

BIRMINGHAM CITY COUNCIL

CABINET MEMBER AND CHIEF OFFICER

FRIDAY, 20 MARCH 2020 AT 00:00 HOURS
IN CABINET MEMBERS OFFICE, COUNCIL HOUSE, VICTORIA
SQUARE, BIRMINGHAM, B1 1BB

A G E N D A

3 - 72

- 1 **PROPOSAL TO MODIFY THE IMPLEMENTATION DATE OF THE**
PROPOSAL TO REMOVE BOARDING PROVISION AT HUNTERS HILL
COLLEGE

Report of Director for Education & Skills

Birmingham City Council

Report to Cabinet Member for Education Skills and Culture

Date: 20 March 2020



Subject: PROPOSAL TO MODIFY THE IMPLEMENTATION DATE OF THE PROPOSAL TO REMOVE BOARDING PROVISION AT HUNTERS HILL COLLEGE

Report of: Dr Tim O'Neill
Director for Education & Skills

Relevant Cabinet Member: Cllr Jayne Francis - Education Skills and Culture

Relevant O &S Chair(s): Cllr Kath Scott - Education & Children's Social Care

Report author: Jaswinder Didially
Head of Service, Education Infrastructure;
Telephone No: 0121 303 8847
E-mail address: jaswinder.didially@birmingham.gov.uk

Are specific wards affected?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No – All wards affected
If yes, name(s) of ward(s):		
Is this a key decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If relevant, add Forward Plan Reference:		
Is the decision eligible for call-in?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Does the report contain confidential or exempt information?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If relevant, provide exempt information paragraph number or reason if confidential:		

1 Executive Summary

- 1.1 To seek the determination to modify the implementation date of a statutory proposal:

- To modify the implementation date of the proposal to remove the boarding provision at Hunters Hill College from 1st April to 1st July 2020.

2 Recommendations

That the Cabinet Member for Education, Skills and Culture;

- 2.1 Approve, having taken into account the statutory guidance, the modification to the implementation date of the statutory proposal to remove the boarding provision at Hunters Hill College from 1st April 2020 to 1st July 2020.

3 Background

- 3.1 Hunters Hill College is a Birmingham Community Special School situated outside the City boundary at Spirehouse Lane, Bromsgrove, Worcestershire. The school can offer up to 135 places for boys with an Education Health and Care Plan (EHCP) for Social, Emotional and Mental Health needs, (SEMH). Of the 135 places available, 32 can accommodate boarding pupils who have an EHCP for a boarding school place.
- 3.2 Due to a number of issues at the College (which include safeguarding concerns, Ofsted rating of 'inadequate' and the condition of the buildings), Birmingham City Council in full collaboration with the Governing Body and the IEB (appointed 21st February 2020) published proposals to remove the boarding provision with effect from 1st April 2020.
- 3.3 In compliance with DfE guidance the proposal was fully consulted upon in line with the requirements set out in statutory guidance "Making significant changes ('prescribed alterations') to maintained schools" (October 2018) published by the Department for Education (DfE).
- 3.4 The proposal to remove boarding provision at Hunters Hill College was approved on 5th February 2020 by the Cabinet Member for Education, Skills and Culture. The Local Authority, in exercise of the powers conferred on it, determined to implement the proposals with an implementation date of 1st April 2020. A copy of the Cabinet Member report to remove the boarding provision can be found in **Appendix 1** of this report.
- 3.5 The IEB which was appointed on 21st February 2020 has now requested a modification to the implementation date of the proposal from the 1st April 2020 to 1st July 2020 to allow all the statutory staff consultations to fully take place in compliance with the Local Authority's schools' redundancy policy. Birmingham City Council fully supports this request.
- 3.6 The school is working in accordance with the relevant redundancy procedures. Under basic Advisory Conciliation and Arbitration Service (ACAS) guidance by law the Local Authority must consult with affected staff and those not at risk of redundancy. This requires meetings with staff and unions and conducting meaningful consultation. The consultation process will involve a business case which will outline:

- Why the redundancies need to take place
- Which jobs are at risk
- Number of people that may be involved
- Selection criteria and how the redundancies will be carried out
- Calculation of redundancy pay
- Looking into details of any agency workers currently being employed by the school, which may mitigate any redundancies.
- Failing to follow this process could leave the school open to potential unfair dismissal claims

A timeline for this process can be found in **Appendix 2**.

- 3.7 The Education and Inspections Act 2006 and Regulation 7 of the School Organisation (Prescribed Alterations to Maintained Schools) (England) Regulations 2013 (the Prescribed Alterations Regulations) state that the Local Authority must have regard to any guidance issued by the Secretary of State when making a decision on such proposals. The relevant statutory guidance is attached (**Appendix 3**). The Education and Inspections Act 2006, and Paragraph 5 of Schedule 3 to the Prescribed Alterations Regulations allows for the proposals to be approved, approved with modification, approved subject to meeting a prescribed condition, or rejected.
- 3.8 If the proposals are approved, the implementation date to remove the boarding provision at the school will be modified from 1st April to 1st July 2020 to allow all the statutory staff consultations to fully take place.

4 Options considered and Recommended Proposal

- 4.1 The option of doing nothing would mean that the implementation date for the removal of the boarding provision at Hunters Hill College will remain as 1st April 2020. This will not allow sufficient time for the statutory staff consultations to take place and could place the school and the Local Authority open to potential unfair dismissal claims.
- 4.2 The option to modify the implementation date would allow all staff consultations to be carried out within statutory timescales giving staff time to review and respond and avoid the proposal to remove the boarding provision not “failing to be implemented” (School Organisation (Prescribed Alterations to Maintained Schools) (England) Regulations 2013 (the “Prescribed Alterations Regulations”),

5 Consultation

5.1 Internal & External

The original determined proposal to remove the boarding provision with an implementation of 1st April 2020 was fully consulted upon in line with the requirements set out in the statutory guidance “Making significant changes (‘prescribed alterations’) to maintained schools” (October 2018) published by the Department for Education (DfE). A copy of the approved Cabinet Member report to remove the boarding provision with an implementation date of the 1st April 2020 can be found in **Appendix 1**

- 5.2 If approved, the details of this modification will be published on the BeHeard website where the original proposal to remove the boarding provision was published.

6 Risk Management

Should the proposals for the modification to the proposal for the closure of the boarding provision not be approved there is a high risk of the statutory staff consultations not being completed within the statutory timescales which could lead to the school being open to potential unfair dismissal claims.

7. Compliance Issues:

7.1 How are the recommended decisions consistent with the City Council's priorities, plans and strategies?

- 7.1.1 The proposal to modify the implementation date on the proposal to remove the boarding provision at Hunters Hill College is necessary in order for the statutory staff consultations to take place in compliance with the relevant redundancy policy.

7.2 Legal Implications

- 7.2.1 This report exercises powers contained within sections 19 and 21 of the Education and Inspections Act 2006 and Schedule 2 and Schedule 3 to the School Organisation (Prescribed Alterations to Maintained Schools) (England) Regulations 2013 (the "Prescribed Alterations Regulations"), whereby the Local Authority of a Community Special School can seek modifications (e.g. to the implementation date) from the decision maker before the approved implementation date. Under the Prescribed Alterations Regulations, the Local Authority is the decision maker for this statutory proposal.

7.3 Financial Implications

- 7.3.1 One of the financial implications in the original report to remove the boarding provision was saving on staffing costs. The modification to the implementation date from 1st April to 1st July 2020 will mean that the school continues to be funded for the residential provision up until 1st July 2020.
- 7.3.2 This will mean the school will receive additional part year funding of £275,000 for the residential provision, though will also incur additional staffing costs of £182,500 to cover the period 1st April to 1st July 2020. In overall terms the impact of this change on the deficit of the school, taking into account other non-staff costs, should be neutral. However, the funding of £275,000, which would have otherwise been released for alternative provision, will not be available in 2020/21.

7.4 Procurement Implications (if required)

Not applicable

7.5 Human Resources Implications (if required)

- 7.5.1 Pre meetings and discussions took place during the course of February 2020 with a caveat that implementation was subject to approvals being granted. The school

is working in accordance with the relevant redundancy procedures. Under ACAS guidance, by law the Local Authority must consult with affected staff and those not at risk of redundancy in terms of the proposals and the impact on them in an employment context and the options available including mitigation of compulsory redundancy through a voluntary redundancy trawl. An overview of the end to end process along with a timeline can be found in **Appendix 2** of this report.

The modification to the implementation date from 1st April 2020 to 1st July 2020 is necessary to ensure all staff employed in the residential unit and trade unions and teaching associations are consulted in line with the relevant policy and procedure.

7.6 Public Sector Equality Duty

- 7.6.1 An updated Equality Assessment initial screening was carried out in October 2018 (EQUA221) against the School Organisation Change process, which identified that a full impact assessment was not required. No events have occurred since then which would require the preparation of a fresh screening in respect of these recommendations.

8 Appendices

1. Cabinet Member Decision -Remove the Boarding Provision at Hunters Hill College.
2. Timeline for HR Consultation
2. Guidance for Decision Makers

9. Background Documents

- Education and Inspections Act 2006
- Making significant changes ('prescribed alterations') to maintained schools: "Statutory guidance for proposers and decision makers" published by the Department for Education (DfE) October 2018.

Birmingham City Council

Report to Cabinet Member for Education Skills and Culture

Date: February 2020



Subject: PROPOSAL TO REMOVE BOARDING PROVISION AT HUNTERS HILL COLLEGE

Report of: Dr Tim O'Neill
Director for Education & Skills

Relevant Cabinet Member: Cllr Jayne Francis - Education Skills and Culture

Relevant O &S Chair(s): Cllr Kath Scott - Education & Children's Social Care

Report author: Name: Jaswinder Didially
Head of Service, Education Infrastructure;
Telephone No: 0121 303 8847
E-mail address: jaswinder.didially@birmingham.gov.uk

Are specific wards affected?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No – All wards affected
If yes, name(s) of ward(s):		
Is this a key decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If relevant, add Forward Plan Reference: 00xxx/2018		
Is the decision eligible for call-in?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Does the report contain confidential or exempt information?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If relevant, provide exempt information paragraph number or reason if confidential :		

1 Executive Summary

1.1 To seek the determination of a statutory proposal:

- Remove the boarding provision at Hunters Hill College with effect from 1st April 2020.

2 Recommendations

That the Cabinet Member for Education, Skills and Culture;

- 2.1 Approve, having taken into account the statutory guidance, the statutory proposal to remove the boarding provision at Hunters Hill College.

3 Background

- 3.1 Hunters Hill College is a Birmingham community special school situated outside the City boundary at Spirehouse Lane, Bromsgrove, Worcestershire. The school can offer up to 135 places for pupils with an Education Health and Care Plan (EHCP) for Social, Emotional and Mental Health needs, (SEMH). Of the 135 places available, 32 can accommodate boarding pupils who have an EHCP for a boarding school place.
- 3.2 The school has not admitted pupils with an EHCP for a boarding place for many years. The unit has more recently been used by approximately 31 pupils for evening intervention and short break/respite care due to the fact there are no boarding pupils (pupils with boarding on their EHCP) at the school.
- 3.3 Due to safeguarding concerns that are currently being investigated this service was suspended during the summer and did not open in September 2019. There are no plans to reinstate this facility.
- 3.4 The Local Authority will be giving all parents of pupils who previously received short/break/respite care an opportunity to apply for a referral to request continuing support if they are eligible.
- 3.5 The Local Authority has an obligation to staff and to maintain the residential building although there have not been any boarding pupils for many years. The removal of boarding provision will allow the Local Authority to decommission the residential buildings. The long-term future of the residential building will be decided by the Local Authority.
- 3.6 Both Hunters Hill College and the boarding provision were inspected by OFSTED on September 18th/19th after a number of complaints were made to OFSTED that raised serious concerns. Following these inspections, both the school and the residential provision received ratings of “inadequate”.
- 3.7 OFSTED found that “the arrangements for safeguarding at the school were not effective and at times pupils’ safety was at risk because staff did not manage behaviour well.” OFSTED found that “there were serious widespread failures at the boarding provision which meant that children and young people were not protected.”
- 3.8 The Local Authority has been providing additional support at the school and has placed two new leaders in the school, one in May 2019 and one in September 2019.

- 3.9 Birmingham Education Partnership (BEP) and Birmingham City Council have been working collaboratively and agreed to move forward with proposals to remove the boarding provision.
- 3.10 Following the Ofsted rating of inadequate, Hunters Hill College became subject to a Directive Academy Order (DAO) on 23rd October 2019.
- 3.11 The Local Authority are considering options as per the DfE Guidance for Schools Causing Concerns, one of which could include the implementation of an IEB. An academy sponsor has yet to be confirmed.
- 3.12 A condition survey of Hunters Hill College was carried out on 1st March 2019 and identified major structural issues with the residential buildings which will require considerable investment to rectify. The closure of the boarding provision at Hunters Hill College will enable the Local Authority to remove the obligation to maintain the residential buildings that are currently unfit for purpose and have become financially unviable.
- 3.13 In compliance with DfE guidance and best-practice, a statutory pre-publication consultation was completed for the proposal between 21st October and 15th November 2019 this was in the form of a pre-publication letter. Two responses were received from parents at this stage one by email and one verbally, both opposed to the proposal. The parents were advised to put their comments in writing during the statutory consultation stage.
- 3.14 In compliance with DfE guidance, a statutory notice and proposal were published between 21st November and 19th December 2019 (four weeks). The representation period commenced with the publication of a statutory notice in the Birmingham Post.

During the four weeks representation period, comments on the proposal were submitted in writing to Education Infrastructure, via the BeHeard webpages, email or letter. A copy of the full proposal and public notices can be found within **Appendices 1 & 2**.

At the close of the representation period, 21 responses were received regarding the proposal. Of the 21 responses received 10 were opposed, 10 were in favour and one had no comments. A detailed quantitative and qualitative analysis of the consultation and copies of the comments received can be found in **Appendix 3** of this report.

- 3.15 A number of detailed responses were received from parents and staff whereby reference is made to pupils being “residential.” There are currently no pupils on roll at Hunters Hill College who have the requirement for a boarding/residential place on EHCP. All parents of pupils who previously received short/break/respite care will have an opportunity to apply for a referral to request continuing support if they are eligible.
- 3.16 Details of both the internal and external stakeholders consulted and the means by which both consultations were carried out are detailed in section 5 of this report.

- 3.17 The Education and Inspections Act 2006 and Regulation 7 of the School Organisation (Prescribed Alterations to Maintained Schools) (England) Regulations 2013 (the Prescribed Alterations Regulations) state that the Local Authority must have regard to any guidance issued by the Secretary of State when making a decision on such proposals. The relevant statutory guidance is attached (**Appendix 4**). The Education and Inspections Act 2006, and Paragraph 5 of Schedule 3 to the Prescribed Alterations Regulations allows for the proposals to be approved, approved with modification, approved subject to meeting a prescribed condition, or rejected.
- 3.18 If the proposals are approved, the boarding provision at the school will be decommissioned and the Local Authority will no longer be under an obligation to staff and to maintain the residential buildings.

4 Options considered and Recommended Proposal

- 4.1 The option of doing nothing would mean that Hunters Hill College will remain as a school with boarding provision and the associated costs and safety concerns highlighted in this report will remain a liability for the city, staff and pupils.
- 4.2 To allow for the closure of the boarding provision at Hunters Hill College will enable the Local Authority to;
- Address the serious widespread failures at the boarding provision that have been reported by OFSTED.
 - Remove the obligation to staff and maintain the residential buildings that are currently unfit for purpose and have become increasingly financially unviable.
 - No longer allocate £1.1million from the high level funding block at the Local Authority for Hunters Hill boarding provision, where there are no boarding pupils on roll.

5 Consultation

5.1 Internal

During the statutory consultation periods, information about the proposal was sent to:

- All Ward Councillors in Birmingham
- Officers from services across Birmingham City Council including Admissions, Finance, School and Governor Support, Human Resources, Legal, Planning, Research and Statistics Information Officers for Education and Skills.

Details of the responses received and outcome of the statutory consultation is set out in **Appendix 3**. The Ward Councillors consulted and the date and method of consultation is set out in **Appendix 5**.

5.2 External

5.2.1 The proposal has been fully consulted upon in line with the requirements set out in both the statutory guidance “Making significant changes (‘prescribed alterations’) to maintained schools” (October 2018) published by the Department for Education (DfE). A copy of the guidance for decision makers can be found in **Appendix 4**.

5.2.2 During the pre-statutory consultation period, information about the proposal was publicised to the parents, teaching staff, non-teaching staff. During the statutory consultation period, information about the proposal was publicised to all stakeholders consulted during the pre-statutory period and the following additional consultees:

- Birmingham Schools;
- Neighbouring Local Authorities;
- The Archdiocese and The Anglican Diocese of Birmingham;
- Professional Associations and Trade unions
- All Birmingham Local Councillors

5.2.3 The information was publicised in the following ways:

- Public notice in Birmingham Post newspaper;
- On Birmingham City Council BeHeard webpage;
- On the schools’ webpages;
- On the Birmingham City Council School Notice Board.

5.2.4 A copy of the full proposal document can be found in **Appendix 1** and the Public Notice in **Appendix 2**. The outcome of the external consultation is set out in Section 3 of this report and in **Appendix 3**

6 Risk Management

Should the proposals for the closure of the boarding provision not be approved there is a high risk of the following;

An alternative solution would need to be sought to address the following serious issues at the school;

- Ofsted’s judgement of “inadequate”;
- The School’s deficit budget £291,000.
- The buildings are unfit for the original purpose and require a significant level of investment to meet current building standards.

- Safeguarding concerns raised by OFSTED. “There are serious and widespread failures, which mean that children and young people are not protected, or their welfare is not promoted or safeguarded” OFSTED 2019

7. Compliance Issues:

7.1 How are the recommended decisions consistent with the City Council’s priorities, plans and strategies?

7.1.2 The proposal to remove the boarding provision at Hunters Hill College is necessary in response to the following issues at Hunters Hill College:

- OFSTED’s judgement that Hunters Hill College is inadequate.
- OFSTED’s findings that “there were serious widespread failures at the boarding provision which meant that children and young people were not protected.”
- The Hunters Hill residential building is unfit for purpose.
- There have not been any boarding pupils at the school for many years.

7.2 Legal Implications

7.2.1 This report exercises powers contained within sections 19 and 21 of the Education and Inspections Act 2006 and Schedule 2 and Schedule 3 to the School Organisation (Prescribed Alterations to Maintained Schools) (England) Regulations 2013 (the “Prescribed Alterations Regulations”), whereby the Local Authority of a Community Special School can propose to remove the boarding provision by following a statutory process. Under the Prescribed Alterations Regulations, the Local Authority is the decision maker for this statutory proposal.

7.3 Financial Implications

7.3.1 As at December 2019 Hunters Hill College had a financial deficit of £291,000.00. Unviability of maintaining the residential buildings along with the unfilled boarding places is contributing to this deficit. As a consequence of the closure of the residential unit the school will lose £1.1 million high needs funding based on a full year and although the expenditure for the residential unit will also reduce (staffing costs alone equate to £730,000.) there is likely to be an increase in the deficit for Hunters Hill.

7.3.2 The £1.1 million funding is allocated from the high level needs funding within SENAR and has been allocated for boarding places of which none exist at Hunters Hill.

7.3.3 This proposal will remove the requirement to allocate £1.1million to Hunters Hill College. This £1.1 million will be available to fund high level need places elsewhere in the City.

7.4 Procurement Implications (if required)

Not applicable

7.5 Human Resources Implications (if required)

- 7.5.1 Any changes to existing terms and conditions of staff or staff reductions resulting from the closure of the boarding provision will be subject to full consultation with the trade unions and teaching associations in line with City Council policy and procedure.

7.6 Public Sector Equality Duty

- 7.6.1 An updated Equality Assessment initial screening was carried out in October 2018 (EQUA221) against the School Organisation Change process, which identified that a full impact assessment was not required. No events have occurred since then which would require the preparation of a fresh screening in respect of these recommendations.

8 Appendices

1. Full Proposal Document
2. Public Notice
3. Statutory Consultation Results
4. Guidance for Decision Makers
5. Ward Councillors Consulted.

9. Background Documents

- Education and Inspections Act 2006
- Making significant changes ('prescribed alterations') to maintained schools: "Statutory guidance for proposers and decision makers" published by the Department for Education (DfE) October 2018.
- OFSTED Full Inspection Report 2019 Reference: Hunters Hill College
<https://reports.ofsted.gov.uk/provider/25/103609>
- OFSTED report for Residential special school 2019
<https://reports.ofsted.gov.uk/provider/10/SC043050>
- Hunters Hill College – Non-Building Condition Report March 2019

Hunters Hill School - Residential
STAFFING RESTRUCTURE 2019

IMPLEMENTATION TIMELINE & PROCESS

DATE	PROCESS	COMMENTS
Feb 2020	Discuss proposals with ER	If staff 55 years or older ask HR Operations to request Pension Strain costs.
Feb 2020	HT prepares business case/proposal Complete due diligence process (where applicable)	
Feb 2020	Preliminary discussions with GB Appoint Redundancy Committee and Appeals Committee	
Feb 2020	HT meets with the Redundancy Committee and shares detailed business case/proposal including selection process, if applicable	
Feb 2020	Meeting with Redundancy Committee and seek approval as a basis for consultation	
w/c 17.02.2020	HT invites all teaching and support staff unions to informal consultation meeting	Offer three dates giving 2-3 weeks' notice and enclose a copy of the business case and supporting documentation
2 March 2020 9.30am, 3 rd March, 9.30am or 5 th March 2pm	Informal consultation meeting with unions	Advise unions you will meet with directly affected staff first then have whole school meeting Agree date for formal consultation meeting with unions and redundancy committee
5 th March 2020	HT meets with Redundancy Committee and drafts the S188 and consider criteria for selection process, if required	
5 th March 2020	HT sends Draft S188 plus additional documents (if required) to the unions inviting them to a meeting with the HT and the Redundancy Committee If selection process required, agree what	The date for this meeting should already have been agreed with the unions at the informal meeting

16 th March 10am, 19 th March 1.30pm	Formal Meeting with Unions and Redundancy Committee Start of the 30 calendar days consultation process (excluding holidays)	Final S188 issued
16 th March or 19 th March	Meetings with staff	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Directly affected staff 1:1 • Groups/Departments • Whole school staff
16 th March or 19 th March	Inform staff of key dates	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implementation Date – (insert date) • Hearing with Redundancy Committee will take place on (insert date), if known
16 th March or 19 th March	Advise staff 1:1 meetings will be available with HT/SMT during consultation period	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This is available regardless of whether staff require representation • Get redundancy figures from HR Operations where requested by staff
16 th March or 19 th March	Request expressions in VR (R4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Get redundancy figures from HR Operations where requested by staff • If staff 55 years or older ask HR Operations to request Pension Strain costs • Receive expressions by (insert date, then send R5)
Wc 27 th April 2020	Expressions in VR to be received	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider pension strain costs if applicable
Wc 27 th April 2020	30 calendar days consultation period ends	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • End of the formal consultation period
Wc 27 th April 2020	Redundancy Committee Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quality assure process to date • Discuss and agree VR expressions

Wc 27 th April 2020	Serve notice to staff wishing to take VR	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ER to provide letter so school can send
Wc 27 th April 2020	Inform redundancy committee of any provisional selections for compulsory redundancy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Redundancy committee must approve any recommendations for provisional selections of compulsory redundancy
Wc 27 th April 2020	Inform staff of selection process	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Issue letter giving 5 working days to make representation (r6)
Wc 27 th April 2020	Serve Notice to staff made Compulsory Redundant not wishing to make representations to the Redundancy Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Issue Notice (R9) for CR
May 2020	Organise Appeals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Invite to Appeal (R13)
w/c 1 st June	Appeal Hearing/s with Appeals Redundancy Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outcome Letter (R15) • Letter R16 if successful at appeal
1 st July 2020	Implementation date	

NB. YOU MUST CONSULT WITH ALL STAFF, INCLUDING THOSE ABSENT DUE TO SICKNESS, MATERNITY LEAVE, SUSPENSION, ETC.

NB. TEACHERS CAN BE SERVED NOTICE THREE TIMES IN A YEAR, THESE ARE:

31 OCTOBER 28 FEBRUARY 31 MAY

WHEN PLANNING YOUR TIMELINE YOU NEED TO ENSURE THE 30 DAY CONSULTATION PERIOD ENDS BY SEPTEMBER, JANUARY AND APRIL.



Department
for Education

Making significant changes ('prescribed alterations') to maintained schools

**Statutory guidance for proposers and
decision-makers**

October 2018

Contents

1: Summary	4
About this guidance	4
Review date	4
Who is this guidance for?	4
Terminology	5
Main points	5
2: Prescribed alteration changes	7
Enlargement of premises (expansion)	7
Examples of when mainstream schools do/do not need to publish 'enlargement' proposals	8
The quality of new places created through expansion	8
Expansion onto an additional site (or 'satellite sites')	9
Expansion of existing grammar schools	10
Changes to the published admissions number (PAN) where an enlargement of premises has not taken place	10
Change in number of pupils in a special school	11
Change of age range	12
Adding a sixth form	14
Closing an additional site	15
Transfer to a new site	16
Changes of category	17
Single sex school becoming co-educational (or vice versa)	18
Mainstream school: establish/remove/alter special educational needs (SEN) provision	19
Change the types of need catered for by a special school	20
Boarding provision	20
Remove selective admission arrangements at a grammar school	22
Amalgamations	22
3: Contentious proposals	23
4: Changes that can be made outside of the statutory process	24

5: Statutory process: prescribed alterations	26
Publication	27
Representation (formal consultation)	28
Decision	29
Related proposals	30
Conditional approval	30
Education standards and diversity of provision	31
Equal opportunities issues	31
Community cohesion	31
Travel and accessibility	31
Funding	32
Rights of appeal against a decision	32
Implementation	32
Modification post determination	33
Revocation of proposals	33
Land and buildings	33
6: Statutory process: foundation proposals	35
Changing category to foundation, acquiring a foundation trust and/or acquiring a foundation majority	35
Foundation schools acquiring a foundation trust	38
Removing a foundation trust and/or removing a foundation majority	41
Annex A: Information to be included in a prescribed alteration statutory proposal	47
Annex B: Further Information	48
Annex C: Contact details for RSC offices	50

1: Summary

About this guidance

This is statutory guidance from the Department for Education. This means that recipients must have regard to it when making ‘prescribed alterations’ to maintained schools.

The purpose of this guidance is to ensure that good quality school places can be provided quickly where they are needed; that local authorities (LAs) and governing bodies (GBs) do not take decisions that will have a negative impact on other schools in the area; and that changes can be implemented quickly and effectively where there is a strong case for doing so. In line with these aims it is expected that, where possible, additional new places will only be provided at schools that have an overall Ofsted rating of ‘good’ or ‘outstanding’. Schools which do not fall within the above categories should only be expanded where there are no other viable options.

A GB, LA or the [Schools Adjudicator](#) must have regard to this guidance when exercising functions under [The School Organisation \(Prescribed Alterations to Maintained Schools\) \(England\) Regulations 2013](#) (‘the Prescribed Alterations Regulations’). It should be read in conjunction with Parts 2 and 3 and Schedule 3 of the [Education and Inspections Act \(EIA\) 2006](#) and the Prescribed Alterations Regulations. It also relates to the [Establishment and Discontinuance Regulations](#) and [The School Organisation \(Removal of Foundation, Reduction in the Number of Foundation Governors and Ability of Foundation to Pay Debts\) \(England\) Regulations \(2007\)](#) (‘the ‘Removal Regulations’).

It is the responsibility of LAs and GBs to ensure that they act in accordance with the relevant legislation when making changes to a maintained school and they are advised to seek independent legal advice where appropriate.

Review date

This guidance will be reviewed in October 2019.

Who is this guidance for?

Those proposing to make changes and making decisions on changes to maintained schools (e.g. GBs, LAs and the Schools Adjudicator), and for information purposes for those affected by a proposal (trustees of the school, diocese or relevant diocesan board, any other relevant faith body, parents etc.).

This guidance is relevant to all categories of maintained schools (as defined in section 20 of the [School Standards and Framework Act \(SSFA\) 1998](#)), unless explicitly stated. It is not relevant to [Pupil Referral Units](#). Separate advice [on making significant changes to an academy](#) and [opening and closing a maintained school](#) is available.

Please refer to the '[Further Information](#)' section for the full website address should you be unable to access documents via the hyperlinks provided.

Terminology

Definitions of common terms used in this guidance:

Schools with a religious character - All schools designated as having a religious character in accordance with the [SSFA](#).

Foundation Trust - For the purpose of this guidance the term 'foundation trust' refers to a foundation complying with the requirements set out in section 23A of the SSFA.

Parent(s) - The Education Act 1996 defines 'parent' as including someone who has care of, or legal responsibility for, the child. Therefore, a parent can include, for example, a grandparent, other family member or foster carer if they have care of or responsibility for the child.

Main points

- All proposals for prescribed alterations must follow the processes set out in this guidance.
- Where a LA proposes to expand a school that is eligible for intervention as set out in Section 59 of the [Education and Inspections Act 2006](#), they should copy the proposal to the relevant [Regional Schools Commissioner \(RSC\)](#) at the point of publication.
- To enable the department to monitor potentially contentious proposals, the proposer should copy any proposal, which falls within the definitions set out in [part 3](#), to the School Organisation mailbox as soon as it is published schoolorganisation.notifications@education.gov.uk.
- LAs and GBs proposing to make a significant change to a school which has been designated as having a religious character should engage the trustees of the school, and in the case of Church schools the diocese or relevant

diocesan board, or any other relevant faith body, where appropriate at the earliest opportunity.

- Where a LA is the decision maker, it must make a decision within a period of two months of the end of the representation period. Where a decision is not made within this time frame, the LA must refer the proposal to the Schools Adjudicator for a decision.
- It is not possible for any school to gain, lose or change religious character through a change of category. Information on the process to be followed is available in the [opening and closing maintained schools guidance](#).
- Once a decision has been made the proposer (GB or LA) must make the necessary changes to the school's record in the department's system [Get Information About Schools](#) (GIAS) by the date the change is implemented.
- Where a school wishes to change their name, the GB will need to amend the Instrument of Government in line with regulation 30 of [The School Governance \(Constitution\) \(England\) Regulations 2012](#). Once that is done, either the school or the LA will need to update the school record in the department's GIAS system.

2: Prescribed alteration changes

Enlargement of premises (expansion)

Under section 14 of the [Education Act 1996](#), LAs have a statutory duty to ensure that there are sufficient schools for primary and secondary education in their areas. The department expects LAs to manage the school estate efficiently and to reduce or find alternative uses for surplus capacity (for example, increasing the provision of early education and childcare) to avoid detriment to schools' educational offer or financial position. LAs are encouraged to consider the use of modular construction solutions for any physical building expansion and to consider all options for the reutilisation of space including via remodelling, amalgamations, or closure where this would be the best course of action.

Where additional places are needed, including where there is a local demand for a particular category of places (for example in schools designated as having a religious character), the LA can propose an enlargement of the capacity¹ of premises.

The statutory process should be followed to enlarge premises as set out in the [Prescribed Alterations Regulations](#) (see [part 5](#)) if:

- the proposed enlargement is permanent (longer than three years) and **would increase the capacity of the school** by:
 - more than 30 pupils; **and**
 - 25% or 200 pupils (whichever is the lesser).
- the proposal involves making permanent any temporary enlargement (which was intended to be in place for no more than three years) that meets the above threshold.

GBs of all categories of mainstream schools and LAs can propose small scale expansions that do not meet the thresholds above without the need to follow the formal statutory process in [part 4](#). In many cases this can be achieved solely by increasing the school's published admissions number² (PAN); please see the [School Admissions Code](#). The thresholds do not, however, apply to special schools. Details of how special schools can increase their intake³ are covered below.

¹ Net capacity as calculated using the DfE Guidance Assessing the Net Capacity of Schools (2002).

² All admission authorities must set a published admission number (PAN) for each 'relevant age group' when they determine their admission arrangements. So, if a school has an admissions number of 120 pupils for Year 7, that is its PAN.

³ The number of pupils admitted into the school at a particular time

Examples of when mainstream schools would/would not need to publish 'enlargement' proposals

A secondary school with a capacity of 750 (5 form of entry - 30 pupils per class, 5 year groups) **could** enlarge its premises to add 1 form of entry (30 extra pupils x 5 year groups = increase of 150 pupils) bringing the capacity to 900 pupils, **without** having to publish statutory proposals. Although the increase would be by 'more than 30' pupils, it is less than '200', and also less than '25%' of the current capacity (i.e. by less than 187).

A small primary school with a capacity of 50 **could** enlarge its premises to increase its capacity by up to 29 pupils **without** having to publish statutory proposals, because although it would be more than '25%', it is less than 30.

A school of any size enlarging its premises to enable it to add 300 places **would** need to follow the statutory process as the increase would be **both** 'more than 30' **and** '200' (it may or may not be more than '25%' but that is irrelevant if the 200 threshold would be met).

A primary school with a capacity of 210 enlarging its premises to enable it to add 105 places (1.5 forms of entry 45 x 7 = 315), **would** need to follow the statutory process as the increase would be 'more than 30' and **more than** '25%' (it would be less than 200 but this is irrelevant as the 25% threshold would be met).

The quality of new places created through expansion

We expect LAs to consider a range of performance indicators and financial data, before deciding whether a school should be expanded. Where schools are underperforming, we would not expect them to expand, unless there is a strong case that this would help to raise standards. We expect LAs to create new places in schools that have an overall Ofsted rating of 'good' or 'outstanding'. If, however, there are no other feasible ways to create new places in the area, the LA should notify their Pupil Places Planning adviser⁴. In cases where there is a proposal to expand a school that is rated inadequate, the LA should also send a copy of the proposal to the [relevant RSC](#) so that they can ensure appropriate intervention strategies are in place.

The table below sets out who can propose an enlargement of premises and what process must be followed:

⁴ Advisers.PPP@education.gov.uk

Proposer	Type of proposal	Process	Decision-maker	Right of appeal to the adjudicator
LA for community	Enlargement of premises that meets the threshold	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese
LA for voluntary or foundation	Enlargement of premises that meets the threshold	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB/Trustees
LA for voluntary and foundation	Enlargement of premises (below the threshold)	Non statutory process	LA	N/A
GB of all categories mainstream	Enlargement of premises (below the threshold)	Non statutory process	GB	N/A

Expansion onto an additional site (or ‘satellite sites’)

Where proposers seek to expand onto an additional site they will need to ensure that the new provision is genuinely a change to an existing school and not in reality the establishment of a new school. Where a LA decides that a new school is needed to meet basic need, they should refer to the [guidance for opening new schools](#).

Decisions about whether a proposal represents a genuine expansion will need to be taken on a case-by-case basis, but proposers and decision makers will need to consider this non-exhaustive list of factors which are intended to expose the extent to which the new site is integrated with the existing site, and the extent to which it will serve the same community as the existing site:

The reasons for the expansion

- What is the rationale for this approach and this particular site?

Admission and curriculum arrangements

- How will the new site be used (e.g. which age groups/pupils will it serve)?
- What will the admission arrangements be?
- Will there be movement of pupils between sites?

Governance and administration

- How will whole school activities be managed?
- Will staff be employed on contracts to work on both sites? How frequently will they do so?
- What governance, leadership and management arrangements will be put in place to oversee the new site (e.g. will the new site be governed by the same GB and the same school leadership team)?

Physical characteristics of the school

- How will facilities across the two sites be used (e.g. sharing of the facilities and resources available at the two sites, such as playing fields)?
- Is the new site in an area that is easily accessible to the community that the current school serves?

The purpose of considering these factors is to determine the level of integration between the two sites; the more integration, the more likely the change will be considered as an expansion.

LAs should copy any proposal to expand a school onto a satellite site to schoolorganisation.notifications@education.gov.uk for monitoring purposes.

Expansion of existing grammar schools

Legislation prohibits the establishment of new grammar schools⁵. Expansion of any existing grammar school onto a satellite site can only happen if the new site is genuinely part of the existing school. Decision-makers must consider the factors listed above when deciding if an expansion is a legitimate enlargement of an existing school.

Changes to the published admissions number (PAN) where an enlargement of premises has not taken place

Admission authorities⁶ must set a PAN for each 'relevant age group' when determining their admission arrangements. If an admission authority of a mainstream school wishes to increase or decrease PAN, without increasing the overall physical

⁵ Except where a grammar school is replacing one of more existing grammar schools

⁶ The LA in the case of community and voluntary controlled (VC) schools or the GB in the case of voluntary aided (VA) and foundation schools

capacity of the buildings, this would be classed as an admissions change, not a prescribed alteration. The statutory process described in this guidance would not need to be followed (please see the [School Admissions Code](#) for further details of the processes admission authorities must follow).

Change in number of pupils in a special school

The School Admissions Code does not apply to special schools. GBs of all categories of special school, and LAs for community special schools, may seek to increase the number of places by following the statutory process in [part 5](#), if the increase is by:

- 10%; or
- 20 pupils (or 5 pupils if the school is a boarding-only school),

(whichever is the smaller number).

The exception to this is where a special school is established in a hospital.

GBs of all categories of special school, and LAs for community special schools, may seek to decrease the number of pupils, by following the statutory process in [part 5](#).

The table below sets out who can propose a change in the number of pupils in a special school and what process must be followed:

Proposer	Type of proposal	Process	Decision-maker	Right of appeal to the adjudicator
GB foundation special	Increase by 10% or 20 pupils (5 for boarding special) or decrease numbers	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB/Trustees
GB community special	Increase by 10% or 20 pupils (5 for boarding special) or decrease numbers	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese
LA for community special and foundation special	Increase by 10% or 20 pupils (5 for boarding special)	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese

Proposer	Type of proposal	Process	Decision-maker	Right of appeal to the adjudicator
LA for foundation special	Increase by 10% or 20 pupils (5 for boarding special)	Statutory process	LA	GB/Trustees
LA for community special	Decrease of numbers	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese

Change of age range

For changes that are expected to be in place for more than 2 years (as these are considered permanent increases):

LAs can propose:

- a change of age range of up to 2 years (except for adding or removing a sixth form) for voluntary and foundation schools by following the non-statutory process, see [part 4](#).
- a change of age range of 1 year or more for community schools (including the adding or removal of sixth form or nursery provision) and community special schools or alter the upper age limit of a foundation or voluntary school to add sixth form provision by following the statutory process, see [part 5](#).

GBs of foundation and voluntary schools can propose:

- an age range change of up to 2 years (except for adding or removing a sixth form) by following the non-statutory process, see [part 4](#).
- an age range change of 3 years or more (including adding or removing a sixth form) by following the statutory process, see [part 5](#).

Before making such a proposal, the GB should consult with LAs, and where the school is designated as having a religious character the trustees of the school, dioceses or relevant diocesan boards, or any other relevant faith body, to understand the place management needs of the area.

GBs of community schools can propose the alteration of their upper age limit to add sixth form provision following the statutory process, see [part 5](#).

GBs of community special and foundation special schools can propose a change of age range of 1 year or more following the statutory process, see [part 5](#).

Where a proposed age range change would also require an expansion of the school's premises, the LA or GB must also ensure that they act in accordance with the requirements for proposals for the [enlargement of premises](#).

In cases where the age-range of the school has changed, this should be altered on GIAS. For example if the age-range is changed so that the school no longer caters for pupils below compulsory school age, the lower age range of the school would need to be increased so as not to include that age group.

The table below sets out who can propose a change of age range and what process must be followed:

Proposer	Type of proposal	Process	Decision-maker	Right of appeal to the adjudicator
LA for voluntary and foundation	Alteration of upper or lower age range of up to 2 years (excluding adding or removing a sixth form)	Non statutory process	LA	NA
GB of voluntary and foundation	Alteration of upper or lower age range by up to 2 years (excluding adding or removing a sixth form)	Non statutory process	GB	N/A
GB of voluntary and foundation	Alteration of upper or lower age range by 3 years or more	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB/Trustees
LA for community and community special	Alteration of upper or lower age range by 1 year or more (for community schools including the adding or removal of sixth form or nurse provision)	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese
GB foundation special	Alteration of upper or lower age range by one year or more	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB/Trustees
GB community special	Alteration of upper or lower age range by one year or more	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese
LA for community	Alteration of upper age range so as to add or	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese

Proposer	Type of proposal	Process	Decision-maker	Right of appeal to the adjudicator
	remove sixth form provision			
LA for voluntary and foundation	Alteration of upper age range so as to add sixth form provision	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB/Trustees
GB of voluntary and foundation	Alteration of upper age range so as to add sixth form provision	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB/Trustees
GB of community	Alteration of upper age range so as to add sixth form provision	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese
GB of voluntary and foundation	Alteration of upper age range so as to remove sixth form provision	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB/Trustees

Adding a sixth form

The department wants to ensure that all temporary (which is anticipated will be in place for no more than 2 years) and permanent provision is of the highest quality and provides genuine value for money. There is a departmental expectation that proposals for the addition of sixth form provision will only be put forward for secondary schools that are rated as 'good' or 'outstanding' by Ofsted. Proposers should also consider the supply of other local post-16 provision in the area and assess if there is a genuine need for the additional provision.

In deciding whether new sixth form provision would be appropriate, proposers and decision makers should consider the following guidelines:

- **Quality:** The quality of pre-16 education must be good or outstanding (as rated by Ofsted) and the school must have a history of positive Progress 8 scores (above 0);
- **Size:** The proposed sixth form will provide at least 200 places and there should be sufficient demand for those places;
- **Subject Breadth:** The proposed sixth form should - either directly or through partnership - offer a minimum of 15 A level subjects. LAs may wish to consider the benefits of delivering a broader A level curriculum through

partnership arrangements with other school sixth forms. Working with others can offer opportunities to:

- Improve choice and attainment for pupils
- Deliver new, improved or more integrated services
- Make efficiency savings through sharing costs
- Develop a stronger, more united voice
- Share knowledge and information.

Schools proposing a partnership arrangement must include evidence of how this will operate on a day-to-day basis, including timetabling and the deployment of staff;

- **Demand:** There should be a clear demand for additional post-16 places in the local area (including evidence of a shortage of post-16 places and a consideration of the quality of Level 3 provision in the area). The proposed sixth form should not create excessive surplus places or have a detrimental effect on other high quality post-16 provision in the local area;
- **Financial viability:** The proposed sixth form should be financially viable (there must be evidence of financial resilience should student numbers fall). The average class size should be at least 15, unless there is a clear educational argument to run smaller classes – for example to build the initial credibility of courses with a view to increasing class size in future.

Not all changes in age range to add a sixth form will necessitate a change to the school's admissions arrangements, for example a school may set up sixth form provision solely for its own pupils. However, if the intention is to also admit external applicants to the sixth form the school will need to adopt a sixth form PAN and may also wish to add academic entry requirements on changing its age-range.

The addition of post-16 provision requires a change of age-range, therefore, where a decision-maker is considering a proposal to add post-16 provision, they should refer to the section on changing an age range.

Closing an additional site

For foundation and voluntary schools that are already operating on a satellite site(s), GBs must follow the statutory process in [part 5](#) if they are proposing the closure of one or more sites, where the main entrance at any of the school's remaining sites is one mile or more from the main entrance of the site which is to be closed. The LA may make such a proposal for a community school following the statutory process in [part 5](#).

The table below sets out who can propose the closure of an additional site and what process must be followed:

Proposer	Type of proposal	Process	Decision-maker	Right of appeal to the adjudicator
LA for community	Closure of one or multiple sites	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese
GB voluntary or foundation	Closure of one or multiple sites	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB/Trustees

Transfer to a new site

Where the main entrance of the proposed new site for a school would be more than two miles from the main entrance of the current school site, or if the proposed new site is within the area of another LA:

- **LAs** can propose the transfer to an entirely new site for community schools, community special schools and maintained nursery schools following the statutory process in [part 5](#).
- **GBs of voluntary, foundation, foundation special and community special** schools can also propose a transfer to a new site following the statutory process in [part 5](#).

The table below sets out who can propose a transfer to a new site and what process must be followed:

Proposer	Type of proposal	Process	Decision-maker	Right of appeal to the adjudicator
LA for community, community special and maintained nursery	Transfer to new site	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese
GB voluntary foundation or foundation special	Transfer to new site	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB/Trustees
GB community special	Transfer to new site	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese

Changes of category

GBs of all categories of maintained schools, apart from GBs of foundation special schools, may propose to change category by following the statutory process. The [addition or removal of a foundation](#) is described in [part 6](#). Where GBs are proposing a change of category covering a change in provision (e.g. from mainstream to special school) they are encouraged to seek advice by emailing schoolorganisation.notifications@education.gov.uk.

For a proposal to change the category of a school to voluntary-aided, the decision-maker should be satisfied that the GB and/or the foundation are able and willing to meet their financial responsibilities for building work. The decision-maker may wish to consider whether the GB has access to sufficient funds to enable it to meet 10% of its capital expenditure for at least five years from the date of implementation, taking into account anticipated building projects.

Guidance on adding or changing a designated religious character can be found in the [Opening and closing maintained schools](#) guidance.

The table below sets out who can propose a change of category and what process must be followed:

Proposer	Type of proposal	Process	Decision-maker	Right of appeal to the adjudicator
GB of voluntary	VC to VA VA to VC	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB/Trustees
GB of voluntary	VC or VA to foundation school VC or VA to foundation school and acquire a foundation VC or VA to foundation school, acquire a foundation and majority foundation governors on GB	Statutory process	GB	For proposals at a VA school when decided by the GB: LA CofE Diocese RC Diocese
GB of foundation	Foundation school to VC or VA	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB/Trustees

Proposer	Type of proposal	Process	Decision-maker	Right of appeal to the adjudicator
GB of foundation	Acquire foundation Acquire a majority of foundation governors on the GB Removal of foundation and/or reduction in majority of foundation governors on GB	Statutory process	GB	N/A
GB of community	Community to VC or VA	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese
GB of community	Community to foundation school Community to foundation school and acquire foundation Community to foundation school and acquire majority of foundation governors on GB	Statutory process	GB	N/A
GB of foundation special	Remove foundation and/or reduce majority of foundation governors on GB	Statutory process	GB	N/A

Single sex school becoming co-educational (or vice versa)

Proposers can seek to change their school from single sex to co-educational (or vice versa) when they can show that this would better serve their local community. A co-educational school cannot change its nursery or post-16 provision to single sex. When making a decision, LAs will need to consider the demand for and balance of school places for boys and girls in line with the [Equality Act 2010](#).

The table below sets out who can change a school from single sex to co-educational (or vice versa) and what process must be followed:

Proposer	Type of proposal	Process	Decision-maker	Right of appeal to the adjudicator
LA for community or community special	To co-ed or single sex provision	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese
GB of foundation. foundation special or voluntary	To co-ed or single sex provision	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB/Trustees
GB of community special	To co-ed or single sex provision	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese

Mainstream school: establish/remove/alter special educational needs (SEN) provision

When considering any reorganisation of provision that the LA recognises as reserved for pupils with special educational needs, including that which might lead to children being displaced, proposers will need to demonstrate how the proposed alternative arrangements are likely to lead to improvements in the standard, quality and/or range of educational provision for those children.

The table below sets out who can propose to establish, remove or alter SEN provision and what process must be followed:

Proposer	Type of proposal	Process	Decision-maker	Right of appeal to the adjudicator
LA for community	Establish, remove or alter SEN provision	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese
LA for voluntary and foundation	Establish or remove SEN provision	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB/Trustees
GB of foundation	Establish, remove or alter SEN provision	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB/Trustees

Proposer	Type of proposal	Process	Decision-maker	Right of appeal to the adjudicator
and voluntary				

Change the types of need catered for by a special school

The table below sets out who can propose a change to the type of need catered for by a special school and what process must be followed:

Proposer	Type of proposal	Process	Decision-maker	Right of appeal to the adjudicator
LA for community special	Change designation and categories of SEN provision	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese
LA for foundation special	Change designation and categories of SEN provision	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB/Trustees
GB of community special	Change designation and categories of SEN provision	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese
GB of foundation special	Change designation and categories of SEN provision	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB/Trustees

Boarding provision

The introduction of boarding provision can require the statutory process to be followed (depending on the type of school in question – see table below). LAs and GBs will need to consider how the Prescribed Alterations Regulations apply in conjunction with this guidance and, where there is any doubt, seek independent legal advice, as the department cannot advise on individual cases.

LAs can propose for:

- community schools; the establishment, removal or alteration (decrease by 50 pupils or 50% whichever is the greater) of boarding provision by following the statutory process in [part 5](#).

- community special schools; the establishment, removal or alteration (increase or decrease by 5 places or more where there are both day and boarding places) of boarding provision following the statutory process in [part 5](#).

GBs of voluntary and foundation schools can propose the establishment or increase of boarding provision following the non-statutory process in [part 4](#) and the removal or alteration (decrease by 50 pupils or 50% whichever is the greater) of boarding provision by following the statutory process in [part 5](#).

GBs of special schools can add or remove boarding provision or, where the school makes provision for day and boarding pupils, can increase or decrease boarding provision by five pupils or more following the statutory process in [part 5](#).

The table below sets out who can propose to establish, change or remove boarding provision and what process must be followed:

Proposer	Type of proposal	Process	Decision-maker	Right of appeal to the adjudicator
LA for community	Add, remove or change (decrease by 50 pupils or 50% whichever is greater) boarding provision	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese
LA for community special	Add, remove or change (increase or decrease by 5 pupils or more) boarding provision	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese
GB of foundation or voluntary	Add boarding provision	Non-statutory process	GB	N/A
GB of foundation or voluntary	Remove or change (decrease by 50 pupils or 50% whichever is greater) boarding provision	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB/Trustees
GB of foundation special	Add, remove or change (increase or decrease by 5 pupils or more) boarding provision	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB/Trustees
GB of community special	Add, remove or change (increase or decrease by 5 pupils or more) boarding provision	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese

In making a decision on a proposal to remove boarding provision from a school, the decision-maker should consider whether there is a state funded boarding school within reasonable distance from the school and whether there are satisfactory alternative boarding arrangements for those currently in the school and those who may need boarding places in the foreseeable future, including the children of service families.

Remove selective admission arrangements at a grammar school

The table below sets out who can propose the removal of selective admission arrangements⁷ and what process must be followed:

Proposer	Type of proposal	Process	Decision-maker	Right of appeal to the adjudicator
GB of voluntary or foundation	Remove selective admission arrangements	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese GB/Trustees
GB of community	Remove selective admission arrangements	Statutory process	LA	CofE Diocese RC Diocese

Amalgamations

The LA and/or GB (depending on school category) can publish a proposal to close one school (or more) and enlarge/change the age range/transfer site (following the statutory process as/when necessary) of an existing school, to accommodate the displaced pupils. The remaining school would retain its original school number, as it is not a new school, even if its phase has changed.

Alternatively, LAs may propose to close all the schools involved and replace them with a new school. For more information, please consult the separate guidance on [opening and closing a maintained school](#).

⁷ In accordance with s.109 (1) of the School Standards and Frameworks Act 1998

3: Contentious proposals

When proposing changes, LA's and GBs should act reasonably, and in line with the principles of public law, to ensure that the changes do not have a negative impact on the education of pupils in the area.

To enable the department to monitor potentially controversial proposals, LAs and GBs should notify schoolorganisation.notifications@education.gov.uk of the publication of any proposals which would:

- involve [expansion onto a separate 'satellite' site](#); or
- where objections have been raised that the proposed change could potentially undermine the quality of education in the local area by creating additional places where there is surplus capacity.

4: Changes that can be made outside of the statutory process

LAs and GBs of mainstream maintained schools can make limited changes (see [part 2](#) for the exact detail) to their schools without following a statutory process, including some temporary changes; they are nevertheless required to adhere to the usual principles of public law. They MUST:

- act rationally;
- take into account all relevant and no irrelevant considerations; and
- follow a fair procedure.

The department expects that in making these changes, LAs and GBs will work together and will:

- liaise with the trustees of the school, and in the case of schools designated as having a religious character the diocese or relevant diocesan board, or any other relevant faith body, to ensure that a proposal is aligned with wider place planning/organisational arrangements, and that any necessary consents have been gained;
- not undermine the quality of education provided or the financial viability of other 'good' and 'outstanding' schools in the local area;
- not create additional places in a local planning area where there is already surplus capacity in schools, taking the quality and diversity of the provision into account as well as cross boundary impacts; and
- ensure open and fair consultation with parents, any affected educational institutions in the area (e.g. primary, secondary, special schools, sixth form and FE colleges as required) and other interested parties. The [consultation principles guidance](#) can be referenced for examples of good practice.

Before making any changes GBs should ensure that:

- they have consulted with the LA to ensure the proposal is aligned with local place planning arrangements
- they have secured any necessary funding;
- they have identified suitable accommodation and sites;

- they have secured planning permission and/or agreement on the transfer of land where necessary⁸. The proposal can be approved subject to planning permission being granted;
- they have the consent of the site trustees or other land owner where the land is not owned by the GB;
- where a school is designated as having a religious character, they have the consent of the trustees of the school, the diocese or relevant diocesan board, or any other relevant faith body, where appropriate; and
- the admissions authority is content for the published admissions number (PAN) to be changed where this forms part of expansion plans, in accordance with the School Admissions Code.

Once a decision on the change has been made, the proposer (i.e. LA or GB) is responsible for making arrangements for the necessary changes to be made to the school's record in the department's [GIAS](#) system. These changes must be made no later than the date of implementation for the change and can be input in advance, once a decision is made.

⁸ Including, where necessary, approval from the Secretary of State for change to the use of playing field land under Section 77(1) of the SSFA 1998.

5: Statutory process: prescribed alterations

The statutory process for making prescribed alterations to schools has four stages:

Stage	Description	Timescale	Comments
Stage 1	Publication (statutory proposal/notice)		
Stage 2	Representation (formal consultation)	Must be 4 weeks	As set out in the 'Prescribed Alterations' regulations
Stage 3	Decision	LA should decide a proposal within 2 months otherwise it will fall to the Schools Adjudicator	Any appeal to the adjudicator must be made within 4 weeks of the decision
Stage 4	Implementation	No prescribed timescale	It must be as specified in the published statutory notice, subject to any modifications agreed by the decision-maker

Although there is no longer a statutory 'pre-publication' consultation period for prescribed alteration changes, there is a strong expectation that schools and LAs will consult interested parties in developing their proposal prior to publication, to take into account all relevant considerations. Schools should have the consent of the site trustees and where a school is designated as having a religious character the trustees of the school, the diocese or relevant diocesan board, or any other relevant faith body.

When considering making a prescribed alteration change, it is best practice to take timing into account, for example:

- by holding consultations and public meetings (either formal or informal) during term time, rather than school holidays and, where appropriate, extend the consultation period if it overlaps school holidays etc;
- plan where any public and stakeholder meetings are held to maximise response;
- take into account the admissions cycle for changes that will impact on the school's admission arrangements.

A number of changes can impact admissions necessitating reductions in PAN, new relevant age groups for admission or the adoption of revised admission criteria. Changes to admission arrangements can be made by the admission authority in one of two ways:

- the consultation on changing the admission arrangements (as set out in the [School Admissions Code](#)) takes place sufficiently in advance of a decision on the prescribed alteration so that the change to admissions can be implemented at the same time as the proposals; or
- a variation is sought, where necessary, in view of a major change in circumstances, from the [Schools Adjudicator](#) so that the changes to the admission policy can be implemented at the same time as the prescribed alteration is implemented.

Decision-makers should, so far as is possible, co-ordinate with the admission authority, if different, to ensure they avoid taking decisions that will reduce a PAN or remove a relevant age group for admission after parents have submitted an application for the following September (e.g. 31 October for secondary admissions or 15 January for primary admissions).

Publication

A statutory proposal must contain sufficient information for interested parties to make a decision on whether to support or challenge the proposed change. [Annex A](#) sets out the minimum that this should include. The proposal should be accessible to all interested parties and should therefore use 'plain English'.

Where the proposal for one change is linked to another, this should be made clear in any notices published. Where a proposal by a LA is 'related' to a proposal by other proposers (e.g. where one school is to be enlarged because another is being closed) a single notice could be published.

The full proposal must be published on a website (e.g. the school or LA's website) along with a statement setting out:

- how copies of the proposal may be obtained;
- that anybody can object to, or comment on, the proposal;
- the date that the representation period ends; and
- the address to which objections or comments should be submitted.

A brief notice (including details on how the full proposal can be accessed e.g. the website address) must be published in a local newspaper. If the proposal is published by a GB then notification must also be posted in a conspicuous place on the school premises and at all of the entrances to the school.

Within one week of the date of publication on the website, the proposer must send a copy of the proposal and the information set out in the paragraph above to:

- the GB/LA (as appropriate);
- the parents of every registered pupil at the school - where the school is a special school;
- if it involves or is likely to affect a school which has been designated as having a religious character:
 - the local Church of England diocese;
 - the local Roman Catholic diocese; or
 - the relevant faith group in relation to the school;
- proposals affecting a special school should go to any LA that has commissioned a place at the school (i.e. all relevant authorities who have made an out of county/borough placement there); and
- any other body or person that the proposer thinks is appropriate e.g. any affected educational institutions in the area.

Within one week of receiving a request for a copy of the proposal, the proposer must send a copy to the person requesting it.

There is no maximum limit on the time between the publication of a proposal and its proposed date of implementation. However, proposers will be expected to show good reason (for example an authority-wide reorganisation) if they propose a timescale longer than three years.

Representation (formal consultation)

The representation period must last for four weeks from the date of the publication. During this period, any person or organisation can submit comments on the proposal to the LA to be taken into account by the decision-maker. It is also good practice for representations to be forwarded to the proposer to ensure that they are aware of local opinion.

Decision

The LA will be the decision-maker in all cases except where a proposal is 'related' to another proposal that must be decided by the [Schools Adjudicator](#)⁹.

Decision-makers will need to be satisfied that the appropriate fair and open local consultation and/or representation period has been carried out and that the proposer has given full consideration to all the responses received. Decision-makers should not simply take account of the numbers of people expressing a particular view. Instead, they should give the greatest weight to responses from those stakeholders likely to be most affected by a proposal – especially parents of children at the affected school(s).

Decisions must be made within a period of two months of the end of the representation period or they must be referred to the Schools Adjudicator.

When issuing a decision, the decision-maker can:

- reject the proposal;
- approve the proposal without modification;
- approve the proposal with modifications, having consulted the LA and/or GB (as appropriate); or
- approve the proposal, with or without modification – subject to certain conditions¹⁰ (such as the granting of planning permission) being met.

A proposal can be withdrawn by the proposer at any point before a decision is taken. When doing so, the proposer must send written notice to the LA or the GB (as appropriate); or the Schools Adjudicator (if the proposal has been sent to them). A notice must also be placed on the website where the original proposal was published.

Within one week of making a decision the LA must publish their decision and the reasons for it, on the website where the original proposal was published and send copies to:

- the LA (where the Schools Adjudicator is the decision-maker);
- the Schools Adjudicator (where the LA is the decision-maker);

⁹ For example where a change is conditional on the establishment of a new school under section 10 or 11 of EIA 2006 (where the Schools Adjudicator may be the default decision maker).

¹⁰ The prescribed events are those listed in paragraph 8 of Schedule 3 to the Prescribed Alterations Regulations

- the GB/proposers (as appropriate);
- the trustees of the school (if any);
- the local Church of England diocese;
- the local Roman Catholic diocese;
- the parents of every registered pupil at the school – where the school is a special school; and
- any other body that they think is appropriate (e.g. other relevant diocese or diocesan board, faith organisation and any affected educational institutions in the area).

If the [Schools Adjudicator](#) is the decision-maker they must notify the persons above of their decision, together with the reasons, within one week of making the decision. Within one week of receiving this notification the LA must publish the decision, with reasons, on the website where the original proposal was published.

Related proposals

Where proposals appear to be related to other proposals, the decision-maker must consider the related proposals together. A proposal should be regarded as related if its implementation (or non-implementation) would prevent or undermine the effective implementation of another proposal.

Conditional approval

For many types of proposal, decision-makers may make their approval conditional on certain prescribed kinds of events¹¹. The decision-maker must set a date by which the condition should be met but can modify the date if the proposer confirms, before the date expires, that the condition will be met later than originally thought.

The proposer should inform the decision-maker when a condition is met. If a condition is not met by the date specified, the proposal should be referred back to the decision-maker for fresh consideration.

¹¹ Under paragraph 8 of Schedule 3 to the Prescribed Alterations Regulations

Education standards and diversity of provision

Decision-makers should consider the quality and diversity of schools in the relevant area and whether the proposal will meet or affect the needs of parents, raise local standards and narrow attainment gaps.

Equal opportunities issues

The decision-maker must comply with the Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED), which requires them to have 'due regard' to the need to:

- eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under the Equality Act 2010;
- advance equality of opportunity between people who share a relevant protected characteristic and people who do not share it; and
- foster good relations between people who share a relevant protected characteristic and people who do not share it.

Further information on the considerations can be found on the [Equality and Human Rights Commission](#) website.

Community cohesion

Schools have a key part to play in providing opportunities for young people from different backgrounds to learn with, from, and about each other; by encouraging through their teaching, an understanding of, and respect for, other cultures, faiths and communities. When considering a proposal, the decision-maker should consider its impact on community cohesion. This will need to be considered on a case-by-case basis, taking account of the community served by the school and the views of different groups within the community.

Travel and accessibility

Decision-makers should satisfy themselves that accessibility planning has been properly taken into account and the proposed changes should not adversely impact on disadvantaged groups.

The decision-maker should bear in mind that a proposal should not unreasonably extend journey times or increase transport costs, or result in too many children being prevented from travelling sustainably due to unsuitable walking or cycling routes. A proposal should also be considered on the basis of how it will support and contribute to the LA's duty to promote the use of sustainable travel and transport to school.

Further information is available in the statutory [Home to school travel and transport guidance](#) for LAs.

Funding

The decision-maker should be satisfied that any necessary funding required to implement the proposal will be available and that all relevant local parties (e.g. trustees of the school, diocese or relevant diocesan board) have given their agreement. A proposal **cannot** be approved conditionally upon funding being made available.

Where proposers are relying on the department as the source of capital funding, there can be no assumption that the approval of a proposal will trigger the release of capital funds from the department, unless the department has previously confirmed in writing that such resources will be available; nor can any allocation 'in principle' be increased. In such circumstances the proposal should be rejected, or consideration deferred until it is clear that the capital necessary to implement the proposal will be provided.

Rights of appeal against a decision

The following bodies may appeal to the Schools Adjudicator against a decision made by a LA decision-maker, within four weeks of the decision being made:

- the local Church of England diocese;
- the local Roman Catholic diocese; and
- the governors and trustees of a foundation, foundation special or voluntary school that is subject to the proposal.

On receipt of an appeal, a LA decision-maker must then send the proposal, representations received and the reasons for their decision to the Schools Adjudicator within one week of receipt. There is no right of appeal on determinations made by the Schools Adjudicator.

Implementation

The proposer must implement a proposal in the form that it was approved, taking into account any modifications made by the decision-maker.

Modification post determination

Proposers can seek modifications from the decision-maker before the approved implementation date. However, proposals cannot be modified to the extent that new proposals are substituted for those that have been published.

Details of the modification must be published on the website where the original proposals were published.

Revocation of proposals

If the proposer no longer wants to implement an approved proposal, they must publish a revocation proposal to be relieved of the duty to implement, as set out in the Prescribed Alterations Regulations.

Land and buildings

Foundation, foundation special or voluntary controlled schools

Where a LA is required to provide a site for a foundation, foundation special or voluntary controlled school, the LA must¹²:

- transfer their interest in the site and in any buildings on the site which are to form part of the school's premises to the trustees of the school, to be held by them on trust for the purposes of the school; or
- if the school has no trustees, to the GB, to be held by that body for the purposes of the school.

In the case of a dispute as to the persons to whom the LA is required to make the transfer, the adjudicator will make a decision.

Voluntary aided schools

Where a LA is required to provide a site for a voluntary aided school, they must transfer their interest in the land to the trustees of the school, and must pay the reasonable costs to the GB in connection with the transfer.

¹² Under paragraph 17 of schedule 3 of the Prescribed Alterations Regulations

School premises and playing fields

Under the School Premises (England) Regulations 2012, all schools maintained by local authorities are required to provide suitable outdoor space in order to enable physical education to be provided to pupils in accordance with the school curriculum; and for pupils to play outside safely.

[Guidelines](#) setting out suggested areas for pitches and games courts are in place although the department has been clear that these are non-statutory.

6: Statutory process: foundation proposals

Changing category to foundation, acquiring a foundation trust and/or acquiring a foundation majority

A 'foundation trust school' is a foundation school with a charitable foundation complying with the requirements set out in SSFA 1998¹³. These include that the foundation trust must have a charitable purpose of advancing education and must promote community cohesion.

The term 'acquire a foundation majority' means acquiring an instrument of government whereby the school's foundation trust has the power to appoint a majority of governors on the GB.

Where a school's GB considers changing category to foundation or acquiring a foundation trust and/or acquiring a foundation majority on the school's GB, the following five-stage statutory process must be followed:

Stage	Description	Timescale	Comments
Stage 1	Initiation		The GB considers a change of category to foundation/acquisition of a foundation trust/acquisition of a foundation majority
Stage 2	Publication		Having gained consent where appropriate
Stage 3	Representation (formal consultation)	Must be 4 weeks	As set out in the prescribed alteration regulations. The LA may refer a foundation trust proposal to the Schools Adjudicator during this period if it considers the proposal to have a negative effect on standards at the school
Stage 4	Decision	The GB must decide within 12 months of the date of publication	Unless the LA has referred the proposal to Schools Adjudicator at Stage 3
Stage 5	Implementation	No prescribed timescale	Must be as specified in the statutory notice, subject to any modifications agreed by the decision-maker

¹³ Section 23A

Initiation

For a proposal to change the category of a school to a foundation school, the GB should inform the LA in writing, at least seven days in advance of a meeting, if a motion to consult on a change of category proposal is to be discussed.

Before the GB can publish a proposal to change category from a voluntary school to a foundation school, the existing trustees and whoever appoints the foundation governors must give their consent.

Publication

A statutory proposal must contain sufficient information for interested parties to make a decision on whether to support or challenge the proposed change. Part 1 of [Schedule 1 to the Prescribed Alterations Regulations](#) specifies the information that the statutory proposal must contain. Further details on the publication stage can be found in [Part 5](#).

Representation (formal consultation)

The representation period starts on the date of the publication of the proposal and must last four weeks. During this period, any person or organisation can submit comments on the proposal to the GB, to be taken into account when the decision is made.

During the representation period, the LA has the power to require the referral of a proposal to acquire a foundation trust/foundation majority to the [Schools Adjudicator](#) for decision, if they consider it will have a negative impact on standards at the school.

The LA does not have this power in respect of a proposal solely to change the category to foundation¹⁴.

Where a proposal is referred to the [Schools Adjudicator](#), the GB must forward any objections or comments it has received to the Schools Adjudicator within one week of the end of the representation period.

¹⁴ However, where such a proposal is related to a proposal to acquire a trust, then the whole set of proposals will be referred to the Schools Adjudicator.

Decision

Unless a proposal has been referred to the Schools Adjudicator (as set out above), the GB will be the decision-maker and must make a decision on the proposal within 12 months of the date of publication of the proposal.

Where a proposal to acquire a foundation trust or a foundation majority is linked to a proposal to change category to a foundation school, they will be decided together.

When issuing a decision, the decision-maker can:

- reject the proposal;
- approve the proposal without modification;
- approve the proposal with modifications, having consulted the LA;
- approve the proposal with or without modifications but conditional upon:
 - the making of any scheme relating to any charity connected with the school; and
 - the establishment of a foundation¹⁵.

Where the LA has referred a proposal to acquire a foundation trust/foundation majority to the Schools Adjudicator for decision, any related proposal(s) (including a change of category to foundation) will also fall to be decided by the Schools Adjudicator.

Decision-makers should consider the impact of changing category to foundation school, and acquiring or removing a foundation trust on educational standards at the school. In assessing standards at the school, the decision-maker should take account of recent reports from Ofsted and a range of performance data. Recent trends in applications for places at the school (as a measure of popularity) and the local reputation of the school may also be relevant context for a decision.

If a proposal is not considered strong enough to significantly improve standards at a school that requires it, the decision maker should consider rejecting the proposal. Foundation trusts have a duty¹⁶ to promote community cohesion, and decision-makers should carefully consider the foundation trust's plans for partnership working with other schools, agencies or voluntary bodies.

¹⁵ As defined in section 23A of the SSFA 1998

¹⁶ Under section 23A(6) of the SSFA 1998

Foundation schools acquiring a foundation trust

For foundation trust schools the decision-maker should be satisfied that the following criteria are met for the proposal to be approved:

- the proposal is not seeking for a school to alter, acquire, or lose a designated religious character. These alterations cannot be made simply by acquiring a foundation trust;
- the necessary work is underway to establish the foundation trust as a charity and as a corporate body; and
- that none of the foundation trustees are disqualified from exercising the function of foundation trustee, either by virtue of:
 - o disqualifications from working with children or young people;
 - o not having obtained a criminal record check certificate¹⁷;
 - o [Charities Act 2011](#)¹⁸ which disqualify certain persons from acting as charity trustees.

Suitability of partners

Decision-makers will need to be satisfied of the suitability of foundation trust partners and members. They should use their own discretion and judgement in determining on a case-by-case basis whether the reputation of a foundation trust partner is in keeping with the charitable objectives of a foundation trust, or could bring the school into disrepute. However, the decision-maker should make a balanced judgement, considering the suitability and reputation of the current/potential foundation trust.

The following sources may provide information on the history of potential foundation trust partners:

- [The Health and Safety Executive Public Register of Convictions](#)¹⁹
- [The Charity Commission's Register of Charities](#); and
- [The Companies House web check service](#).

¹⁷ Under section 113A of the Police Act 1997

¹⁸ section 178 onwards

¹⁹ Appearance on this database should not automatically disqualify a potential trust member; decision-makers will wish to consider each case on its merits

Within one week of making a decision the GB must publish a copy of the decision (together with reasons) on the website where the original proposal was published and send copies to:

- the LA;
- the local Church of England diocese; and
- the local Roman Catholic diocese.

Where a proposal has been decided by the GB and is to change the category of a VA school to foundation (with or without the acquisition of a foundation trust/foundation majority), the following bodies have the right of appeal to the [Schools Adjudicator](#)²⁰:

- the LA;
- the local Church of England diocese(s); and
- the local Roman Catholic diocese(s).

Conditional approval

For many types of proposal, decision-makers may make their approval conditional on certain prescribed kinds of events²¹. The decision-maker must set a date by which the condition should be met but can modify the date if the proposer confirms, before the date expires, that the condition will be met later than originally thought.

The proposer should inform the decision-maker when a condition is met. If a condition is not met by the date specified, the proposal should be referred back to the decision-maker for fresh consideration.

Implementation

The GB must implement any approved proposal by the approved implementation date, taking into account any modifications made by the decision-maker.

Within one week of implementation, the GB must provide information to the Secretary of State²² about foundation proposals that have been implemented. Copies of the statutory proposals and decision record should be submitted to

²⁰ The specific circumstances in which a referral can be made are prescribed under paragraph 15 of Schedule 1 to the Prescribed Alterations Regulations

²¹ under paragraph 16 of Schedule 1 to the Prescribed Alterations Regulations

²² Paragraph 18 of Schedule 1 of the Prescribed Alterations Regulations

schoolorganisation.notifications@education.gov.uk in order for the school record to be updated on GIAS.

Modification post determination

Modifications can be made to a proposal by the governing body after determination but before implementation.

Revocation

If the proposer no longer wants to implement an approved proposal they must publish a revocation proposal to be relieved of the duty to implement, as set out in Paragraph 19 of Schedule 1 of the Prescribed Alterations Regulations.

Governance and staffing issues

Schedule 4 of the Prescribed Alterations Regulations provides further information on the requirements about:

- the revision or replacement of the school's instrument of government;
- reconstitution or replacement of the GB;
- current governors continuing in office;
- surplus governors;
- transfer of staff; and
- transitional admission arrangements.

Land transfer issues

Requirements as to land transfers, when a school changes category or acquires a foundation trust, are prescribed in Schedule 5 of the Prescribed Alterations Regulations.

Removing a foundation trust and/or removing a foundation majority

There are five or six statutory stages (depending on the proposal and circumstances) to remove a foundation trust and/or to reduce a foundation majority. It may be triggered in two different ways – either by a majority or a minority of the GB:

Stage	Description	Timescale	Comments
Stage 1	Initiation		<p>Majority A majority of governors considers publishing a proposal to remove a foundation trust/reduce the number of governors appointed by the foundation.</p> <p>or</p> <p>Minority A minority (of not less than a third of the governors) notify the clerk of the GB of their wish to publish a proposal to remove a foundation trust/reduce the number of governors appointed by the foundation</p>
Stage 2	Land Issues (applicable only to removal of trusts)	If not resolved within 3 months, disputes must be referred to the Schools Adjudicator	In cases of removing foundation trusts, the GB, trustees and the LA must resolve issues related to land and assets before a proposal is published
Stage 3	Consultation	<p>Majority A minimum of 4 weeks is recommended.</p> <p>or</p> <p>Minority No consultation required</p>	<p>Majority It is for the GB to determine the length of consultation</p>
Stage 4	Publication and representation	<p>Majority 6 week representation period.</p> <p>or</p> <p>Minority</p>	

Stage	Description	Timescale	Comments
		Where there are no land or asset issues – publish within 3 months of receipt of notice by GB clerk – followed by a 6-week representation period. Where there are land issues, publish within 1 month of receipt of School Adjudicator's determination – followed by a 6-week representation period	
Stage 5	Decision	Within 3 months	A proposal initiated by a minority of governors may not be rejected unless at least two-thirds of the GB are in favour of the rejection
Stage 6	Implementation	No prescribed timescale	But must be as specified in the statutory notice, subject to any modifications agreed by the decision-maker

Initiation

A proposal for removing a foundation trust and/or removing a foundation majority can be triggered by:

- a) a majority²³ of the GB or a committee deciding to publish a proposal. The decision to publish must be confirmed by the whole GB at a meeting held at least 28 days after the meeting at which the initial decision was made; or
- b) at least one-third²⁴ of the governors requesting in writing to the clerk of the GB, that a proposal be published. No vote of the GB is required as they are obliged to publish a proposal. To prevent on-going challenges

²³ Regulation 4 of the Removal Regulations

²⁴ Regulation 5 of the Removal Regulations

there are a number of prescribed circumstances²⁵ in which there is no obligation to follow the wishes of the minority of governors.

Land and assets (when removing a foundation trust)

Before publishing proposals to remove a foundation trust, the GB must reach agreement with the trustees and LA on issues relating to the school's land and assets. Where such issues remain unresolved within three months of the initial decision (majority) or receipt of notice by the clerk (minority), they must be referred to the [Schools Adjudicator](#) for determination.

On the removal of the foundation trust, all publicly provided land held by the foundation trust for the purposes of the school will transfer to the GB²⁶. Where the land originated from private sources (for example, where land was gifted on trust), the land will transfer to the GB in accordance with a transfer agreement, providing for consideration to be paid by the GB to the foundation trust where appropriate. However, there may be land which has benefited from investment from public funds which remains with the trustees under the transfer agreement.

Alternatively, there may have been investment by trustees in the publicly provided land or from public funding in the land provided by the trustees. In either of these cases, it may be appropriate for either the trustees or the public purse to be compensated. The possibility of stamp duty land tax may also need to be taken into account.

The Schools Adjudicator will announce its determination in writing to both parties.

Consultation

Where a minority of governors initiated the process, this stage does not apply.

Where a majority of governors initiated the process, before publishing a proposal the GB must consult:

- families of pupils at the school;
- teachers and other staff at the school;
- the trustees and, if different, whoever appoints foundation governors;
- the LA;

²⁵ See regulation 5(4) of the Removal Regulations

²⁶ By virtue of regulation 17(1) of the Removal Regulations

- the GBs of any other foundation or foundation special schools maintained by the same LA for which the foundation acts as a foundation;
- any trade unions who represent school staff;
- if the school has been designated as having a religious character, the appropriate diocesan authority or other relevant faith group in relation to the school;
- any other person the GB consider appropriate.

Publication

Where the decision to publish a proposal was made by a majority of governors, the GB at this stage must decide whether to go ahead with publishing the proposal.

Where the decision to publish a proposal was made by a minority of governors and there are no land issues to be determined, the GB must publish the proposal within 3 months of the receipt of the notice by the clerk. If land issues were referred to the [Schools Adjudicator](#), the proposal must be published within 1 month of receipt of its determination.

Proposals to remove a foundation trust or to alter the instrument of government so that foundation governors cease to be the majority of governors must contain the information set out in [The School Organisation \(Removal of Foundation, Reduction in Number of Foundation Governors and Ability of Foundation to Pay Debts\) \(England\) Regulations 2007](#). Further details on the publication stage can be found in [Part 5](#).

At the same time as publishing the proposals, the GB must send copies of the proposals to the LA, trustees, and the Secretary of State via schoolorganisation.notifications@education.gov.uk.

Representation

The representation period starts on the date of the publication of the proposal and must last six weeks. During this period, any person or organisation can submit comments on the proposal to the GB to be taken into account when the decision is made.

Unlike the foundation trust acquisition process, there is no power for the LA to refer a proposal to the Schools Adjudicator to remove a school's foundation trust or to reduce the number of governors appointed by the foundation trust. However, GBs

must bear in mind that failure to follow the requirements of the statutory process could lead to a complaint to the Secretary of State under Section 496/497 of the Education Act 1996, and/or ultimately be challenged through judicial review.

Decision

The GB is the decision-maker for a removal proposal and must determine the proposal within 3 months of the date of its publication.

If a proposal was brought forward by a majority of governors, then it may be determined by a majority vote of those governors present²⁷.

If a proposal was brought forward by a minority of governors, then the GB may not reject the proposal unless two thirds or more of the governors indicate that they are in favour of its rejection²⁸.

When deciding a proposal for the removal of a foundation trust, the GB should consider the proposal in the context of the original proposal to acquire the foundation trust, and consider whether the foundation trust has fulfilled its expectations. Where new information has come to light regarding the suitability of foundation trust partners, this should be considered.

All decisions must be taken in accordance with the processes prescribed in [The School Governance \(Roles, Procedures and Allowances\) \(England\) Regulations 2013](#).²⁹

The GB must notify the relevant LA, trustees and the Secretary of State via schoolorganisation.notifications@education.gov.uk of their decision.

Implementation

The GB is under a statutory duty to implement any approved proposal, as published, by the approved implementation date, taking into account any modifications made. In changing category, an implementation period begins when the proposal is decided and ends on the date the proposal is implemented. During this period the LA and GB are required to make a new instrument of government for the school, so enough time must be built into the timeframe for this to happen. The GB must then be reconstituted in a form appropriate to the school's new category and also in accordance with the appropriate instrument of government taking into account the [School Governance \(Constitution\) \(England\) Regulations 2012](#).

²⁷ As per the School Governance (Roles, Procedures and Allowances) (England) Regulations 2013.

²⁸ As per regulation 11(2) of the Removal Regulations.

²⁹ Except as otherwise provided by the Removal Regulations.

When removing a foundation trust or a foundation majority, a governor may continue as a governor in the corresponding category (e.g. staff governor, parent governor) if that category remains under the new instrument of government. A member of a current GB who continues as a governor on these grounds holds office for the remainder of the term for which he or she was originally appointed or elected. Where a school with a religious character has no foundation trust, the GB must appoint partnership governors with a view to ensuring that the religious character of the school is preserved and developed in accordance with the School Governance (Constitution) (England) Regulations 2012. There is nothing to prevent the appointment of a former foundation governor being reappointed by the GB as a partnership governor.

The terms of the trust on which land is held for a voluntary or foundation school often include very specific provisions regarding the conduct of the school and the use of any fund held by the foundation trust for the use of the school and premises. When making a proposal to change category, proposers will need to consider whether the current terms on which the school's land is held on trust allows for the change in category proposed. If in doubt, or if a variation in the foundation trust is clearly necessary, promoters and the relevant site trustees are advised to make early contact with the Charity Commission to apply for the terms of the trust to be varied under the relevant trust law.

Modification of proposals

Modifications can only be made to the implementation date and the proposed constitution of the governing body.

Annex A: Information to be included in a prescribed alteration statutory proposal

A statutory proposal for making a prescribed alteration to a school must contain sufficient information for interested parties to make a decision on whether to support the proposed change. A proposal should be accessible to all interested parties and therefore use 'plain English'.

Proposers will need to be mindful of the factors that will inform the decision-makers assessment when determining the proposal.

As a minimum, the department would expect a proposal to include:

- school and LA details;
- description of alteration and evidence of demand;
- objectives (including how the proposal would increase educational standards and parental choice);
- the effect on other educational institutions within the area;
- project costs and indication of how these will be met, including how long-term value for money will be achieved;
- implementation plan; and
- a statement explaining the procedure for responses: support, objections and comments.

Annex B: Further Information

This guidance primarily relates to:

- [The School Organisation \(Prescribed Alterations to Maintained Schools\) \(England\) Regulations 2013](#)
www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2013/3110/contents/made
- [The School Organisation \(Removal of Foundation, Reduction in Number of Foundation Governors and Ability of Foundation to Pay Debts\) \(England\) Regulations 2007](#) www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2007/3475/contents/made
- [The School Organisation \(Requirements as to Foundations\) \(England\) Regulations 2007](#) www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2007/1287/contents/made
- [The Education and Inspections Act 2006](#)
www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/40
- [The School Standards and Framework Act 1998](#)
www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1998/31/contents

It also relates to:

- [The School Organisation \(Establishment and Discontinuance of Schools\) Regulations 2013](#) www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2013/3109/contents/made
- [The School Governance \(Constitution\) \(England\) Regulations 2012](#)
www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2012/1034/contents/made
- [The School Governance \(Constitution and Federations\) \(England\) \(Amendment\) Regulations 2014](#)
www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2014/1257/pdfs/ukxi_20141257_en.pdf
- [The School Governance \(Miscellaneous Amendments\) \(England\) Regulations 2015](#) www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2015/883/pdfs/ukxi_20150883_en.pdf
- [The School Governance \(New Schools\) \(England\) Regulations 2007](#)
www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2007/958/pdfs/ukxi_20070958_en.pdf
- [The School Governance \(Roles, Procedures and Allowances\) \(England\) Regulations 2013](#) www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2013/1624/contents/made
- [The Childcare Act 2006](#) www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/21/contents
- [The School Premises \(England\) Regulations 2012](#)
www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2012/1943/contents/made

- [Making Significant Changes to an Existing Academy](http://www.gov.uk/government/publications/making-significant-changes-to-an-existing-academy)
www.gov.uk/government/publications/making-significant-changes-to-an-existing-academy
- [Academy/Free School Presumption – departmental advice](http://www.gov.uk/government/publications/establishing-a-new-school-free-school-presumption)
www.gov.uk/government/publications/establishing-a-new-school-free-school-presumption
- [Establishing New Maintained Schools – departmental advice for local authorities and new school proposers](http://www.gov.uk/government/publications/establishing-new-maintained-schools)
www.gov.uk/government/publications/establishing-new-maintained-schools
- [The School Admissions Code](http://www.gov.uk/government/publications/school-admissions-code--2) www.gov.uk/government/publications/school-admissions-code--2
- [Education Act 1996](http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1996/56/contents) www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1996/56/contents
- [Equality Act 2010](http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2010/15/contents) www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2010/15/contents
- [Police Act 1997](http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1997/50/contents) www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1997/50/contents
- [Charities Act 2011](http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2011/25/contents) www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2011/25/contents
- [Public Sector Equality Duty](http://www.equalityhumanrights.com/en/advice-and-guidance/public-sector-equality-duty) www.equalityhumanrights.com/en/advice-and-guidance/public-sector-equality-duty
- [Home-to-school travel and transport - GOV.UK](http://www.gov.uk/government/publications/home-to-school-travel-and-transport-guidance)
www.gov.uk/government/publications/home-to-school-travel-and-transport-guidance
- [Get information about schools - GOV.UK](http://www.get-information-schools.service.gov.uk/) www.get-information-schools.service.gov.uk/
- [Consultation principles: guidance - GOV.UK](http://www.gov.uk/government/publications/consultation-principles-guidance)
www.gov.uk/government/publications/consultation-principles-guidance
- [School land and property: protection, transfer and disposal - GOV.UK](http://www.gov.uk/guidance/school-land-and-property-protection-transfer-and-disposal)
www.gov.uk/guidance/school-land-and-property-protection-transfer-and-disposal

Annex C: Contact details for RSC offices

- East and North East London - RSC.EASTNELONDON@education.gov.uk
- North - RSC.NORTH@education.gov.uk
- East Midlands and Humber - EMH.RSC@education.gov.uk
- Lancashire and West Yorkshire - LWY.RSC@education.gov.uk
- South Central England and North West London - RSC.SCNWLON@education.gov.uk
- South East and South London - RSC.SESL@education.gov.uk
- South West - RSC.SW@education.gov.uk
- West Midlands - RSC.WM@education.gov.uk



Department
for Education

© Crown copyright 2018

This publication (not including logos) is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0 except where otherwise stated. Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

To view this licence:

visit www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3

email psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk

write to Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London, TW9 4DU

About this publication:

enquiries www.education.gov.uk/contactus

download www.gov.uk/government/publications

Reference: DFE-00314-2018



Follow us on Twitter:
[@educationgovuk](https://twitter.com/educationgovuk)



Like us on Facebook:
facebook.com/educationgovuk

