covered by CCTV, the Members were not convinced that suitable arrangements were in place. They noted in particular that there is no Refusals Log to record problem use of the machines.

The Sub-Committee gave consideration to whether any measures could be taken to ensure that the three licensing objectives were adequately promoted and that therefore the Licensed Premises Gaming Machine Permit could be granted; however Members considered that in general the application as it stood was not satisfactory given the management arrangements regarding the machines.

In reaching this decision, the Sub-Committee has given due consideration to the City Council's Statement of Licensing Principles, the Guidance issued under Section 25 of the Gambling Act 2005 by the Commission, the application for a Licensed Premises Gaming Machine Permit, the Report of the City Council's Licensing Enforcement Team, and the Page 15 of 40

submissions made at the hearing by the applicant.

All parties are reminded that under the provisions contained within Schedule 13 to the Gambling Act 2005, the applicant has the right of appeal against the decision of the Licensing Authority to the Magistrates' Court, such an appeal to be made within twenty-one days of the date of notification of the decision.

GAMBLING ACT 2005 VARIATION OF A LICENSED PREMISES GAMING MACHNINE PERMIT – HORNET, 991 ALUM ROCK ROAD, WASHWOOD HEATH, B8 2LZ

The following report of the Acting Director of Regulation and Enforcement was submitted:-

(See document No. 2)

The Applicant

Annmarie Gee – Designated Premises Supervisor

The Chairman introduced the Members and officers present and explained the hearing procedure.

David Kennedy, Licensing Section, outlined the main points of the report and also highlighted that the fourth paragraph on the second page was inaccurate as the current Gaming Machine Permit allowed 5 Category C Gaming Machines.

The applicant informed the Members that the premises were small and that the application had been made as a business case. It was pointed out that the proposed sixth Gaming Machine would be visible from the bar. Furthermore, no problems had arisen in respect of the existing Gaming Machines during the 18 months she'd been the licensee of the premises.

In response to questions from Members of the Sub-Committee, the following were amongst comments made by the applicant:-

- 1. Members were advised that the existing 5 Gaming Machines made from about £2,500 £3,000 per week.
- 2. The applicant informed the Sub-Committee that she regarded vulnerable people as being children and young people under 18 years of age and people with drink problems.
- 3. Clients of the public house did not have to queue in order to be able to use one of the existing Gaming Machines.

- 4. The Gaming Machines were popular and a sixth Gaming Machine had been applied for to see if income from them could be increased.
- 5. It was highlighted by the Chair that it was not only children and young people who were vulnerable to gambling and that during consideration of the previous case the applicant had commented that 5 Gaming Machines was the maximum he'd seen in similar premises. In response to a question, the applicant informed Members that the proposed sixth Gaming Machine would be of the same type as the existing Machines.
- 6. The applicant gave an indication of the size of the Hornet's weekly turnover and how much was paid to the centre after expenses each month.

The applicant chose not to make a closing submission.

At 1115 hours the Sub-Committee adjourned and the Chairman requested that all present, with the exception of the Members, the Committee Lawyer and the Committee Manager withdraw from the meeting.

All parties were recalled to the meeting at 1140 hours and the decision of the Sub-Committee was announced.

4/071216 **RESOLVED**:-

That the application by JD Wetherspoon Plc, for the variation of a Licensed Premises Gaming Machine Permit in respect of Hornet, 991 Alum Rock Road, Washwood Heath, Birmingham, B8 2LZ be refused.

In reaching this decision, the Sub-Committee was mindful of the promotion of the Licensing Objectives in the Act, particularly protecting children <u>and other vulnerable persons</u> from being harmed or exploited by gambling.

The Sub-Committee's reasons for refusing this application for a premises licence are due to concerns regarding the impact of the proposed operation, and in particular in terms of the lack of protection for vulnerable people.

The Sub-Committee carefully considered the application, including policies and procedures, put forward by the applicant, and also considered the likely impact of the application. However the Sub-Committee was not persuaded that the applicant, or proposed operation of the premises, were capable of promoting the Licensing Objective of protecting vulnerable people from harm or exploitation. The Licensee of the premises stated to the Sub-Committee that in her opinion 'vulnerable people' meant those under 18, or those under the influence of alcohol – without any mention of people with gambling problems/ addiction. The Members considered that if the Licensee had not understood the meaning of 'vulnerable people' in terms of the Act, she would be unable to advise or train staff.

She told the Members that it was a small premises and that the application was made as a business case. On hearing details of the income generated by the machines, Members considered that the revenue from machines was not ancillary to the business, but a primary source of income. The Members were not convinced that suitable arrangements were in place to ensure the Licensing Objectives could be promoted with a large number of machines in small premises.

The Sub-Committee gave consideration to whether any measures could be taken to ensure that the three licensing objectives were adequately promoted and that therefore the Licensed Premises Gaming Machine Permit could be granted; however Members considered that in general the application as it stood was not satisfactory given the Licensee's comments regarding 'vulnerable people'.

In reaching this decision, the Sub-Committee has given due consideration to the City Council's Statement of Licensing Principles, the Guidance issued under Section 25 of the Gambling Act 2005 by the Commission, the application for a Licensed Premises Gaming Machine Permit, the email dated 14th October 2016 from the City Council's Licensing Enforcement Team, and the submissions made at the hearing by the applicant.

All parties are reminded that under the provisions contained within Schedule 13 to the Gambling Act 2005, the applicant has the right of appeal against the decision of the Licensing Authority to the Magistrates' Court, such an appeal to be made within twenty-one days of the date of notification of the decision.

The meeting ended at 1145 hours.

.....

CHAIRMAN

BIRMINGHAM CITY COUNCIL

Report to:	Licensing Sub Committee C	
Report of:	Acting Director of Regulation &	
-	Enforcement	
Date of Meeting:	Wednesday 4 January 2017	
Subject:	Licensing Act 2003	
	Premises Licence – Grant	
Premises: City Bargains, 235 – 237 Lozells Roa		
	Birmingham, B19 1RJ	
Ward affected:	Lozells and East Handsworth	
Contact Officer:	Chris Neville, Head of Licensing,	
	0121 303 6920, <u>licensing@birmingham.gov.uk</u>	

1. Purpose of report:

To consider relevant representations that have been made in respect of an application for a Premises Licence which seeks to permit the Sale of Alcohol (for consumption off the premises) to operate 24hours (Monday to Sunday).

Premises to remain open to the public 24hours (Monday to Sunday).

2. Recommendation:

To consider the representations that have been made and to determine the application.

3. Brief Summary of Report:

An application for a Premises Licence was received on 8th November 2016 in respect of City Bargains, 235 – 237 Lozells Road, Lozells, Birmingham, B19 1RJ.

Representations have been received from Birmingham City Council Licensing Enforcement, as a responsible authority, and from other persons.

Compliance Issues: Consistency with relevant Council Policies, Plans or Strategies:

The report complies with the City Council's Statement of Licensing Policy and the Council's Corporate Plan to improve the standard of all licensed persons, premises and vehicles in the City.

Page 19 of 40

5. Relevant background/chronology of key events:

Miriam Harcarvoa applied on 8th November 2016 for the grant of a Premises Licence for City Bargains, 235 – 237 Lozells Road, Lozells, Birmingham, B19 1RJ.

A representation has been received from Birmingham City Council Licensing Enforcement, as a responsible authority. See Appendix 1.

A representation against the application has been received from other persons. See Appendix 2.

The application is attached at Appendix 3.

Site Location Plans at Appendix 4.

When carrying out its licensing functions, a licensing authority must have regard to Birmingham City Council's Statement of Licensing Policy and the Guidance issued by the Secretary of State under s182 of the Licensing Act 2003. The Licensing Authority is also required to take such steps as it considers appropriate for the promotion of the licensing objectives, which are:-

- a. The prevention of crime and disorder;
- b. Public safety;
- c. The prevention of public nuisance; and
- d. The protection of children from harm.

6. List of background documents:

Copy of the representations as detailed in Appendices 1 – 2 Application Form, Appendix 3 Site Location Plans, Appendix 4

7. Options available

To Grant the licence in accordance with the application.

To Reject the application.

To Grant the licence subject to conditions modified to such an extent as considered appropriate. Exclude from the licence any of the licensable activities to which the application relates. Refuse to specify a person in the licence as the premises supervisor.

Appendix 1

Emereo RI

From:	Sarah Hempsall
Sent:	06 December 2016 17:38
To:	Licensing
Subject:	Representation against GRANT of licence for City Bargains, 235-237 Lozells Road, Birmingham B19 1RJ

Dear Licensing,

On 6th December I received information in respect of the applicant Ms Miriam Harcarova. The information indicates that Ms Harcarova is associated with a licensed premises known as European Food Express, 202 High Street, Dudley and International Supermarket, 117 Villa Road, Birmingham B19 1NH, both of which have been witnessed to engage in criminal activity, namely the sale of illicit tobacco products.

The Licensing Act 2003 is intended to prevent crime and disorder in relation to premises, as well as deter and prevent unscrupulous persons from operating illegally under the authorisation of a premises licence. Ms Harcarova's association with the above 2 premises calls into question her ability to prevent any future illegal activity occurring at City Bargains 235-237 Lozells Road.

Licensing Enforcement wish to lodge a formal objection to this application due to evidence which suggests that there is a link between the applicant and those persons involved in illegal activity at the above mentioned premises. We believe in the interest of preventing further breaches of licensing objectives, the prevention of crime and disorder and public safety the application should be rejected.

Yours sincerely

Sarah Hempsall Licensing Enforcement Officer

Birmingham City Council Licensing Enforcement

Address: Birmingham City Council, Licensing Section, P.O. Box 17013, Birmingham, B6 9ES Website: <u>www.birmingham.gov.uk/licensing</u> Twitter: @BCCLicensing

"Locally accountable and responsive fair regulation for all - achieving a safe, healthy, clean, green and fair trading city for residents, business and visitors"

Page 21 of 40

1

States to be first

-	
From:	Heath Thomas -
Sent:	05 December 2016 12:24
То:	Licensing
Subject:	Representation against grant of premises licence to Miriam Harcarova in respect of
	City Bargains, 235-237 Lozells Road, Birmingham B19 1RJ

Dear Sirs

I am instructed on behalf of Mr Mahir Akgul of 233 Lozells Road, Birmingham B19 1RJ to object to the grant of a premises licence by Ms Miriam Harcarova on the grounds of crime and disorder.

Ms Harcarova is associated with licensed premises known as European Food Express, 202 High Street, Dudley and International Supermarket, 117 Villa Road, Birmingham B19 1NH, both of which have been witnessed to engage in criminal activity, namely the sale of smuggled tobacco. This type of activity should be treated particularly seriously. Ms Harcarova's association is such that there is a real risk that these activities will take place at City Bargains, 235-237 Lozells Road, and that she will permit the use of these premises to further crimes. The crime prevention objective is being undermined by this applicant and as such she should be refused a premises licence.

Evidence in support of the above will be produced before the Licensing sub-committee in due course.

Yours faithfully

Heath Thomas

Partner Licensing & Regulatory For and on behalf of Harrison Clark Rickerbys Limited



With you every step of the way

Harrison Clark Rickerbys Limited, 5 Deansway, Worcester Worcestershire, WR1 2JG, United Kingdom

Page 22 of 40

Birmingham City Council	Birmingham Application for a premises licence Licensing Act 2003	For help contac licensingonline@birmingham.gov.ui Telephone: 0121 303 9890
		* required informati
Section 1 of 19		
You can save the fo	rm at any time and resume it later. You do not r	need to be logged in when you resume.
System reference	Not Currently In Use	This is the unique reference for this application generated by the system.
Your reference		You can put what you want here to help you track applications if you make lots of them. I is passed to the authority.
Are you an agent ac Yes	ting on behalf of the applicant?	Put "no" if you are applying on your own behalf or on behalf of a business you own or work for.
Applicant Details		
* First name	Miriam	
* Family name	Harcarova	
* E-mail		
Main telephone nur	nber	Include country code.
Other telephone nu	mber	
📋 Indicate here	if the applicant would prefer not to be contacte	ed by telephone
ls the applicant:		
 Applying as a Applying as a 	business or organisation, including as a sole tra n individual	ader A sole trader is a business owned by one person without any special legal structure. Applying as an individual means the applicant is applying so the applicant can be employed, or for some other personal reasor such as following a hobby.
		BCC REGULATION & ENFORCEMENT LICENSING SECTION DATE RECEIVED 0 8 NOV 2016
	∫ F	REF NO
	l.,	HTIALO

Page 23 of 40

INITIALS

Continued from previous page		
Address		
* Building number or name]
* Street		
District		
* City or town	·]
County or administrative area		
* Postcode	j	
* Country]
Agent Details		
* First name	PATRICK	
* Family name	BURKE	
* E-mail		
Main telephone number		Include country code.
Other telephone number]
📋 Indicate here if you wou	Ild prefer not to be contacted by telephone	
Are you:		
 An agent that is a busine 	ess or organisation, including a sole trader	A sole trader is a business owned by one person without any special legal structure.
 A private individual acti 	ng as an agent	
Agent Business		
* Is your business registered in the UK with Companies House?	O Yes 💿 No	
* Is your business registered outside the UK?	🔿 Yes 💿 No	
* Business name	PMB LICENSING	If your business is registered, use its registered name.
* VAT number -	NONE	Put "none" if you are not registered for VAT.
* Legal status	Sole Trader]
* Your position in the business	PROPIETOR]
Home country	United Kingdom	The country where the headquarters of your business is located.

Page 24 of 40

Continued from previous page		
Agent Business Address		If you have one, this should be your official
* Building number or name	DOG AND PARTRIDGE	address - that is an address required of you by law for receiving communications.
* Street	136 HIGH STREET]
District	BRIERLEY HILL]
* City or town	DUDLEY]
County or administrative area	WEST MIDLANDS]
* Postcode	DY5 3BP	
* Country	United Kingdom]
Section 2 of 19		
PREMISES DETAILS		
	ply for a premises licence under section 17 of t the premises) and I/we are making this applicat of the Licensing Act 2003.	
Premises Address		
Are you able to provide a post	al address, OS map reference or description of	the premises?
Address	p reference O Description	
Postal Address Of Premises		
Building number or name	City Bargains]
Street	235 - 237 LozeIIIs Road]
District]
City or town	Brimingham]
County or administrative area]
Postcode	B19 1RJ	
Country	United Kingdom]
Further Details		
Telephone number	[]
Non-domestic rateable value of premises (£)	4,150]

.

Section 3 of 19			
APP	APPLICATION DETAILS		
In w	In what capacity are you applying for the premises licence?		
] An individual or individuals		
	A limited company		
	A partnership		
	An unincorporated asso	ciation	
	A recognised club		
	A charity		
	The proprietor of an edu	ucational establishment	
	A health service body		
	, ,	ed under part 2 of the Care Standards Act an independent hospital in Wales	
	A person who is registered under Chapter 2 of Part 1 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 in respect of the carrying on of a regulated activity (within the meaning of that Part) in an independent hospital in England		
	The chief officer of police of a police force in England and Wales		
	Other (for example a statutory corporation)		
Cont	Confirm The Following		
×	I am carrying on or proposing to carry on a business which involves the use of the premises for licensable activities		
	l am making the applica	tion pursuant to a statutory function	
	l am making the application pursuant to a function discharged by virtue of Her Majesty's prerogative		
Secti	on 4 of 19	·	
INDI	VIDUAL APPLICANT DET	AILS	
	licant Name	nilar to) the details given in section and?	
Is the name the same as (or similar to) the details given in section one? If "Yes" is selected you can re-use the ofference of the section one, or amend them as re-		from section one, or amend them as required.	
•	Yes	O No	Select "No" to enter a completely new set of details.
First	First name Miriam]
Fami	ly name	Harcarova	• • •••
is the	is the applicant 18 years of age or older?		
•			

•

Continued from previous page			
Applicant Postal Address			
is the address the same as (or similar to) the address given in section one?		If "Yes" is selected you can re-use the details from section one, or amend them as	
● Yes	O No	required. Select "No" to enter a completely new set of details.	
Building number or name			
Street			
District]	
City or town	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
County or administrative area	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·]	
Postcode	·		
Country]	
Applicant Contact Details			
Are the contact details the sar	ne as (or similar to) those given in section one?	If "Yes" is selected you can re-use the details from section one, or amend them as	
• Yes	O No	required. Select "No" to enter a completely new set of details.	
E-mail	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·]	
Telephone number]	
Other telephone number]	
	Add another applicant]	
Section 5 of 19		J	
OPERATING SCHEDULE			
When do you want the premises licence to start?	08 / 11 / 2016 dd mm yyyy		
If you wish the licence to be valid only for a limited period, when do you want it to end	dd mm yyyy		
Provide a general description of the premises			
licensing objectives. Where yo	ses, its general situation and layout and any oth ur application includes off-supplies of alcohol a plies you must include a description of where th	nd you intend to provide a place for	
IT IS A BRICK BUILT GENERAL S	TORE THAT WISHES TO BE LICENSED FOR 24 HO	URS.	
· ·			
		1	

Continued from previous page		
If 5,000 or more people are expected to attend the		
premises at any one time,		
state the number expected to		
attend		
Section 6 of 19		
PROVISION OF PLAYS		
Will you be providing plays?		
O Yes 💿 No		
Section 7 of 19		
PROVISION OF FILMS		
Will you be providing films?		
⊖ Yes		
Section 8 of 19		
PROVISION OF INDOOR SPORTING EVENTS		
Will you be providing indoor sporting events?		
O Yes O No		
Section 9 of 19		
PROVISION OF BOXING OR WRESTLING ENTERTAINMENTS		
Will you be providing boxing or wrestling entertainments?		
O Yes No		
Section 10 of 19		
PROVISION OF LIVE MUSIC		
Will you be providing live music?		
O Yes No		
Section 11 of 19		
PROVISION OF RECORDED MUSIC		
Will you be providing recorded music?		
O Yes O No		
Section 12 of 19		
PROVISION OF PERFORMANCES OF DANCE		
Will you be providing performances of dance?		
O Yes 💿 No		
Section 13 of 19		
PROVISION OF ANYTHING OF A SIMILAR DESCRIPTION TO LIVE MUSIC, RECORDED MUSIC OR PERFORMANCES OF DANCE		
Will you be providing anything similar to live music, recorded music or performances of dance?		
O Yes No		

Continued from pr evio us p	age	
Section 14 of 19		
LATE NIGHT REFRESH	/IENT	
Will you be providing la	te night refreshment?	
O Yes	No	
Section 15 of 19		
SUPPLY OF ALCOHOL		
Will you be selling or su	pplying alcohol?	
Yes	O No	
Standard Days And Tir	nings	
MONDAY	Start 00:00	Give timings in 24 hour clock. End 23:59 (e.g., 16:00) and only give details for the days of the week when you intend the premises End to be used for the activity.
TUESDAY		
	Start 00:00	End 23:59
WEDNESDAY		
	Start 00:00	End 23:59
	Start	End
THURSDAY		
	Start 00:00	End 23:59
	Start	End
FRIDAY		
	Start 00:00	End 23:59
	Start	End
SATURDAY		
	Start 00:00	End 23:59
	Start	End
SUNDAY		
	Start 00:00	End 23:59
	Start	End

Continued from previous page.	•		
Will the sale of alcohol be for	consumption:		If the sale of alcohol is for consumption on the premises select on, if the sale of alcohol
 On the premises 	Off the premises C) Both	is for consumption away from the premises select off. If the sale of alcohol is for consumption on the premises and away from the premises select both.
State any seasonal variations			
For example (but not exclusiv	ely) where the activity will o	ccur on additional da	ays during the summer months.
NONE			
Non-standard timings. Where column on the left, list below	the premises will be used fo	r the supply of alcoh	ol at different times from those listed in the
	elv), where you wish the acti	vity to ao on ionaer	on a particular day e.g. Christmas Eve.
NONE		ing to go on longer	
State the name and details of licence as premises superviso		sh to specify on the	
Name			
First name	Mlriam		
Family name	Harcarova		
Enter the contact's address			
Building number or name			
Street]	
District			
City or town	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
County or administrative area	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······································	
Postcode			
Country			
Personal Licence number (if known)			
Issuing licensing authority (if known)			

Continued from previous page			
PROPOSED DESIGNAT	PROPOSED DESIGNATED PREMISES SUPERVISOR CONSENT		
How will the consent for be supplied to the auth	orm of the proposed design nority?	ated premises supervisor	r · · · ·
O Electronically, by	the proposed designated p	remises supervisor	
As an attachment	t to this application		
Reference number for a form (if known)	consent		If the consent form is already submitted, ask the proposed designated premises supervisor for its 'system reference' or 'your reference'.
Section 16 of 19			
ADULT ENTERTAINME	NT		
	tertainment or services, acti e rise to concern in respect c		nent or matters ancillary to the use of the
rise to concern in respe		whether you intend child	ary to the use of the premises which may give fren to have access to the premises, for example to gambling machines etc.
NONE		Ball Theory and all the set of t	
Section 17 of 19		- · ·	
	OPEN TO THE PUBLIC		
Standard Days And Ti	mings		
MONDAY			Give timings in 24 hour clock.
	Start 00:00	End 23:59	(e.g., 16:00) and only give details for the days
	Start	End	of the week when you intend the premises to be used for the activity.
TUESDAY	·		
10EDDAT	Start 00:00	End 23:59	
		L	
	Start	End	
WEDNESDAY			
	Start 00:00	End 23:59	
	Start	End	7
THURSDAY			
MORDAT	Start 00:00	End 22.50	
		End 23:59	
	Start	End	
FRIDAY			
	Start 00:00	End 23:59	
	Start	End	

...

Continued from previous page		
SATURDAY		
Start 00:00 End 23:59		
Start End		
SUNDAY		
Start 00:00 End 23:59		
Start End		
State any seasonal variations		
For example (but not exclusively) where the activity will occur on additional days during the summer months.		
NONE		
Non standard timings. Where you intend to use the premises to be open to the members and guests at different ti those listed in the column on the left, list below	mes from	
For example (but not exclusively), where you wish the activity to go on longer on a particular day e.g. Christmas Ev	A	
NONE	· · ·	
Section 18 of 19		
Describe the steps you intend to take to promote the four licensing objectives:		
a) General – all four licensing objectives (b,c,d,e)		
List here steps you will take to promote all four licensing objectives together.		
ALL STAFF WILL BE TRAINED WITH REDARDS TO THE LICENCING ACT 2003, THE SERVICE OF ALCOHOL AND TOBACC	CO. A	
RECORD WILL BE KEPT OF ALL ATENTIVE PURCHASES BY UNDER 18'S, WE WILL LIASE WITH THE LOCAL POLICE LICED		
OFFICER ON A REGULAR BASIS. ALL STAFF TRAINING RECORDS RELATING THE LICENSING ACT 2003 TO BE DOCUME AND SIGNED BY BOTH THE TRAINER AND TRAINEE. RECORDS TO BE MADE IMMEDIATELY AVAILABLE TO ANY OF TH		
RESPONSIBLE AUTHORITIES ON REQUEST.		
b) The prevention of crime and disorder		
PROMINENT SIGNS ARE DISPALYED WITH REGARDS TO ALCOHOL AND TOBACCO SALES.		
CCTV CAMERAS ARE INSTALLED AT THE PREMISES WITH THE SPECFICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE WE		
MIDILANDS POLICE. CCTV RECORDINGS AND IMAGES TO BE DOWNLOADABLE KEPT FOR A MINIMUM OF 28 DAYS AND MADE IMMEDIATELY AVAILABLE TO ANY OF THE RESPONSIBLE AUTHORITIES.		
A REFUSAL BOOK IS KEPT AT THE PREMISES AND TO BE MADE IMMEDIATELY AVAILABLE TO ANY OF THE RESPONSIBLE		
AUTHORITIES ON REQUEST AND SIGNED OFF WEEKLY BY THE DPS.		
Records of staff training shall be made available on site for inspection at any time. The premises shall have a panic button facilities on the premises.		
Internal and External CCTV shall be maintained on the premises, recordings of which will be kept for 31 days.		
CCTV will be recording and cover all areas open to members of the public, including the interior, front exterior of the		
premises and the entrance of the premises. This will be to the recommendation and specification of West Midlands	Police,	
© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2009		

Continued from previous page
The CCTV will operate whenever the premises is open to members of the public. It will hold recorded data for a minimum of 28 days, and be made available to West Midlands Police or any responsible authority on request A member of staff in possession of a personal licence will be on the premises after 23:00hrs until 06:00hrs every day. In addition, all staff members will be able to operate and download CCTV at all times
An incident book will be maintained to record any incidents that occur inside the premises or directly outside of the
premises. This incident book will be made available for inspection by any of the responsible authorities
SIA registered door supervision wearing high visibility clothing to be at the premises from 23:00hrs until 06:00 hrs on Fridays, Saturdays and on Sundays before a Bank Holiday
c) Public safety
ALL EMERGENCY LIGHTING WILL BE CHECKED WEEKLY.
ENTRANCES, EXITS AND PASSAGEWAYS ARE TO BE KEPT CLEAR
No enforceable conditions identified from operating schedule.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
d) The prevention of public nuisance
PROMINENT SIGNS WILL BE DISPALYED REQUESTING CUSTOMERS TO HAVE REGARD FOR LOCAL RESIDENTS WHEN LEAVING THE PREMISES, ADEQUATE BINS ARE AVAILABLE FOR CUSTOMERS TO DISPOSE OF LITTER NO ALCOHOL ALLOWED ON THE PREMISES IN OPEN CONTAINERS.
NO ALCOHOL TO BE CONSUMED ON THE PREMISES. No enforceable conditions identified from operating schedule.
No enforceable conditions identified from operating schedule.
e) The protection of children from harm
WILL OPERATE A CHALLENGE 25 POLICY AND CCTV SIGNAGE ON THE ENTRANCE DOOR AND POINT OF SALE. A refusals book will be fully maintained and available for inspection at any time. The premises shall operate a proof of age scheme via acceptable forms of identification and incorporating the challenge 21 system. The Premises Licence Holder shall ensure that any alcohol on display is not obstructed from view of the sales assistants
Section 19 of 19
PAYMENT DETAILS
This fee must be paid to the authority. If you complete the application online, you must pay it by debit or credit card.
Premises Licence Fees are determined by the non-domestic rateable value of the premises.
To find out a premises non domestic rateable value go to the Valuation Office Agency site at http://www.voa.gov.uk/ business_rates/index.htm
Band A - No RV to £4300 £100.00
Band B - £4301 to £33000 £190.00
Band C - £33001 to £87000 £315.00
Band D - £87001 to £125000 £450.00* Band E - £125001 and over £635.00*
*If the premises rateable value is in Bands D or E and the premises is primarily used for the consumption of alcohol on the
premises then your are required to pay a higher fee
Band D - £87001 to £125000 £900.00
Band E - £125001 and over £1,905.00 There is an examplian from the normalization to the provision of regulated extent investor to have belle
There is an exemption from the payment of fees in relation to the provision of regulated entertainment at church halls, chapel halls or premises of a similar nature, village halls, parish or community halls, or other premises of a similar nature. The
costs associated with these licences will be met by central Government. If, however, the licence also authorises the use of
the premises for the supply of alcohol or the provision of late night refreshment, a fee will be required.
Schools and sixth form colleges are exempt from the fees associated with the authorisation of regulated entertainment
where the entertainment is provided by and at the school or college and for the purposes of the school or college. If you operate a large event you are subject to ADDITIONAL fees based upon the number in attendance at any one time

-

Continued from previous page		
Capacity 5000-9999	£1,000.00	
Capacity 10000 -14999	£2,000.00	
Capacity 15000-19999	£4,000.00	
Capacity 20000-29999	£8,000.00	
Capacity 30000-39999	£16,000.00	
Capacity 40000-49999	£24,000.00	
Capacity 50000-59999	£32,000.00	
Capacity 60000-69999	£40,000.00	
Capacity 70000-79999	£48,000.00	
Capacity 80000-89999	£56,000.00	
Capacity 90000 and over	£64,000.00	
* Fee amount (£)	100.00	
DECLARATION		
-	ites you have read and understood the above declaration eted by the applicant, unless you answered "Yes" to the question "Are you an agent acting on	
* Full name	PMB LICENSING	
* Capacity	AGENT	
* Date	08 / 11 / 2016 dd mm yyyy	
	Add another signatory	
continue with your applicatio	puter by clicking file/save as w.uk/apply-for-a-licence/premises-licence/birmingham/apply-1 to upload this file and	
	N SUMMARY CONVICTION TO A FINE NOT EXCEEDING LEVEL 5 ON THE STANDARD OF THE LICENSING ACT 2003, TO MAKE A FALSE STATEMENT IN OR IN CONNECTION	

	Consent of Individ	
	Miriam Harcarova	
[ft	ull name of prospective p	remises supervisor)
f		
r 	· ••	
iome ad	dress of prospective prem	nises supervisori
perviso	or in relation to the a	y consent to be specified as the designated premises
lew Pr	emises License	
pe of ap	plication]	
firiam I	Harcarova	
ne of an	plicant]	
	picanij	
ating to	a premises licence	tba
		[number of existing licence, if any]
ity Bar	gains Lozells Road	
írmingł	nam	
9 1RJ		
e and ac	dress of premises to whi	ch the application relates]
e and ac	dress of premises to whi	ch the application relates]
e and ac	Idress of premises to whi	ch the application relates]
e and ac	Idress of premises to whi	ch the application relates]
e and ac	Idress of premises to whi	ch the application relates]
e and ac	Idress of premises to whi	ch the application relates]
e and ac	Idress of premises to whi	ch the application relates]
e and ad	Idress of premises to whi	ch the application relates]

Page 35 of 40


and any premises lice	nce to be granted or varied in respect of this application made
by Miriam Harcarova	
[name of applicant]	
concerning the supply	of alcohol at
City Bargains	
235-237 Lozells Road	3
Birmingham	
B19 1RJ	
[name and address of prem	ises to which application relates]
I also confirm that I am licence, details of which	applying for, intend to apply for or currently hold a personal I set out below.
Personal licence numbe	r
tba	
[insert personal licence numb	er, if any]
Personal licence issuing	authority
Birmingham City Coun	
	telephone number of personal licence issuing authority, if any]
	telephone number of personal licence issuing authority, if any]
Signed	
Name (please print)	Miriam Harcarova

Date


3-11-16.

2


Page 36 of 40



Page 37 of 40



Page 38 of 40



Page 39 of 40