PROCUREMENT STRATEGY

A PROCUREMENT STRATEGY FOR THE DEMOLITION OF THE REMAINING BUILDINGS WITHIN THE FORMER WHOLESALE MARKET SITE

- 1 Service Requirements
- 1.1 The demolition of Manor House, the Markets and Pershore Street car parks within the works at the former Wholesale Markets site.

2 Procurement Options

The following options were considered:

- To carry out a procurement process there are benefits as prices will reflect current market conditions and the latest corporate requirements can be included for each tender exercise. For these reasons, this is the proposed route.
- Use a Collaborative Framework Agreement there is not a collaborative framework agreement awarded by the Council or any other public sector body in place for the works required.
- Utilising the Constructing West Midlands Framework Agreement (or its replacement) this option was discounted as the framework agreement does not cover demolition.

3 <u>Procurement Approach</u>

3.1 Duration and Advertising Route

The contract will be for a period of approximately 36 weeks for the site. This period reflects the proposed delivery programme for the project. This is a works contract which is below the OJEU threshold of £4,551,413 and therefore the tender will be advertised via <u>www.finditinbirmingham.com</u> and Contracts Finder only.

3.2 <u>Procurement Route</u>

The requirement will be tendered using the 'open' route on the basis that:

- There are sufficient suppliers in the marketplace that can provide all the required services
- The service can be clearly defined
- Tenderers' prices will be fixed for the term of the contract.

3.3 Scope and Specification

The scope and specification for the site is as follows:

- Preliminaries in preparation for the works
- Demolition including;
 - Demolition down to slab level
 - Removal of communication lines
 - Removal of any fly tipped and surplus materials
 - o Identification and disposal of asbestos and toxic waste
 - Site protection
 - Erect temporary security fencing
- Forklift Truck Permanent Route construction of a permanent route for Open Market Traders to transport their goods by forklift truck and pump truck to and from the rag market underground car park onto the open markets

3.4 <u>Tender Structure (Including Evaluation and Selection Criteria)</u>

The quality / social value / price balances below were established having due regard for the corporate document 'Evaluating Tenders' which considers the complexity of the services to be provided. The tender documents will include the form of contract; JCT Intermediate 2016.

Tenders will be evaluated against the specification in accordance with a pre-determined evaluation model.

The evaluation of tenders will be assessed as detailed below:

Assessment A

The criteria below, based on the PAS91:2013 Construction Prequalification Standard, will be assessed on a pass / fail basis:

| Criteria | Evaluation |
|---|-------------|
| STAGE ONE - Selection Stage | |
| Company Information | Pass / Fail |
| Financial Information (including Insurance) | Pass / Fail |
| Health and Safety | Pass / Fail |
| Compliance with Equalities | Pass / Fail |
| Quality Management | Pass / Fail |
| Grounds for Mandatory Exclusion | Pass / Fail |
| Grounds for Discretionary Exclusion | Pass / Fail |
| Supplier Portal | Pass /Fail |
| Modern Slavery Act 2015 | Pass / Fail |
| Technical and Professional Ability | Pass / Fail |
| Declaration | Pass / Fail |

Those organisations that pass all sections of Assessment A will proceed to the next stage.

Assessment B - Quality (30% Weighting)

| Criteria | Overall Weighting | Sub- Weighting |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| chnical Competence and Capacity 40% | | 40% |
| Organisation and Resources 100% | | 20% |
| Project Methodology | 7 | 40% |

An interview with tenderers may take place if required to clarify their understanding of the requirements and the scoring adjusted accordingly, as appropriate.

Tenderers who score more than the quality threshold of 60% i.e. a score of 60 out of a maximum quality score of 100 marks will proceed to Assessment C – Social Value.

Assessment C – Social Value (Weighting 20%)

| | Sub-Weighting | Sub-Criteria | Theme Sub- Weighting | | |
|----------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----|
| | | Local Employment | 40% | | |
| | | Partners in Communities | 40% | | |
| Qualitative | | Good Employer | 10% | | |
| | 25% | 25% | 25% | Green and Sustainable | 10% |
| | | | TOTAL 100% | | |
| Quantitative | 75% | BBC4SR Action Plan | Total of financial | | |
| | | | proxies (£) score | | |
| | | | TOTAL 100% | | |
| Overall Social Value | 100% | | | | |

Assessment D – Pricing (Weighting 50%)

Tenderers will submit a fixed price tender with the demolition of the three buildings.

Overall Evaluation

The evaluation process will result in comparative quality, social value and price scores for each tenderer. The maximum score will be awarded to the tender that demonstrates the highest for quality as it will for social value. Similarly, the maximum price score will be awarded to the lowest acceptable price. Other tenderers will be scored in proportion to the maximum scores in order to ensure value for money with the recommendation for the contract to be awarded to the first ranked tenderer.

3.5 Evaluation Team

The evaluation of the tenders will be undertaken by representatives from Acivico Ltd and the Project Manager, Smithfield, supported by Corporate Procurement Services.

4 Indicative Implementation Plan

The implementation plan below has been produced to meet the overall deadline for the project.

| Cabinet Approval (Strategy) | 8 th September 2020 |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| ITT Issued | 21 th December 2020 |
| ITT Return | 1 st February 2021 |
| Evaluation Period | 1 st February 2021 to |
| | 15 th February 2021 |
| DPR Approval (Award) | 15 th March 2021 |
| Contract Award | 15 th March 2021 |
| Contract Start | 22 nd March 2021 |
| Demolition Practical Completion | 10 th January 2022 |

5 Service Delivery Management

5.1 Contract Management

Acivico Ltd has been commissioned as the project managers for the delivery of the demolition contract.

5.2 <u>Performance Measurement</u>

The following Key Performance Indicators will be included to ensure the delivery of the works is in accordance with the requirements of the contract with appropriate default measures. These include the delivery of the:

- Project delivered to agreed milestones
- Project delivered to agreed scope
- Delivery of committed social value actions

B PROCUREMENT STRATEGY FOR THE TECHNICAL SURVEYS TO SUPPORT THE WORKS WITHIN THE FORMER WHOLESALE MARKET SITE

- 1 Service Requirements
- 1.1 There is a requirement for the following technical surveys to support the works:
 - Ecology (Bat and Birds)
 - Asbestos
 - Unexploded Ordnance
 - Underground Services
 - Archaeological
 - Topographical
 - Utilities Detection Mapping
 - Verification
 - Drainage Condition
 - Ground investigations
- 2 Procurement Approach
- 2.1 The following surveys are below the thresholds for OJEU services and the Council's Constitution of £189,330 and the procurement route will be to undertake a quotation exercise advertised on Contracts Finder and <u>www.finditinbirmingham.com</u> and contracts awarded in accordance with the Procurement Governance Arrangements:
 - Ecology (Bats and Bird Nests)
 - Unexploded Ordnance
 - Underground Services
 - Archaeological
 - Ground Investigations
- 2.2 The asbestos survey will be carried out by Birmingham City Laboratories (BCL) as the internal service.
- 2.3 The aggregated value of the topographical, utilities detection mapping, verification and drainage condition survey is above the OJEU threshold for services and the procurement strategy is detailed below.

3 Topographical Survey, PAS 128 Utility Detection & Mapping Survey, PAS 128 QL-A Verification Survey and Drainage Condition (CCTV) Surveys

3.1 <u>Procurement Options</u>

The following options were considered:

• To carry out a procurement process – although this is an option, it is considered that using the benefits of a collaborative framework agreement is a more effective

route to market for reasons of timescale and value for money and therefore this option was discounted.

Use a Collaborative Framework Agreement - there are a number of collaborative framework agreements in place that cover the services required. A review of the framework agreements was undertaken and it was decided to use NEPRO Consultancy Services Framework Agreement. The North East Procurement Organisation (NEPO) is a purchasing consortium set up by eleven public sector bodies in the North East of England. Bloom Procurement Services Ltd is the managed service provider for consultancy awarded by the NEPO. Bloom does not deliver the services itself but manages a supply chain of organisations that could deliver surveying services and manages the procurement process. The rules governing the NEPO framework agreement leave Bloom free to appoint a supply chain member, subject to the customer's prior approval, to deliver the required service following its procurement protocol.

3.2 <u>Tender Structure (Including Evaluation and Selection Criteria)</u>

The procurement process managed by Bloom Procurement Services Ltd will use the quality / social value / price balances below as advised by the Council having due regard for the corporate document 'Evaluating Tenders' which considers the complexity of the services to be provided.

Tenders will be evaluated against the specification in accordance with a pre-determined evaluation model.

The evaluation of tenders will be assessed as detailed below:

Assessment A - Quality (30% Weighting)

| Criteria | Overall Weighting | Sub- Weighting |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Technical Competence and Capacity | | 40% |
| Organisation and Resources 100% 20 | | 20% |
| Project Methodology | | 40% |

An interview with tenderers may take place if required to clarify their understanding of the requirements and the scoring adjusted accordingly, as appropriate.

Tenderers who score more than the quality threshold of 60% i.e. a score of 60 out of a maximum quality score of 100 marks will proceed to Assessment B – Social Value.

Assessment B – Social Value (Weighting 20%)

| | Sub-Weighting | Sub-Criteria | Theme Sub- Weighting |
|----------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Local Employment | 40% |
| | | Partners in Communities | 40% |
| Qualitative | | Good Employer | 10% |
| | 25% | Green and Sustainable | 10% |
| | | | TOTAL 100% |
| Quantitative | 75% | BBC4SR Action Plan | Total of financial |
| | | | proxies (£) score |
| | | | TOTAL 100% |
| Overall Social Value | 100% | | ÷ |

Assessment C – Pricing (Weighting 50%)

Tenderers will submit a fixed price tender for the surveys.

Overall Evaluation

The evaluation process will result in comparative quality, social value and price scores for each tenderer. The maximum score will be awarded to the tender that demonstrates the highest for quality as it will for social value. Similarly, the maximum price score will be awarded to the lowest acceptable price. Other tenderers will be scored in proportion to the maximum scores in order to ensure value for money with the recommendation for the contract to be awarded to the first ranked tenderer.

3.6 <u>Evaluation Team</u>

The evaluation of the tenders will be undertaken by representatives from BCL and the Project Manager, Smithfield and managed by Bloom Procurement Services Ltd, supported by Corporate Procurement Services.

3.7 Indicative Implementation Plan

The implementation plan below has been produced to meet the overall deadline for the project.

| Cabinet Approval (Strategy) | 8th September 2020 |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| ITT Issued | 23rd September 2020 |
| ITT Return | 7 th October 2020 |
| Evaluation Period | 7 th October to |
| | 14 th October 2020 |
| DPR Approval (Award) | 19 th October 2020 |
| Contract Award | 26 th October 2020 |
| Contract Start | 26 th October 2020 |
| Technical Surveys Complete | 28 th December 2021 |

3.8 Service Delivery Management

3.8.1 Contract Management

BCL will manage the surveys reporting the Project Manager, Smithfield.

3.8.2 Performance Measurement

The following Key Performance Indicators will be included to ensure the delivery of the works is in accordance with the requirements of the contract with appropriate default measures. These include the delivery of the:

- Project delivered to agreed milestones
- Project delivered to agreed scope
- Delivery of committed social value actions

D PROCUREMENT STRATEGY FOR THE SUPPLY AND INSTALLATION OF STORAGE CAGES FOR RETAIL MARKET TRADERS

- 1 Service Requirements
- 1.1 The supply and installation of storage cages for retail market traders.

2 <u>Procurement Options</u>

The following options were considered:

- To carry out a procurement process there are benefits as prices will reflect current market conditions and the latest corporate requirements can be included for each tender exercise. For these reasons, this is the proposed route.
- Use a Collaborative Framework Agreement there is not a collaborative framework agreement awarded by the Council or any other public sector body in place for the works required.
- Utilising the Constructing West Midlands Framework Agreement (or its replacement) this option was discounted as the value and complexity of the contract is not considered to be attractive to the framework suppliers.

6 <u>Procurement Approach</u>

3.9 Duration and Advertising Route

The contract will be for a period of approximately 7 weeks. This period reflects the proposed delivery programme for the project. This is a works contract which is below the OJEU threshold of £4,551,413 and therefore the tender will be advertised via the OJEU, <u>www.finditinbirmingham.com</u> and Contracts Finder.

3.10 Procurement Route

The requirement will be tendered using the 'open' route on the basis that:

- There are sufficient suppliers in the marketplace that can provide all the required services
- The service can be clearly defined
- Tenderers' prices will be fixed for the term of the contract.

3.11 Scope and Specification

The scope and specification for the site is as follows:

- Supply of 36 storage cages
- Installation of the storage cages

3.12 <u>Tender Structure (Including Evaluation and Selection Criteria)</u>

The quality / social value / price balances below were established having due regard for the corporate document 'Evaluating Tenders' which considers the complexity of the services to be provided.

Tenders will be evaluated against the specification in accordance with a pre-determined evaluation model.

The evaluation of tenders will be assessed as detailed below:

Assessment A

The criteria below, based on the PAS91:2013 Construction Prequalification Standard, will be assessed on a pass / fail basis:

| Criteria | Evaluation |
|---|-------------|
| STAGE ONE - Selection Stage | |
| Company Information | Pass / Fail |
| Financial Information (including Insurance) | Pass / Fail |
| Health and Safety | Pass / Fail |
| Compliance with Equalities | Pass / Fail |
| Quality Management | Pass / Fail |
| Grounds for Mandatory Exclusion | Pass / Fail |
| Grounds for Discretionary Exclusion | Pass / Fail |
| Supplier Portal | Pass /Fail |
| Modern Slavery Act 2015 | Pass / Fail |
| Technical and Professional Ability | Pass / Fail |
| Declaration | Pass / Fail |

Those organisations that pass all sections of Assessment A will proceed to the next stage.

Assessment B - Quality (30% Weighting)

| Criteria | Overall Weighting | Sub- Weighting |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Technical Competence and Capacity | | 40% |
| Organisation and Resources 100% | | 20% |
| Project Methodology | | 40% |

An interview with tenderers may take place if required to clarify their understanding of the requirements and the scoring adjusted accordingly, as appropriate.

Tenderers who score more than the quality threshold of 60% i.e. a score of 60 out of a maximum quality score of 100 marks will proceed to Assessment C – Social Value.

Assessment C – Pricing (Weighting 70%)

Tenderers will submit a fixed price tender for the supply and installation.

Overall Evaluation

The evaluation process will result in comparative quality and price scores for each tenderer. The maximum score will be awarded to the tender that demonstrates the highest for quality. Similarly, the maximum price score will be awarded to the lowest acceptable price. Other tenderers will be scored in proportion to the maximum scores in order to ensure value for money with the recommendation for the contract to be awarded to the first ranked tenderer.

3.13 Evaluation Team

The evaluation of the tenders will be undertaken by representatives from Acivico Ltd and the Project Manager, Smithfield, supported by Corporate Procurement Services.

7 Indicative Implementation Plan

The implementation plan below has been produced to meet the overall deadline for the project.

| Cabinet Approval (Strategy) | 8 th September 2020 | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| ITT Issued | 19 th October 2020 | |
| ITT Return | 16 November 2020 | |
| Evaluation Period | 16 th November to | |
| | 30 ^h November 2020 | |
| DPR Approval (Award) | 21 st December 2021 | |
| Contract Award | 11 th January 2021 | |
| Contract Start | 11 th January 2021 | |

8 <u>Service Delivery Management</u>

5.3 <u>Contract Management</u>

Acivico Ltd has been commissioned as the project managers for the delivery of the contract.

5.4 <u>Performance Measurement</u>

The following Key Performance Indicators will be included to ensure the delivery of the works is in accordance with the requirements of the contract with appropriate default measures. These include the delivery of the:

- Project delivered to agreed milestones
- Project delivered to agreed scope
- Delivery of committed social value actions

E PROCUREMENT STRATEGY FOR THE REMAINING RELOCATION WORKS WITHIN THE FORMER WHOLESALE MARKET SITE

.1 Service Requirements and Procurement Approach

-

1.1 There is a requirement for the following works to support the relocation:

| Wor | ks | Procurement Approach |
|----------------|--|--|
| Office Removal | | To use the Council's contract for Office |
| | | Removals if it is not possible to be |
| | | undertaken internally |
| Civil | Engineering Works | To use the Sandwell Council's Black |
| \succ | Creation of 2-Way Vehicle Access Route | Country Minor Works Framework |
| \succ | Barrier Gate to 2-way vehicle access | Agreement Lot 2 (£0.100m to £0.500m) in |
| \succ | Groundworks to provide parking | accordance with its rules. |
| \succ | Refrigerated Electric Charger Point | |
| Build | ling Works | These works are below the thresholds for |
| \succ | Ground levelling to Rag Market car park | OJEU works and the Council's Constitution |
| \succ | Installation of frozen storage units | of £189,330 and the procurement route will |
| \succ | Refrigerated storage units | be to undertake a quotation exercise |
| \succ | Polystyrene waste recycling facility | advertised on Contracts Finder and |
| \succ | Two Outdoor Goods Lift | www.finditinbirmingham.com and contracts |
| \succ | Works to the new office premises at Ashted | awarded in accordance with the |
| | Lock and Indoor Market Unit | Procurement Governance Arrangements: |
| | | |
| Build | ling Security | These works are below the thresholds for |
| \succ | Security Screens/Boards to Building | OJEU works and the Council's Constitution |
| \succ | Security Parole | of £189,330 and the procurement route will |
| | | be to undertake a quotation exercise |
| | | advertised on Contracts Finder and |
| | | www.finditinbirmingham.com and contracts |
| | | awarded in accordance with the |
| | | Procurement Governance Arrangements: |