C. ECONOMIC CASE - OPTIONS APPRAISAL

This sets out the options that have been considered to determine the best value for money in achieving the Council's priorities

C1. Options reviewed

A full description and review of each option is in Section G1

Option 1 - <u>recommended option</u> – replace the boiler systems at Kings Heath and Ladywood Community Centres

This is the only option that will allow the Centres to keep functioning in the longer term and is a more cost-effective solution than the on-going using of temporary boilers for which the service is paying hire charges of c£80,000 a year. The project cost to replace the two boiler systems is estimated at £593,650 including fees and contingency provisions.

Option 2 – continue with temporary boilers

Temporary boilers are being hired as a short-term emergency measure in order that the Centres could continue to open to the public. However, the hire charges amount to an estimated £80,000 per year which is creating a budget pressure for the service. The hire costs are being met on a temporary basis by deferring expenditure in other areas of service and therefore this solution cannot be sustained indefinitely. Therefore, this option was discounted.

Option Discounted - repair of existing system

Following inspection, Acivico Ltd have advised that the boiler systems are obsolete and are beyond economic repair and that, at best, repairs would offer only a short- term solution as other parts of the system could fail at any time. This option was therefore discounted.

C2. Summary of Options Appraisal – Price/Quality Matrix					
Option score (out of 10)	Weight	Weighted Score			

Criteria	1	2	3		1	2	3
Total capital cost	0	10	10	20%	0.0	2.0	2.0
2. Upfront revenue cost	10	0	0		2.0	0.0	0.0
3. Full year revenue							
consequences	8	4	2	30%	2.4	1.2	0.6
4. Benefits: Council							
priorities	10	6	2	40%	4.0	2.4	8.0
5. Benefits: Service							
priorities							
6. Deliverability and							
risks	8	6	4	10%	0.8	0.6	0.4
7. Other impacts							
Total	36	26	18	100%	9.2	6.2	3.8

G. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

(Please adapt or replace the formats as appropriate to the project)

G1. OBC OPTIONS APPRAISAL RECORDS (these are summarised in section C2)

The following sections are evidence of the different options that have been considered in arriving at the proposed solution. All options should be documented individually.

Option 1	Recommended option – replace the boilers systems at Kings Heath and Ladywood Community Centres
Information Considered	 What information was considered in evaluating the option – this must be the same for each option considered. Capital cost Revenue costs Views of customers, local community and elected representatives Likely life of option Effectiveness of solution
Pros and Cons of Option	 What were the advantages/positive aspects of this option? This option will permanently resolve the problem ie provide heating and hot water at both facilities Avoids on-going and escalating hire and off contract fuel costs Keeps an existing asset in use for the residents of Birmingham Meets the expectations of user groups, Ward Councillors and the local community What are the Disadvantages/negative aspects of this option? Capital cost
People Consulted	Who was consulted regarding development of key elements of this option Ward Councillors Kings Heath & Brandwood Ward Ward Councillors Ladywood Ward NHS Estate Services (Ladywood H&CC)
Recommendation	Proceed or Abandon this Option
Principal Reason for Decision	What are the key reasons for the recommendation regarding this option This is the only option that provides a permanent solution

Option 2	Continue with temporary boilers	
Information Considered	What information was considered in evaluating the option – this must be the same for each option considered.	
	Capital cost	
	Revenue costs	
	 Views of customers, local community and elected 	
	representatives	
	Likely life of option	
	Effectiveness of solution	
Pros and Cons of	What were the advantages/positive aspects of this option?	
Option	There is no immediate requirement for capital resources	
	What are the Disadvantages/negative aspects of this option? On-going and escalating hire and fuel costs that cannot be afforded from the service revenue budget	
People Consulted	Who was consulted regarding development of key elements of this	
	option?	
	Ward Councillors Kings Heath & Brandwood Ward Ward Councillors Ladywood Ward	
	NHS Estate Services (Ladywood H&CC)	
Recommendation	Proceed or Abandon this Option	
Principal Reason for	What are the key reasons for the recommendation regarding this	
Decision	option	
	On-going, unfunded, boiler hire charges which are not sustainable	

Option 3	Repair existing boiler systems			
Information	What information was considered in evaluating the option – this			
Considered	must be the same for each option considered.			
	Capital cost			
	Revenue costs			
	 Views of customers, local community and elected 			
	representatives			
	Likely life of option			
	Effectiveness of solution			
Pros and Cons of	What were the advantages/positive aspects of this option?			
Option	One off revenue cost rather than on-going prudential			
	borrowing charges			
	What are the Disadvantages/negative aspects of this option?			
	 Not feasible as boilers obsolete and beyond economic repair 			
People Consulted	Who was consulted regarding development of key elements of this			
	option?			
	Ward Councillors Kings Heath & Brandwood Ward			
	Ward Councillors Ladywood Ward			
	NHS Estate Services (Ladywood H&CC)			
Recommendation	Proceed or Abandon this Option			
Principal Reason for	What are the key reasons for the recommendation regarding this			
Decision	option			
	Not feasible			