Title of proposed EIA	Future Parks Accelerator Funding Bid- Naturally Birmingham	
Reference No	EQUA256	
EA is in support of	Amended Service	ADDENDIVO
Review Frequency	Quarterly	APPENDIX 9
Date of first review	01/04/2019	
Directorate	Neighbourhoods	
Division	Sport, Events, Open Space and Wellbeing	
Service Area	Parks Service	
Responsible Officer(s)	Nick Grayson	
Quality Control Officer(s)	Leroy Pearce	
Accountable Officer(s)	Steve Hollingworth	
	Agree a bid of up to £1million, revenue fund	ding over 2 years 2010, 21: that would
Purpose of proposal	provide the necessary resource to help re-d in the city from an isolated service into a co	lefine the future of parks and open space
What sources of data have been	Consultation Results	
used to produce the screening of		
this policy/proposal?		
Please include any other sources	To date the bid has only been prepared	I with invited consultation from
of data	potential project partners both interna	I with the council and external ones.
	The bid timelines have been very tight a	
	any meaningful way. If the bid is award	
	would have to be agreed with the appr	opriate levels of consultation.
PLEASE ASSESS THE POTENTIAL		
IMPACT ON THE FOLLOWING		
PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS		
Protected characteristic: Age	Not Applicable	
Age details:		
Protected characteristic: Disability	Not Applicable	
Disability details:		
Protected characteristic: Gender	Not Applicable	
Gender details:		
Protected characteristics: Gender	Not Applicable	
Reassignment		
Gender reassignment details:		
Protected characteristics:	Not Applicable	
Marriage and Civil Partnership		
Marriage and civil partnership		
details:		
Protected characteristics:	Not Applicable	
Pregnancy and Maternity		
Pregnancy and maternity details:		
Protected characteristics: Race	Not Applicable	
Race details:		
Protected characteristics:	Not Applicable	
Religion or Beliefs		
Religion or beliefs details:		

Protected characteristics: Sexual	Not Applicable
Orientation	
Sexual orientation details:	
Please indicate any actions	
arising from completing this	
screening exercise.	NO
Please indicate whether a full	NO
impact assessment is	
recommended	
What data has been collected to	
facilitate the assessment of this	
policy/proposal?	
Consultation analysis	
Adverse impact on any people	
with protected characteristics.	
Could the policy/proposal be	
modified to reduce or eliminate	
any adverse impact on any	
particular group(s)?	
How will the effect(s) of this	
policy/proposal on equality be	
monitored?	
What data is required in the	
future to ensure effective	
monitoring of this	
policy/proposal?	
Are there any adverse impacts or	No
any particular group(s)	
If yes, please explain your	
reasons for going ahead.	
Initial equality impact assessment	
of your proposal	
Consulted People or Groups	
Informed People or Groups	
Summary and evidence of	To date the bid has only been prepared with invited people and
findings from your EIA	groups. People that formed prospective project partners both internal within the council and external. Those groups have used their networks to cascade and notify their links and stakeholders that this bid has been made. The bid timelines have been very tight. Should the bid be awarded then a detailed work programme would have to be agreed with the appropriate levels of consultation and engagement. The whole bid is built on a platform of public engagement and consultation and would seek to create new permanent mechanisms for public and partner engagement, going forward.
	The bid as it stands does not identify or outline any negative impacts across the full range of protected characteristics as listed in the 2010 Equality Actand the Public Sector Duty statement.

OLIALITY CONTROL CECTION	
QUALITY CONTROL SECTION	
Submit to the Quality Control	No
Officer for reviewing?	
Quality Control Officer	This EIA has been quality checked and ready for final approval
comments	
Decision by Quality Control	Proceed for final approval
Officer	
Submit draft to Accountable	No
Officer?	
Decision by Accountable Officer	Approve
Date approved / rejected by the	01/02/2019
Accountable Officer	
Reasons for approval or rejection	