	ОР	TIONS AF	PRAISAL						
1. General Information									
Directorate	Economy Portfolio/Committee Leader								
Project Title	COUNCIL HO COMPLEX W PHASE 3a	CA-02870-03							
Project Description	There is a requirement to invest in the long term future of the Council House complex to ensure that it will continue to function at the heart of civic governance for the Council in future years. Taking account of the Council's current financial position the								
	House com	plex and a up to 2022	al is to defer further works to gree the allocation of revenu to enable the Council Hous	ue funding					
	further ongo Act) and the required to that the Cou	oing testing e likelihood the electric uncil House	me would include the requirg (to comply with the Electrical that further remedial works cal and mechanical installation complex remains operation atted to be 4/5 years.	city at Work will be ons to ensure					
	As previously indicated it must be recognised that the adoption of this option will require ongoing testing and maintenance works and there is no guarantee that the whole complex can remain operational without potentially significant investment in the electrical and mechanical installations.								
	Options are also being considered for the potential commercialisation of identified surplus space at the Council House Extension (Margaret Street Offices). All released space where identified would be marketed by way of long lease.								
	Finance								
			Balance						
	18/10/2016 £500k Development of Business ca		Development of Business cas	e 0					
	12/12/2017 £500k Further Development of scheme		50,000						
	21/03/2017 £500k Place Directorate £337,500— tbc concept design of BMAG Proposals Amends to scheme-£162,500								
	Tbc at 11/12/18 Recommended Proposal Improvement Works including - Electrical Testing & remedial works.								

Links to Corporate and Service Outcomes

The proposals in this report contribute to the City Council Plan 2018-2022 specifically supporting the 5 strategic outcomes of:

- 1. Birmingham is an entrepreneurial city to learn, work and invest in
- 2. Birmingham is an aspirational city to grow up in
- 3. Birmingham is a fulfilling city to age well in
- 4. Birmingham is a great city to live in
- 5. Birmingham residents gain the maximum benefit from hosting the Commonwealth Games
- The proposal supports the Council's strategic approach to managing assets, ensuring they are fit for purpose in terms of suitability, sufficiency, condition, cost, environmental impact and affordability.

Project Benefits

- When the proposed major improvement works to the building are completed it will comply with current legislation standards and be more energy efficient due the introduction of energy saving measures, increased controls etc.
- The major works would enable the retention of a significant Grade II* historic building for continued use by the Council.
- If undertaken, the major works would increase the operational life of the services installations by a minimum of 30 years.
- Potential income can be realised from commercialisation of identified surplus space in the Council House Extension – i.e. Margaret St and areas released by Birmingham Museums Trust.
- The proposal to, as an interim measure, undertake limited redecoration works to parts of the building and repairs to the services infrastructure will hopefully, enable the building to be available to host functions relating to the Commonwealth Games in 2022.

Project Deliverables

Recommended Option:

- To undertake on-going testing of the electrical installation to meet the requirements of the Electricity at Work Act.
- To undertake, as necessary, maintenance works to the mechanical infrastructure to ensure services (heating, water supplies etc.) are maintained and remain operational.
- To undertake remedial repairs, as required, to meet legislative requirements and to keep the complex operational.
- To develop a scheme of works to undertake limited redecoration to parts of the complex, as necessary.
- To progress proposals for a commercial letting of the Margaret Street offices.

Procurement Implications	Procurement In accordance with the proposed recommendation there are no procurement issues identified at this time as all remedial works etc will be undertaken utilising existing framework contracts (Construction West Midlands Framework) Any proposed refurbishment proposals including decorative work ahead of the Commonwealth Games will be brought forward as a further FBC in due course.					
Taxation Implications	There will be no tax implica	ations for the work procured via BCC.				
Accountable Body	Not Applicable					
Key Project Mileston	nes	Planned Delivery Dates				
Submit Cabinet Repo		Dec '18				
Carry out Electricity a		Estimated Jan '19 – April				
Carry out Electricity a	it Work resuing	'19 (3/4 months)				
Carry out remedial re	nair work	TBC				
Review Commercialis		July 2019				
		TBC				
Decant Margaret Street Dependencies on	Allocation of sufficie					
other projects or activities Achievability	 carry out the electrical testing to comply with the requirements of the Electricity at Work Act. undertake remedial repairs to the services infrastructure to ensure the Council House complex remains operational. develop a scheme of required redecoration works to the complex. Cabinet approval to pursue the potential disposal of Margaret Street Offices by way of a long lease. Birmingham Property Services have extensive experience and knowledge of delivering a diverse range of refurbishment 					
Project Manager	Phil Andrews – Head of Operational Property Management					
Project Accountant	Alison Jarrett – Assistant Director Finance					
Project Sponsor	Kathryn James – Assistant Director of Property (Interim)					
Proposed Project Board Members	Kathryn James – BPS Phil Andrews – BPS TBC – Council House Building Manager / Project Manager Finance – Nigel Greenwood Ellen McAdam – BMT					
Finance Business Partner (FBP) Other Mandatory Information		Date of FBP Approval				

APPENDIX 1

 Has project budget been set up on Voyager? 	Yes
 Issues and Risks updated (Please attach a copy to the PDD and on Voyager) 	Yes

2. Options Appraisal Records

The following sections are evidence of the different options that have been considered in arriving at the proposed solution. All options should be documented individually.

Option 1a	Do Nothing; defer major works to Council House complex for			
	say 5 years and do not maintain mechanical and electrical			
	installations in an operable and safe condition – Total Cost nil			
Information	What information was considered in evaluating the option – this must			
Considered	be the same for each option considered.			
	The current financial positon of the Council.			
	Historic status – Grade II* listed building.			
	Electricity at Work Act - Legislative requirements.			
	The continuation of Council services being delivered from the			
	Council House complex.			
	The cost of delivering the service from these buildings			
	including staff and running costs.			
	Commonwealth Games – requirements as a host city.			
	Commercialisation opportunities.			
Pros and Cons of	What were the advantages/positive aspects of this option?			
Option				
	Civic and democratic operations will continue to take place in the Council House complex.			
	 the Council House complex. Limited disruption to Council services operating from the 			
	complex.			
	Deferred capital expenditure.			
	What are the Disadvantages/negative aspects of this option?			
	Part of the electrical installation may fail leading to closure of			
	parts of the complex unless significant investment is made.			
	Increased risks associated with non replacement of time			
	expired electrical installation.			
	Mechanical and electrical installations will continue to require			
	ongoing maintenance and remedial works to remain in use			
	as they will be at the end of their useful life.			
	 Loss of opportunity to consider potential commercialisation of identified surplus spaces. 			
	·			
People Consulted	Who was consulted regarding development of key elements of this option			
	Internal - The Cross Party Working Group of Members (chaired			
	the Leader of the Council), Project Board and officers from			
	Property Services, Finance, Procurement, Place Directorate and			
	Legal Services have been involved in the preparation of this			
	report. The Council House Building Management Team and the Conservation Officer have also been engaged.			
	Conscivation Officer have also been engaged.			
	External - Birmingham Museums Trust (BMT) have been			
	engaged in the proposals to refurbish the Council House			
	chages in the proposals to relability the countries house			

Recommendation Principal Reason for Decision	complex; Capita ICTDS have also been engaged in relation to the works required to the ICT Infrastructure within the complex. Historic England have been consulted in relation to the proposed works together with others i.e. Engie, Paradise Circus development team etc. Abandon High Risk of reputational damage due to the Council House complex not meeting legislative requirements.
Option 1b	Do Minimum; to defer further works to the Council House complex and agree the allocation of revenue funding (circa £2m) up to 2022 to enable the Council House complex to be kept operational.
Information Considered	 The current financial positon of the Council. Historic status – Grade II* listed building. Electricity at Work Act - Legislative requirements. The continuation of Council services being delivered from the Council House complex. The cost of delivering the service from these buildings including staff and running costs. Commonwealth Games – requirements as a host city. Commercialisation opportunities
Pros and Cons of Option	 What were the advantages/positive aspects of this option? Civic and democratic operations will continue to take place in the Council House complex. Limited disruption to Council services operating from the complex. Deferred capital expenditure. Proposed that electrical Installations be safety tested and remedial works carried out in order to meet legislative requirements. Minor Improvements to the building ahead of the Commonwealth Games in 2022. What are the Disadvantages/negative aspects of this option? Mechanical and Electrical Installations will continue to require increased maintenance as they will be at the end of their useful life. Increased risks of disruption relating to the time expired electrical installation whilst testing is carried out and remedial works (as necessary) undertaken.
People Consulted	Internal - The Cross Party Working Group of Members (chaired the Leader of the Council), Project Board and officers from Property Services, Finance, Procurement, Place Directorate and Legal Services have been involved in the preparation of this report. The Council House Building Management Team and the

	Conservation Officer have also been engaged.
	External - Birmingham Museums Trust (BMT) have been engaged in the proposals to refurbish the Council House complex; Capita ICTDS have also been engaged in relation to the works required to the ICT Infrastructure within the complex. Historic England have been consulted in relation to the proposed works together with others i.e. Engie, Paradise Circus development team etc.
Recommendation	Proceed with this option
Principal Reason for Decision	What are the key reasons for the recommendation regarding this option This option will attempt to ensure that the electrical installation is tested to ensure its compliance with legislative requirements with the aim of keeping the Council House complex operational until 2022.
Option 2a	Replacement of Mechanical & Electrical installations and making good works to Council House (Phase 1) only – Total Capital Cost £33.5m capital – Revenue Cost £1.0m to decant exhibits to Council House Extension.
Information Considered	 The current financial positon of the Council. Historic status – Grade II* listed building. Electricity at Work Act - Legislative requirements. The continuation of Council services being delivered from the Council House complex. The cost of delivering the service from these buildings including staff and running costs. Commonwealth Games – requirements as a host city. Commercialisation opportunities.
Pros and Cons of Option	 What were the advantages/positive aspects of this option? Replacement of mechanical & electrical installations. Electrical installations will meet legislative requirements. Opportunity to improve existing facilities and introduce energy saving measures to reduce running costs. Improvements to the building ahead of the Commonwealth Games. What are the Disadvantages/negative aspects of this option? Complete decant of the Council House building. Disruption to Council services during the works. Significant capital expenditure – c£33.5m. Loss of opportunity to carry out BMAG improvement works at the same time as re-wire.
People Consulted	Internal - The Cross Party Working Group of Members (chaired the Leader of the Council), Project Board and officers from Property Services, Finance, Procurement, Place Directorate and Legal Services have been involved in the preparation of this

	report. The Council House Building Management Team and the Conservation Officer have also been engaged.
	External - Birmingham Museums Trust (BMT) have been engaged in the proposals to refurbish the Council House complex; Capita ICTDS have also been engaged in relation to the works required to the ICT Infrastructure within the complex. Historic England have been consulted in relation to the proposed works together with others i.e. Engie, Paradise Circus development team etc.
Recommendation	Abandon
Principal Reason for Decision	Given the Council's current financial position this option is unaffordable at this time.
Option 2b	Replacement of mechanical & electrical installations, reconfiguration of Council House (Phase 1 only) to accommodate BMT proposals including new circulation core, forming of new accessible entrance off Chamberlain Square and builders works to provide new restaurant facility in the Water Hall etc. – Total Capital Cost £45.3m - Revenue Cost £1.0m to decant exhibits to Council House Extension.
Information Considered	 The current financial positon of the Council. Historic status – Grade II* listed building. Electricity at Work Act - Legislative requirements. The continuation of Council services being delivered from the Council House complex. The cost of delivering the service from these buildings including staff and running costs. Commonwealth Games – requirements as a host city. Potential commercialisation opportunities.
Pros and Cons of Option	 What were the advantages/positive aspects of this option? Replacement of mechanical & electrical installations. Electrical installations will meet legislative requirements. Opportunity to improve existing facilities and introduce energy saving measures to reduce running costs. Improvements to the building ahead of the Commonwealth Games. Opportunity to significantly enhance the BMAG offering.
	 What are the Disadvantages/negative aspects of this option? Complete decant of the Council House building during the
People Consulted	 Complete decant of the Council House building during the works. Disruption to services during the works. Significant capital expenditure – c£45.3m. Cost associated with decant of museum exhibits – revenue cost of £1.0m. Closure of BMAG during the works. Internal - The Cross Party Working Group of Members (chaired
reopie Consulted	Internal - The Closs Faity Working Gloup of Members (chaired

	the Leader of the Council), Project Board and officers from Property Services, Finance, Procurement, Place Directorate and Legal Services have been involved in the preparation of this report. The Council House Building Management Team and the Conservation Officer have also been engaged. External - Birmingham Museums Trust (BMT) have been engaged in the proposals to refurbish the Council House complex; Capita ICTDS have also been engaged in relation to the works required to the ICT Infrastructure within the complex. Historic England have been consulted in relation to the proposed works together with others i.e. Engie, Paradise Circus development team etc.
Recommendation	Abandon
Principal Reason for Decision	Given the Council's current financial position this option is unaffordable at this time.
Option 3	Replacement of mechanical & electrical installations and making good to whole Council House complex (phase 1 – Council House, Phase 2 – Council House Extension) – Total Capital Cost £61.3m – Revenue Cost £6.0m to decant all exhibits to temporary store.
Information Considered	 The current financial positon of the Council. Historic status – Grade II* listed building. Electricity at Work Act - Legislative requirements. The continuation of Council services being delivered from the Council House complex. The cost of delivering the service from these buildings including staff and running costs. Commonwealth Games – requirements as a host city. Potential commercialisation opportunities.
Pros and Cons of Option	 What were the advantages/positive aspects of this option? Replacement of mechanical & electrical installations throughout the Council House complex. Electrical installations will meet legislative requirements Opportunity to improve existing facilities and introduce energy saving measures to reduce running costs. Improvements to the building ahead of the Commonwealth Games. What are the Disadvantages/negative aspects of this option? Significant capital expenditure – c£61.3m. Complete decant of the Council House complex. Disruption to services during the works. Cost associated with decant of museum exhibits to a temporary store – revenue cost of £6.0m. Lengthy closure of BMAG during the works.
People Consulted	Internal - The Cross Party Working Group of Members (chaired

	the Leader of the Council), Project Board and officers from Property Services, Finance, Procurement, Place Directorate and Legal Services have been involved in the preparation of this report. The Council House Building Management Team and the Conservation Officer have also been engaged.
	External - Birmingham Museums Trust (BMT) have been engaged in the proposals to refurbish the Council House complex; Capita ICTDS have also been engaged in relation to the works required to the ICT Infrastructure within the complex. Historic England have been consulted in relation to the proposed works together with others i.e. Engie, Paradise Circus development team etc.
Recommendation	Abandon
Principal Reason for Decision	Given the Council's current financial position this option is unaffordable at this time.
Option 4	Replacement of mechanical & electrical installations, reconfiguration of Council House complex (Phase 1 – Council House, Phase 2 – Council House Extension) to accommodate BMT proposals, including new circulation core, forming of new accessible entrance off Chamberlain Square and builders works to provide new restaurant facility in the Water Hall, structural adaptations to galleries etc. – Total Capital Cost £81.4m – Revenue Cost £6.0m to decant all exhibits to temporary store.
Information Considered	 The current financial positon of the Council. Historic status – Grade II* listed building. Electricity at Work Act - Legislative requirements. The continuation of Council services being delivered from the Council House complex. The cost of delivering the service from these buildings including staff and running costs. Commonwealth Games – requirements as a host city. Potential commercialisation opportunities.
Pros and Cons of Option	 What were the advantages/positive aspects of this option? Replacement of Mechanical & Electrical installations throughout the complex. Electrical Installations will meet legislative requirements. Opportunity to improve existing facilities and introduce energy saving measures to reduce running costs. Improvements to the building (phase 1 – Council House) ahead of the Commonwealth Games. Opportunity to significantly improve the museum offering with new modern facilities including the repurposing of the Waterhall into a restaurant. What are the Disadvantages/negative aspects of this option? Significant capital expenditure – c£81.4m.

	 Complete decant of the Council House complex. Disruption to services during the works. Cost associated with the decant of museum exhibits to a temporary store – revenue £6.0m. Lengthy closure of BMAG during the works. Intrusive surveys required. Challenges working with Grade II* listed building. Insufficient time for BMT fundraising. 			
People Consulted	Internal - The Cross Party Working Group of Members (chaired the Leader of the Council), Project Board and officers from Property Services, Finance, Procurement, Place Directorate and Legal Services have been involved in the preparation of this report. The Council House Building Management Team and the Conservation Officer have also been engaged.			
Recommendation	External - Birmingham Museums Trust (BMT) have been engaged in the proposals to refurbish the Council House complex; Capita ICTDS have also been engaged in relation to the works required to the ICT Infrastructure within the complex. Historic England have been consulted in relation to the proposed works together with others i.e. Engie, Paradise Circus development team etc. Abandon			
Principal Reason for Decision	Given the Council's current financial position this option is unaffordable at this time.			

APPENDIX 1

	3. Summary of Options Appraisal – Price/Quality Matrix												
		Options			Weighting		Weighted Score						
Criteria	1a	1b	2a	2b	3	4		1a	1b	2a	2b	3	4
Total Capital Cost	4	4	3	2	1	1	30	1.2	1.2	0.9	0.6	0.3	0.3
Full Year Revenue Consequences	1	1	2	3	4	3	10	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3
Quality Evaluation Criteria e.gs													
1)Affordability	4	4	1	1	1	1	15	0.6	0.6	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15
2) Minimum Statutory Requirements	4	4	3	3	2	2	20	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4
3) Council Plan 2018-2022	1	2	2	2	3	4	15	0.15	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.45	0.6
4) Service Delivery	1	1	3	3	3	4	10	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4
Total	15	16	14	14	14	15	100%	2.95	3.1	2.45	2.25	2	2.15

Options

Do Nothing; defer major works to Council House complex for say 5 years and do not maintain mechanical and electrical installations in an operable and safe
condition – Total Cost Nil
Do Minimum; to defer further works to the Council House complex and agree the allocation of revenue funding (circa £2m) up to 2022 to enable the Council
House complex to be kept operational.
Replacement of Mechanical & Electrical installations and making good works to Council House (Phase 1) only – Total Capital Cost £33.5m capital – Revenue Cost
£1.0m to decant exhibits to Council House extension
Replacement of Mechanical & Electrical installations, reconfiguration of Council House (Phase 1 only) to accommodate BMT proposals, including new circulation
core, forming of new accessible entrance off Chamberlain Square and builders works to provide new restaurant facility in the Water Hall etc Total Capital Cost
£45.3m - Revenue Cost £1.0m to decant exhibits to Council House Extension
Replacement of Mechanical & Electrical installations and making good to whole Council House complex (phase 1 – Council House, Phase 2 – Council House
Extension) – Total Capital Cost £61.3m – Revenue Cost £6.0m to decant all exhibits to temporary store.
Replacement of Mechanical & Electrical installations, reconfiguration of Council House complex (Phase 1 – Council House, Phase 2 – Council House Extension) to accommodate BMT proposals, including new circulation core, forming of new accessible entrance off Chamberlain Square and builders works to provide new restaurant facility in the Water Hall, structural adaptations to galleries etc. – Total Capital Cost £81.4m – Revenue Cost £6.0m to decant all exhibits to temporary store

Scoring: 1- Very High, 2 - Fairly High, 3- Average, 4- Nominal

4. Option Recommended

Which option, from those listed in the Options Appraisal Records above, is recommended and the key reasons for this decision.

Recommendation

Adopt the proposal Option 1b:

Do Minimum; to defer further works to the Council House complex and agree the allocation of revenue funding (circa £2m) up to 2022 to enable the Council House complex to be kept operational.

This is the most affordable option where we can meet our statutory obligations in regards to the Electricity At Work Regulations 1989.

Voyager	5. Budget information						
CA02870	o. Dauget mon.	Voyager	Year	Year			Totals
CA02870	Canital Costs & Funding	1	£	£		f	£
to Full Business Case Policy contingency expenditure via Place Award to BMT Transfer to CA02870-03 Totals 130 324 696 350 1,500	Expenditure:	CA02870					£
expenditure via Place Award to BMT Transfer to CA02870-03 Totals Funding Development costs funded by: (Please itemise) CA02870 -03 130 324 696 350 1,500 1,500 Funding Development costs funded by: (Please itemise) CA02870 -03 130 324 533 13 1,000 CA02870 -03 130 324 533 13 1,000 COrporate Resources Other Costs Funded by: Policy contingency Place award to BMT Allocated to CA02870-03 Totals Revenue Consequences Expenditure Other Costs to complete project Essential Works (subject to approval) Income Savings Totals Totals Specify codes Where budget is found on Voyager		-03	130	324	533	13	1,000
Funding Development costs funded by: (Please itemise) CA02870 -03 130 324 533 13 1,000 Corporate Resources Other Costs Funded by: Policy contingency Place award to BMT Allocated to CA02870-03 163 337 337 163 Totals 130 324 696 350 1,500 Revenue Consequences Expenditure Expenditure Other Costs to complete project Essential Works (subject to approval) 2,000 2,000 Income Savings Totals 2,000 2,000 Funded By: Specify codes where budget is found on Voyager	Policy contingency expenditure via Place Award to BMT Transfer to CA02870-03				163	337	
Funding Development costs funded by: (Please itemise) CA02870 -03 130 324 533 13 1,000 Corporate Resources Other Costs Funded by: Policy contingency Place award to BMT Allocated to CA02870-03 163 337 337 163 Totals 130 324 696 350 1,500 Revenue Consequences Expenditure Expenditure Other Costs to complete project Essential Works (subject to approval) 2,000 2,000 Income Savings Totals 2,000 2,000 Funded By: Specify codes where budget is found on Voyager	Tatala		400	00.4	200	050	4.500
Development costs funded by: (Please itemise) Canoprate Resources Other Costs Funded by: Policy contingency Place award to BMT Allocated to CA02870-03 Totals Totals Totals Totals Totals Totals Totals Savings Totals Totals Totals Totals Totals Totals Savings Totals Totals Totals Totals Savings Totals T			130	324	696	350	1,500
Other Costs Funded by: Policy contingency Place award to BMT Allocated to CA02870-03 Totals 130 324 696 350 1,500 Revenue Consequences Expenditure Other Costs to complete project Essential Works (subject to approval) Income Savings Totals 2,000 2,000 2,000 Funded By: Current Budgetary Provision Other revenue resources identified: (Please itemise) Specify codes where budget is found on Voyager	Development costs funded by:		130	324	533	13	1,000
Policy contingency Place award to BMT Allocated to CA02870-03 Totals Totals Expenditure Other Costs to complete project Essential Works (subject to approval) Income Savings Totals Specify codes where identified: (Please itemise) SMARCH SMAR	Corporate Resources						
Revenue Consequences Expenditure Other Costs to complete project Essential Works (subject to approval) Income Savings Totals Current Budgetary Provision Other revenue resources identified: (Please itemise) Specify codes where budget is found on Voyager	Place award to BMT				163	337	
Expenditure Other Costs to complete project Essential Works (subject to approval) Income Savings Totals Current Budgetary Provision Other revenue resources identified: (Please itemise) Specify codes where budget is found on Voyager	Totals		130	324	696	350	1,500
Funded By: Current Budgetary Provision Other revenue resources identified: budget is (Please itemise) Funded By: Specify codes where budget is found on Voyager	(subject to approval) Income Savings						
Current Budgetary Provision Specify codes Where budget is found on Voyager	Totals					2,000	2,000
Other revenue resources identified: (Please itemise) codes where budget is found on Voyager	Funded By:						
identified: budget is (Please itemise) found on Voyager	Current Budgetary Provision	_ =					
Totals		budget is found on					
	Totals						

6. Project Development Requirements/Information					
Products required to produce Full Business Case	This should be a full list of the items required in order to produce a Full Business Case. Programme of works Electricity Testing Schedule Works Order Cost Planning Consultation Risk Register Surveys				
Estimated time to complete project development	Give an estimate of how long it will take to complete the delivery of all the products stated above, and incorporate them into a Full Business Case. 6 months				
Estimated cost to complete project development	Provide details of the development costs shown in Section 5 above. This should include an estimate of the costs of delivering all the products stated above, and incorporating them into a Full Business Case. The cost of internal resources, where these are charged to the project, should be included. A separate analysis may be attached. Development costs in section 5 above relate to the full redevelopment proposal progressed to date to the end of RIBA Stage 3a.				
Funding of development costs	Provide details of development costs funding shown in Section 5 above. These are as listed in the Finance table at the front of this Options Appraisal.				

Date re	Pate service expects to eceive FBC approval month/year) une 2019	Planned Date for Technical Completion	Date service anticipates physical completion of the project (month/year) June 2019 – Dec 2022
---------	---	---	--

APPENDIX 1

Risk Register

Description of risk	Impact	Probability	Existing controls	Action Required	Lead responsibility
Programme slips	High	Low	A draft programme will be compiled in line with the schedule of activities to ensure the works are completed ahead of the Commonwealth Games in 2022.	Work activity schedules will be revisited and amended as is appropriate. Project Manager to closely monitor activities.	BPS
Electricity at Work testing reveals the need for priority remedial works beyond allowances within £2m budget.	High	High	Testing will be carried out based on c40% of the overall services infrastructure within the Council House complex using the results of the previous testing carried out in 2016 for guidance.	Appropriate contingency allowance to be made to cover this risk.	Finance
User expectations are insufficiently managed.	Low	Low	Ensure relevant stakeholders are consulted. Project brief to be reviewed by Project Team / Stakeholders.	Regular updates will be held and shared with stakeholders using appropriate communication tools e.g. social media, public meetings.	BPS
Failures associated with non-electrical installations (heating, plumbing, drainage) or the building fabric (roof, rainwater installations, windows) occur that are unable to be funded through available maintenance budget.	High	High	Causes of existing evident problems of water ingress and other matters of concern e.g structurally to be investigated.	Appropriate contingency allowance to be made to cover this risk.	Finance
Delays in the decant of Margaret Street Offices may impact commercialisation options	High	Low	Ensure Corporate Landlord review requirements and schedule the decant into service plans. Place Directorate to plan for relocation.	On-going liaison with Corporate Landlord and Place Directorate.	BPS