APPENDIX 8

Title of proposed EIA	Edgbaston Reservoir Quick Wins
Reference No	EQUA236
EA is in support of	Amended Function
Review Frequency	No preference
Date of first review	31/03/2019
Directorate	Place
Division	Parks and Nature Conservation
Service Area	Place - Equalities, Community Safety and Social Cohesion
Responsible Officer(s)	Jonathan Webster
Quality Control Officer(s)	Leroy Pearce
Accountable Officer(s)	Robert Churn
Purpose of proposal	Assessment of the impact and development process of improvements to the existing POS at Edgbaston Reservoir. These include new path surfacing , bank stabilisation works, drainage signage and seating
What sources of data have been used to produce the screening of this policy/proposal?	Consultation Results; Interviews; relevant reports/strategies
Please include any other	
sources of data	
PLEASE ASSESS THE POTENTIAL IMPACT ON THE FOLLOWING PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS	
Protected characteristic: Age	Service Users / Stakeholders; Wider Community
Age details:	
	New and Improved public open space facilities will be provided for the local community and stakeholders. Full assessment will not be required as no there are no negative impacts.
Protected characteristic: Disability	Service Users / Stakeholders; Wider Community
	Improved access to an existing POS will be provided allowing easier use by disabled and able users
Disability details:	
Protected characteristic:	Not Applicable
Gender	
Gender details:	
Protected characteristics:	Not Applicable
Gender Reassignment	
Gender reassignment details:	

Protected characteristics:	Not Applicable
	пот Арріісавіе
Marriage and Civil	
Partnership	
Marriage and civil	
partnership details:	
	Not Applicable
Pregnancy and Maternity	
Pregnancy and maternity	
details:	
Protected characteristics:	Not Applicable
Race	
Race details:	
Protected characteristics:	Not Applicable
Religion or Beliefs	
Religion or beliefs details:	
Protected characteristics:	Not Applicable
Sexual Orientation	
Sexual orientation details:	
Please indicate any actions	The local community will continue to be consulted as the proposal proceeds and is
arising from completing this	
screening exercise.	
	NO NO
full impact assessment is	
recommended	
recommended	
What data has been	
collected to facilitate the	
assessment of this	
policy/proposal?	
Consultation analysis	
Adverse impact on any	
people with protected	
characteristics.	
Could the policy/proposal be modified to reduce or	
eliminate any adverse	
impact on any particular	
group(s)?	
How will the effect(s) of this	
policy/proposal on equality be monitored?	
What data is required in the	
future to ensure effective	
monitoring of this	
policy/proposal?	

A	NI a
Are there any adverse	No
impacts on any particular	
group(s)	
If yes, please explain your	
reasons for going ahead.	
Initial equality impact	
assessment of your	
proposal	
Consulted People or Groups	
Informed People or Groups	
Summary and evidence of	The Improvements to Edgbaston Reservoir POS will have no adverse effects to any
findings from your EIA	protected characteristics. As part of this project a consultation process has already taken place with the local users which has informed the proposals.
	Further consultation and analysis will take place as the improvements are constructed to ensure that there are no further negative individual equality impacts of the proposals.
QUALITY CONTROL	
SECTION	
Submit to the Quality	No
Control Officer for	
reviewing?	
Quality Control Officer	This EIA has been quality checked and I am satisfied to proceed this to the Accountable
comments	Officer for final approval.
Comments	
Decision by Quality Control Officer	Proceed for final approval
Submit draft to Accountable	No
Officer?	
Decision by Accountable	Approve
Officer	, pp
Date approved / rejected by	07/01/2019
the Accountable Officer	07/01/2013
	The project is an open access scheme to improve public openspace and is designed to
Reasons for approval or rejection	encourage all sectors of the community to enjoy the outdoors and experience interaction
rejection	with wildlife and recreational opportunities. Therefore I have no objections to this being approved.
	There are no negative impacts on any citizens due to any protected characteristics and the project aims to improve accessibility to visitors of all abilities through the provision of improved footpaths and signage.