

Title of proposed EIA	Section 75 Agreements, Pooled/Aligned Budget Arrangements 2021/22
Reference No	EQUA834
EA is in support of	Amended Policy
Review Frequency	Annually
Date of first review	31/01/2023
Directorate	Adults Social Care
Division	Commissioning
Service Area	
Responsible Officer(s)	 Sarah Feeley
Quality Control Officer(s)	 Michael Walsh
Accountable Officer(s)	 Louise Collett
Purpose of proposal	To enable the Council to extend the Section 75 Agreements with Birmingham and Solihull NHS Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) and Black Country and West Birmingham CCG for the purposes of the Better Care Fund, Learning Disability and Mental Health
Data sources	
Please include any other sources of data	NHS Section 75 Guidance NHS Section 75 draft agreement and variation Government Better Care Fund Statement 2021/22
ASSESS THE IMPACT AGAINST THE PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS	
Protected characteristic: Age	Not Applicable
Age details:	
Protected characteristic: Disability	Not Applicable
Disability details:	
Protected characteristic: Sex	Not Applicable
Gender details:	
Protected characteristics: Gender Reassignment	Not Applicable
Gender reassignment details:	
Protected characteristics: Marriage and Civil Partnership	Not Applicable
Marriage and civil partnership details:	
Protected characteristics: Pregnancy and Maternity	Not Applicable

Pregnancy and maternity details:

Protected characteristics: Race

Not Applicable

Race details:

Protected characteristics: Religion or Beliefs

Not Applicable

Religion or beliefs details:

Protected characteristics: Sexual Orientation

Not Applicable

Sexual orientation details:

Socio-economic impacts

Please indicate any actions arising from completing this screening exercise.

Please indicate whether a full impact assessment is recommended

NO

What data has been collected to facilitate the assessment of this policy/proposal?

Section 75 guidance, Government policy statement for the Better Care Fund with minimum contribution expectations.

Consultation analysis

Better Care Fund Programme Board, Better Care Fund Commissioning Executive, Adult Social Care Management Team - no concerns raised on the proposed extension of the Section 75 Agreements.

Adverse impact on any people with protected characteristics.

There were no identified adverse impacts from this proposal.

Could the policy/proposal be modified to reduce or eliminate any adverse impact?

Not applicable

How will the effect(s) of this policy/proposal on equality be monitored?

Through the services commissioned utilising the funding through the Section 75 Agreements, and as part of the review of the Section 75 Agreements being extended.

What data is required in the future?

Sufficient data is already available for the implementation of the Section 75 Agreements.

Are there any adverse impacts on any particular group(s)

No

If yes, please explain your reasons for going ahead.

Initial equality impact assessment of your proposal

Consulted People or Groups

Informed People or Groups

Summary and evidence of findings from your EIA

The initial assessment has not identified any areas that will be

affected through the extension of the Section 75 Agreements and services commissioned through these pooled/aligned budget arrangements in this financial year 2021/22.

QUALITY CONTORL SECTION

Submit to the Quality Control Officer for reviewing?	No
Quality Control Officer comments	
Decision by Quality Control Officer	Proceed for final approval
Submit draft to Accountable Officer?	Yes
Decision by Accountable Officer	Approve
Date approved / rejected by the Accountable Officer	25/01/2022
Reasons for approval or rejection	
Please print and save a PDF copy for your records	Yes

Content Type: Item

Version: 27.0

Created at 20/01/2022 01:48 PM by  Sarah Feeley

Last modified at 25/01/2022 11:05 AM by Workflow on behalf of  Louise Collett

Close