

**Members are reminded that they must declare all relevant pecuniary and non-pecuniary interests relating to any items of business to be discussed at this meeting**

**BIRMINGHAM CITY COUNCIL**

**HALL GREEN DISTRICT COMMITTEE**

**MONDAY, 18 JANUARY 2016 AT 10:00 HOURS**  
**IN COMMITTEE ROOMS 3 & 4, COUNCIL HOUSE, VICTORIA**  
**SQUARE, BIRMINGHAM, B1 1BB**

**A G E N D A**

**1 NOTICE OF RECORDING/WEBCAST**

The Chairman to advise the meeting to note that this meeting will be webcast for live and subsequent broadcast via the Council's Internet site ([www.birminghamnewsroom.com](http://www.birminghamnewsroom.com)) and that members of the press/public may record and take photographs. The whole of the meeting will be filmed except where there are confidential or exempt items.

**2 APOLOGIES**

To receive any apologies.

**3 - 8**

**3 MINUTES**

To confirm the Minutes of the meeting of Hall Green District Committee on 17 November 2015.

**4 PETITION(S)**

To consider petitions relating to planning applications submitted by Councillors on behalf of local residents.

**9 - 18**

**5 DISTRICT MEETINGS - CORPORATE PARENTING**

Mr A Pepper, Assistant Director Children in Care Provider Services to present.

**19 - 28**

**6 BIRMINGHAM CYCLE REVOLUTION PHASES 2 AND 3 CONSULTATION**

Ciaron Morgan/Joanna Spencer-Smith, Project Manager – BCR South will present the item.

7 **FORWARD TOGETHER - UNLOCKING ASSETS AND TALENTS**

Mr P Wright to present a verbal update.

8 **DISTRICT JOBS AND SKILLS PANEL, DISTRICT NEIGHBOURHOOD CHALLENGE AND DISTRICT CONVENTION**

District Chairman to present a verbal update.

9 **DISTRICT COMMITTEE WORK PROGRAMME AND TIMELINE**

District Head to present a verbal update.

10 **OTHER URGENT BUSINESS**

To consider any items of business by reason of special circumstances (to be specified) that in the opinion of the Chairman are matters of urgency.

11 **DATE OF NEXT MEETING**

The next Hall Green District Committee Meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, 15 March 2016 at 10:00 hours in Committee Rooms 3 & 4, Council House.

12 **AUTHORITY TO CHAIRMAN AND OFFICERS**

Chairman to move:-

'In an urgent situation between meetings, the Chair jointly with the relevant Chief Officer has authority to act on behalf of the Committee'.

# BIRMINGHAM CITY COUNCIL

<b>HALL GREEN DISTRICT COMMITTEE TUESDAY 17 NOVEMBER 2015</b>
---

**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE HALL GREEN  
DISTRICT COMMITTEE HELD ON TUESDAY 17  
NOVEMBER 2015 AT 1400 HOURS IN COMMITTEE  
ROOMS 3 & 4, THE COUNCIL HOUSE,  
BIRMINGHAM**

**PRESENT** : - Councillor Tony Kennedy in the Chair;

Councillors Barry Bowles, Sam Burden, Claire Spencer, Martin Straker Welds, Mohammed Azim, Victoria Quinn, Jerry Evans, Mohammed Fazal, Habib Rehman.

**ALSO PRESENT** :-

Karen Cheney – Interim District Head (Hall Green)  
Natalie Potter – Housing Manager  
Sarah Stride – Committee Manager

\*\*\*\*\*

**NOTICE OF RECORDING**

191 The Chairman advised that the meeting will be webcast for live or subsequent broadcast via the Council's internet site ([www.birminghamnewsroom.com](http://www.birminghamnewsroom.com)) and that members of the press / public may record and take photographs.

The whole of the meeting will be filmed except where there are confidential or exempt items.

---

**APOLOGIES**

192 Apologies were submitted on behalf of Councillors Kerry Jenkins and Lisa Trickett for their inability to attend the meeting and Councillor Barry Bowles for lateness,

---

**MINUTES**

193 **RESOLVED:-**

The Minutes of the last meeting held on 22 September 2015, having been previously circulated, were confirmed and signed by the Chairman.

**RECEIPT OF PETITIONS (IF ANY)**

194

No petitions were submitted.

---

**BIRMINGHAM COUNCIL HOUSING INVESTMENT PROGRAMME  
ENVIRONMENTAL WORKS BUDGET 2015/16**

The following report of the Strategic Director, Place was circulated at the meeting:-

(See Document No 1)

Councillor Quinn pointed out that the Executive Member as listed on the report circulated was incorrect.

Councillor Spencer raised the issue that currently the Environmental Works Budget was allocated on a Ward basis depending upon the number of housing stock in the given Ward and suggested that maybe the allocated funding would be better utilised if it was recentralised in order to allow each of the Wards in the Hall Green District to spend the budget more strategically on larger projects that would have a noticeable impact in the chosen Ward.

Councillor Jerry Evans expressed concern in relation to the proposed amendment to the future spend of the Environmental Budget as he felt that those Wards with very little social housing will be overlooked in favour of those Wards that had large areas of social housing.

Councillor Burden made reference to Appendix 2 attached to the report and requested that the listed proposed schemes for the Hall Green Ward be deferred until he had had an opportunity to liaise and discuss the schemes with the other two Hall Green Ward Councillors.

Natalie Potter, Housing Manager agreed with comments made and suggested that the paper be submitted to the District Committee on a monthly basis in order to enable Members to comment on individual projects and track the projects implementation and completion.

Councillor Quinn made reference to the proposed Springfield Ward project allocation with regard to the flood risk assessment and stated that Environment Budget funding should not be spent on areas where the housing was owner occupied.

Natalie Potter responded and gave a brief background outline to the current situation with regard to the proposed flood defence project in the Springfield Ward. She clarified that there was an allocation of £74,000 and the Environmental Budget was match funding £14,000. The housing tenure has been fully investigated and the proposal is within the proposed criteria. In response to a follow up question she stated that the option to carry forward finance allocated to a specific Ward can be achieved but that it must be regularly reviewed and monitored as projects requiring finance could be continuously delayed.

It was -

195

**RESOLVED:-**

That the Birmingham Council Housing Investment Programme Environmental Works Budget 2015/16\_report be approved with the exception of those projects appertaining to the Hall Green Ward which will be finalised at a later date and that the proposed suggestion in relation to how future funding should be allocated be referred to the District Housing Panel for consideration and further discussion.

---

**UPDATE ON HOUSING SERVICE REVIEWS**

196

Natalie Potter, Senior Service Manager presented a verbal report on Housing Service annual visits across the Hall Green District and made the following comments:

- Housing officers had attempted to visit 63,000 City Council owned properties across the District over the last twelve months commencing October 2015.
- Update in relation to Phase 1 of the service annual visits – completed 52,269 tenancy visits – 82% completed successfully where the tenant has been physically identified and interviewed.
- Trends – phase 1 has identified approximately 3,768 tenants had been identified as having a form of vulnerability such as low level of additional support needs, external additional needs support.
- Housing fraud – 634 visits identified and referred to Birmingham Audit for further investigation.
- Tenancy breaches – 2,019 identified during the visits ranging from the tenant not requesting permission to install a satellite dish to serious tenancy breaches such as where gardens had not been maintained correctly.
- Customer satisfaction – good opportunity to get an insight into what is happening in the area. A significant majority of tenants found that the customer survey satisfaction forms and annual visits were an excellent way and a valuable opportunity to engage with Landlord Services. 26,509 rated the visits as being 'good' with only 71 tenants finding them to be 'poor'. One tenant after being visited by a Housing Officer had commented 'at last – after living in the property for 46 years – it was the first time that he had been visited by an officer from Landlord Services.' Successful outcome recorded.
- Valuable opportunity to collect feedback in relation to tenants homes – where they live and the service they receive. 14,374 rated the home that they live in to be 'very good'.

---

**FUTURE WORKING ARRANGEMENTS / HALL GREEN DISTRICT WORK PROGRAMME**

197

Karen Cheney, District Head Selly Oak (Interim cover for Hall Green District) provided an update on community governing arrangements. She expressed a vote of thanks to Salim Miah, Interim Lead for the Hall Green District for his support in this role which finished at the end of July 2015.

## **Hall Green District Committee – 17 November 2015**

She stated that there was an interim structure in place from August to most probably the beginning of November 2015 which is where district heads have picked up additional districts to support, and subsequently confirmed that she would be covering Hall Green District in this instance, adding that although based at Selly Oak, she would be visiting Hall Green District office on a regular basis. She stated that the new structure was moving forward and would be with the trade unions shortly.

She confirmed that in terms of governance, training had already taken place with the chairs and vice-chairs of districts. She referred to the neighbourhood challenge believing that it had been agreed, and stated that in a complete municipal year, it was anticipated that 2 or 3 neighbourhood challenges would be undertaken by districts.

At this juncture in the meeting, members disagreed with the fact that a neighbourhood challenge had been set whereupon a discussion ensued. Members were of the opinion that although jobs and skills would be a priority for the district, it had not yet been agreed what the first neighbourhood challenge would be.

Following further discussions, the Chairman suggested that it not be referred to as the first challenge, but to continue with the work and discuss further outside this meeting.

Karen Cheney made reference to the district priority statement which would lead into the district community plan, and the date that had now been set for the district convention, which was part of the responsibility within the new district committee format.

In terms of ward committees, she confirmed that some members had already contacted her regarding meetings and that the training sessions for ward chairs had now been opened up to all members, with the new focus for wards on community engagement. She highlighted that the choice was with members on how they went forward with their ward meetings. She referred to the 2 community governance managers that were now in place covering the north and south of the city, and that Kay Thomas was the officer covering Hall Green District. She added that Kay would be happy to assist members with the writing up of an agenda, publicity and the action tracker and subsequently attend the first set of meetings if required.

She stated that once ward member training had finished, there would be other opportunities for members to get together to see how wards and their priorities linked into the district.

The Chairman concluded that it was all work in progress.

---

### **OTHER URGENT BUSINESS (REPORTS BY OFFICERS)**

198

No issues of other urgent business were submitted.

---

### **AUTHORITY TO CHAIRMAN AND OFFICERS**

Page 6 of 36

199

**RESOLVED:-**

In an urgent situation between meetings, the Chairman jointly with the relevant Chief Officer has authority to act on behalf of the Committee.

---

The meeting ended at 1517 hours.

-----  
CHAIRMAN



# District meetings

## Corporate Parenting

**Andy Pepper**

**Assistant Director Children in Care Provider Services**

# What is Corporate Parenting?

- **The Statutory definition - As the corporate parent of children in care the State has a special responsibility for their wellbeing. Like any good parent, it should put its own children first. That means being a powerful advocate for them to receive the best of everything and helping children to make a success of their lives.**
- **Having the same aspirations and commitment to children and young people in care as any good parent would have for their own children.**



# Good Corporate Parenting delivers...

- Children in Care and Care Leavers that are effectively supported to reach their potential through the provision of excellent parenting, high quality education, opportunities to develop their talents and skills, and effective support for their transition to adulthood.
- Good quality outcomes – narrowing the gap
- Good quality interventions that deliver real opportunities



# Birmingham's Vision for Children in Care

Birmingham's vision is that as corporate parents we will have the same aspirations and commitment to children and young people in care as any good parent would have for their own children.

Birmingham is a big city with big challenges but this also provides big opportunities. Support and services provided should always make a positive difference every day to children and young people's lives.

- Children & Young People – proud of their City.
- Be part of the Big city, be up for the big challenge, and be enabled to take the big opportunity

## Corporate Parenting Board (CPB) - what it does

- The (CPB) acts strategically
- Considers issues for children and young people in Care.
- Champions how these issues can be addressed.
- Overview of CiC data – from which issues can be identified e.g. educational attainment
- Overview of how services are working with CiC through reports from the Corporate parenting working group and the Director of Children's Services
- Engagement with Children and Young People

# What we have done

- New Board
- New Strategy
- New focused working group
- Corporate parenting champions group
- New TOR and role definition
- Working on links with scrutiny
- Working on gaining commitments
- Concentration on added value
- <http://inline/corporateparenting>



## Elected Members

**Local Government Association “We can’t put enough emphasis on the role of elected members to ensure the Council acts as an effective Corporate Parent”**

**To be able to do this:**

- Be supported to understand the Care system
- Have clear briefings on performance, compliance and quality
- Have the opportunity to listen to children and young people’s voice and the voice of those caring for the City’s Looked After Children
- Be supported to understand the application of threshold and risks

# Regulation 44

- Local Elected Members supporting Regulation 44 visits
- Basic training for members from the commissioning team and undertake joint visits
- A fresh pair of eyes
- Getting more involved with Registered Managers of the Children's Homes in your area

# Find out more



**Visit:**

<http://inline.birmingham.gov.uk/corporateparenting>

<http://www.birmingham.gov.uk/corporateparenting>

**Email:**

[andy.pepper@birmingham.gov.uk](mailto:andy.pepper@birmingham.gov.uk)



# Birmingham Cycle Revolution

District Committee Meeting  
January 2016

Ciaron Morgan / Joanna Spencer-Smith  
Project Manager – BCR South




# What is Birmingham Cycle Revolution?

Birmingham is one of eight cities awarded DfT funding **to increase the proportion of journeys in the city made by bike**

- The other cities are: Bristol, Cambridge, Leeds, Manchester, Newcastle, Norwich and Oxford
- BCC secured DfT Cycling City Ambition Grant (CCAG) in 2013 to deliver the £24m BCR Phase 1 programme. Implementation of the works for this Phase are now underway.
- BCC secured further CCAG and LGF to deliver the BCR Phases 2 & 3 (£8m and £30m respectively) additional cycle infrastructure over the next 5 years.
- Allocated to Highways is approximately £24.3m, for schemes predominately along the City's major arterial roads (and network of local routes along minor roads.)

# Managing the process



## Highways:

- Divided into 4 areas
- Dedicated Project Manager
- Stakeholder engagement
- Manage expectations


## Other Elements:

- Canal Towpath upgrades
- Green Routes & Local Links
- Birmingham Big Bikes
- Cycle Parking
- Brompton Docks (Bike Hire)
- Green Travel Districts
- 'Mini Holland' - Pilot/Trial

# South Area - Overview

## Key characteristics:

- Main routes (red lines) Harborne Road Main Corridor, A38 Bristol Road and Stratford Road (BCR2)
- Major Junctions on the A38 at Belgrave Middleway and Priory Road
- Series of parallel routes (dashed blue lines) to create local networks along minor roads.
- Green Travel Districts (green areas) Selly Oak and Life Sciences, Northfield Town Centre, Longbridge and ITEC Park, and Moseley & Kings Heath
- 937 bikes were given out as part of the Big Birmingham Bikes initiative
- 20mph Area between Pershore Road and Hagley Road, from the Ring Road to the A4040 (outer circle)








# Aims

to 'promote sustainable travel options by increasing the attractiveness of cycling', which will contribute towards:

- Making roads & junctions safer for cyclists
- Improving health & the environment
- Reducing car use and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
- Improving connectivity for households without a car, and
- Benefiting pedestrians, public transport users and road safety

## How? The options available

-  On road (within existing traffic lanes)
-  Bus lanes with cycle use
-  Footpath conversions (shared or segregated)
-  Signs, Lining, Traffic calming...
-  Supportive Measures:  
Big Birmingham Bikes, Green Travel Districts, Canal & Greenway Local Links)

# Considerations

## CYCLISTS

Safety

Quality routes

Continuous  
routes


## OTHER ROAD USERS

Road capacity

On-street  
parking

Traffic flow  
management

# Outline Process



\* Indicative dates/periods only

# What's needed from Councillors





## Pilot project

### **“Forward Together” - Unlocking community assets and talents in the south of the city**

#### **Proposal**

To combine the time, talents and enthusiasm of local people with the City Council’s assets to look at new ways of delivering projects and services and to support existing and create new economic, social and cultural activity.

#### **Context**

The city is full of talented people and volunteers interested in improving or helping to manage the areas in which they live. They have time, enthusiasm, skills, knowledge and commitment and as such are a huge asset to the city. In south Birmingham this has found expression in a number of ways, including (but by no means exclusively):

- the first neighbourhood plan in the city being brought forward in Balsall Heath,
- the Moseley Supplementary Planning Document SPD being led and prepared by a local group,
- campaigning groups to save Moseley Road Baths, safeguard Highbury Hall, explore community use/management of Holders Lane playing fields, redevelop the former Ritz Ballroom etc
- A CDT in Moseley
- Active local groups such as the Balsall Heath Forum, Moseley Regeneration group
- Various community initiatives and volunteering projects: Heartbeat, Kings Heath Village Square, The Print Works, Balsall Heath is Our Planet, Streetplay etc.
- Flourishing creative, arts and cultural sectors led by community groups and individuals.

Some of the City Council’s many land and building assets in the area are under-used. They can often be seen as liabilities, being hard to manage, costly to maintain and their use and development constrained by red tape and bureaucracy. Opportunities are being missed to unlock their value, such as promoting better cafes in our parks, greater community use of playing fields, or using our assets for community development and training.

Examples from elsewhere in the UK or around the world point to potential future directions we could take. Three useful examples include;

- 1) Plymouth has become the capital of social enterprise with over 150 groups working across a huge range of sectors including; education, health, arts, environment, food, finance, housing, business support, sport, social care and many more. These businesses employ over 7,000 people and bring in an income of over £500m. Social

## DRAFT

and environmental benefits are now key criteria that must be considered alongside finance in any procurement process and Plymouth use this to help maximise local expenditure.

- 2) In the USA, the Cleveland Evergreen Cooperative Initiative which works to create living wage jobs in six low income neighbourhoods by creating businesses owned by their employees, recruits local people and then trains them. It is a means to Community wealth building.
- 3) The Liveable Cities initiative which is a five year programme researching how to develop methods of designing and changing cities to promote sustainability and wellbeing, both at the city and individual level. Lancaster University with whom we have working links are leading on this in the UK.

Similarly, lessons can be learnt from the One Public Estate pilot schemes around the country.

Given the recent Kerslake review of the council and its recommendations on governance, organisational capabilities, community engagement and the need to have focus on the inner city and suburbs as well as the city centre; the scope and aspiration of this initiative is most timely.

### **Background**

Given the changing face of local service delivery in the light of austerity, budget cuts and legislative changes; local politicians and officers have, over the last twelve months, been exploring whether an asset based approach to some service delivery may be possible. Building on an existing drive to better utilise the green spaces in Moseley and Kings Heath an officer/member working group was established, which led to a full day community event being held at Highbury Hall in February, attended by over 70 local groups and residents. Wide-ranging discussions about both the concept and the potential issues and opportunities led to a commitment from all present that they were keen to participate in a pilot project. It was agreed this should explore short, medium and long term ways of combining local social capital and community enthusiasm with BCC assets and officer experience to deliver local benefits. Inherent in the concept is that of the City Council becoming more of a facilitator helping to co-ordinate local action. A working title of Chamberlain 21 was agreed by the meeting and a series of follow up actions identified with commitment to meet again.

In September a group of politicians, officers, local community leaders and residents discussed similar issues covering a wider area including Balsall Heath, Sparkbrook and Hall Green. Similar aspirations were discussed but with the addition of the need to capitalise on the investment spilling into the area from major investments in the city core such as HS2 and the Enterprise Zone. There was also a unanimous view that some form of pilot initiative should be endorsed to help develop these ideas and progress to implementation.

As a result of these two discussions, the following pilot project is proposed.

### **The Concept.**

## DRAFT

Using an asset based model to develop responsible stewardship and ownership, increase capacity building and engagement, promote wellbeing and assist in the devolution of local service delivery. Asset based development is built around a place's existing strengths and resources such as buildings, green spaces, social capital and skills. The proposed model would build on 5 key themes:

**Environmental:** Including parks, open spaces, allotments, rivers, trees and play areas etc

**Wellbeing:** including the use of buildings and spaces to promote opportunities for health and wellbeing, social groups and networks, community initiatives, social capital, community empowerment etc.

**Economic:** encouraging social enterprise, local training initiatives, growth of creative industries and small businesses, links to growth area such as HS2 and Life Sciences etc – with a specific emphasis on retaining local value from local assets.

**Social capacity and community:** building on undoubted strengths of existing local communities and groups, yet developing new ways of participation and inclusion, particularly amongst harder to reach group; and

**Transport networks and infrastructure:** improving local transport networks, increasing cycling, walking and the use of public transport, helping promote green travel districts etc.

Sustainability should be a driving influence and embedded into all projects, building upon existing policies and programmes such as the Green Commission and Smart City Road Map.

Land and building assets should be available for community use/management/ownership where a suitable business case has been made. Community groups and leaders should be empowered and take the lead but with BCC officers working alongside as enablers and technical advisors. There should be a commitment to a cross council approach with the full range of local government powers and services being available to assist and any funding and/or loan opportunities maximised for viable proposals.

The concept may best be described as a series of actions and initiatives that form multi-layers of activity, each contributing to the vision and key themes. A key aspect of the approach is that it does not need to work to or have a specific boundary (it should have “fuzzy boundaries”), nor should it find expression in a single plan.

## Outcome

Working in partnership with local stakeholders should lead to better and more imaginative decision making by: providing opportunities to identify issues and problems; in formulating and evaluating alternatives and ensuring decisions on the use of assets in the delivery of local services are made in the most open and transparent manner. With local involvement and engagement, local communities should feel enabled and empowered, a sense of ownership and responsibility and improved wellbeing.

## DRAFT

It is believed a number of ideas, opportunities and practices will come forward ranging from community asset transfers, community management, involvement and volunteering, training initiatives and opportunities, new ideas for BCC delivery and longer term aims and projects. It is hoped that in this way financial and efficiency savings can be made whilst empowering local people. The concept is wholly in line with the Kerslake review's recommendations regarding *communities and partnership* and in line with the triple devolution concept.

If the pilot is successful, the model will be directly transferable to other geographical areas of the city.

### **Making It Happen**

It is recommended that a steering group of members, officers and community volunteers is initially established to guide the pilot's roll out. It should also work towards putting in place the mechanisms to enable the lead to rapidly transfer to the local community with the Council playing a supporting role. Apart from officer time, the project is cost neutral, but could lead to significant long term financial savings being made.

To give visual focus to the concept, it is believed some form of flexible and enabling framework is necessary to be used both to record what is being achieved and as a tool to steer further active participation and decision making. It could, for example, take the form of continually updated folder with background facts and statistics about the area's assets and make-up, leading to sections describing issues, needs, opportunities, actions, projects, campaigns and an overall vision and recommendations for the area. It would also keep a record of all decisions taken in or affecting the area. It could highlight community groups, services and facilities and list a "who's who" of campaigners and community champions leading on local initiatives. It would also make clear what other adopted plans exist (eg Moseley SPD, Kings Heath Local Action Plan etc) and how this initiative relates to and complements them. The folder would primarily be electronic, thus capable of easy updating but with scope for a few hard folder copies kept in key locations.

.

### **Recommendation and Future Focus**

That approval is given to work up a Cabinet report, based upon this paper, seeking authority to undertake a pilot project in South Birmingham exploring an asset based service planning model, encouraging active citizenship and participation and new ways of service delivery and decision making.

Using examples from the One Public Estate programme, links to other public sector bodies should be forged to extend the impact of this initiative. This could include scoping whether multi use/occupancy of buildings and facilities in the area are possible, particularly where this could lead to further savings. In the longer term the underuse of private sector assets could be considered and how they may help contribute towards the vision.

## HALL GREEN DISTRICT WORK PROGRAMME & TIMELINES

	Jun 15	Jul 15	Aug 15	Sep 15	Oct 15	Nov 15	Dec 15	Jan 16	Feb 16	Mar 16
<b>DISTRICT COMMITTEE</b>		14-7-2015 Governance Changes to District and Ward Committees		22-9-2015		17-11-2015		19/1/2016		15/3/2016
<b>GOVERNANCE STATEMENT</b>								To be Completed –		
<b>DISTRICT CONVENTION</b>								Convention 30-1-16 at South Birmingham College, Hall Green .9.30 - 1pm		
<b>WARD MEETINGS</b>			Members to discuss locally the format they wish to use for future ward mtgs	New format of Ward Meetings set up across City and HG District Governance Manager – Kay Thomas	New format of Ward Meetings set up across City and HG District	New format of Ward Meetings set up across City and HG District	New format of Ward Meetings set up across City and HG District	New format of Ward Meetings set up across City and HG District	New format of Ward Meetings set up across City and HG District	New format of Ward Meetings set up across City and HG District
<b>NEIGHBOURHOOD CHALLENGE</b>		Introduced as part of new District Governance Responsibilities				Agreed at District Committee Co-producing Road Safety	Discussions at ward level	Discussions at ward level Survey District Convention - workshop		
<b>JOB &amp; SKILLS PANEL</b>		Part of new Governance responsibilities		Job and Skills Plan presented at District Committee		4/11 Semina 10/11 First Panel Mtg		9/12/15 Panel Meeting -		

DISTRICT COMMUNITY PLAN				Hall Green Policy Statement update at District Committee`		Hall Green Policy Statement update at District Committee		Key Priorities determined at Convention		Community Plan to be finalised and presented
FORWARD TOGETHER - DEVELOPMENTS	Initially part of Housing Panel Sub Group – on going meetings							Paper to be presented at District Cttee		
FUTURE DISTRICT				Member Development Workshops	Suggested Member and Officer Local database ie.Tumblr		DCLG Bid submitted – Neighbourhood Planning and Local Planning Service Redesign			

**DISTRICT PARTNERSHIPS /  
FORUMS**

**HOUSING PANEL**

**Member Champion –**  
Cllr Quinn

**HEALTH & WELLBEING  
PARTNERSHIP**

**Member Champion –**  
Chair – tbc

**JOBS & SKILLS PANEL**

**Member Champion**  
– Cllr Kennedy  
Chair – tbc

**HALL GREEN ARTS FORUM**

**Member Champion**  
– Cllr Trickett

**SUSTAINABILITY**

**Member Champion –**  
Cllr Spencer

**HALL GREEN DISTRICT COMMITTEE 2015/16**

**2015 – 14/7, 22/9, 17/11  
2016 – 19/1, 15/3  
Chair Cllr Kennedy  
Vice Chair Cllr Spencer**

**NEIGHBOURHOOD  
CHALLENGE  
(x 2-3 per year)  
(1)Co producing  
Road Safety**

**DISTRICT CONVENTION  
(Annual)  
JANUARY 30th**

**YOUNG PEOPLE  
Member Champion  
– Cllr Jenkins  
Corporate Parenting  
Member Champion –  
Cllr Straker Wells**

**AREA WIDE PARTNERSHIPS**

**LOCAL COMMUNITY  
SAFETY  
PARTNERSHIP - EAST**  
Chair: Bas Javid  
Contact Amelia Murray  
**Member Champion –**  
Cllr Bowles

Page 35 of 36

**Birmingham Education  
Partnership  
District Link – Emma  
Kirby  
Member Champion –  
Cllr. Straker Wells**

**WARD MEETINGS**

**SPARKBROOK WARD**

Chair –

**MOSELEY and KINGS HEATH  
WARD**

Chair – Cllr Trickett

**HALL GREEN WARD**

Chair –

**SPRINGFIELD WARD**

Chair – Cllr

**COMMUNITY GOVERNANCE  
RESPONSIBILITIES**

- **GOVERNANCE  
STATEMENT**
- **CONVENTION**
- **COMMUNITY PLAN**
- **WARD PLANS**
- **JOBS & SKILLS PLAN**
- **HEALTH & WELLBEING  
PLAN**

