APPENDIX 4

Title of proposed EIA Admission arrangements for council schools and the co-ordinated scheme Reference No EQUA462 of 27/01/2020 Reviewed and updated 21/12/2020 EA is in support of Amended Policy Review Frequency Annually Date of first review 01/01/2022 Directorate **Education and Skills** Division Education and Early Years Service Area School Admissions and Fair Access Responsible Officer(s) Alan Michell Quality Control Officer(s) Alan Michell Accountable Officer(s) Lisa Fraser Purpose of proposal 1.1 All admission authorities, of which Birmingham City Council is one, are required to set ('determine') admission arrangements annually by 28 February. The arrangements so determined will apply to the next-but-one academic year Data sources Consultation Results; relevant reports/strategies; Statistical Database (please specify) Please include any other sources of data Impulse and annual returns to Department for Education and previous consultation feedback. ASSESS THE IMPACT AGAINST THE PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS Protected characteristic: Age Service Users / Stakeholders; Wider Community Age details: The policy is designed to manage admission arrangements (that apply to council schools and the co-ordinated scheme) for pupils of reception and statutory school age. Children will move school/into school based on age. This includes into reception, infant to junior and secondary school as per the published arrangements. At reception age there is the opportunity for deferred and part-time entry and to start school outside of the

> normal age range if requested by parents.

The changes in the policy related to deferred entry requests provides greater clarity on the administration of the process reaffirms the requirement for parents to provide evidence of a non-council school's approval of their request for deferral.

Schools are also able to move children within school year groups where this is deemed appropriate and in consultation with parents or carers. Additional information on this approach is included in the arrangements.

Age is considered across the different phases and processes as per national policy including the School Admission Code (2014).

There is no adverse impact expected in applying the arrangements based on a child's age.

Service Users / Stakeholders; Wider Community

Children are admitted to schools via the admission arrangements irrespective of disability aside from children who require an Education, Health and Care Plan (EHCP).

Children subject to an EHCP will normally have a named school that they are required to be admitted to as reflected in the admission arrangements which support the School Admissions Code.

This decision (of a named school) is subject to consultation with parents and carers and schools. The Code makes it clear that discrimination against children with a disability or any special educational need is contrary to the law and specifically the Equality Act (2010).

There are no changes to the arrangements/scheme that have any

Protected characteristic: Disability

Disability details:

Assessments - Admission arrangements for council schools	Page 3 of 7
	impact including any adverse impact on children with disabilities.
	Children with an EHCP and named mainstream school will be offered that school as part of the normal transfer round.
Protected characteristic: Sex	Service Users / Stakeholders; Wider Community
Gender details:	There are single sex schools within the school estate.
	The admission arrangements and co- ordinated scheme apply the required processes to allocate school places in- year and via the transfer round to allocate places as per the School Admission Code (2014) and related legislation to all schools including single sex schools.
	There is no adverse impact expected of the proposed changes to the scheme and arrangements (which do not impact directly on gender).
Protected characteristics: Gender Reassignment	Service Users / Stakeholders; Wider Community
Gender reassignment details:	There are single sex schools within the school estate.
	The admission arrangements and co- ordinated scheme simply apply the required processes to allocate school places in-year and via the transfer round to allocate places as per the School Admission Code (2014) and related legislation to all schools.
	There is no adverse impact expected of the proposed changes to the scheme and arrangements (which do not impact directly on gender reassignment) and no adverse impact reported in this area previously.
Protected characteristics: Marriage and Civil Partnership	Service Users/ Stakeholders; Wider Community
Marriage and civil partnership details:	•

SCHOOIS	
	There are no changes in either the arrangements or scheme that impact in any way on marriage or civil partnership.
	There is no adverse impact as confirmed previously.
Protected characteristics: Pregnancy and Maternity	Service Users / Stakeholders; Wider Community
Pregnancy and maternity details:	There are no changes in either the arrangements or scheme that impact in any way on pregnancy and maternity.
	There is no adverse impact as reported previously
Protected characteristics: Race	Service Users / Stakeholders; Wider Community
Race details:	There are no changes to the policy (arrangements or scheme) that impact directly or indirectly on race.
	Race is not part of any school's admission arrangements and the council arrangements and the scheme have not been amended to have any related impact.
	As with all of the related protected characteristics, the School Admissions Code (2014) and related policy makes it clear that such discrimination is illegal.
	There is no adverse impact in respect of race.
Protected characteristics: Religion or Beliefs	Service Users / Stakeholders; Wider Community
Religion or beliefs details:	The school estate includes faith-based schools with a range of faith-based admission criteria and some with a faith based ethos, all expected and understood to be compliant with the law and the School Admissions Code (2014).
	Faith is reflected legally and appropriately in the council's voluntary

> controlled schools admission arrangements (for four schools) only.

There are no proposed changes to the related admission arrangements.

Equally there are no changes in the scheme as regards how places are allocated across the city.

No impact or adverse impact is expected as regards religion or beliefs.

Protected characteristics: Sexual Orientation

Service Users / Stakeholders; Wider

Community

Sexual orientation details:

There are no changes in either the arrangements or scheme that impact in any way on sexual orientation.

There is no adverse impact as reported previously.

Socio-economic impacts

None

Please indicate any actions arising from completing this screening exercise.

None.

Please indicate whether a full impact assessment is recommended

NO

What data has been collected to facilitate the assessment of this policy/proposal? Impulse and annual returns to

Department for Education and previous consultation feedback.

Consultation analysis

The current consultation process concludes on 18th January 2021. Last year we received three responses, none of which related to equality

issues.

This EIA will be reviewed and amended if required dependent on the

consultation responses.

Adverse impact on any people with protected characteristics.

None

Could the policy/proposal be modified to reduce or eliminate any adverse impact? N/A

How will the effect(s) of this policy/proposal on equality be monitored?

Regular review and monitoring of admission arrangements, round and offer day activity and data and feedback from consultation, complaints and compliments.

What data is required in the future?

We will continue to use data sources as already identified including that related to the demand and availability of school places.

Are there any adverse impacts on any particular group(s)

If yes, please explain your reasons for going ahead.

Initial equality impact assessment of your proposal

Consulted People or Groups

Informed People or Groups

Summary and evidence of findings from your EIA

No

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

The current consultation process concludes on 18th January 2021.

Last year we received three responses, none of which related to equality issues

This EIA will be reviewed and amended if required dependent on the consultation responses.

QUALITY CONTORL SECTION

Submit to the Quality Control Officer for reviewing?

Quality Control Officer comments

Yes

The council's admission arrangements have been subject to only very minor amendments outside of a change of two schools' published admission numbers (PAN). The PAN changes have no adverse impact in respect of the above protective characteristics. The co-ordinated scheme changes relate to two minor process areas; deferral requests clarification (to reception). Applications from outside of Birmingham and the UK. All of the changes are consistent with the School Admissions Code and none have any impact or adverse impact on the protected characteristics as outlined above in the EIA.

Decision by Quality Control Officer

Submit draft to Accountable Officer?

Decision by Accountable Officer

Date approved / rejected by the Accountable Officer

Reasons for approval or rejection

Please print and save a PDF copy for your records

Julie Bach

Person or Group

Content Type: Item Version: 69.0

Created at 27/01/2020 10:05 AM by Man Michell

Last modified at 15/01/2021 02:11 PM by Workflow on behalf of Malan Michell

Proceed for final approval

Yes

Approve

15/01/2021

The Council's admission arrangements have been subject to only very minor amendments outside of a change of two schools' published admission numbers (PAN). The PAN changes have no adverse impact in respect of the above protective characteristics. The consultation feedback last year

(three responses) did not raise any equalities concerns and we have not received any such responses to date and do not expect to.

The co-ordinated scheme changes relate to two administrative process areas;

Deferral requests (to reception). Applications from outside of Birmingham and the UK. None of the above have any impact or adverse impact on the listed protective characteristics.

Yes

Alan Michell

Alan Michell

Close