## Appendix 4

PROJECT DEFINITION DOCUMENT (PDD)					
1. General Information					
Directorate	Education & Skills	Portfolio/Committee	Education and Early Years		
Project Title	Additional Places Programme – High Needs Provision Allocation 2022-23+ Future Years	Project Code			
Project Description	Education & Skills  Portfolio/Committee  Education as Early Years  Additional Places Programme – High Needs Provision Allocation 2022-23+				

## Links to Corporate and Service Outcomes

Projects will be developed and delivered to maximise alignment with local priorities, in particular to impact on developing skills, employment opportunities, public health and community cohesion. Works will contribute to the Council Business Plan and Budget 2022+, particularly 'A Prosperous City', by ensuring the provision of school places enabling children to benefit from education through investment at a neighbourhood school. Compliance with the Birmingham Business Charter for Social Responsibility (BBC4SR) is a mandatory requirement that will form part of the conditions of any contract above the works procurement threshold of £4,337,447 in accordance with the social value policy. Where a grant is issued, the Conditions of Grant Aid will required the school to be certified to the BBC4SR and provide commitments relevant and proportionate to the value of any contract above the procurement threshold of £177,897 to be delivered by the successful tenderer.

Project Benefits	The benefit of expanding these schools will enable Birmingham				
	City Council to meet its statutory of	City Council to meet its statutory obligation under the Education			
	Act 1996 to provide special, primar	ry and secondary pupil places			
	to all of its school-age resident ch	nildren. The consequences of			
	the City Council not meeting this	duty are serious and would			
	involve considerable financial a	•			
	project will ensure that quality places will be available for local				
	children thus contributing to the safeguarding agenda.				
Project	Provision of additional special and secondary pupil places				
Deliverables	across various districts.				
Key Project Milestones		Planned Delivery Dates			
PDD approval by Cabinet		26 <sup>th</sup> April 2022			
FBC/Contract Awards approval by Cabinet		1 <sup>st</sup> June 2022 onwards			
Planned programme of works commences		1 <sup>st</sup> June 2022 onwards			
Post Implementation r	review	Throughout 2022/23			

•	
Dependencies on other projects or activities	<ul> <li>Planning permission may be required.</li> <li>If schools have listed status consultation with English Heritage and BCC's Conservation team may be required.</li> <li>Placing orders with contactor/s from June 2022 onwards</li> <li>Completion of statutory consultation to increase capacity</li> <li>Confirmation of appropriate schools across various districts</li> <li>Scope of work identified</li> <li>Programme and costs developed</li> </ul>
Achievability	<ul> <li>Schools in scope for expansion are identified</li> <li>Programme and costs have been developed where possible</li> <li>Funding strategy is in place</li> <li>Client liaison between Edl and Acivico is taking place weekly to ensure work is instructed, monitored and delivered on time</li> <li>Project officers from the Edl team will oversee the delivery of the projects in consultation with key stakeholders i.e. Acivico, contractors, schools, surveyors and other property professionals.</li> <li>The team is extremely experienced in managing expansion</li> </ul>

project

Head of City Finance (HoCF)		Date of HoCF Approval		
Other Mandatory Information				
Has project budget been set up on Voyager?			Yes	
Issues and Risks updated (Please attach a copy to the PDD and on Voyager)		Yes		

2. Options Appraisal Records

Option 1	Create additional places in temporary accommodation				
Information Considered	What information was considered in making the decision				
	<ul> <li>Best use of DfE non ring-fenced Basic Need and High</li> </ul>				
	Needs Provision grants in investing in quality spaces				
	Planning Guidance				
	Ofsted safeguarding principles				
	Delivery of quality places				

Project Manager	Zahid Mahmood, Capital Programme Manager 0121 464 9855, zahid.mahmood@birmingham.gov.uk			
Project Accountant	Jaspal Madahar, Finance & Resources Manager – Education Infrastructure 0121 303 3251, jaspal.madahar@birmingham.gov.uk			
Project Sponsor	Jaswinder Didially, Head of Education Infrastructure 0121 675 0228, jaswinder.didially@birmingham.gov.uk			
Proposed Project Board Members	Jaswinder Didially, Head of Education Infrastructure 0121 675 0228, jaswinder.didially@birmingham.gov.uk Zahid Mahmood, Capital Programme Manager 0121 464 9855, zahid.mahmood@birmingham.gov.uk Clare Sandland, Head of City Finance CYP 0121 675 3570, Clare.sandland@birmingham.gov.uk			
Pros and Cons Option	<ul> <li>What were the advantages/positive aspects of this option?</li> <li>Less cost to BCC</li> <li>Easier to deliver than permanent build</li> <li>Faster to deliver</li> <li>Meets BCC statutory obligation to provide places</li> <li>Can be removed once demand reduces</li> <li>What are the disadvantages/negative aspects of this option?</li> <li>Safeguarding risks increase as temporary buildings tend to be standalone away from the main building</li> <li>Governing body/parental resistance to temporary accommodation</li> <li>Planning approval will not be given for more than 3 years following which units would need to be removed</li> </ul>			
	Isolation from main school			

	Does not improve the school environment		
People Consulted	Head Teachers, School Governors, DfE, Acivico		
	consultants, contractor partners		
Recommendation	Proceed or Abandon this Option? Proceed in certain		
	circumstances where provision is required for short period		
Principal Reason for	Suitable where short term solution is required.		
Decision			

Option 2	To increase class sizes				
Information Considered	What information was considered in making the decision?				
	Class size legislation				
	Best use of DfE un-ring-fenced High Needs Provision				
	Grant.				
	Ofsted safeguarding principles				
	Teacher/HT/Governor associations				
	Delivery of quality places				
Pros and Cons of	What were the advantages/positive aspects of this				
Option	option?				
	Less cost to BCC				
	Faster to implement				
	What are the disadvantages/negative aspects of this				
	option?				
	Does not guarantee to meet BCC statutory obligation				
	for provision of places				
	Not best use of DfE un-ring-fenced High Needs     Provision Grant				
	Infant class size legislation requires no more than 30				
	pupils to be taught by one teacher in Key Stage 1				
	classes.				
	<ul> <li>Admissions authority would have to employ additional teachers at significant cost.</li> </ul>				
	Safeguarding risks increase				
	Governing body/parental/Teaching Associations				
	resistance to increased class sizes				
	Increased Health & Safety issues due to potential				
	overcrowding				
	Negative impact on standards				
	Negative impact on applications for places				
People Consulted	Head Teachers, School Governors, DfE, Acivico				
	consultants, contractor partners				
Recommendation	Proceed or Abandon this Option? Abandon				
Principal Reason for	Class size legislation, Trade Union/Professional				
Decision	Association and parental concerns will lead to negative				
	impact on school and reduction in applications				

Option 3	To provide permanent new build and remodelled accommodation		
	accommodation		
Information Considered	What information was considered in making the decision		
	Best use of DfE un-ring-fenced High Needs Provision		
	funding		

	- Dianning Cuidenes				
	Planning Guidance				
	Ofsted safeguarding principles				
	Delivery of high quality places				
Pros and Cons of	What were the advantages/positive aspects of this				
Option	option?				
•	Best use of DfE High Needs Provision funding				
	School and community (parental and wider) buy in				
	Delivers quality places				
	. , ,				
	Will meet timescale using CWM Framework     Complies with sefections principles.				
	Complies with safeguarding principles  What are the disadvantages/pagative aspects of this.				
	What are the disadvantages/negative aspects of this				
	option?				
	Funding requirement				
	<ul> <li>Possible disruption to school and community while</li> </ul>				
	build takes place				
People Consulted	Head Teachers, School Governors, DfE, Acivico				
•	consultants, contractor partners				
Recommendation	Proceed or Abandon this Option? Proceed where				
	provision is required in the long term				
Principal Reason for	Best use of DfE funding where long term solution is				
Decision	required.				

3. Summary of Options Appraisal – Price/Quality Matrix							
Ratings from 1 (lowest) - 10 (highest)	Options		Weighting Weighted Sco		core		
Criteria	1	2	3		1	2	3
Total Capital Cost	5	10	3	25	125	250	75
Full Year Revenue Consequences	1	5	10	5	5	25	50
Quality Evaluation Criteria							
1) Programme allows occupation by Sep 2022-23	10	10	10	20	200	200	200
Effectiveness: allows     delivery of quality     education	1	3	10	20	20	60	200
Functionality : meets     service delivery and     service user requirements     and delivers quality places	1	2	10	20	20	40	200
Achievable : will meet     statutory responsibility on     school places	10	2	10	10	100	20	100
Total				100%	470	595	825

4. Option	Which option, from those listed in the Options Appraisal			
Recommended	Records above, is recommended and the key reasons for this			
	decision.			
	Option 3 to build new and remodel existing accommodation in			

order to expand existing school sites to meet BCC basic need of additional special, primary and secondary places.

## Reasons:

- Best use of Government Grant available
- Will allow schools to meet requirements for additional places
- Can be delivered within time scales using CWM Framework
- Will meet BCC statutory obligations and provide a local place for local children.

5. Capital Costs &	Financial Year 2022/23	Financial Year 2023/24	Financial Year 2024/25	Totals
Funding	£m	£m	£m	£m
<u>Expenditure</u>				
Wilson Stuart Queensbury Baskerville Pines Uffculme Other Access Funding Not yet allocated	0.400 1.750 0.600 0.800 0.750	0.750 0.450 0.800		0.400 2.500 0.600 0.800 0.750 0.450 1.000
Totals	4.500	2.000		6.500
Funding High Needs Provision Grant	4.500	2.000		6.500
Totals	4.500	2.000		6.500

6. Project Development Requirements/Information						
Products required to	The work includes:					
produce Full Business	Selection of school					
Case	A range of detailed surveys, many of which are intrusive					
	Extensive feasibility work in preparing and agreeing schemes with the Client and each school end user					
	Scheme design and specification by all disciplines to a stage where Planning and Building Regulations applications can be submitted including payment of their fees					
	Detailed design					
	Specification,					

	<ul> <li>Project planning</li> <li>Procurement to a stage where contracts can be entered into and the scheme built.</li> </ul>
Estimated time to	Up to 3 months to complete all programmes to stage D design
complete project	and obtain target costs for schemes. FBC`s will then be provided
development	for final programme.
Estimated cost to	Development of proposals to FBC/Contract Award stage by Edl
complete project	and Acivico are estimated at 0.500m. These costs will be
development	incurred in progressing each scheme to stage D, development of
	design and cost plan, after which contracts can be entered into
	and construction can begin.
Funding of	DfE Basic Need Grant
development costs	

Planned FBC	April	Planned date for Technical	Throughout 2022/23
date	2022onwards	Completion	_