



**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF BIRMINGHAM CITY COUNCIL HELD
ON TUESDAY 12 JULY 2016 AT 1400 HOURS IN THE COUNCIL
CHAMBER, COUNCIL HOUSE, BIRMINGHAM**

PRESENT:- Lord Mayor (Councillor Carl Rice) in the Chair.

Councillors

Uzma Ahmed	Mick Finnegan	Bruce Lines
Mohammed Aikhlaq	Des Flood	John Lines
Deirdre Alden	Jayne Francis	Mary Locke
John Alden	Carole Griffiths	Majid Mahmood
Robert Alden	Peter Griffiths	Karen McCarthy
Nawaz Ali	Paulette Hamilton	Gareth Moore
Tahir Ali	Andrew Hardie	Yvonne Mosquito
Sue Anderson	Roger Harmer	John O'Shea
Gurdial Singh Atwal	Kath Hartley	Eva Phillips
Mohammed Azim	Barry Henley	Robert Pocock
David Barrie	Penny Holbrook	Victoria Quinn
Bob Beauchamp	Des Hughes	Hendrina Quinnen
Matt Bennett	Jon Hunt	Chauhdry Rashid
Kate Booth	Mahmood Hussain	Habib Rehman
Steve Booton	Shabrana Hussain	Fergus Robinson
Sir Albert Bore	Timothy Huxtable	Gary Sambrook
Barry Bowles	Mohammed Idrees	Rob Sealey
Marje Bridle	Zafar Iqbal	Shafique Shah
Mick Brown	Ziaul Islam	Mike Sharpe
Alex Buchanan	Kerry Jenkins	Sybil Spence
Sam Burden	Meirion Jenkins	Claire Spencer
Tristan Chatfield	Simon Jevon	Ron Storer
Zaker Choudhry	Julie Johnson	Martin Straker Welds
Debbie Clancy	Brigid Jones	Sharon Thompson
Lynda Clinton	Carol Jones	Paul Tilsley
Lyn Collin	Josh Jones	Karen Trench
John Cotton	Nagina Kauser	Lisa Trickett
Ian Cruise	Tony Kennedy	Anne Underwood
Basharat Dad	Ansar Ali Khan	Margaret Waddington
Phil Davis	Changese Khan	Ian Ward
Diane Donaldson	Mariam Khan	Mike Ward
Peter Douglas Osborn	Narinder Kaur Kooner	Ken Wood

City Council – 12 July 2016

Barbara Dring
Neil Eustace
Mohammed Fazal

Chaman Lal
Mike Leddy

Alex Yip
Waseem Zaffar

NOTICE OF RECORDING

18700 The Lord Mayor advised that due to technical difficulties the meeting would not be webcast for live broadcasting via the Council's internet site. He added that it was hoped that a copy of the broadcast may be available after the meeting. (During the meeting the Lord Mayor was able to advise that live broadcast had resumed)

The Lord Mayor further advised that members of the Press/Public may record and take photographs.

The whole of the meeting would be filmed except where they were confidential or exempt items.

The Lord Mayor asked Members to note that, subject to the agreement of exemptions from Standing Orders later in the meeting, the meeting was timed to finish at 2000 hours.

MINUTES

Referring to the preamble of Minute No. 18686 on page 2069 of the previous Minutes Councillor John Lines indicated that the name 'Richard' should be 'John'.

It was moved by the Lord Mayor, seconded and –

18701 **RESOLVED:-**

That, subject to the above amendment, the Minutes of the Meeting of the City Council held on 14 June 2016, having been printed and a copy sent to each Member of the Council, be taken as read and confirmed and signed.

LORD MAYOR'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

1 Bishop Walsh Catholic School

18702 The Lord Mayor indicated that in the public gallery were staff and pupils of Bishop Walsh Catholic School who have had a tour of the Council House and are now joining us to watch the debate.

The Lord Mayor asked all in the Chamber to join him in welcoming them all to the Council meeting.

2 Death of Honorary Alderman and Freeman of the City Freda Mary Cocks CBE

The Lord Mayor referred to the recent death of Honorary Alderman and Freeman of the City Freda Mary Cocks, in her 101st year, who served as a Councillor from 1957 to 1963, 1965 to 1970 and 1973 to 1990. She was an Alderman from 1970 to 1974; served as Lord Mayor of Birmingham in 1977/78; became an Honorary Alderman in in 1990 and was made a Freeman of the City on 25 October 1986. The Lord Mayor continued that Richard served on a number of Committees, Sub-Committees and outside bodies. In addition she was a JP.

After a number tributes had been paid by Members it was moved by the Lord Mayor, seconded and:-

18703 **RESOLVED:-**

That this Council places on record its sorrow at the death of Honorary Alderman and Freeman of the City Freda Mary Cocks CBE and its appreciation of her devoted service to the residents of Birmingham; it extends its deepest sympathy to members of Freda's family in their sad bereavement.

3 Social Housing Provider of the Year Award

18704 The Lord Mayor indicated he was delighted to advise that Birmingham City Council had won 'Social Housing Provider of the Year' at the Insider Residential Property Awards 2016 which highlighted the work of the Birmingham Municipal Housing Trust programme - which is currently the largest provider of affordable homes per annum in the Midlands - and showcased recent projects in Nechells, Sutton Coldfield and Ladywood.

The Lord Mayor asked all those in the Chamber to join him in congratulating all those involved in achieving this success.

PETITIONS

Petition Relating to City Council Functions Presented before the Meeting

The following petition was presented before the meeting:-

(See document No 1)

In accordance with the proposals by the Member presenting the petition, it was moved by the Lord Mayor, seconded and -

18705 **RESOLVED:-**

That the petition be received and referred to the relevant Chief Officer.

Petition Relating to External Organisations Presented at the Meeting

The following petition was presented:-

(See document No 2)

In accordance with the proposals by the Member presenting the petition, it was moved by the Lord Mayor, seconded and -

18706 **RESOLVED:-**

That the petition be received and referred to the relevant organisation.

Petitions Relating to City Council Functions Presented at the Meeting

The following petitions were presented:-

(See document No 3)

In accordance with the proposals by the Members presenting the petitions, it was moved by the Lord Mayor, seconded and -

18707 **RESOLVED:-**

That the petitions be received and referred to the relevant Chief Officers.

Petitions Update

The following Petitions Update was submitted:-

(See document No 4)

It was moved by the Lord Mayor, seconded and -

18708 **RESOLVED:-**

That the Petitions Update be noted and those petitions for which a satisfactory response has been received, be discharged.

QUESTION TIME

18709 The Council proceeded to consider Oral Questions in accordance with Standing Order 9 (B).

Details of the questions asked are available for public inspection via the webcast.

During a supplementary question from Councillor John Lines to the Cabinet Member for Children, Families and Schools, the Cabinet Member as a point of order indicated that the Ofsted report relating to Nonsuch Primary School

City Council – 12 July 2016

had not been published yet. Councillor John Lines indicated that he was not going to raise that issue.

APPOINTMENTS BY THE COUNCIL

The following report of the Council Business Management Committee was submitted:-

(See document No 5)

18710

RESOLVED:-

That the following persons be appointed until the Annual Meeting of the Council in 2016 as set below:-

<u>Body</u>	<u>Representative</u>
West Midlands Police and Crime Panel	Councillor Waseem Zaffar (Lab) to replace Councillor John O'Shea (Lab) as substitute Member. Nominate to the West Midlands Joint Committee that Councillor John O'Shea (Lab) and Councillor Jayne Francis (Lab) as substitute serve as Birmingham representatives on the Panel.
Corporate Resources And Governance Overview and Scrutiny Committee	Councillor Rob Pocock (Lab) to replace Councillor Ansar Ali Khan (Lab) Councillor Sybil Spence (Lab) to replace Councillor Marje Bridle (Lab)
Licensing and Public Protection Committee	Councillor Habib Rehman (Lab) to replace Councillor Tony Kennedy (Lab)
Planning Committee	Councillor Martin Straker Welds (Lab) to replace Councillor Narinder Kaur Kooner (Lab)

EXEMPTION FROM STANDING ORDERS

It was moved by Councillor Sharon Thompson:-

“That, pursuant to a CBM discussion, Standing Orders be waived as follows:

- Allocate 5 Minutes for item 8 (Proposed Changes to the Constitution)

City Council – 12 July 2016

- Allocate 40 Minutes for item 9 (Birmingham Child Poverty Commission Report)
- Allocate 60 Minutes for item 10 (Education Services Delivery & and Improvement Plan 2016/2017)
- Reduce the time for item 11 (Overview and Scrutiny Work Programme for 2016/2017) to 30 Minutes

CBM is also recommending that the meeting finish at 2000 hours”

It was-

18711 **RESOLVED:-**

That, pursuant to a CBM discussion, Standing Orders be waived as follows:

- Allocate 5 Minutes for item 8 (Proposed Changes to the Constitution)
- Allocate 40 Minutes for item 9 (Birmingham Child Poverty Commission Report)
- Allocate 60 Minutes for item 10 (Education Services Delivery & and Improvement Plan 2016/2017)
- Reduce the time for item 11 (Overview and Scrutiny Work Programme for 2016/2017) to 30 Minutes

and that it be recommended that the meeting finish at 2000 hours

LENGTH OF MEETING

At the invitation of the Lord Mayor, Councillor Robert Alden proposed and Councillor Ian Ward seconded and it was-

18712 **RESOLVED:-**

That Standing Order 13 (Length of Council Meetings) be suspended and the meeting be extended by 60 minutes to 2000 hours.

PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE CONSTITUTION

The following report of the Council Business Management Committee was submitted:-

(See document No 6)

Councillor Ian Ward moved the motion which was seconded.

In accordance with Council Standing Orders, Councillors Gary Sambrook and Ken Wood gave notice of the following amendment to the Motion:-

(See document No 7)

Councillor Gary Sambrook moved the amendment which was seconded by Councillor Ken Wood.

A debate ensued during which Councillor Cotton indicated that the word 'Adults' should be added before the word 'safeguarding' under Health and Wellbeing and the Environment Committee on page 8 of 12. He continued that elsewhere in the document reference was made to officers who were no longer in the employment of the City Council.

Councillor Ian Ward replied to the debate indicating that the amendment was acceptable as it picked up an omission and that the City Solicitor would review the Constitution in its entirety to pick up other omissions similar to those raised by Councillor John Cotton.

The Motion as amended having been moved and seconded was put to the vote and by a show of hands was declared to be carried.

It was therefore -

18713

RESOLVED:-

That approval be given to the necessary changes to the City Council's Constitution as indicated by the tracked changes in the appendix to the report and that the City Solicitor be authorised to implement the changes with immediate effect.

In addition, that Standing Order B1 of the Constitution 'Order of Business' be amended as follows to include provision for Oral Questions to Assistant Leaders:

- Order of Business

Questions from members of the public to any Cabinet Member, Assistant Leader or District Committee Chairman	20 minutes 1 minute for each question 2 minutes for each answer
Questions from Councillors other than Cabinet Members to a Cabinet Member or Assistant Leader. Each Councillor may ask one question and one supplementary question.	25 minutes 1 minute for each question 3 minutes for each answer

9 Questions

(B) Oral Questions

(1) Questions from Members of the Public

A member of the public may ask one oral question of any Cabinet Member, Assistant Leader or District Committee Chairman by submitting the question in writing to the Chief Executive no later than 12 noon on the Friday before the Council meeting. No question will exceed 1 minute and no answer will exceed 2 minutes.

BIRMINGHAM CHILD POVERTY COMMISSION REPORT

The following report of Councillor Waseem Zaffar and Peter Hay was submitted:-

(See document No 8)

Councillor Waseem Zaffar moved the motion which was seconded .

A debate ensued.

Councillor Waseem Zaffar replied to the debate.

The motion having been moved and seconded was put to the vote and by a show of hands was declared to be carried.

It was therefore –

18714 **RESOLVED:-**

The Council welcomes and notes the Independent Child Poverty Commission report and its recommendations.

ADJOURNMENT

It was moved by the Lord Mayor, seconded and

18715 **RESOLVED:-**

That the Council be adjourned until 1700 hours on this day.

The Council then adjourned at 1640 hours.

At 1700 hours the Council resumed at the point where the meeting had been adjourned.

EDUCATION SERVICES DELIVERY AND IMPROVEMENT PLAN

The following report of Improvement Quartet was submitted:-

(See document No 9)

Councillor Brigid Jones moved the motion which was seconded.

In accordance with Council Standing Orders, Councillors Matt Bennett and Debbie Clancy gave notice of the following amendment to the Motion:-

(See document No 10)

Councillor Matt Bennett moved the amendment which was seconded by Councillor Debbie Clancy.

City Council – 12 July 2016

In accordance with Council Standing Orders, Councillors Sue Anderson and Paul Tilsley gave notice of the following amendment to the Motion:-

(See document No 11)

Councillor Sue Anderson moved the amendment which was seconded by Councillor Paul Tilsley.

A debate ensued.

Councillor Brigid Jones replied to the debate during which, as a point of order, Councillor Matt Bennett indicated that Councillor Jones' comments that he had been reprimanded because of his behaviour towards one individual were untrue and he asked for them to be withdrawn. Councillor Jones clarified her comments.

The first amendment having been moved and seconded was put to the vote and by a show of hands was declared to be lost.

Here upon a poll being demanded the voting, with names listed in seat number order, was as follows:-

(See document No 12)

NB The documents have been amended to show that the Deputy Lord Mayor for the meeting (Councillor Shah) as being a Labour Member and not a Liberal Democrat Member.

The second amendment having been moved and seconded was put to the vote and by a show of hands was declared to be carried.

The Motion as amended having been moved and seconded was put to the vote and by a show of hands was declared to be carried.

It was therefore –

18716

RESOLVED:-

The Council notes the progress in education and proposed next steps, noting also the difficulties caused by the fragmentation of the variety of educational provisions and systems in place.

Council therefore calls upon the Secretary of State to ensure that Local Education Authorities are given the powers alongside the responsibilities to enable all schools within Birmingham to work in uniformity to ensure that all children have access to all schools and that safeguarding remains a priority for all educational establishments.

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY WORK PROGRAMME FOR 2016/17

The following report of the Chairs of Overview and Scrutiny was submitted:-

(See document No 13)

Councillor Victoria Quinn moved the motion which was seconded.

A debate ensued.

Councillors Mohammad Aikhlaq, Zafar Iqbal, John Cotton, Barry Bowles and Victoria Quinn responded to the debate

It was –

18717

RESOLVED:-

That the City Council note the report and contribute ideas on scrutiny topics for 2016/17.

MOTIONS FOR DEBATE FROM INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS

The Council proceeded to consider the Motions of which notice had been given in accordance with Standing Order 4(A).

Councillors Alex Yip and John Alden have given notice of the following Motion:-

(See document No 14)

Councillor Sam Burden declared an interest as his wife worked for Christian Aid

Councillor Alex Yip moved the Motion which was seconded by Councillor John Alden.

In accordance with Council Standing Orders, Councillors Majid Mahmood and Tristan Chatfield gave notice of the following amendment to the Motion:-

(See document No 15)

Councillor Majid Mahmood in moving the amendment, which was seconded by Councillor Tristan Chatfield, noted that the second to last word in the third paragraph should read 'been'.

A debate ensued.

Councillor Alex Yip replied to the debate.

The amendment having been moved and seconded was put to the vote and by a show of hands was declared to be carried.

The Motion as amended having been moved and seconded was put to the vote and by a show of hands was declared to be carried.

It was therefore -

18718

RESOLVED:-

The Council notes the Tax Justice campaign led by Christian Aid.

The Council notes that

- Corporate tax evasion is having a damaging impact on the world's poorest countries, to such a level that it is costing them far more than they receive in aid;
- this is costing the UK as much as £30bn a year; and that
- this practice also has a negative effect on small and medium-sized companies who pay more tax proportionately.

Council further notes that the UK Government has:

- taken steps to tackle the issue of tax evasion by issuing Procurement Policy Note 03/14, applying to all central government contracts worth more than £5m;
- hosted the world's first international anti-corruption summit to build a consensus on the exchange and publishing of information;
- Closed loopholes with 40 tax changes and are legislating to close a further 25, raising an additional £28 billion;
- made clear its support for forcing multinationals to publish country-specific breakdown of the profits they make and the taxes they pay;
- Introduced the Public Services (Social Value) Act 2012 making provisions for ensuring the public procurement has wider public benefit; and
- Introduced the International Development Act 2015, putting onto a statutory footing the commitment to the UN target for Foreign Aid and a requirement for the Secretary of State to report on the effectiveness of this Aid.

Birmingham City Council already includes certain provisions relating to taxation compliance in its procurement, for example:

- The Pre-Qualification Questionnaire (PQQ) and Invitation to Tender (ITT) for the Open procedure states grounds for mandatory exclusion including the non-payment of taxes, social security contributions or VAT. These are pass/fail criteria.

City Council – 12 July 2016

- The PQQ also includes grounds for discretionary exclusion where non-payment of taxes/social security can be demonstrated where no binding legal decision has been taken. These are pass-fail criteria and follow the CCS guidance as above and in line with PPN 03/14.
- The Birmingham Business Charter for Social Responsibility (BBC4SR) contains the principle of Ethical Procurement which in turn requires Charter signatories to pay their fair share of taxes. This goes further than breach of obligation and essentially seeks to address tax avoidance mechanisms.

The imminent review of the BBC4SR will, amongst other things, be seeking to consult on PPN 03/14 and whether the Charter should incorporate and apply the model terms and conditions to be included in contracts as set out in PPN 03/14. However, the Council will need HMR/external professional advice in order to determine whether there has been a breach and also where there is a breach the adequacy and efficacy of any self-cleaning measures which have been implemented.

In addition to the measures that the Council already takes, Council asks officers to investigate whether and how PPN 03/14 could be effectively included in the Council's Procurement Procedures and at a threshold which does not detrimentally impact on SMEs and Third Sector Organisations or otherwise impact on wider social value considerations covered by the BBC4SR's six principles of : Local Employment, Buy Birmingham First, Partners in Communities, Good Employer (incorporating the Birmingham Living Wage), Green and Sustainable and Ethical Procurement.

B. Councillors Jon Hunt and Roger Harmer have given notice of the following Motion:-

(See document No 16)

Councillor Jon Hunt moved the Motion which was seconded by Councillor Roger Harmer.

In accordance with Council Standing Orders, Councillors Sir Albert Bore and Lisa Trickett gave notice of the following amendment to the Motion:-

(See document No 17)

Councillor Sir Albert Bore moved the amendment which was seconded by Councillor Lisa Trickett.

In accordance with Council Standing Orders, Councillors Robert Alden and John Lines gave notice of the following amendment to the Motion:-

(See document No 18)

Councillor Robert Alden moved the second amendment which was seconded by Councillor John Lines.

City Council – 12 July 2016

A debate ensued.

Councillor Jon Hunt replied to the debate.

The first amendment having been moved and seconded was put to the vote and by a show of hands was declared to be carried.

Here upon a poll being demanded the voting, with names listed in seat number order, was as follows:-

(See document No 19)

NB The documents have been amended to show that the Deputy Lord Mayor for the meeting (Councillor Shah) as being a Labour Member and not a Liberal Democrat Member

Councillor Debbie Clancy indicated that she wished to be included as having voted against the amendment not abstaining.

Councillors Barbara Dring, and Mary Locke indicated that they wished to be included as having voted for the amendment.

Therefore, the total results referred to in the interleave should read:-

Yes – 73 (For the Amendment);

No – 17 (Against the Amendment);

Abstain – 4 (Abstentions).

The second amendment having been moved and seconded was put to the vote and by a show of hands was declared to be lost.

Here upon a poll being demanded the voting, with names listed in seat number order, was as follows:-

(See document No 20)

NB The documents have been amended to show that the Deputy Lord Mayor for the meeting (Councillor Shah) as being a Labour Member and not a Liberal Democrat Member

Councillor Mary Locke indicated that she wished to be included as having voted against the amendment.

Councillor Paulette Hamilton indicated that they wished to be included as having abstained from voting on the amendment.

Therefore, the total results referred to in the interleave should read:-

Yes – 17 (For the Amendment);

No – 72 (Against the Amendment);

Abstain – 5 (Abstentions).

The Motion as amended having been moved and seconded was put to the vote and by a show of hands was declared to be carried.

It was therefore -

18719 **RESOLVED:-**

Council notes with concern the implications for Birmingham of the referendum vote to leave the EU.

It notes EU funded projects that are at risk, including the 254 million Euros currently committed to the Birmingham and Solihull LEP for up to seven years, making a significant contribution to programmes such as:

- The Birmingham and Solihull Youth Promise (£50m – one third from the European Youth Employment Initiative Fund and one third from the European Social Fund);
- The Midlands Engine Investment Fund of £250 million;
- The Birmingham Business Support Programme (£20 million with £10 million from the EU).

It also notes that our universities attract significant research funding from Europe, currently worth more than 300 million Euros across the West Midlands.

Council condemns the use by some campaigners of dog whistle slogans, such as “Take our country back.” These have caused confusion, stirred up racism and legitimised an upsurge in racist assaults.

It calls for:

The government, prior to submitting an Article 50 (Lisbon Treaty) request to start the process of withdrawal from the EU, to commit to replace EU structural and investment funding and other currently available programmes such as Horizon 2020, Urbact and Erasmus Plus with equivalent funding and programmes. This will assist Local Authorities and LEPS, our universities, businesses and third sector to maintain the resources to address the challenges of sustainable growth, employment and social cohesion in Birmingham and across the UK;

Brexit negotiations to ensure that the city can retain as close ties as possible with our friends, colleagues, cities and regions across Europe, accepting that for the UK to maintain access to the EU Single Market will require our own acceptance of the evident wish of the other 27 Member States to maintain the freedom of movement across the EU of citizens of the EU.

City Council – 12 July 2016

A detailed briefing note for council members be produced that sets out the benefits to date of EU membership to Birmingham and the wider West Midlands and the potential implications of the UK leaving the EU.

Council resolves:

to lead and support partnership working in the city aimed at supporting and restoring community cohesion, involving a wide range of partners including business and faith groups;

that an all-party delegation should meet the relevant Westminster cabinet ministers, as soon as a new cabinet is appointed, to press the city's concerns.

The Lord Mayor advised that in accordance with Standing Orders in respect of any remaining items of business, he proposed to allow the remaining motions and amendments to be moved and seconded formally (without comment) and shall forthwith put the motions to the vote without discussion.

C. Councillors Waseem Zaffar and Lisa Trickett have given notice of the following Motion:-

(See document No 21)

Councillor Waseem Zaffar moved the Motion which was seconded by Councillor Lisa Trickett.

In accordance with Council Standing Orders, Councillors Matt Bennett and Timothy Huxtable gave notice of the following amendment to the Motion:-

(See document No 22)

Councillor Matt Bennett moved the amendment which was seconded by Councillor Timothy Huxtable.

The amendment having been moved and seconded was put to the vote and by a show of hands was declared to be lost.

The Motion having been moved and seconded was put to the vote and by a show of hands was declared to be carried.

It was therefore -

18720

RESOLVED:-

Following the EU referendum, Birmingham community networks are reporting a perceived rise in hate attacks and reports of inflammatory articles and words on social media; an EDL demonstration outside a Birmingham Mosque; and anti-Islam leaflets being delivered in the Aston and Perry Barr areas of the city.

This Council notes the prompt action taken to address this through calling an urgent meeting of the Birmingham Hate Crime Partnership with the

City Council – 12 July 2016

Cabinet Member for Openness, Transparency and Equality, to consider issues emerging post the referendum, and various community led campaigns across Birmingham including “Love your Neighbour”.

Given the perceived rise in reported hate incidents post the EU referendum, this Council urges West Midlands Police to raise visibility of policing to ensure confidence within communities.

Council also acknowledge that the language of anti-Semitism, racism and Islamophobia all change on the basis of news issues and national and international incidents. This fluidity and changing nature of language should be understood on a continuing basis.

Council notes that yesterday, 11th July, Srebrenica Memorial Day was commemorated in accordance with the European Parliament’s 2009 Resolution calling on all Member states to commemorate this day and learn the lessons of Srebrenica and tackling hatred and intolerance.

Council resolves to mark Srebrenica Memorial Day each year during remembering Srebrenica Memorial Week in July and bring all communities together to raise awareness and learn about the consequences of hatred and intolerance.

Council resolves to continue to work closely with partners and communities to tackle hate crime in all its forms, and in particular online hate incidents.

Council calls upon key social media providers such as Facebook, Twitter and search engine aggregators like Google, to meet with hate crime officers from local authorities and West Midlands Police on a regional basis every six months.’

It further requests that local hate crime officers within the West Midlands Police force are provided with ongoing training on social media platforms; and reinvigorates support to hate crime centres in the city, ensuring they are capable of dealing with spikes in reporting that follow national and international events

URGENT BUSINESS

Update on the West Midlands Combined Authority (WMCA)

The following report of the interim City Solicitor was submitted:-

(See document No 23)

18721

RESOLVED:-

That the Council notes this information Report.

The meeting ended at 1915 hours.

APPENDIX

Questions and replies in accordance with Standing Order 12(A).

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR R ALDEN

A1 Investing in Birmingham

Question:

Between 23rd June 2016 and 7th July 2016, please list the meetings and telephone calls, including dates, that the Leader of the Council has had with non-City Council staff, i.e. anybody external to the City Council, on the subject of Investing in Birmingham?

Answer:

I consider every opportunity I have to speak to people outside of the City Council an opportunity to promote investment the great, growing, young and diverse City of Birmingham. I list below the meetings which have taken place between the dates you specify and at which the subject of Investing in Birmingham was promoted strongly by me. In addition, I shall shortly publish on my blog a comprehensive list of my meetings since December 2015 on this very subject, in the interests of open governance.

I have also asked Waheed Nazir, Director of Planning and Regeneration to focus this week on meeting with key investors, including M&G, Chief Executive of UKTI and L&G.

June 2016

24 June	Tony Danaher, UKTI, RIO Nick Payne, Nikal Tony Brooks, MODA Simon Robinson, BNP Paribas
29 June	West Midlands Combined Authority Colmore BID Board
30 June	GBSLEP Supervisory Board

July 2016

1 July	Rotary Club of Birmingham (including reps from South African Rotary Club)
4 July	Steven Knight, Nebulastar Sir Peter Soulsby, Mayor of Leicester

City Council – 12 July 2016

UCB, Professor Cliff Allan and guests, Housing Investment debate dinner.

- 6 July Peter Day, MACE
- 7 July Bob Tattrie, Trebor Developments – Advanced Manufacturing Hub
Anne Marie Simpson/Stuart Buckley, HCA –Advanced Manufacturing Hub
Charlie Spicer, Savills – Advanced Manufacturing Hub
Mike Dinsdale, Guhring – Advanced Manufacturing Hub
Sutton Coldfield Chamber of Commerce
- 8 July Patrick Sun, Yang Yang – Country Garden Holdings
Anthony McCourt + James Ng

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL FROM
COUNCILLOR SUE ANDERSON**

A2 Extend Free School Meals

Question:

Could the Leader report on progress with establishing the proposed Trust intended to raise funds to extend the free school meals programme?

Answer:

Before further progress on this matter I have asked The Children's Society to report to me on exactly how a Free School Meals city can be extended; and, in particular, the costs involved relating to capital and revenue.

In September we will have a clear idea of these costs and then we can decide as a council how we wish to proceed.

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL FROM
COUNCILLOR IAN CRUISE**

A3 Brexit

Question:

Since the UK voted for Brexit, how many meetings have you had with Government to put in place a funding support strategy for the Council, other Organisations and Businesses who have previously secured £M's in EU funding to deliver projects for skills, jobs and growth?

Answer:

The Greater Birmingham and Solihull LEP is set to receive €255 million of ESIF (European Structural and Investment Fund) monies in the 2014-20 period. ESIF supports investment in research, innovation, businesses, skills and employment. Local areas will no longer receive this once the UK leaves the EU.

Also at risk is our access to EU transnational funding programmes such as Horizon 2020, Erasmus Plus and Interreg, which fund research, innovation, student and researcher mobility, and local policy development. This will affect not just Birmingham City Council, but strategic partners such as our universities.

We are demanding that these funds are maintained until 2020 and that similar programmes are then put in place and devolved to cities and combined authorities to replace funding that might otherwise have come to cities like Birmingham via Europe.

In terms of practical action we are taking a number of steps:

Birmingham City Council is one of the LGA's representatives on the national ESIF Growth Programme Board and we are lobbying to secure assurances about the current ESIF programme 2014-2020.

The Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government, the Rt Hon Greg Clark, last week confirmed that local government will have a seat at the negotiating table to agree the UK's exit from the EU and we will work with the LGA to feed into this process.

I am also setting up a Brexit Advisory Group to draw together key strategic partners in the city to track, analyse and advise the council on influencing the Government's emerging Brexit strategy.

In the coming weeks, months, and years we will work with partners in Birmingham to influence the direction and content of the UK's Brexit negotiations, and to ensure we get the best deal possible for Birmingham and the West Midlands Combined Authority to drive the post-Brexit economy.

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL FROM
COUNCILLOR ROGER HARMER**

A4 Trust - School Crossing Patrols

Question:

Could the Leader report on progress with establishing the proposed Trust intended to raise funds for school crossing patrols?

Answer:

We are still in the process of scoping the legal structures involved and hope to gain approval through the Trust and Charities Committee in the early autumn.

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL FROM
COUNCILLOR JON HUNT**

A5 Review of Business Plan Item SN13

Question:

At the last Council meeting the Leader said business plan item SN13 was being reviewed and that there would be consultation with local members "over the summer". As the summer is now here, could he update Council on the progress of the review, indicating whether there are any plans to bring an amendment to the budget and business plan to Council?

Answer:

The condition of play areas is constantly under review following regular inspection. Members will be consulted on those play areas that have reached, or are reaching the end of their useable life in in the coming weeks, so a final decision can be made in the autumn.

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR EWAN
MACKEY**

A6 War Memorial

Question:

How does a resident get the name of a serviceman, who made the ultimate sacrifice fighting for this country, added the War Memorial outside Royal Sutton Coldfield's Town Hall?

Answer:

Sutton Coldfield War Memorial is a Grade II listed structure. War memorials (even when listed) are living heritage assets and are frequently altered and have further service men and women added to (either missed from the role of honour from earlier wars or who have lost their lives in more recent conflicts). There is no standard format for adding an additional name to a war memorial as this depends on the form of the monument, its design and the space available for additional names. I am happy to review the case, but will need the following:

- Name, rank, regiment and relevant dates of the service person in question and verification of these details; and
- Confirmation from the War Memorials Trust <http://www.warmemorials.org/> of this data and that the fallen service person should be commemorated on this memorial and not another.

It is then a matter for the relevant department within the Council to apply for Listed Building Consent to the planning department via <http://www.birmingham.gov.uk/planningchecklists>

The cost of the works would also normally be footed by the managing body, and any alterations to the war memorial would be subject to prior consultation with the Town Council.

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR GARY SAMBROOK

A7 Costings

Question:

What was the cost of officer time spent on item SN13 of the budget and business plan?

Answer:

Officers are required to inspect play areas for safety reasons on a regular basis. The annual inspection cost per play area is estimated at an average of £5k per annum. In addition the council commissions an independent inspection of all play areas on bi annual basis at a cost of £12k per inspection.

Based on the information received from these inspections, Parks Management constantly review the condition of play areas, and need to act to either remove, decommission or replace equipment as it comes to the end of its economic life.

SN13 is the live list of play areas that are in this position, therefore no additional work has been required to produce this list for the budget and business plan.

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE DEPUTY LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR IAN CRUISE

B1 Underhand cuts to service

Question:

Could the Deputy Leader inform the Council of the amount of redundancies taken in the Library Service across Birmingham, the amount saved by the service up and above the savings agreed in the 2016-17 budget and the number of extra days Libraries will be closed until the service review?

Answer:

In 2015/16, 87 staff left the Strategic Library Service in order to meet the savings required in 2016/17. In addition to the savings set out in the 2016-17 budget, further savings of £100,000 are now required.

In 2016/17 there are 13 staff departing the community library service on voluntary redundancy. The Community Libraries currently open for 1172.5 hours each week. This will reduce by 55.5 hours, as a temporary measure, on the departure of these staff. These measures will reduce expenditure by £190,000. Community libraries have a cumulative savings target of £1.8m to achieve.

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE DEPUTY LEADER FROM COUNCILLOR GARY SAMBROOK

B2 Purdah

Question:

What decisions/policies have been published during the purdah period for the Local Elections including estimated spend where available?

Answer:

Decisions taken during purdah are available to view on Birmingham City Council website within the Committee Management Information System (CMIS)

The following instructions will access the information requested in CMIS.

Log in to CMIS – this will enable Elected Members to see both public and private decisions.

Open 'Decisions' on the left hand menu.

On the following screen, choose the date range 'from' and 'to' that you require. For the purdah period this is 23rd March 2016 to 5th May 2016.

Then choose the 'type' of report, e.g. 'All' in order to see all decisions in that time period.

Then choose the 'status' of the decision, e.g. 'Decision Implemented' to see Executive decisions on which action was taken, or 'All' for every decision reached Executive and non-Executive.

A list of decisions will then be displayed and by clicking on each decision further details can be accessed.

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN,
FAMILIES AND SCHOOLS FROM COUNCILLOR MATT BENNETT**

C1 Support

Question:

The following appear on the Birmingham Local Offer website as criteria for assessing disabled children's social care needs:

- **Disabled Children's Social Care Eligibility Criteria**

Introduction

We want all disabled children to get the support and services they need to lead fulfilling lives and we know that in order to achieve this we have to be clear about how services are provided and accessed.

The basic needs of disabled children are no different from those of any other child.

Universal services have a role in meeting the needs of disabled children; children who have additional needs or face additional barriers which inhibit and prevent their inclusion in society.

These criteria are necessary because some disabled children require a social care service and these services have to be prioritised on those with highest levels of need.

Social care services are services other than health or education, provided to disabled children which are:

- **Additional to the universal services which all children benefit from – e.g. schools, nurseries, playgroups, childcare, healthcare etc.**
- **Additional to the targeted services which some children benefit from e.g. behaviour support, family support etc. which may be arranged by professionals in universal settings or through the Common Assessment Framework (fCAF).**

The criteria will be used by the Disabled Children's Social Care Service to prioritise specialist resources for those with the highest needs. They are being published to provide openness and transparency for parents/carers, professionals, MASH, Family Support and Safeguarding Teams and other services who may wish to refer children to Disabled Children's Social Care. The criteria corresponds with the Multi-agency Safeguarding Hub (MASH) and Right Service Right Time (RSRT).

<http://www.lscbbirmingham.org.uk/index.php/birmingham-multi-agency-safeguarding-hub-mash>

<http://www.lscbbirmingham.org.uk/index.php/right-service-right-time-meeting-childrens-needs>

Disabled Children's Social Care will focus on supporting those families where the disabled child is the intended recipient of social care support or the family's needs arise due to the child's disability.

Families with disabled children where the child's disability is not the primary concern will be referred to MASH.

Definition of Disability

We are using the definition of disability given by the Equality Act 2010. This states that a person has a disability if:

- They have a physical or mental impairment,
- The impairment has a substantial and long-term adverse effect on their ability to perform normal day-to-day activities.

Aim and Principles

Disabled Children's Social Care will work in partnership with families, early years and education services and other agencies such as health and the voluntary sector to ensure that best use is made of all local and available resources. These criteria are underpinned by the following principles:

- The need to be fair, clear and equitable.
- To support access to local, inclusive mainstream services where this is appropriate
- To provide reliable and timely information about services to enable families
- To provide access to specialist services where this is needed.
- To reduce the need for additional assessments.
- To ensure best value for money.

The Assessment Process

Disabled children are by definition 'Children in Need' (S17, Childcare Act 1989).

Many disabled children will never come into contact with Social Services, because their needs are met through universal and community-based services.

Some children may require additional support from more than one agency; this might result in a referral to a Family Support Team via MASH for a fCAF to access targeted support services, such as family support, behaviour support, or a referral to the specialised preschool education teams e.g. the Early Support Service for children under 2 or children under 5 who are not in maintained nursery or school and who have identified learning and developmental needs.

Some children will have higher levels of need requiring statutory or specialist services. These children will require a single assessment by a Social Worker. Both FCAF and single assessment will result in:

- an analysis of the needs and circumstances of the child.
- identification of whether and, if so, what intervention will be required,
- a relevant Support Plan which is outcomes-focussed, outlines what services will be provided how they will.

Assist in meeting outcomes, who has responsibility for delivering the plan and a timetable for review.

In addition to this, the assessment will recognise the fact that disabled children live within the context of their families and the issues of parent/carers responsibilities are important. Additionally parents/ carers are entitled to be offered a Carer's Assessment to identify their own specific needs. This will focus on the parent/carer's wellbeing, important commitments, relationships and wider responsibilities e.g. employment and training.

Categories of Need

Following an assessment of need, the following categories will be used to determine eligibility for social care resources. Disabled Children's Social Care will focus on children with substantial/complex and critical needs. Children with Universal Plus and additional needs will be referred to MASH for access to universal and community-based support.

- **Universal Plus:** Requiring a response from within a universal setting and/or signposting to other support means
- **Additional Needs:** Requiring a coordinated response bringing agencies together to support the child and family
- **Substantial/Complex:** Requiring a specialist response
- **Critical:** There will be concern that the child is suffering or at risk of suffering significant harm or impairment.

We recognise that children's needs vary and may move between the four categories. For example the outcomes for a child initially assessed as having 'critical' needs may improve due to the support provided.

Changes in need and changes to packages of care will be addressed through regular review of the child's support plan and re-assessment where necessary.

Indicators

Example indicators have been developed to assist professionals to understand the four levels of need. These are a guide and not a checklist. They will not be used in a rigid way but will help to inform professional judgement and enable families to approach universal and community-based services where these can be accessed without complicated assessment. Other indicators will also be used to help us understand how the child's disability affects their daily circumstances.

These include receipt of Disability Living Allowance (DLA), specialist health and education provision and Education, Health and Care (EHC) Plans. These will all help inform, but not pre-determine, the outcomes of an assessment.

Decision-making.

Decisions about resources will be made by the Disabled Children's Social Care Child In Need Resources Panel. This is made up of professionals from Health, Education, Social Care and voluntary sector partners. The panel matches assessed needs to resources depending upon priority and availability of provision within the City. Where possible, packages of care will consist of both universal and specialist services. The panel operates from the basis that:

- **Except where a child is Looked After, it is the parents, not the local authority, who have the main responsibility for looking after their child.**
- **An assessment of need does not automatically result in an entitlement to a specific service or amount of provision.**
- **Where a child is undergoing statutory assessment of their special educational needs which will result in an EHC Plan, the decision of the panel will both reflect and inform their section of the EHC Plan**
- **Allocation of resources will take into account all available services in the City (across multi-agency partners and service providers) and how these can be effectively used to safeguard and meet the best outcomes for the child.**
- **Packages of care are not fixed and will be subject to change as the child and family's circumstances change.**

Preparation for Adulthood and SEND Reforms

This iteration is prepared in the knowledge that the SEND reforms expect a single plan to stand until the young person is aged 25 years. This will ensure compliance with legislation that is relevancy across both children's and adult's social care. Work is underway to implement these changes, to share eligibility criteria across the People's Directorate and to supportively address young people's issues as the reforms are implemented."

Could the Cabinet Member please imagine that she is the parent of a disabled child. On a scale of 1-10, how clear would it be to her whether or not her child might qualify for any support and if so what?

Answer:

Given no parent has ever got in touch with me or the department to complain about it, I assume it is sufficient.

To put this into context, a version of this leaflet has been used to inform families about the Disabled Children's Social Care Services over the last four years. It is an accurate and simplified statement of the full eligibility criteria for service.

City Council – 12 July 2016

The leaflet version of this document has banner headings and small logos and pictures as part of its approach. It is designed to be informative, helpful and engaging. A copy of the actual leaflet is attached.

The leaflet is mainly used to inform families going through an assessment process. That is, it is principally aimed to inform a face to face discussion between the social worker and the family.

As part of the requirements under the Safeguarding Board's "Right Service Right Time" strategy, this leaflet fully complies with a description of the Universal Plus; Additional Needs; Substantial/Complex and Critical categories, which inform the approach to Social Care Services within the city.

This leaflet is also integral to the required publication of the Short Breaks Regulations, which obliges every authority to publish their Eligibility Criteria annually. This information is part of that. This information is reported to be broadly in line with similar documents from other authorities.

In view of the concern raised by Councillor Bennett's question, a much simpler schematic would be possible to produce, but the consideration of the eligibility criteria is considered within each child's case on its merits through the assessment mechanisms set up by the Authority. A simple schematic could give process information which may be helpful, but would be unlikely to be able to give a range of considerations that inform any individual decision.

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN,
FAMILIES AND SCHOOLS FROM COUNCILLOR DEBBIE CLANCY**

C2 Spending

Question:

Can the Cabinet Member give a breakdown of how the additional £21.5 million funding to Children's Services was/is to be spent?

Answer:

The funding is being spent as follows:

£1.6m for Edge of Care Teams to provide relevant support to families and children to prevent them becoming looked after in the future.

£2.8m for additional social work capacity.

£17.1m for the direct cost of looked after children's placements.

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN,
FAMILIES AND SCHOOLS FROM COUNCILLOR DES FLOOD**

C3 Re-Referrals

Question:

What is the number of re referrals that have been made to Birmingham Children's Services during the current academic year including the number of times each of these re referrals have been made (twice, three times, four times or more etc)?

Answer:

Based on the Children's Services Scorecard which is how we report our monthly figures we have a re-referral total of 2730 (This is Sept 15 – June 16 inclusive). The data is extracted from CareFirst. It is extracted using the referral date <> 'NUL'. The period is over 13 months. From the date of referral the system then takes the 'latest' referral and then any additional referrals identified within 12 months prior to that month will be counted as re-referrals.

The total number of referrals initiated for the same period is 11,887.

The re-referral rate is therefore $2730/11887 * 100 = 22.96\%$

The statistical neighbour average is 21% and the national average is 24%.

The breakdown below for the number of times each referral has been made has been calculated using SQL code derived from Annex A and is for the period September 15 to end of June 16.

<u>Ref Count</u>	<u>Total</u>
2	1786
3	658
4	170
5	31
6	6
<u>Grand Total</u>	2651

The figure is slightly different as the scorecard is only calculating up to the end of June as a snapshot and we will have some for July already included in Annex A as it has been run on a later date than the scorecard.

This would be $2651/11887 * 100 = 22.3\%$.

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN,
FAMILIES AND SCHOOLS FROM COUNCILLOR GARETH MOORE**

C4 School Places

Question:

For each school in Birmingham, what the maximum distance away from the school a child was awarded a place for each of the last three years?

Answer:

Please find attached (Appendix 1 & 2) the cut off distances for the last child admitted to the families preferred school on the national day of offer. This information relates to the normal admissions round and applies to those schools that use distance as a criterion.

Please note faith schools and selective schools do not use distance as the main criterion.

Where a school does not have a distance identified this is because all applicants have been offered a place at that school because there were more places available than applications.

The School Admissions Team, if requested, can provide details of the maximum distance away for the school for those children allocated a school with a vacancy but it would take additional time to provide this information.

The information provided today is available in the public domain in the parents' information booklet "Opportunities for your child in Birmingham (Primary and Secondary booklets. Both booklets are available to view on the schools admissions website.

C4 - APPENDIX 1

Item 6

School	Cut off Distance 2016	Cut off Distance 2015	Cut Off Distance 2014
Abbey RC Junior and Infant School (The)	Faith (1902m)	Catholic	Catholic
Acorns Green Primary School	622m	778m	626m
Ardsley Primary School	All applicants offered + 11 placed	All applicants offered inc 6 placements	All applicants offered inc 5 placements
Albert Bradbeer Primary Academy	1056m	3652m	All applicants offered inc 1 placement
Al-Puragan Primary School	Faith (625m)	Foundation	Foundation
Al-Hijrah School	Faith	Foundation	Foundation
Alens Croft Primary School	All applicants offered + 2 placed	All applicants offered inc 12 placements	All applicants offered inc 7 placements
Alston Primary School	All applicants offered + 9 placed	All applicants offered inc 4 placements	All applicants offered inc 4 placements
Anderson Park Primary School	All applicants offered + 7 placed	All applicants offered inc 13 placements	All applicants offered inc 1 placement
Anglesey Primary School	336m	446m	366m
Arden Primary School	600m	376m	All applicants offered inc 1 placement
Ark Rose Primary Academy	All applicants offered + 19 placed	All applicants offered inc 7 placements	All applicants offered inc 6 placements
Ark Tindal Primary Academy	All applicants offered + 6 placed	All applicants offered inc 10 placements	All applicants offered inc 5 placements
Aston Tower Community Primary School	All applicants offered + 3 placed	All applicants offered inc 4 placements	All applicants offered inc 3 placements
Audley Primary School	All applicants offered + 23 placed	All applicants offered inc 17 placements	All applicants offered inc 15 placements
Banners Gate Primary School	972m	All applicants offered inc 28 placements	All applicants offered inc 19 placements
Barford Primary School	922m	All applicants offered inc 1 placements	5342m
Beilfield Infant School (NG)	All applicants offered + 9 placed	743m	2322m
Bells Farm Junior and Infant School	610m	634m	656m
Benson Community School	587m	481m	606m
Billesley Primary School	All applicants offered + 37 placed	All applicants offered inc 9 placements	All applicants offered inc 23 placements
Birch Green Infant School	3618m	1160m	361m
Birchfield Community School	All applicants offered + 5 placed	435m	627m
Blakesley Hall Primary School	All applicants offered + 18 placed	All applicants offered inc 13 placements	All applicants offered inc 18 placements
Boldmere Infant School and Nursery	716m	666m	804m
Bordesley Green Primary School	2734m	3855m	1722m
Bordesley Village Primary School and Children's Centre	All applicants offered + 2 placed	All applicants offered inc 1 placements	All applicants offered inc 3 placements
Bournville Infant School	1226m dist outside catchment	Voluntary Aided	Voluntary Aided (dist outside catchment)
Broadmeadow Infant School	966m	1426m	All applicants offered
Brookfields Primary School	All applicants offered + 6 placed	All applicants offered inc 2 placements	All applicants offered inc 5 placements
Brookvale Primary School	652m	456m	602m
Brownhead Primary Academy	1366m	656m	All applicants offered
Calshel Primary School	2352m	927m	1117m
Canterbury Cross Primary School	426m	442m	471m
Chad Vale Primary School	1152m	1356m	1306m
Charities Primary School	All applicants offered + 21 placed	All applicants offered inc 14 placements	All applicants offered inc 4 placements
Cherry Orchard Primary School	706m	1566m	621m
Chilcote Primary School	5b-470m (Outside Catchment)	Dist 324m (Outside Catchment)	Dist 524m (Outside Catchment)
Chiswell Croft Academy	All applicants offered + 6 placed	All applicants offered inc 2 placements	All applicants offered inc 14 placements
Chivers Primary School	All applicants offered + 22 placed	All applicants offered inc 7 placements	All applicants offered inc 6 placements
Christ Church CofE Controlled Primary School and Nursery	All applicants offered	C of E	C of E
Christ the King Catholic Primary School	Faith (5b-406m)	Catholic	Catholic
City Road Primary School	All applicants offered + 9 placed	All applicants offered inc 12 placements	567m
Clifton Primary School	All applicants offered + 2 placed	All applicants offered	All applicants offered
Cofton Primary School	1047m	1344m	751m
Colebourne Primary School	566m	All applicants offered	All applicants offered inc 6 placements
Colsons Farm Infant School	926m	766m	1472m
Colsons Infant and Nursery School	1064m	1016m	975m
Conway Primary School	All applicants offered + 2 placed	All applicants offered	All applicants offered
Copice Primary School	1526m	922m	1166m
Corpus Christi Catholic Primary School	Faith (2161m)	Catholic	Catholic
Cotteridge Junior and Infant School	All applicants offered + 5 placed	1277m	All applicants offered inc 4 placements
Cottesbrooke Infant and Nursery School	2852m	3703m	All applicants offered inc 8 placements
Court Farm Primary School	All applicants offered + 12 placed	766m	All applicants offered inc 6 placements
Cromwell Junior and Infant School and Nursery Class	322m	327m	322m
Deansy Church of England Primary School (The)	Faith (1472m)	C of E	C of E
Daykin Avenue Junior and Infant School	242m	350m	234m
Derlington Academy	All applicants offered + 7 placed	All applicants offered inc 5 placements	All applicants offered inc 3 placements
Elms Farm Community Primary School	All applicants offered + 19 placed	All applicants offered inc 20 placements	All applicants offered inc 3 placements
English Martyrs' Catholic Primary School	All applicants offered + 9 placed	Catholic	Catholic inc 10 placements
Edington Hill Primary School	All applicants offered + 9 placed	All applicants offered inc 9 placements	All applicants offered inc 20 placements
Fairway Primary School	1203m	842m	1062m
Faithorne Primary School	663m	All applicants offered inc 9 placements	1071m
Fis Primary School	All applicants offered + 17 placed	All applicants offered inc 8 placements	All applicants offered
Forestate Primary School	1202m	All applicants offered	All applicants offered inc 3 placements
Four Dwellings Primary Academy	All applicants offered + 8 placed	All applicants offered	All applicants offered inc 3 placements
Four Oaks Primary School	715m	670m	636m
George Dixon Primary School	All applicants offered + 9 placed	All applicants offered inc 20 placements	All applicants offered inc 23 placements
Gilbertstone Primary School	1205m	623m	656m
Glendon Primary School	All applicants offered + 8 placed	All applicants offered inc 12 placements	All applicants offered inc 20 placements
Gossey Lane Junior Infant and Nursery School	636m	666m	673m
Great War Primary School	2666m	All applicants offered inc 9 placements	2227m
Green Meadow Primary School	677m	627m	486m
Greenholme Primary School	2626m	1675m	All applicants offered inc 8 placements
Greet Primary School	796m	994m	1436m
Grendon Junior and Infant School (NC)	All applicants offered + 8 placed	All applicants offered inc 8 placements	All applicants offered
Grestone Academy	All applicants offered + 26 placed	All applicants offered inc 16 placements	All applicants offered inc 10 placements
Grove School	662m	1366m	All applicants offered inc 1 placement
Guardian Angels Catholic Primary School	Faith (alt 3188m)	Catholic	Catholic
Gunter Primary School	All applicants offered + 2 placed	3726m	556m
Hall Green Infant School	1032m (Outside Catchment) Distance	1366m (Outside Catchment) Distance	677m (Outside Catchment) Distance
Harborne Primary School	666m	672m	665m
Harper Hill Seventh-Day Adventist School	All applicants offered + 1 placed	Faith	Faith
Hawkesley Church Primary Academy	Faith (3606m)	C of E	C of E
Hawthorn Primary School	630m	2633m	581m
Hearth Mount Primary School	1006m	663m	744m
Hearthfield Primary School	623m	All applicants offered inc 4 placements	674m
Hearthlands Primary Academy	2096m	2176m	2636m
Highfield Junior and Infant	All applicants offered + 1 placed	All applicants offered	All applicants offered
Highlers Heath Community School	666m	1036m	663m
Hill West Primary School	2467m	675m	6661m
Hillstone Primary School	177m	622m	1116m
Hodgkiss Hill Primary School	All applicants offered + 8 placed	All applicants offered inc 8 placements	All applicants offered inc 7 placements
Holland House Infant School and Nursery	1146m	670m	3666m
Holly Hill Methodist CofE Infant School	All applicants offered + 14 placed	C of E	C of E - All applicants offered
Hollyfield Primary School	960m	661m	1146m
Hollywood Primary School	676m	666m	661m
Holy Cross Catholic Primary School	Faith (2745m)	Catholic	Catholic
Holy Family Catholic Primary School	Faith (431m)	Catholic	Catholic

City Council – 12 July 2016

Holy Souls Catholic Primary School	Faith (821m)	Catholic	Catholic
Holy Trinity CE Primary Academy (Handsworth)	All applicants offered	C of E - All applicants offered inc 6 placements	C of E
Jamiet Wan Primary School	514m	507m	549m
Jenotse School	163m	68m	139m
King David Junior and Infant School	Faith (1030m)	Jewish	Jewish
King Solomon International Business School	188m	N/A	N/A
King's Heath Primary School	1282m	1727m	918m
King's Norton Junior and Infant School	965m	606m	1101m
Kings Rise Academy	810m	All applicants offered inc 4 placements	All applicants offered inc 4 placements
Kingsland Primary School (NC)	696m	138m	All applicants offered
Kingsmeads Primary School	All applicants offered + 17 placed	All applicants offered inc 25 placements	All applicants offered inc 20 placements
Kirkwell Primary School and Nursery Class	855m	135m	147m
Ladywell Primary School	All applicants offered	All applicants offered inc 3 placements	All applicants offered inc 3 placements
Lakey Lake Junior and Infant School	907m	All applicants offered inc 2 placements	All applicants offered inc 6 placements
Lee Forest Primary Academy	All applicants offered + 3 placed	All applicants offered inc 3 placements	All applicants offered inc 1 placement
Leigh Primary School	469m	All applicants offered inc 2 placements	3451m
Lilla Sutton Primary School	729m	548m	648m
Lozells Junior and Infant School and Nursery	399m	363m	428m
Lynnton Green Infant School	899m	878m	1092m
Money Hill Primary School	1607m	655m/1092m	766m
Moors Park Primary School	All applicants offered + 4 placed	Foundation - All applicants offered inc 5 placements	Foundation - 7 placements
Manfield Green E-ACT Academy	All applicants offered + 1 placed	All applicants offered inc 11 placements	All applicants offered inc 7 placements
Mapedene Primary School	244m	110m	All applicants offered
Marlborough Infant School	All applicants offered + 4 placed	368m	477m
Marsh Hill Primary School	516m	765m	516m
Manyate Catholic Primary School	All applicants offered + 11 placed	Catholic	Catholic
Meadow Primary School (The)	All applicants offered + 9 placed	All applicants offered inc 9 placements	All applicants offered inc 12 placements
Mere Green Primary School	All applicants offered + 12 placed	294m	All applicants offered inc 15 placements
Merits Brook Primary E-ACT Academy	52m	All applicants offered inc 2 placements	All applicants offered inc 14 placements
Minworth Junior and Infant School	173m	All applicants offered inc 10 placements	All applicants offered inc 1 placement
Montgomery Primary Academy	All applicants offered	All applicants offered inc 1 placements	All applicants offered
Moor Green Primary School	All applicants offered + 6 placed	All applicants offered inc 9 placements	All applicants offered inc 6 placements
Moor Hill Primary School	411m	711m	219m
Moseley Church of England Primary School	Faith (1920m)	C of E	C of E
Nansen Primary School	All applicants offered + 4 placed	All applicants offered inc 6 placements	All applicants offered inc 12 placements
Nechells Primary E-ACT Academy	All applicants offered + 4 placed	All applicants offered inc 13 placements	166m
Nelson Junior and Infant School	542m	311m	416m
Nelson Mandela School	All applicants offered + 13 placed	All applicants offered inc 11 placements	All applicants offered inc 8 placements
New Hall Primary and Children's Centre	All applicants offered + 18 placed	All applicants offered inc 18 placements	All applicants offered inc 14 placements
New Ossau Primary School	177m	292m	102m
Nishkam Primary School Birmingham	Faith		
Nonsuch Primary School	167m	475m	All applicants offered inc 1 placement
Northfield Manor Primary Academy	All on-time offered, 773m late	All applicants offered inc 12 placements	All applicants offered inc 4 placements
Oaklands Primary School (The)	All applicants offered + 13 placed	All applicants offered inc 13 placements	All applicants offered inc 9 placements
Oaks Primary School (The)	All applicants offered + 18 placed	All applicants offered inc 18 placements	All applicants offered inc 14 placements
Oasis Academy Blakenhall Infants	All applicants offered + 9 placed	All applicants offered inc 23 placements	All applicants offered inc 14 placements
Oasis Academy South	1371m	All applicants offered inc 3 placements	All applicants offered
Oasis Academy Poultry	All applicants offered + 4 placed	All applicants offered inc 5 placements	All applicants offered inc 5 placements
Oasis Academy Hobnorb	All applicants offered + 3 placed	1116m	466m
Oasis Academy Short Heath	606m	666m	3409m

Oasis Academy Woodrow	142m	All applicants offered inc 6 placements	All applicants offered inc 23 placements
Ostrey Roman Catholic Primary School (The)	Faith (yb 368m)	Catholic	Catholic
Otford Primary Academy (The)	195m (Nursery)	All applicants offered inc 1 placements	192m
Ouborns Primary School	All applicants offered + 12 placed	88m	977m
Our Lady and St Rose of Lima Catholic Primary School	All applicants offered + 11 placed	Catholic - All applicants offered including 8 placements	Catholic
Our Lady of Fatima Catholic Primary School	Faith (388m)	Catholic	Catholic
Our Lady of Lourdes Catholic Primary School (NC)	Faith (939m)	Catholic	Catholic
Our Lady's Catholic Primary School	Faith (362m)	Catholic	Catholic
Oval Primary School (The)	1142m	1160m	451973
Paganac Primary School	All applicants offered + 18 placed	All applicants offered inc 12 placements	1816m
Paget Primary School	All applicants offered + 4 placed	All applicants offered inc 23 placements	All applicants offered inc 18 placements
Park Hill Primary School	712m	All applicants offered inc 3 placements	All applicants offered inc 3 placements
Parkefield Community School	549m	All applicants offered	439m
Pegasus Primary School	364m	All applicants offered	All applicants offered inc 3 placements
Penna Primary School	832m	484m	716m
Perry Sillars Academy	All applicants offered + 5 placed	All applicants offered inc 6 placements	All applicants offered inc 5 placements
Perry Seashes Infant School	1423m	1270m	1331m
Perry Seashes V - The All Through Family School	All applicants offered	N/A	N/A
Prince Albert Junior and Infant School	952m	542m	302m
Princespate Infant School	All applicants offered + 9 placed	All applicants offered inc 4 placements	All applicants offered inc 6 placements
Queen Chlois Primary School	Faith (682m)	C of E - All applicants offered inc 4 placements	C of E
Radcliffe Primary School	1148m	996m	1996m
Radhill Junior and Infant School	All applicants offered + 5 placed	125m	273m
Radnal Hill Infant School	1920m	All applicants offered inc 14 placements	All applicants offered inc 7 placements
Regents Park Community Primary School	3875m	All applicants offered	All applicants offered inc 1 placement
Robin Hood Academy	All applicants offered	All applicants offered inc 2 placements	282m
Rodley School	826m	463m	363m
Rovary Catholic Primary School (The)	All applicants offered	Catholic - All applicants offered including 10 placements	Catholic
Sacred Heart Catholic School	All applicants offered + 6 placed	Catholic	Catholic inc 5 placements
Sevents Junior Infant and Nursery School	All applicants offered + 12 placed	All applicants offered inc 6 placements	All applicants offered inc 7 placements
Shree Hill Primary School	394m	All applicants offered	335m
Shrivatsa Academy (The)	704m	757m	477m
Slade Primary School	All applicants offered + 9 placed	All applicants offered inc 13 placements	All applicants offered inc 6 placements
Stadefeld Infant School	All on-time offered, 82m late	65m	233m
Somerville Primary (NG) School	463m	360m	606m
Springfield Primary School	All applicants offered + 18 placed	All applicants offered inc 17 placements	All applicants offered inc 17 placements
St Alban's Catholic Primary School	Faith (yb 358m)	Catholic	Catholic
St Ambrose Marlow Catholic Primary School	Faith (yb 1200m)	Catholic	Catholic
St Anne's Catholic Primary School	Faith (1202m)	Catholic	Catholic
St Augustine's Catholic Primary School	Faith (yb 163m)	Catholic	Catholic
St Barnabas Church of England Primary School	Faith (1240m)	C of E	C of E
St Benedict's Infant School	All applicants offered + 2 placed	All applicants offered inc 18 placements	All applicants offered inc 2 placements
St Bernardine's Catholic Primary School	Faith (2041m)	Catholic	Catholic inc 3 placements
St Bernard's Catholic Primary School	Faith (844m)	Catholic	Catholic
St Blaise's Catholic Primary School	Faith (2481m)	Catholic	Catholic
St Catherine of Siena Catholic Primary School	Faith (yb 126m)	Catholic	Catholic
St Chad's Catholic Primary School	Faith (589m)	Catholic	Catholic
St Clare's Catholic Primary School	All applicants offered + 1 placed	Catholic	Catholic - All applicants offered
St Clement's Church of England Academy	Faith (673m)	C of E	C of E - inc 6 placements
St Columba's Catholic Primary School	All applicants offered + 2 placed	Catholic - All applicants offered inc 3 placements	Catholic - inc 6 placements

City Council – 12 July 2016

St Columba's RC Junior and Infant (NC) School	Faith (160m)	Catholic	Catholic
St Dunstan's Catholic Primary School	Faith (110m)	Catholic	Catholic - inc 1 placement
St Edmund's Catholic Primary School	All applicants offered	Catholic	Catholic - All applicants offered
St Edward's Catholic Primary School	All applicants offered + 1 placed	Catholic	Catholic
St Francis Catholic Primary School	Faith (1032m)	Catholic	Catholic
St Francis Church of England Aided Primary School and Nursery	Faith (920m)	C of E	C of E
St George's Church of England Academy, Newtown	Faith (516m)	C of E	C of E
St George's Church of England Primary School (815)	All applicants offered + 3 placed	C of E	C of E
St Gerard's RC Junior and Infant School	Faith (1611m)	Catholic	Catholic
St James Catholic Primary School	Faith (1000m)	Catholic	Catholic
St James Church of England Primary School, Handsworth	Faith (827m)	C of E - All applicants offered inc 5 placements	C of E - All applicants offered
St John and Monica Catholic Primary School	Faith (8639m)	Catholic	Catholic
St John Fisher Catholic Primary School	Faith (470m)	Catholic	Catholic
St John's and St Peter's C of E Academy	All applicants offered + 10 placed	C of E	C of E - inc 8 placements
St John's C of E Primary School	Faith (992m)	C of E	C of E
St Joseph's Catholic Primary School - B50	Faith (2115m)	Catholic	Catholic - inc 2 placements
St Joseph's Catholic Primary School (87)	Faith (1911m)	Catholic - All applicants offered inc 8 placements	Catholic
St Joseph's RC Primary School, Sutton Colefield (878)	Faith (5940m)	Catholic	Catholic
St Julia's Catholic Primary School	All applicants offered + 1 placed	Catholic - All applicants offered inc 1 placements	Catholic - All applicants offered
St Laurence Church Infant School	Faith (405m)	C of E	C of E
St Margaret Mary RC Junior and Infant School	Faith (840m)	Catholic	Catholic
St Mark's Catholic Primary School	Faith (824m)	Catholic	Catholic
St Martin de Porres Catholic Primary School	Faith (834m)	Catholic	Catholic
St Mary and St John Junior and Infant School	All applicants offered + 13 placed	Catholic - All applicants offered inc 8 placements	Catholic - inc 1 placement
St Mary's C of E Primary and Nursery, Academy, Handsworth	Faith (4628m)	Catholic	Catholic
St Mary's Catholic Primary School (817)	Faith (1628m)	C of E	C of E
St Mary's Church of England Primary School (839)	All applicants offered + 14 placed	C of E	C of E
St Matthew's C of E Primary School	Faith (290m)	C of E	C of E
St Michael's Church of England Aided Primary School	Faith (1158m)	C of E	C of E
St Michael's C of E Primary Academy, Handsworth	All applicants offered + 8 placed	C of E	C of E - inc 3 placements
St Nicholas Catholic Primary School	Faith (1770m)	Catholic	Catholic
St Patrick's Catholic Primary School	All applicants offered + 4 placed	Catholic	Catholic - inc 2 placements
St Paul's Catholic Primary School	Faith (360m)	Catholic - All applicants offered inc 2 placements	Catholic
St Peter and St Paul RC Junior and Infant School	Faith (83384m)	Catholic	Catholic
St Peter's Catholic Primary School (832)	Faith (1243m)	Catholic	Catholic
St Peter's C of E Primary School (817)	Faith (500m)	C of E	C of E
St Saviour's C of E Primary School	Faith (417m)	C of E	C of E
St Teresa's Catholic Primary School	Faith (921m)	Catholic	Catholic
St Thomas' Church of England (VA) Primary School	Faith (351m)	C of E	C of E
St Thomas More Catholic Primary School	Faith (1885m)	Catholic	Catholic
St Vincent's Catholic Primary School	All applicants offered + 8 placed	Catholic	Catholic
St Wilfrid's Catholic Junior and Infant School	All applicants offered + 8 placed	Catholic - All applicants offered inc 6 placements	Catholic - All applicants offered
Stansfield Primary School	733m	870m	All applicants offered inc 8 placements
Stansfield School	All applicants offered + 2 placed	All applicants offered	All applicants offered inc 2 placements
Stanhope Primary School	1207m	All applicants offered inc 2 placements	All applicants offered
Stanley Primary School	2920m	1671m	2071m
Stary Wood School and Children's Centre	375m	520m	84m
Summerfield Junior and Infant School	All applicants offered + 18 placed	All applicants offered inc 8 placements	All applicants offered inc 12 placements
Sundridge Primary School	1207m	All applicants offered inc 9 placements	All applicants offered
Tame Valley Academy	2212m	All applicants offered inc 2 placements	All applicants offered inc 4 placements

Thornhill Primary School	5674m	(Sibing) 542m	(Sibing) 487m
Timberley Academy	1216m	All applicants offered inc 2 placements	4410m
Tiverton Academy	1727m	All applicants offered inc 7 placements	All applicants offered inc 1 placement
Topcliffe Primary School	422m	820m	820m
Turves Green Primary School	All applicants offered + 2 placed	All applicants offered inc 12 placements	1632m
Twickenham Primary School	830m	1164m	1337m
Wainley Infant School	1267m	995m	1071m (Pan reduced by 1)
Ward End Primary School	980m	2636m	All applicants offered inc 3 placements
Warren Farm Primary School	481m	All applicants offered inc 1 placements	1280m
Water Mill Primary School	All applicants offered + 10 placed	All applicants offered inc 7 placements	All applicants offered inc 4 placements
Watville Primary School	All applicants offered + 8 placed	All applicants offered inc 8 placements	All applicants offered inc 2 placements
Waverley School	All applicants offered	All applicants offered	All applicants offered inc 3 placements
Welford Primary School	1120m	All applicants offered	2666m
Welsh House Farm Community School and Special Needs Resource Base	378m	All applicants offered inc 1 placements	All applicants offered inc 5 placements
West Heath Primary School	1066m	All applicants offered inc 2 placements	All applicants offered inc 3 placements
Weedminster Primary School	All applicants offered + 3 placed	All applicants offered	All applicants offered inc 5 placements
Wheeler Lane Primary School	2871m	3724m	2185m
Whitehouse Common Primary School	888m	1101m	790m
Wilkes Green Infant School (NG)	1142m	726m	All applicants offered inc 3 placements
Woodcock Hill Primary School	899m	All applicants offered inc 3 placements	All applicants offered inc 7 placements
Woodgate Primary School	All applicants offered + 13 placed	All applicants offered inc 10 placements	All applicants offered inc 5 placements
Woodhouse Primary Academy	All applicants offered + 3 placed	All applicants offered inc 21 placements	All applicants offered inc 16 placements
Woodthorpe Junior and Infant School	532m	747m	570m
World's End Infant and Nursery School	873m	890m	1076m
Wyshall Primary School	All applicants offered + 8 placed	All applicants offered inc 3 placements	All applicants offered inc 8 placements
Wythe Green Primary School	837m	720m	790m
Wyndcliffe Primary School	All applicants offered + 3 placed	All applicants offered inc 1 placements	854m
Yardley Primary School	1929m	2081m	All applicants offered inc 4 placements
Yardley Wood Community Primary School	All applicants offered + 12 placed	All applicants offered inc 14 placements	All applicants offered inc 4 placements
Yarnfield Primary School	All applicants offered + 12 placed	All applicants offered inc 16 placements	All applicants offered inc 1 placement
Yaxton Primary School	All applicants offered + 17 placed	All applicants offered inc 12 placements	2738m
Yew Tree Community Junior and Infant School (NG)	888m	980m	All applicants offered inc 2 placements
Yorknead Junior and Infant School	867m	All applicants offered inc 2 placements	All applicants offered

C4 - APPENDIX 2

Archbishop Riley Catholic School	Faith (4300m)	All offered + 4 placed	Faith (2280m)
Ark Boulton Academy	All offered + 1 placed	2054m	895m
Ark Kings Academy	All offered + 4 placed	All offered + 9 placed	All offered + 6 placed
Ark St Alban's Academy	1802m	879m	1032m
Arthur Terry School (The)	1832m	1611m	1033m
Ayton Manor Academy	2103m	1189m	1161m
Balsam Wood School	All offered + 4 placed	All offered + 3 placed	All offered + 5 placed
Bartley Green School	3820m	1619m	All offered
Beverbrook Academy (The)	All offered + 129 placed	All offered + 88 placed	All offered + 92 placed
Bishop Challoner Catholic College	Faith (4799m)	Faith (4490m)	Faith (11739m)
Bishop Vesey's Grammar School	220 score (1282m)	219 score (16906m)	217 score (11816m)
Bishop Walsh Catholic School	Faith (4961m)	Faith (4671m)	Faith (5086m)
Bordeley Green Girls' School & Sixth Form	769m	745m	785m
Bournville School and Sixth Form Centre	All offered + 14 placed	All offered + 45 placed	All offered + 20 placed
Bradley Academy	1226m	1143m	1083m
Cardinal Newman Catholic Technology College	All offered	All offered + 29 placed	All offered + 7 placed
Cooknut Hill Technology College	All offered + 143 placed	All offered + 103 placed	All offered + 121 placed
Colmers School and Sixth Form College	2759m	1612m	1078m
Dams Elizabeth Cadbury Technology College	3832m	2711m	2702m
Eden Boys' School	3701m	All offered	335
Enfys	25 offered via test (score of 25, 3346m), + 3476m	17 offered via test + 2075m	4168m
Four Dwellings Academy	All offered + 11 placed	All offered + 35 placed	All offered + 21 placed
George Cloon Academy	All offered + 73 placed	All offered + 34 placed	All offered + 56 placed
Great Ran School	All offered + 183 placed	All offered + 146 placed	All offered + 170 placed
Oxleywood Academy	All offered + 27 placed	All offered + 47 placed	All offered + 38 placed
Hill Green School	1494m	1459m	1468m
Hamstead Hill Academy	2236m	2135m	1074m
Handsworth Grammar School	209 score (7667m)	207 score (6847m)	203 score (1426m)
Handsworth Wood Girls Academy	1802m	2369m	1911m
Harborne Academy	11320m	7220m	All offered + 36 placed
Heartlands Academy	1236m	1009m	1218m
Hilcrest School A Specialist Maths and Computing College and Sixth Form Centre	All offered + 11 placed	All offered + 25 placed	All offered
Hodge Hill College	2876m	2832m	3243m
Hodge Hill Girls School	1476m	1606m	2034m
Holts School	613m	836m	680m
Holy Trinity Catholic Media Arts College	All offered + 40 placed	All offered + 7 placed	All offered + 1 placed
Holyhead School	933m	1021m	846m
International School (The)	All offered + 56 placed	All offered + 111 placed	All offered + 114 placed
John Willmott School	5803m	6088m	All offered + 12 placed
King Edward VI Aston School	PP =209 (5222m), 221 (5410m)	PP =207 (4421m), 224 (6090m)	214 score (3161m)
King Edward VI Camp Hill School for Boys	PP =254 (4726m), 259 (762m)	PP =219 (5135m), 243 (2674m)	226 score (822m)
King Edward VI Camp Hill School for Girls	PP =218 (10822m), 235 (6496m)	PP = all offered, 221 (26987m)	226 score (3253m)
King Edward VI Five Ways School	PP =210 (5822m), 233 (5146m)	PP =206 (12872m), 232 (14327m)	234 score (6543m)
King Edward VI Handsworth School	PP = all offered, 223 (2794m)	PP = all offered, 219 (1292m)	219 score (8472m)
King Edward VI Sheldon Heath Academy	1809m	1804m	2162m
King Solomon International Business School	24 faith offers, 68 non faith offers (5685m)	N/A	N/A
Kings Heath Boys	All on-time apps offered, 1941m late app	All offered + 12 placed	828m
Kings Norton Boys School	3803m	All offered	3461m
Kings Norton Girls' School	2213m	2210m	3493m
Kingsbury School and Sports College	All offered + 79 placed	All offered + 102 placed	All offered + 67 placed
Lordswood Boys' School	All offered + 39 placed	All offered + 31 placed	All offered + 8 placed
Lordswood Girls' School and Sixth Form Centre	3902m	2025m	2127m

Moseley School	All offered + 62 placed	All offered + 89 placed	All offered + 79 placed
Minshull School, an Academy	30 offered via test, 2020m	30 offered via test, 1879m	27 test, 1496m
Moham High School	80 out 1 + 50 out 2	80 out 1 + 80 out 2	All Cat 1 + 2 offered, Cat 3 random
North Birmingham Academy	5181m	All offered	All offered + 4 placed
Perry Beeches I the Free School	2476m	2345m	2297m
Perry Beeches II the Free School	All offered + 42 placed	4460m	4425m
Perry Beeches IV - The Free School	4210m	3538m	N/A
Perry Beeches the Academy	1179m	1299m	1254m
Perry Beeches V - The All Through Family School	755m	N/A	N/A
Plantbrook School	2140m	2791m	3002m
Queenbridge School	1641m	1755m	1750m
Roehampton Academy	302m	387m	361m
Salfrey Academy	1181m	1164m	893m
Sally Park Technology College For Girls	3646m	4276m	4807m
Shenley Academy	1285m	1266m	1276m
Small Heath School	611m (lower school), 852m (upper)	546m (lower school), 579m (upper)	501m (lower school), 573m (upper)
St Edmund Campion Catholic School & Sixth Form Centre	Faith 1326m	Faith (1526m)	Faith (4192m)
St John Wall Catholic School - A Specialist Humanities College	All offered + 12 placed	All offered + 10 placed	Faith (5733m)
St Paul's School for Girls	Faith 6149m	Faith (569m)	Faith (2705m)
St Thomas Aquinas Catholic School	All on-time apps offered, 1492m via late app	Faith (4922m)	Faith (2385m)
Starbank School	836m	965m	N/A
Stoford Open School	All on-time apps offered, 1195m late app	1074m	1326m
Sutton Coldfield Grammar School for Girls	218 score (4931m)	216 score (5299m)	211 score (18944m)
Swanhurst School	4637m	3496m	3807m
Turves Green Boys School	All offered + 13 placed	All offered + 7 placed	All offered + 6 placed
Turves Green Girls' School and Technology College	All offered + 18 placed	All offered + 11 placed	All offered + 4 placed
University of Birmingham School (The)	12 SEN/LAC, 12 SG, Uni x 8: 865m, JG x 3: 1305m, SH x 2: 889m, HG x 2: 612m	10 LAC, Uni x 7: 1456m, JG x 2: 1836m, SH x 2: 1240m, HG x 2: 664m	N/A
Washwood Heath Academy	2629m	2620m	2612m
Waverley School	934m	803m	793m
Wheeler Lane Technology College	2226m	2226m	2825m
Wardleys School	1282m	1146m	1107m

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN,
FAMILIES AND SCHOOLS FROM COUNCILLOR RON STORER**

C5 Complaint

Question:

In relation to Written Question C5 (14 June 2016), out of the figures given, how many were actually seen by Social Workers as part of the assessment process?

Answer:

All single assessments are completed by social workers who see children as part of that assessment. Each assessment is based on a specific child. So, for example, if there were three children of concern within one family there would be three assessments.

Requests for assessment and assessment timescales

DCSC	13/14	14/15	15/16
Single Assessments within Timescale	101	371	271
Total Number of Single Assessments for the year/period¹	119	434	338
Percentage in timescale	84%	85%	80%

¹ Please note: the period 13/14 is October 2013 – March 2014 . This is because Single Assessments were introduced in October 2013.

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CLEAN STREETS, RECYCLING AND ENVIRONMENT FROM COUNCILLOR ZAKER CHOUDHRY

D1 Statistics - Flytipping?

Question:

At the last Council meeting I asked for comparative details of incidents and reports of flytipping. I was given details of recorded incidents. Could the cabinet member list, side by side, monthly statistics for incidents and reports of flytipping over the last two years?

Answer:

The table below shows the service enquiries recorded in the Council's waste management and regulatory teams' databases that reference involvement of or that were categorised at the initial reporting stage as relating to waste/rubbish, and the incidents reportable under DEFRA's Waste Data Flow 'fly-tipping' arrangements. [Data for June 2016 is not reported as this has yet to be collated].

The total number of service enquiries/reports does not equate to the number of reportable incidents, which is due to a number of reasons which includes, but that is not limited to: duplicate enquiries/incidents being reported more than once, by different reporters or on multiple dates or to different council teams; enquiries for which linked records are created in the electronic database for the purpose of assisting with job management; and enquiries where waste/rubbish may not subsequently be identified as the route cause or primary element of a multi-issue referral.

Month	Number of enquiries into the Council referencing waste/rubbish and recorded on waste management or regulatory teams database	Number of Incidents of fly-tipping. [Reportable under DEFRA's Waste Data Flow arrangements]
06/2014	3554	1456
07/2014	3671	1281
08/2014	2713	1561
09/2014	2650	1190
10/2014	1997	1065
11/2014	1836	865
12/2014	1880	1064
01/2015	2371	1159
02/2015	2277	1016
03/2015	4335	1002
04/2015	3729	1075
05/2015	3454	1059

City Council – 12 July 2016

06/2015	5439	1192
07/2015	6582	1202
08/2015	4011	916
09/2015	3941	834
10/2015	4061	919
11/2015	3981	973
12/2015	4377	867
01/2016	3944	1086
02/2016	3644	1028
03/2016	3623	1197
04/2016	4402	1152
05/2016	4163	1151

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CLEAN STREETS, RECYCLING AND ENVIRONMENT FROM COUNCILLOR IAN CRUISE


D2 Can somebody collect my rubbish

Question:

At the previous Council meeting the Cabinet Member informed members of the introduction at Lifford Lane depot of the “slab in the cab”.

Since the introduction of the “Slab in the Cab” at Lifford Lane, how many collections have been registered as missed?

Answer:



**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CLEAN
STREETS, RECYCLING AND THE ENVIRONMENT FROM
COUNCILLOR JON HUNT**

D3 CCTV Cameras - How many?

Question:

How many CCTV cameras does the City have available for enforcement of flytipping and antisocial behaviour?

Answer:

The Birmingham Community Safety Partnership has 10 cameras that can be used to tackle antisocial behaviour. At present time 8 are in fixed locations and 2 are available to be redeployed.

Place Managers have 8 cameras that can be deployed to monitor areas of concern or to deter anti- social behaviour.

The Council's Waste Enforcement Unit has 8 overt re-deployable lamp column cameras and 10 small portable devices for use in covert applications.

Legislation and statutory codes direct how the deployment of overt and covert cameras can be used by the council and in many instances the permission of the courts is required before any operation is commenced.

Place Directorate has 26 deployable cameras for overt use and 10 small portable devices that can be used covertly for Flytipping and anti-social behaviour.

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CLEAN
STREETS, RECYCLING AND ENVIRONMENT FROM COUNCILLOR
CAROL JONES**

D4 Street Cleaning - 10 Wards - which ones?

Question:

At Cabinet the Cabinet Member said 10 wards would get priority for street cleaning. Could she name the wards?

Answer:

You may wish to review the transcript of the Cabinet meeting 28th June but what I actually said was that the problem of litter seemed to be focussed on 10 of the 40 wards and indeed only some streets in those wards.

I also said that we needed to work together to address the problem and that the local knowledge and leadership of Councillor colleagues by returning completed ward Cleaner Street Plans was critical to delivering the necessary improvements in the street cleansing service.

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CLEAN
STREETS, RECYCLING AND ENVIRONMENT FROM COUNCILLOR
MIKE WARD**

D5 Street Cleaning - 10 wards - what about other 30?

Question:

At Cabinet the Cabinet Member said 10 wards would get priority for street cleaning. Could she indicate what street cleaning resources will be available to the other 30 wards?

Answer:

What I said at Cabinet, and evidenced from data collected, was that the problem of litter seemed to be focussed on 10 of the 40 wards and indeed only some streets in those wards. I stressed that we would be reshaping and redesigning the street cleaning service on the back of locally completed cleaner streets plans. I further requested that Councillor colleagues show some local leadership and coordinate, complete and return those plans so that we could start to make the necessary improvements.

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR HEALTH AND SOCIAL CARE FROM COUNCILLOR LYN COLLIN

E Delayed Transfers

Question

What is the situation with delayed transfers of care for the last 12 months across Birmingham?

Answer:

Using data provided by NHS England, which is a snapshot of amount of patients delayed on the last Thursday of the month, the delays that are attributed to the People Directorate only (i.e. social care DTOCs) in April 2016 is 65. The figure for May 2015, 12 months prior was 105. This is a 38.1% decrease to where we were 12 months ago from April 2016.

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR JOBS AND SKILLS
FROM COUNCILLOR IAN CRUISE**

F Hole in the budget

Question:

Could the Cabinet Member inform the Council of the funding Birmingham has received from the EU, directly for supporting Jobs and Skills since May 2010, where the funding has been allocated and how many jobs have been created?

Answer:

It is estimated that approximately £250m of EU funds have been accessed by organisations in Birmingham from May 2010 to date. It is difficult to provide an exact answer, as there are different types of funds that flow into the city and the bodies that administer them at national and EU levels report differently and over different accounting periods.

Birmingham City Council received £78m across 16 projects, creating 1,730 jobs and safeguarding 830 others. Projects also supported other outcomes, such as businesses assisted, training and green-house gas reduction.

In addition to the grant funding, projects usually have to attract “match funding” of a similar amount. This means that around £0.5bn has been invested in the local economy as a result of EU funding.

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT AND
ROADS FROM COUNCILLOR IAN CRUISE**

“Where’s the revolution”

Question:

The Birmingham Cycle Revolution was launched too much fanfare but seems to have delivered very little for cyclists.

Will the Cabinet Member provide a breakdown of how and where the funding received from Government has been spent, where future projects and funding are allocated and how many new cyclists are now using the “improved” infrastructure?

Answer:

The Birmingham Cycle Revolution is one of the most ambitious programmes to improve cycling facilities in the country. It represents a programme of approximately £58m of funding from DfT, GBSLEP, the City Council and other local contributions, up to 2019. The first tranche of DfT funding (£17m) has been largely spent, but the other elements are being planned.

A significant programme of measures has been implemented, using the phase one funding, mostly within a 20-minute cycling time of the city centre. Later phases will extend the programme over a wider part of the city.

Work carried out to date includes:

- An extensive programme to resurface 40km of canal towpaths across the city, improve access points, and replace canal lighting around the city centre, (£7.5m).
- Improvements to ‘green routes’ through parks and along river valleys, (£2.5m).
- The delivery of three large 20mph pilot areas, (£1.5m).
- The ‘Big Birmingham Bikes’ project to provide 3,800 free and loan bikes, along with appropriate training, to residents in deprived communities, (£1.2m).
- Grants to local schools and businesses for them to install cycle parking and other facilities, under the ‘Top Cycle Location’ programme.
- Brompton Docks, (folding bike hire points), in the city centre.
- Implementation of initial on-highway schemes
- Significant development work on larger highway corridor schemes for implementation in the later phases of the programme.

Later phases will particularly focus on higher-quality segregated on-road routes along main corridors. These higher-quality routes take longer to develop and are more expensive to deliver, and so were not included in the first phase. However, development work is now underway to identify the most suitable corridors and the types of measure which can be delivered.

City Council – 12 July 2016

Overall monitoring of cyclist numbers will be carried-out in conjunction with Sustrans through their 'bike life' programme and is not yet underway. However, counts following the canal towpath improvements indicate a 50% increase in cyclists on the Worcester & Birmingham Canal, (an increase of around 40,000 cycle trips per year), and a 25-40% increase on the other canals. Initial results from the Big Birmingham Bikes project suggest that over 80,000 miles have been cycled already by recipients of the bikes, and over 1,000 people have been trained to ride and maintain their cycles.

The attached table gives a breakdown of the expenditure.

BIRMINGHAM CYCLE REVOLUTION PROGRAMME DETAILS			ON-HIGHWAY SCHEMES						OFF-ROAD ROUTES		SUPPORTING MEASURES		
Phase	Budget	Dates	Main Corridors	Parallel Routes	City Centre	Local Links	20mph Areas	Cycle Parking	Green Routes	Canal Works	Top Cycle Location	Brompton Docks	Big Birmingham Bikes
PHASE 1 CCAG (DfT) Tranche 1	£19.900m	2013-16	£2.500m	£2.400m	£0.070m	£1.000m	£1.900m	£0.080m	£2.700m	£7.600m	£0.350m	£0.100m	£1.200m
			Initial tranche of main corridor schemes including Lichfield Road and Nechells Parkway. Also development work on future schemes for delivery in Phase 3.	Approximately 10 corridors consisting mostly of minor measures (eg cycle logos and signing) to highlight routes into the city centre which avoid main corridors.	Development of city centre schemes for delivery in Phase 3.	Minor schemes at approx 10 locations to connect off-road (canal and green) routes to the wider on-road cycle network	Three 'pilot' 20mph in south and east Birmingham and the city centre.	First tranche of cycle parking, with over 100 stands in 40 locations across the city.	14km of newly surfaced paths and 22km of upgraded route signing etc	40km of towpath improvements, 5 new / improved accesses, city centre lighting refurb	Around 40 businesses, schools and other organisations have received grants of up to £10,000 each to provide parking and other facilities for cyclists	Two folding bike hire docks installed in city centre	3,800 free and loan bikes to people in disadvantaged communities. Results show over 80,000 miles have already been cycled by recipients and over 1,000 people have been trained to ride and maintain their bikes. There have also been an average of 20 led-rides each month within local communities.
			On-Site	On-Site	Development work ongoing	On-Site	On-Site	Approved for implementation	Substantially complete	Substantially complete	Substantially complete	Substantially complete	Substantially complete
PHASE 2 Local Growth Fund (LEP)	£8.000m	2015-20	£2.000m	£2.400m	£0	£0	£0.750m	£0	£0.600m	£2.000m	£0.100m	£0	£0.150m
			Funding for main corridors to support the Phase 3 proposals.	Further tranche of parallel routes including some more substantive	N / A	N / A	A fourth pilot 20mph area in south-west Birmingham	N / A	Around 10km of path improvements (locations tbc)	12km of towpath improvements and up to 10 minor access	A further 7 locations in addition to Phase 1.	N / A	Purchase of GPS Units, to be fitted to the free bikes to allow data analysis of their usage.

City Council – 12 July 2016

				schemes.						improvements			
			In Development	To be developed in 2018 for delivery in future years.	N / A	N / A	Proposals to be consulted on in 2016/17 for delivery in 2018.	N / A	In Development	On-Site	Substantially complete	N / A	Substantially complete
			£15.000m	£3.400m	£1.400m	£2.600m	£0.250m	£0.800m	£1.200m	£4.100m	£0.500m	£0.350m	£0.400m
PHASE 3 CCAG (DfT) Tranche 2	£30.000m	2015-18	High-quality segregated cycle routes on 3 to 4 main corridors, including segregation where practical.	Corridor-based schemes largely based around improving access into the Green Travel District areas, avoiding main corridors. Exact details are still to be confirmed.	Extensive implementation of minor measures, including contraflows and signing, across the city centre. More substantive works, eg segregation, at specific locations.	Further programme of on-road schemes where off-road routes connect to the wider highway cycle network, exact locations tbc	Variable advisory 20mph speed limits on main roads in the vicinity of schools, exact locations tbc.	Further cycle parking and hubs across the city, locations to be identified.	5km of path improvements in two country parks (Sheldon and Woodgate Valley)	Approx 6 major access and other improvements	A further set of locations (minimum of 45) to be identified	Further sites for hire docks to be identified, possibly within GTDs	A further tranche of bike loans and give-aways is proposed, along with recycling of bikes.
			In Development for consultation in 2017/18.	To be developed in 2017 for delivery in 2018.	Proposals in development for consultation in 2017/18.	Development work to commence Autumn 2016	Proposals to be consulted on in 2016/17 for delivery in 2018.	Development work to commence Autumn 2016	On-Site	In Development	Development work to commence Autumn 2016	Development work to commence Autumn 2016	In Development
TOTAL	£57.900m		£19.500m	£8.200m	£1.470m	£3.600m	£2.900m	£0.880m	£4.500m	£13.700m	£0.950m	£0.450m	£1.750m

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT AND ROADS FROM COUNCILLOR JON HUNT

G2 Permeable Dropped Kerbs?

Question:

When householders apply for a dropped kerb, what steps are taken to ensure they are installing front drives that comply with planning requirements that they should be permeable - or that they are aware of such rules?

Answer:

From 01 October 2008 the permitted development rights that allow householders to pave their front garden with hardstanding without planning permission changed in order to reduce the impact of this type of development on flooding and on pollution of watercourses.

In order to make dropped kerb applicants aware of this requirement a notice was included in the dropped kerb application pack explaining the new rules and pointing applicants to visit the Planning Portal web pages for further details at:

<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk/uploads/hhg/houseguide.html>

From September 2015 Highways introduced an online dropped kerb application process. This can be found at:

<http://www.birmingham.gov.uk/droppedkerbs>

The following guidance is included under the 'More information' heading

When do I need planning permission?

Before we can process your application, planning permission is required where your property is:

- On a classified road (typically a main road. Attached below is a list of classified roads in Birmingham).
- a building divided into separate properties e.g. flats
- for commercial use
- in a conservation area
- a listed building.

Your drive must also be permeable to water or divert water to a drain otherwise planning permission is required. If you think you need planning permission advice, please contact planning by submitting the form at

<http://www.birmingham.gov.uk/preappadvice> or make an application at

<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk/planning/applications>

City Council – 12 July 2016

Specific rules do apply for householders wanting to pave over their front gardens. As stipulated above, Permitted Development rights that previously allowed householders to pave over their front gardens without planning permission changed in 2008. Planning permission is required if a new or replacement driveway of more than 5 square metres is proposed using impermeable materials.

However, if the new or replacement driveway is to be surfaced in permeable (porous) materials such as gravel, permeable blocks or porous asphalt, or if the rainwater is directed to a lawn, border or drainage channel/soak away, planning permission would not be needed because this would be permitted development. This is covered by the General Permitted Development Order Schedule 2 Permitted development rights, Part 1 Development within the curtilage of a dwelling house – Class F.

It is the homeowner's responsibility to ensure that prior to undertaking any works that they have all the relevant permissions in place. However, Local Planning Authorities have responsibility for taking whatever enforcement action may be necessary, in the public interest.

In considering any enforcement action, the local planning authority should have regard to the National Planning Policy Framework, in particular paragraph 207:

National Planning Policy Framework 207 states that enforcement action is discretionary, and local planning authorities should act proportionately in responding to suspected breaches of planning control.

Any complaints received by the Council in respect of hard standings are investigated by the Planning Enforcement Team. In instances where it is established that a driveway/hard standing has been constructed using impermeable materials or without adequate surface run off, negotiations are undertaken to commence remedial works to address the matter where necessary. Failure to undertake such works would result in the Enforcement Team considering what, if any formal enforcement action is necessary.

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT AND
ROADS FROM COUNCILLOR RON STORER**

G3 Congestion Charges

Question:

On what date did the Council officially decide it did not support a congestion charge?

Answer:

A previous response to written questions at Full Council in June 2016 advised that a congestion charge was one of the many options considered from an evaluation of appropriate measures to reduce reliance on car trips and improve air quality. This was referred to in the executive summary of the report on the Council Business Plan and Budget 2016+ Consultation, dated 29th January 2016. The proposal was for an evaluation of a potential congestion charge scheme, as opposed to a proposal to introduce one.

The final published budget plan, approved by full Council on 1st March 2016, sets-out that “the City Council will design and develop a modern transport network for the city in order to help develop attractive shopping areas, promote greener forms of transport and improve the environment. We propose to reduce the reliance on car trips and improve air quality. These will be underpinned by a ‘nudge’ communications campaign to change travel behaviour and switch trips to other modes of transport.” None of these include a congestion charge.

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE EXECUTIVE MEMBER PERRY BARR
DISTRICT FROM COUNCILLOR JON HUNT**

H Perry Barr Ward Committee - True attendance?

Question:

In a written answer at the April Council meeting, the Executive Member stated that attendance at the Perry Barr Ward Committee on 10th March this year was 20. Could he confirm that the true figure was nearer 80?

Answer:

At the time of our April meeting there was only an estimated attendance figure available for March. I can now confirm that the formal records have since been produced, which have a record of those in attendance being approximately 50.

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CHAIRMAN OF LICENSING AND PUBLIC PROTECTION COMMITTEE FROM COUNCILLOR ROB SEALEY

I1 Fly Posting

Question

How many incidents of fly-posting, by ward, have been recorded each municipal year since 2012?

Answer:

The table below includes all complaints recorded by the council.

DISTRICT	WARD	2012/2013	2013/2014	2014/2015	2015/2016	2016/2017
Edgbaston	Bartley Green		5		3	
	Edgbaston	1	2	2	1	1
	Harborne	1			1	2
	Quinton		1	8	1	3
Erdington	Erdington	1		1		1
	Kingstanding	1	4	14	1	
	Stockland Green		9	1	1	1
	Tyburn		8	6	6	3
Hall Green	Sparkbrook	8	3	4	18	2
	Springfield	3	1	6	9	2
	Moseley and Kings Heath	34		13	11	8
	Hall Green	2		10	6	
Hodge Hill	Bordesley Green	2		5	9	4
	Hodge Hill		3	14	13	1
	Shard End				3	2
	Washwood Heath		3	3	1	1
Ladywood	Aston	4		3		2
	Ladywood	27	4	20	14	7
	Nechells	7	4	22	14	4
	Soho	11		8	11	6
Northfield	Longbridge	1	3	3	4	
	Northfield			9	2	1
	Weoley			4	2	1
	Kings Norton				6	
Perry Barr	Handsworth Wood		1		5	4

City Council – 12 July 2016

	Lozells & East Handsworth		3	1	11	3
	Oscott	1	2	2	2	
	Perry Barr	9	5	6	10	7
Selly Oak	Bournville	1	1	19	3	
	Brandwood	2		5	2	
	Billesley	7		7	7	1
	Selly Oak	1		5	2	4
Sutton Coldfield	Four Oaks	1	1	2	1	
	New Hall		1	8	3	3
	Trinity		2	7	3	
	Vesey		1	2	10	1
Yardley	Sheldon		1	18	19	2
	Stechford & Yardley North	2	2		11	
	South Yardley		2	5	1	5
	Acocks Green	1	3	9	16	1
Unallocated			43	3		1
TOTALS		128	118	255	243	84

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CHAIRMAN OF LICENSING AND PUBLIC PROTECTION COMMITTEE FROM COUNCILLOR RON STORER

I2 Fly Posting 1

Question

How many incidents of fly-posting have been recorded for the current municipal year?

Answer:

84 incidents have been recorded in municipal year 2016/17 year to date.

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CHAIRMAN OF LICENSING AND PUBLIC PROTECTION COMMITTEE FROM COUNCILLOR BOB BEAUCHAMP

I3 Fly Posting 2

Question

What has been the total cost for removing fly-posting across the city each municipal year since 2012?

Answer:

The costs are not recorded in a specific budget line. Flyposting is removed as part of the daily duties by Amey (part of the PFI contract), as part of the normal duties of Street Cleaners and by Regulatory Services staff as part of evidence of their enforcement role.

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CHAIRMAN OF LICENSING AND PUBLIC PROTECTION COMMITTEE FROM COUNCILLOR GARY SAMBROOK

I4 Fly Posting 3

Question

What percentage of fly-posting for the last municipal year was from premises licensed by Birmingham City Council?

Answer:

Removal of fly posting falls to Amey under the PFI agreement. They do not record who is advertising so a calculation cannot be made as to the percentage which arises from licensed premises.

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CHAIRMAN OF LICENSING AND PUBLIC PROTECTION COMMITTEE FROM COUNCILLOR GARETH MOORE

I5 Fly Posting 4

Question

What are the top five licensed premises in Birmingham which have been found to be engaged in fly-posting for the last municipal year?

Answer:

We do not record this information.

I can advise that the venue trading as Gatecrasher had its licence reviewed on the application of the Police following a serious incident, and Environmental Health made representations concerning the flyposting issues.

As a result of this review process, the Licensing and Public Protection Committee (sub-committee) imposed much more stringent conditions including a number concerning fly posting and the use of promoters.

The specific conditions were as follows:

The Premises Licence Holder shall ensure that their premises are not in any way promoted by the use of illegal fly-posting or unauthorised advertisements attached to street furniture.

The Premises Licence Holder shall ensure that agreements/contracts with promoters for the use of their premises or for events to be held at their premises prohibit the use of illegal fly-posting and/or advertisements attached to street furniture, and shall take all steps reasonable to enforce such agreement/contract terms. The Premises Licence Holder shall maintain a register of individuals and companies contracted with, for inspection by any Responsible Authority on request.

The Premises Licence Holder shall take all reasonable precautions and exercise all due diligence to ensure that no person/company promoting or providing entertainment on the premises, nor any person acting on behalf of any promoters, shall display in an unlawful manner advertisements promoting the entertainment or the premises, in particular:

- a. no display of advertisements should take place on street furniture;
- b. no display of advertisements should take place on premises or structures placed on, over, in or adjacent to the highway, unless the licensee has first obtained the written consent of the owner of the premises or structure. Such consent shall be shown to the Environmental Health on request.

The Premises Licence Holder shall remove or shall ensure the removal of any unlawful advertisements of events at their premises where they become aware of them or they are advised by Birmingham City Council of an illegal advertisement being displayed. Such removal shall take place immediately within 48 hours of receiving the said notice.

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CHAIRMAN OF LICENSING AND PUBLIC PROTECTION COMMITTEE FROM COUNCILLOR DES FLOOD

I6 Fly Posting 5

Question

How many enforcement cases have there been for fly-posting offences each municipal year since 2012, including how many of these have led to a caution or conviction?

Answer:

I have taken enforcement cases to mean reports of flyposting made to Regulation & Enforcement. The numbers are as follows:

2012-2013 - 119
2013-2014 - 26
2014-2015 - 36
2015-2016 - 44
2016-2017 - 6

The high number in 2012/13 reflects the pro-active work that was undertaken by the Environmental Wardens whose temporary funding ceased at the end of that financial year. Since then the number of complaints have reduced and most of the work has been referred to Amey to be removed from street furniture under the PFI agreement.

The number of cautions or convictions are as follows and these are people caught in the act of flyposting on the street.

2012-2013 – 0
2013-2014 – 0
2014-2015 – 4
2015-2016 – 8

**WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE CHAIRMAN OF PLANNING COMMITTEE
FROM COUNCILLOR JON HUNT**

J Attenuation Pond - Booths Lane

Question:

Planning permission for the development at Booths Lane, Oscott ward, requires the installation of an attenuation pond, which I understand should slow down the run-off of waters.

Can the chair of planning confirm that such a pond has been installed and that it will do the job intended?

Answer:

An underground attenuation design solution has now been agreed as part of the overall drainage strategy for the scheme, rather than the pond. This has been assessed and agreed by the relevant expert consultees. It will be provided as part of a later phase of development of the scheme.