

Title	Public Consultation On Langley Sustainable Urban Extension And Peddimore Draft Supplementary Planning Documents
Reference No	EQUA80
EA is in support of	New Policy
Review Frequency	No preference
Date of first review	03/12/2018
Directorate	Economy
Division	Planning and Development
Service Area	
Responsible Officer(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Craig Rowbottom
Quality Control Officer(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Richard Woodland
Accountable Officer(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Richard Cowell
Initial impact assessment	<p>The draft SPDs for Langley SUE and Peddimore are localised planning documents providing supplementary detail / guidance to the strategic statutory policies of the Birmingham Development Plan (BDP). The draft SPDs have to be in line with the BDP policies, which were subject to an Equalities Analysis.</p> <p>The draft SPDs have been informed by an evidence base, including design advice by masterplanning consultants. This has not identified any specific impacts on the protected characteristics, and there will be positive outcomes for the local population from the development, including new homes, job opportunities and infrastructure delivery. There is reference in the draft SPDs on matters which do not require a Full Equalities Assessment, with specific points set out under each protected characteristic sections.</p> <p>Any projects identified in the SPDs will need to be subject to their own Equalities Analysis as part of their development where the City Council has involvement (e.g. considering mobility issues and the protected characteristics in developing transport projects). Equalities issues will also be assessed in relevant ways as part of the determination of planning applications for these sites.</p>
Protected characteristic: Age	Service Users / Stakeholders; Wider Community
Age details:	<p>- A mix of housing is required to be provided on the site, with potential for homes for the elderly and for people with particular needs. It is for the developer to propose a suitable mix of homes rather than the SPD being prescriptive on this matter.</p> <p>- Education provision needs to be provided on the development, with land safeguarded for 3 primary schools and 1 secondary school, and a need to address requirements for Special Education Needs. There is also a requirement for</p>

	a Health Centre identified for the site. This is to ensure that the City Councils and the NHS Trusts duties in ensuring school and health facilities are available due to the need for places arising from the development.
Protected characteristic: Disability	Service Users / Stakeholders; Wider Community
Disability details:	- The design of development is referenced in the draft SPDs, however technical design matters are addressed in other SPDs (e.g. Access for People with Disabilities SPD).
Protected characteristic: Gender	Service Users / Stakeholders; Wider Community
Gender details:	See Consulted people or groups section
Protected characteristics: Gender Reassignment	Not Applicable
Gender reassignment details:	
Protected characteristics: Marriage and Civil Partnership	Not Applicable
Marriage and civil partnership details:	
Protected characteristics: Pregnancy and Maternity	Service Users / Stakeholders; Wider Community
Pregnancy and maternity details:	See Age
Protected characteristics: Race	Service Users / Stakeholders; Wider Community
Race details:	See Consulted people or groups section
Protected characteristics: Religion or Beliefs	Service Users / Stakeholders; Wider Community
Religion or beliefs details:	- Places of worship are identified as a type of use that could be accommodated on the development in suitable locations. It is for the developer to bring forwarded proposals for this type of use if they consider it appropriate.
Protected characteristics: Sexual Orientation	Not Applicable
Sexual orientation details:	
Consulted People or Groups	<p>The decision of the Cabinet Member at this stage is to approve the public consultation on the draft SPDs. This will be carried out in line with the Statement of Community Involvement, which is adopted City Council policy. The approach to public consultation will be made relevant to the community of the population of the Wards where the two sites are located, and will be informed by the community profile for the area (ensuring protected characteristics inform the approach, such as Age, Gender, and Race). Relevant organisations with an interest in equalities issues will also be consulted.</p> <p>An engagement strategy has been developed to set out how the public consultation will be carried out on the draft SPDs,</p>

meeting the requirements of relevant regulations and guidance. The broad range of specific and general stakeholders will all be informed about the draft SPDs (letters, press release, etc), with a number of drop-in sessions proposed during the consultation period. More detailed engagement work (meetings, workshops, etc) will also be carried out with key stakeholders at this time, including City Councillors, the Sutton Coldfield Town Council, the Langley Consortium, and community groups (including Project Fields, Walmley Residents Association and, Minworth Residents Association).

Informed People or Groups

Summary and evidence of findings from your EIA

A Full Assessment is not needed at this stage.

The results of the public consultation on the draft documents will be used to update the Equalities Analysis and inform the final SPDs when they are adopted by the City Council (programmed for January 2019). The preparation of the SPDs is progressing to the following milestones:

- . Public consultation on the draft documents (6 weeks)
- . Review comments and prepare final SPDs
- . Adoption of final SPDs by Cabinet

Submit to the Quality Control Officer for reviewing?

No

Quality Control Officer comments

Decision by Quality Control Officer

Proceed for final approval

Submit draft to Accountable Officer?

No

Decision by Accountable Officer

Approve

Date approved / rejected by the Accountable Officer

21/08/2018

Reasons for approval or rejection

Please print and save a PDF copy for your records

Yes

Content Type: Item

Version: 62.0

Created at 08/08/2018 12:00 PM by ☐ Craig Rowbottom

Last modified at 21/08/2018 11:55 AM by Workflow on behalf of ☐ Louise Cavanagh

Close