

Title of proposed EIA	Disposal of Surplus Properties - April 2019
Reference No	EQUA275
EA is in support of	New Function
Review Frequency	Six Months
Date of first review	13/09/2019
Directorate	Economy
Division	Birmingham Property Services
Service Area	Property Valuation & Sales
Responsible Officer(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Felicia Saunders
Quality Control Officer(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Eden Ottley
Accountable Officer(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Eden Ottley
Purpose of proposal	The continued review of the Council's land and property portfolio has identified as being surplus to Council requirements
What sources of data have been used to produce the screening of this policy/proposal?	Consultation Results; relevant reports/strategies
Please include any other sources of data	
PLEASE ASSESS THE POTENTIAL IMPACT ON THE FOLLOWING PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS	
Protected characteristic: Age	Not Applicable
Age details:	
Protected characteristic: Disability	Not Applicable
Disability details:	
Protected characteristic: Gender	Not Applicable
Gender details:	
Protected characteristics: Gender Reassignment	Not Applicable
Gender reassignment details:	
Protected characteristics: Marriage and Civil Partnership	Not Applicable
Marriage and civil partnership details:	
Protected characteristics: Pregnancy and Maternity	Not Applicable
Pregnancy and maternity details:	
Protected characteristics: Race	Not Applicable
Race details:	

Protected characteristics: Religion or Beliefs

Not Applicable

Religion or beliefs details:

Protected characteristics: Sexual Orientation

Not Applicable

Sexual orientation details:

Please indicate any actions arising from completing this screening exercise.

Please indicate whether a full impact assessment is recommended

NO

What data has been collected to facilitate the assessment of this policy/proposal?

Consultation analysis

Adverse impact on any people with protected characteristics.

Could the policy/proposal be modified to reduce or eliminate any adverse impact on any particular group(s)?

How will the effect(s) of this policy/proposal on equality be monitored?

What data is required in the future to ensure effective monitoring of this policy/proposal?

Are there any adverse impacts on any particular group(s)

No

If yes, please explain your reasons for going ahead.

Initial equality impact assessment of your proposal

The disposal of surplus properties in Alum Rock, Castle Vale, Erdington, Highters Heath, Sparkbrook & Balsall Heath East, Sutton Vesey will provide opportunities for individuals and groups to regenerate the area. The disposals will be through auction and on an open market disposal basis, with the opportunity to purchase made available through market press releases.

No direct consultation is necessary for the disposal of these sites and members of the community have not been

Consulted People or Groups

disadvantaged or denied access.

The Leader of the Council, Property and Assets Board, officers from Legal Services, City Finance, and other relevant officers from Inclusive Growth. The relevant Ward Members have also been consulted.

Informed People or Groups

Summary and evidence of findings from your EIA

The Leader of the Council is fully supportive of the report proceeding to an executive decision. The relevant Ward Members for each property have no adverse comments regarding the report.

The disposals will be through auction and on an open market basis so all members of the community will have an opportunity to purchase the assets available.

The sale of these properties will offer opportunity to regenerate the respective areas, and provide new property and business opportunities to individuals and groups. No external

consultation is necessary for the disposal of these properties. The community has therefore not been disadvantaged or denied access to these opportunities.

There has been ongoing consultation with members of their respective constituency, who have as representation been consulted on issues of relevance.

There have been no issues raised which impact the wider community negatively, therefore a full equality assessment is not required at this stage.

QUALITY CONTORL SECTION

Submit to the Quality Control Officer for reviewing?

Yes

Quality Control Officer comments

Decision by Quality Control Officer

Proceed for final approval

Submit draft to Accountable Officer?

Yes

Decision by Accountable Officer

Approve

Date approved / rejected by the Accountable Officer

15/03/2019

Reasons for approval or rejection

Please print and save a PDF copy for your records

Yes

Content Type: Item

Version: 19.0

Created at 13/03/2019 08:27 AM by ☐ Felicia Saunders

Last modified at 15/03/2019 02:01 PM by Workflow on behalf of ☐ Eden Ottley

Close