

2: Factors relevant to all types of proposals

Related proposals

Any proposal that is 'related' to another proposal must be considered together. A proposal should be regarded as 'related' if its implementation (or non-implementation) would prevent or undermine the effective implementation of another proposal. Decisions for 'related' proposals should be compatible.

Where a proposal is 'related' to another proposal to be decided by the [Regional Schools Commissioner](#) (RSC) (e.g. for the [establishment of a new free school established under the presumption route](#)) the decision-maker should defer taking a decision until the RSC has taken a decision on the proposal, or where appropriate, grant a conditional approval for the proposal.

Conditional approval

Decision-makers may give conditional approval for a proposal subject to certain prescribed events¹. The decision-maker must set a date by which the condition should be met but can modify the date if the proposer confirms, before the date expires, that the condition will be met later than originally thought.

The proposer should inform the decision-maker (and the Secretary of State via schoolorganisation.notifications@education.gsi.gov.uk for school opening or closure cases) when a condition is modified or met. If a condition is not met by the date specified, the proposal should be referred back to the decision-maker for fresh consideration.

Publishing decisions

All decisions (rejected and approved – with or without modifications) must give reasons for such a decision being made. **Within one week** of making a decision the decision-maker should arrange (via the proposer as necessary) for the decision and the reasons behind it to be published on the website where the original proposal was published. The decision-maker must also arrange for the organisations below to be notified of the decision and reasons²:

- the LA (where the [Schools Adjudicator](#) or governing body is the decision-maker);
- the governing body/proposers (as appropriate);

¹ under paragraph 8 of Schedule 3 to the Prescribed Alterations Regulations (for prescribed alterations), regulation 16 of the Establishment and Discontinuance Regulations (for closures and new schools) and paragraph 16 of Schedule 1 to the Prescribed Alterations Regulations (for foundation and trust proposals).

² In the case of proposals to change category to foundation, acquire / remove a Trust and / or acquire / remove a Foundation majority the only bodies the decision-maker must notify are the LA and the governing body (where the Schools Adjudicator is the decision-maker).

- the trustees of the school (if any);
- the local Church of England diocese;
- the local Roman Catholic diocese;
- for a special school, the parents of every registered pupil at the school;
- any other organisation that they think is appropriate; and
- the Secretary of State via schoolorganisation.notifications@education.gsi.gov.uk (in school opening and closure cases only).

Consideration of consultation and representation period

The decision-maker will need to be satisfied that the appropriate fair and open local consultation and/or representation period has been carried out and that the proposer has given full consideration to all the responses received. If the proposer has failed to meet the statutory requirements, a proposal may be deemed invalid and therefore should be rejected. The decision-maker must consider **ALL** the views submitted, including all support for, objections to and comments on the proposal.

Education standards and diversity of provision

Decision-makers should consider the quality and diversity of schools in the relevant area and whether the proposal will meet or affect the needs of parents; raise local standards and narrow attainment gaps.

A school-led system with every school an academy,

The 2016 White Paper [Education Excellence Everywhere](#), sets out the department's aim that by the end of 2020, all schools will be academies or in the process of becoming academies. The decision-maker should, therefore, take into account the extent to which the proposal is consistent with this policy.

Demand v need

Where a LA identifies the need for a new school, to meet basic need, section 6A of EIA 2006 places the LA under a duty to seek proposals to establish a free school via the '[free school presumption](#)'. However it is still possible to publish proposals for new maintained school outside of the competitive arrangements, at any time, in order to meet demand for a specific type of place e.g. places to meet demand from those of a particular faith.

In assessing the demand for new school places the decision-maker should consider the evidence presented for any projected increase in pupil population (such as planned

housing developments) and any new provision opening in the area (including free schools).

The decision-maker should take into account the quality and popularity of the schools in which spare capacity exists and evidence of parents' aspirations for a new school or for places in a school proposed for expansion. The existence of surplus capacity in neighbouring less popular schools should not in itself prevent the addition of new places.

Reducing surplus places is not a priority (unless running at very high levels). For parental choice to work effectively there may be some surplus capacity in the system as a whole. Competition from additional schools and places in the system will lead to pressure on existing schools to improve standards.

School size

Decision-makers should not make blanket assumptions that schools should be of a certain size to be good schools, although the viability and cost-effectiveness of a proposal is an important factor for consideration. The decision-maker should also consider the impact on the LA's budget of the need to provide additional funding to a small school to compensate for its size.

Proposed admission arrangements

In assessing demand the decision-maker should consider all expected admission applications, not only those from the area of the LA in which the school is situated.

Before approving a proposal that is likely to affect admissions to the school the decision-maker should confirm that the admission arrangements of the school are compliant with the [School Admissions Code](#). Although the decision-maker cannot modify proposed admission arrangements, the decision-maker should inform the proposer where arrangements seem unsatisfactory and the admission authority should be given the opportunity to revise them.

National Curriculum

All maintained schools must follow the [National Curriculum](#) unless they have secured an exemption for groups of pupils or the school community³.

Equal opportunity issues

The decision-maker must have regard to the Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED) of LAs/governing bodies, which requires them to have 'due regard' to the need to:

³ Under sections: 90, 91, 92 and 93 of the Education Act 2002.

- eliminate discrimination;
- advance equality of opportunity; and
- foster good relations.

The decision-maker should consider whether there are any sex, race or disability discrimination issues that arise from the changes being proposed, for example that where there is a proposed change to single sex provision in an area, there is equal access to single sex provision for the other sex to meet parental demand. Similarly there should be a commitment to provide access to a range of opportunities which reflect the ethnic and cultural mix of the area, while ensuring that such opportunities are open to all.

Community cohesion

Schools have a key part to play in providing opportunities for young people from different backgrounds to learn with, from and about each other; by encouraging, through their teaching, an understanding of, and respect for, other cultures, faiths and communities. When considering a proposal, the decision-maker must consider its impact on community cohesion. This will need to be considered on a case-by-case basis, taking account of the community served by the school and the views of different sections within the community.

Travel and accessibility

Decision-makers should satisfy themselves that accessibility planning has been properly taken into account and the proposed changes should not adversely impact on disadvantaged groups.

The decision-maker should bear in mind that a proposal should not unreasonably extend journey times or increase transport costs, or result in too many children being prevented from travelling sustainably due to unsuitable walking or cycling routes.

A proposal should also be considered on the basis of how it will support and contribute to the LA's duty to promote the use of sustainable travel and transport to school.

Further information is available in the statutory [Home to school travel and transport guidance](#) for LAs.

Funding

The decision-maker should be satisfied that any land, premises or necessary funding required to implement the proposal will be available and that all relevant local parties (e.g. trustees or religious authority) have given their agreement. A proposal **cannot** be approved conditionally upon funding being made available.

Where proposers are relying on the department as the source of capital funding, there can be no assumption that the approval of a proposal will trigger the release of capital

3: Factors relevant to prescribed alteration proposals:

Enlargement of premises

When deciding on a proposal for an expansion on to an additional site (a ‘satellite school’), decision-makers will need to consider whether the new provision is genuinely a change to an existing school or is in effect a new school (which would trigger the free school presumption in circumstances where there is a need for a new school in the area⁴).

Decisions will need to be taken on a case-by-case basis, but decision-makers will need to consider the following non-exhaustive list of factors which are intended to expose the extent to which the new site is integrated with the existing site, and to ensure that it will serve the same community as the existing site:

- **The reasons for the expansion**
 - What is the rationale for this approach and this particular site?
- **Admission and curriculum arrangements**
 - How will the new site be used (e.g. which age groups/pupils will it serve)?
 - What will the admission arrangements be?
 - Will there be movement of pupils between sites?
- **Governance and administration**
 - How will whole school activities be managed?
 - Will staff be employed on contracts to work on both sites? How frequently will they do so?
 - What governance, leadership and management arrangements will be put in place to oversee the new site (e.g. will the new site be governed by the same governing body and the same school leadership team)?
- **Physical characteristics of the school**
 - How will facilities across the two sites be used (e.g. sharing of the facilities and resources available at the two sites, such as playing fields)?
 - Is the new site in an area that is easily accessible to the community that the current school serves?

⁴ Or require an proposal under section 11 of the EIA 2006 for a new maintained school.

5: Factors relevant to discontinuance (closure) proposals

Closure proposals (under s15 EIA 2006)

The decision-maker should be satisfied that there is sufficient capacity to accommodate displaced pupils in the area, taking into account the overall quality of provision, the likely supply and future demand for places. The decision-maker should consider the popularity with parents of the schools in which spare capacity exists and evidence of parents' aspirations for those schools.

Schools to be replaced by a more successful/popular school

Such proposals should normally be approved, subject to evidence provided.

Schools causing concern

In determining proposals decision-makers must ensure that the guidance on [schools causing concern](#) (Intervening in falling, underperforming and coasting schools) has been followed where necessary.

Rural schools and the presumption against closure

There is a [presumption against the closure of rural schools](#). This does not mean that a rural school will never close, but the case for closure should be strong and the proposal clearly in the best interests of educational provision in the area⁶. Those proposing closure should provide evidence to show that they have carefully considered the following:

- alternatives to closure including the potential for federation with another local school or conversion to academy status and joining a multi-academy trust or umbrella trust to increase the school's viability;
- the scope for an extended school to provide local community services; and facilities e.g. child care facilities, family and adult learning, healthcare, community internet access etc.;
- the transport implications; and
- the overall and long term impact on local people and the community of closure of the village school and of the loss of the building as a community facility.

⁶ Not applicable where a rural infant and junior school on the same site are closing to establish a new primary school on the same site(s).

2: Prescribed alteration changes

Enlargement of premises

Details of how [special schools can increase their intake](#) are covered below.

Local authorities are under a statutory duty to ensure the sufficiency of school places in their area. They can propose an enlargement of the premises of community foundation and voluntary schools. When doing so they must follow the statutory process as set out in the [Prescribed Alterations Regulations](#) (see [part 5](#)) if:

- the proposed enlargement of the premises of the school is permanent (longer than three years) and would increase the capacity of the school by:
 - more than 30 pupils; **and**
 - 25% or 200 pupils (whichever is the lesser).
- the proposal involves the making permanent of any temporary enlargement (that meets the above threshold).

Where a proposal seeks to increase the school's pupil number to over 2,000 or would result in an increase of over 50% of the school's current capacity, the LA should copy the proposal to schoolorganisation.notifications@education.gsi.gov.uk for monitoring purposes.

Governing bodies of all categories of mainstream schools and LAs can propose smaller expansions that do not meet the thresholds above without the need to follow the formal statutory process in [part 4](#). In many cases this can be achieved solely by increasing the school's published admissions number (PAN); please see [the School Admissions Code](#).

The table below sets out who can propose an enlargement of premises and what process must be followed:

Proposer	Type of proposal	Process	Decision-maker	Right of appeal to the adjudicator
LA for community	Enlargement of premises	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese
LA for voluntary or foundation	Enlargement of premises	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB / Trustees

governing body in the case of voluntary aided (VA) and foundation schools) must consult on the proposed change in accordance with [the School Admissions Code](#). Community and VC schools have the right to object to the Schools' Adjudicator if the PAN proposed is lower than they would wish.

Change of age range

For changes that are expected to be in place for more than 2 years (as these are considered permanent increases):

Local authorities can propose:

- a change of age range of up to 2 years (except for adding or removing a sixth-form) for voluntary and foundation schools by following the non-statutory process, see [part 4](#).
- a change of age range of 1 year or more for community schools (including the adding or removal of sixth-form or nursery provision) and community special schools or alter the upper age limit of a foundation or voluntary school to add sixth-form provision by following the statutory process, see [part 5](#).

Governing bodies of foundation and voluntary schools can propose

- an age range change of up to 2 years (except for adding or removing a sixth-form) by following the non-statutory process, see [part 4](#).
- an age range change of 3 years or more or alter the upper age limit of the school to add or remove sixth form provision by following the statutory process, see [part 5](#).

Governing bodies of community schools can propose the alteration of their upper age limit to add sixth-form provision following the statutory process, see [part 5](#)

Governing bodies of community special and foundation special schools can propose a change of age range of 1 year or more following the statutory process, see [part 5](#).

Where a proposed age range change would also require an expansion of the school's premises, the LA or governing body must also ensure that they act in accordance with the requirements relating to proposals for the [enlargement of premises](#).

Where a proposal seeks to change the age range of a primary school to make it an all-through (cross phase) school the proposer (as set out below) should copy the

proposal to schoolorganisation.notifications@education.gov.uk for monitoring purposes.

The table below sets out who can propose a change of age range and what process must be followed:

Proposer	Type of proposal	Process	Decision-maker	Right of appeal to the adjudicator
LA for voluntary and foundation	Alteration of upper or lower age range of up to 2 years (excluding adding or removing a sixth form)	Non statutory process	LA	NA
GB of voluntary and foundation	Alteration of upper or lower age range by up to 2 years (excluding adding or removing a sixth-form)	Non statutory process	GB	N/A
GB of voluntary and foundation	Alteration of upper or lower age range by three years or more	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB / Trustees
LA for community and community special	Alteration of upper or lower age range by 1 year or more (for community school including the adding or removal of sixth form or nurse provision)	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese
GB foundation special	Alteration of upper or lower age range by one year or more	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB / Trustees

Proposer	Type of proposal	Process	Decision-maker	Right of appeal to the adjudicator
GB community special	Alteration of upper or lower age range by one year or more	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese
LA for community	Alteration of upper age range so as to add or remove sixth-form provision	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese
LA for voluntary and foundation	Alteration of upper age range so as to add sixth-form provision.	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB / Trustees
GB of voluntary and foundation	Alteration of upper age range so as to add sixth-form provision	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB / Trustees
GB of community	Alteration of upper age range so as to add sixth-form provision	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese
GB of voluntary and foundation	Alteration of upper age range so as to remove sixth-form provision	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB / Trustees

NB: the LA must make a decision within a period of two months of the end of the representation period or they must be referred to the [Schools Adjudicator](#).

Adding or removing a sixth-form

The department wants to ensure that all new provision is of the highest quality and provides genuine value for money. There is a departmental expectation that proposals for the addition of sixth-form provision will only be put forward for secondary schools that are rated as 'good' or 'outstanding' by Ofsted. Proposers should also consider the supply of other local post-16 provision in the area and assess if there is a genuine need for the proposal.

When deciding a proposal for the closure of a rural primary school, there is a legal requirement² that the decision-maker must refer to the [Designation of Rural Primary Schools \(England\) Order](#) to confirm that the school is a rural primary school.

Secondary schools are identified on the EduBase system using the Office for National Statistics' [Rural and Urban Area Classification](#). Decision-makers should consider this indicator when deciding a proposal for the closure of a rural secondary school.

The [free school presumption](#) will not apply in cases where a rural infant and junior school on the same site are being closed to establish a new primary school.

In order to assist the decision-maker, the proposer of a rural school closure should provide evidence to show that it has carefully considered:

- alternatives to closure including: federation with another local school; conversion to academy status and joining a multi-academy trust; the scope for an extended school to provide local community services and facilities e.g. child care facilities, family and adult learning, healthcare, community internet access etc.;
- transport implications i.e. the availability, and likely cost of transport to other schools and sustainability issues; and
- the overall and long term impact on local people and the community of the closure of the village school and of the loss of the building as a community facility.

Amalgamations

There are two ways to amalgamate two (or more) existing maintained schools:

- The LA or governing body (depending on school category) can publish a proposal to close two, or more, schools and the LA or a proposer other than the LA (e.g. diocese, faith or parent group, Trust) depending on category, can publish a proposal to open a new school or presumption free school (see [part 2](#)). This results in a new school number being issued.
- The LA and / or governing body (depending on school category) can publish a proposal to close one school (or more) and enlarge / change the age range / transfer site (following the statutory process as / when necessary) of an existing school, to accommodate the displaced pupils. The remaining school would retain its original school number, as it is not a new school, even if its phase has changed.

² Section 15(7)(b) of EIA 2006