

What is your interest in the proposal? - Type of respondant	What is your interest in the proposal? - other, please specify
Parent	
Parent	
Parent	
Parent	
Parent	

Staff Member	
Local Resident	
Staff Member	
Local Resident	Resident of ryland rd. No letter of consultation has been given to us.
School Governor	
School Governor	

Local Resident	
Staff Member	
Other, please specify	CEO of Summit Learning Trust of which Erdington Hall Primary is an academy
Local Resident	
Staff Member	
Staff Member	
Parent	I am also a staff member at Erdington Hall Primary School.

Local Resident	
School Governor	
Local Councillor	

Local Resident	
Parent	
Other, please specify	Parent of a child in Erdington Hall Primary School
Parent	
Other, please specify	Parent of children at neighbouring school.
Parent	

Local Resident	
Local Resident	
Parent	Parent and Local Resident
Local Resident	
Parent	
Parent	
Local Resident	

Staff Member	
--------------	--

Local Resident	
Local Resident	
Local Resident	
Other, please specify	Pupil Planning Analyst - Worcestershire Children First (neighbouring authority)
Parent	



Local Resident	
Pupil	
Staff Member	
Parent	
Local Resident	

Local Resident	
Local Resident	
Other, please specify	Local resident where new place they are proposing. My child also attends the schools this building is attached to.

Parent	Resident
Parent	
Other, please specify	Teacher at Erdington Hall Primary School
Staff Member	
Staff Member	
Staff Member	

Parent	
Parent	
Parent	
Staff Member	
Parent	
Parent	

Are you in favour of the proposal? -  
In Favour?

Yes

Yes

No

No

No

Yes
Yes
Yes
No
No
Yes

No

No

No

No

No

No

No

--

No

--

No

--

No



No

No

No

No

No

No

No

No

No

No

No

No

Yes

No

No
No
No
Yes
No

No
No
No
No
No

No

No

No

No
No
No
No
No
No

No
No
No
No
No



Are you in favour of the proposal? - Please give details

There isn't enough places in Birmingham for all children with asd or language and speech difficulties so using the number of children that can be allowed at a school ie oscott manor is a good idea in my opinion

I'm in favour of the proposal because I think that it is a very good idea to extend the of pupils in the school to in able children to have the chance to have the education that they need

I think the kid's have had too much disruption as it is dealing with covid the move & now you want them to move again. The move was meant to be a new start for post16 they we're consulted how they wanted there area to be & developers listened. Now they will be moved to a site with a primary school right next to it. I think it's great that the school want to expand there intake of kid's but at the cost of post16 being moved off site I'm not in favour unfortunately. Surely this will effect there lessons as teachers are teaching different lessons so all teachers will need to be on a rota to be on both sites throughout the day. Sorry it's a no from me as it's unfair on the kid's they've just settled in & i think it's unfair on the teachers too.

Keep the school number of pupils low as possible.

Another transition, is not beneficial to pupils who are Autistic.

The levels of pollution in this area, has and continues to rise due to lack of mitigation in the area. Since BCC have allowed industry to operate in our local amenities areas, which has brought HGV onto our residential roads causing congestion. The aculmmative impact of cement/sand dust along with the dust from excuavation lorries passing through Bromford and Tyburn for HS2. The high level of vehicles and lorries coming into this area and parking belonging to highways is concerning. Along with fumes from the local Asphalt factory that blow over community when wind is blowing.

Residents concerns in relation to pollution seem to have been ignored, yet Noise Air and light pollution has increased in our adjacent residential roads significantly and now seems on a par with Tyburn A38. The highways around this area have become unsafe for pedestrians but more so for disabled elderly and children due speed and dangerous parking.

The local Green Party candidate highlighted her concerns regarding health issues the community is experiencing, after speaking with them in local election campaign. The high levels of illnesses many now suffer due to pollution increase has and continues to be a concern. Yet no other party have addressed, yet it has been the silent killer in this area for many years. Council forums, complaints to councillors, MP, and fixmystreet app has complaints many with photos, detailing highway dangers and pollution in this area just apply postcode.

To be in favour of this proposal would support putting vulnerable children at risk , when we have a duty to protect. The local health records also support the lifespan gap between communities here. Communities less diverse with clean air and green spaces less than 2.5 miles away. Yet the life span for living here is a deduction of approx 8 years, a very unhealthy Environment for children.

It is quite clear that there is a heavy demand for places for pupils with SEN across Birmingham and also as our KS3 classes move up to KS4/5 we will need more space to accommodate this in the future.

I thin that with a separate Post-16 site, we will be able to offer a much wider variety of academic and non-academic subjects and help to better prepare pupils for adulthood and for attending college Post-19 if they so wish.

I think there is a desperate and inappropriate lack of Special school places in Birmingham and am heartily in favour of this proposal that will increase capacity (even if this increase is still no where near enough places)

The proposal will enable post 16 pupils to have a space dedicated just to them and will enable the school to provide additional spaces in the main building

There is already a huge problem with parking on ryland Road, school traffic additional, parents havjng altercations. Police involvements. Traffic wardens.

Additional staff at the school.. will need parking as the building has no parking facilities. This will cause parking issues for us , the people that live on the street. More children , Older children, and the impact on the school already there. Safe guarding issues.

Hall. There are many incidents of antisocial behaviour from members of the public, calling out, drunkenness and alcohol abuse, and drug abuse in the roads around Reservoir Road and Ryland Road. There have also been incidents of knife attacks. The School leadership are keen to build up resilience amongst the pupils so that they face situations where they may not feel comfortable and manage to cope. However I think this is unreasonable. There is also the impact on the staff (mainly young and female) who will be accompanying the pupils.

There is also the time factor of expecting 24 pupils to walk to and from each venue (1 mile). It is likely to take about half an hour, which is one hour out of their school day. I am concerned that it will affect the pupils so much that they may decide not to go to school, or the parents may decide to take their child to a different school.

It is unlikely that the pupils will be transported by mini bus (according to the school), but if they were, there is the environmental impact on use of petrol, cost of petrol and for the BCC to pay for another minibus.

I think that BCC could make the Elderly Care Centre which shares the premises at Reservoir Road with Oscott Manor school into the KS 5 facility. This would ensure that all the pupils remain on one site. it will also help security as the school would be able to close the main gate. This will have a positive impact on Safeguarding. As the Elderly care Centre is largely used as a transport hub, this will not impact this service as much as the children at Oscott Manor.

I am also concerned that the number of pupils at Oscott Manor has gone up from 116 less than eighteen months ago to 147, and then there will be 180 in September 2022. There will be very little opportunity for the pupils to make a good transition to their new school because of the sheer number of pupils involved. This will also be important for the new KS5 pupils getting to know the premises and staff at both Reservoir road and Ryland Road.

The school at Ryland Road does not have any out door space for the pupils to learn

AS long as the quality of teaching remains high for students

There is enough traffic and children in the area as it is with our driveways being blocked off all the time making us late
<p>Impact on residents and children with the additional traffic during the school day. Ryland Road is a busy residential street with limited parking at the moment. Extra cars for new staff and those used to transport the children would put a strain on the already busy road.</p> <p>In addition, the centre does not have any open outdoor provision for these children which would not in my opinion support their provision.</p>
<p>We have grave concerns about the increased traffic flow in an already very busy road outside our primary school which will pose a significant risk to our children. We understand that several minibuses will need to travel down this road several times a day, in addition to staff cars. Increased parking on this road by additional staff at the centre will further exacerbate traffic danger for our parents and children.</p>
<p>Site was previously used as a children center providing services for the local community. Site is unsuitable for post 16 pupils, limited space, no outdoor space. Opening will impact heavily on local primary school, safety and safeguarding of pupils. It is my understanding that primary school and children center have shared facilities and therefore opening of post 16 school will impact negatively on primary school children wellbeing and safety. Additionally it will increase traffic on Ryland road during peak times.</p>
<p>There is insufficient parking on Ryland Road. This will impact local residents and also Erdington Hall staff.</p> <p>The additional congestion and fumes would also lead to potential health and safety issues for the pupils attending the school.</p> <p>The pupils attending the proposed provision will need an outdoor space. This is not available on the site.</p>
<p>I worry regarding the safeguarding of all pupils of this proposal was to go ahead, there isn't much space on the property provided, where would these children with needs stretch their legs, take a walk, have some outside time, where would they eat lunch?</p> <p>Secondly, Ryland road is an already busy place in the am, with the parents from Erdington Hall, how will this be managed with the prospect of transport buses for these children, plus the parking for the staffing that would be required for the placement to run successfully and safely.</p>
<p>I do not believe that this is a suitable site for this particular educational setting. Not only will it impact on our children attending Erdington Hall Primary School, it will also impact on the local residents. Parking is already an issue on Ryland Rd and this will be impacted further more with the dropping off and dismissal of the learners and also staff parking. The building is on Erdington Hall primary site and will mean that there will be contact between both sets of learners, this is not acceptable. I do believe that this is in the best interest of not only my own child, but also that of the children attending Erdington Hall Primary.</p>

Although I am in favour of this proposal in principle, it has not been appropriately communicated to the community around the new site. As such, it should be postponed/cancelled until the community has been involved and provision put in place to protect the existing school on the same site. We only found out about this development incidentally and not through any direct communication from the council. We are also of the understanding that building work is taking place on the new site despite the consultation not closing until April 7th - this would suggest that it is already a 'done deal' and this consultation is just a front, a farce if you will. Your proposal also states that there is expected to be no impacts on Oscott school, but does not mention the school that already exists at B24 8JJ or the surrounding residents. There will surely be an increase in traffic around the new site, as well as more staff. Where are they going to park and how are they going to travel to the new site, around which there is little space for parking or additional vehicles. Furthermore, your proposal completely fails to mention the primary school on the same site and any attendant safeguarding risks from placing post-16 students in such proximity to very young children and their families. The proposal only seems to consider Oscott and has no awareness of the context of the new site.

The site you are proposing is very small for the number of autistic young people that you want to use it for. There is no outside space and no parking facilities at all. These factors alone would present some safety and safeguarding concerns for the pupils at Erdington Hall where parking is already a challenge and the road layout already presents safety issues for the parents and pupils. Increasing this by busing in some children with challenging needs only serves to create more issues.

We live directly across from Erdington Hall School and we deal with the traffic already.

There is no need for another school location with further students to be situated here.

The school that is already there is a primary school so I don't understand why it is appropriate to have older children and adults at the adjacent building.

There has been absolutely no consultation with local residents and no explanation of what the disruption will be. The new students will be older and require different type of care as they are autistic etc. Does this mean more traffic?

In addition that building has no car park so where will teachers, parents and students park? Will there be further vehicles for students that require special attention?

This has clearly not been thought through properly and is being done in a manner that is not open and honest with local residents.

There is already a great deal of problems with parking and traffic with the current school being on the site without increasing the amount of parents that will be using the school in their cars
The building is not suitable for the proposed use. No out door provisions. Even the fire exits are on Erdington Hall School land so would cause safeguarding issues for the kids. Parking and congestion issues for residents of Ryland Road.
Don't want this centre to be used by older children 16+ as this will cause issues e.g. safeguarding issues as younger children are in next door building, parking issues as there is already trouble with the school parking. Better to be used for younger children as it used to be before. Toddlers stay and play sessions, younger children's library, sessions made for the community.
I am concerned that this would have a negative effect on the pupils of Erdington hall primary school and would cause safe guarding issues.
<p>The propsed changes will increase traffic in an area that already has high traffic during school hours.</p> <p>I am not opposed to the Pupils or Oscott Manor receiving education and support, but I know the proposed site well and believe that it would be a disservice to the pupils to use a site that has not been built to meet their needs and has out door provisions. Furthermore the fire exits for the proposed site come onto Erdington Hall school site which causes a safeguarding concern for the children as Oscott Manor pupils are 16+</p>
<p>The centre was for young children and then suddenly closed down. No reasonable explanation was ever offered as to the reason why. If funding was an issue, no viable alternative was ever explored with the community.</p> <p>In respect of the proposal, again, it is disappointing that no adequate notice was given of when it was intended that the centre be proposed for this purpose.</p> <p>My objections are numerous, however, at this stage I set out the following;</p> <p>No evidence has been provided to support;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Why this is a requirement for this local community;</li> <li>2. Why or how the local community would benefit from this proposal;</li> <li>3. Risk assessments setting out the benefit v impact for the local community;</li> <li>4. If any alternative venues were considered;</li> <li>5. Why this community has been chosen;</li> </ol> <p>A further consultation, setting out a clear agenda, sent to the local residents as to the purpose of that consultation, must be arranged before any approval.</p>

<p>Parking problem already without any more traffic drives being blocked constantly dangerous parking, noise, no letters sent to residents if it hadn't been for a local school teacher we wouldn't have known anything about it council already approved it at meeting on 4,4,22 which is before deadline date</p>
<p>There is already no parking available with the road being blocked completely during key times! This has been a constant battle since the childrens centre was first opened. I live directly opposite the centre and at no time have the local residents been informed of the possibility of change of use. Is that allowed that no consultation is given. It feels that this has been done very stealthily!!</p> <p>The building is small and not suitable for taking the extra pupils listed above. The school itself is always short of space and yet there is no indication of how it will affect the children aged 3 to 11 and if it will affect their education, safety and a plentiful environment.</p>
<p>It will cause overwhelming traffic concerns which is unsafe and can cause harm to our young students and elderly local residents.</p>
<p>Would have been good to have been informed.</p>
<p>Too much congestion on ryland road already and this new proposal will cause alot of disturbance. I will be looking at moving my kids out of the school.</p>
<p>I just don't feel comfortable having 16-18 year old around the school my child is going to especially because my child is young. Not trying to stop them from educating etc. Just feel it's too close</p>
<p>Think it be great to be used for additional space for children with needs, But will need look at if mini buses becoming at drop off and pick up times as there's always load cars already parked on street</p>

As the Principal of Erdington Hall Primary School, which is attached to the building that Oscott Hill have begun decorating for use from May 2022, I have deep concerns as to the suitability of sharing our immediate vicinity with up to a maximum of 36 students and 11 members of staff. There is no parking for the 11 members of staff on site.

In the first instance, our school had submitted a proposal in November 2021 to take over the running of the building to provide a range of support to the children and families in our community. This proposal was after a meeting with BCC who gave us guidance to follow, however when we submitted our proposal, it was not even acknowledged.

Despite emails asking for feedback, we only heard on 9th March that work would be commencing and Oscott Hill were running a project with a view to opening an additional site for their use in September 2022.

There has been no site assessment to date and I believe this will not be shared before the period of consultation ends.

There is little or no parking on Ryland Road at the moment and having up to 36 extra 16- 18 year old students bused in at the same time of day as our 370 primary aged pupils arrive and depart will cause severe congestion to what is already an extremely busy road at these times. A traffic survey needs to be carried out immediately to assess the risks to our pupils and their families.

I understand that none of our families who are residents of Ryland Road have been informed of the proposal. I am also concerned that there may be safeguarding risks to primary age children. I have had many of our parents show concern about the location of Oscott Hill next to our school and disappointment that the building was not considered as an option to provide extended services for our community.

I would like to see the site assessments and traffic risk assessments before any decision is made.

Concerning the children center building on Ryland rd (attached to Erd Hall Primary School)

The school put in a proposal to use the building but the council have given the money and space to Oscott Manor without proper consultation with local residents, the school or parents.

The building is not suitable for the proposed use for 16-19 year olds, No out door provisions. Even the fire exits are on EHS land so would cause safeguarding issues for the children, Foot traffic, traffic On the road and parking issues for the residents on both Ryland & Bracebridge Road.

Key things to mention are that we are not against the rights of the Oscott children getting an education -it's down to the council to ensure there is adequate provision for them. But knowing the building, we know this isn't purpose built for them and will not benefit like a building with additional outdoor facility and parking would.

The safeguarding issues for primary school as the children center building backs on to school car park, access to play ground and canteen...plus fire exists are all on Erd Hall Primary School.

And very importantly the council have been underhanded about this and that they have ignored the schools request and done this without consulting the local community.

The deadline for objections to this proposal are for this Thursday... seriously how can this agenda be so fast tracked without consultation.

Parking issues on our street. No playground facilities for the proposed children? Where will they have time to burn off their energy ? Safe guarding issues with the primary school right next door. Their staff and children will be vulnerable. The site should be given back to the school..which would cause no extra burden on the residents as they have parking.

Traffic on Ryland Road and parking is already difficult thus will make it even worse

We can confirm sufficiency for special school places is lacking currently and with this school being a county neighbour and increasing spaces for children, we would welcome the proposal. They also have a Good Ofsted inspection which is a good sign they provide a quality service to the young people they serve, and therefore this increase would open potential opportunities for Worcestershire to commission places.

Roland road is not a suitable place for this center. You have primary school children which will be exposed to serve anti social behaviour which will have a knock on effect on their behaviour.



Parking is a real problem in Ryland and I have to play days round school drop off and pick up times. As things are now , getting on and out of road at these times is impossible due to traffic from parents. As a single pensioner living on my own, parking nearby is very important to me. Ryland Road cannot cope with any more traffic and parking at these times.

Perhaps you plan to open up the bracebridge road entrance again to ease the burden on Ryland Road and provide suitable parking provision on site for additional staff and parent drop off/pick ups.

Had this not been published on an election leaflet delivered to me on 5/4/2022 I would have known nothing about this proposal and question what consultation has been offered to affected local residents regarding this application bearing in mind the end date for responses is only 7/4/2022. When exactly did the LEA plan to inform local residents about this application for change of use

I do not like this proposal because our children are quite young. Their safeguarding is most important and I feel that they should not be mixed with older kids. The kids from Erdington Hall may not engage well with the other students which will cause more problems and unnecessary trouble.

I feel like having another school right next to Erdington Hall Primary School will cause more problems during drop off and pick up. Parking will be a real struggle. The more people we will have, the more unsafe the environment can be for children.

In my opinion, this decision should be reconsidered. I don't think this is a right decision, as it can have an impact on the kids.

Considering we are a primary setting and this proposal would allow 16-18-year olds, with severe needs, onto our premises, it is the safety of our children that is at risk. Furthermore, with such a narrow and busy road, I cannot see the drop offs and pick ups working with additional buses and cars having to enter the road. It is not safe and the matter has not been handled well as so many people whose interests are at heart have not been informed properly and timely.

Safety of the children in primary school. Too much traffic already.  
Safeguarding as our children will be around young adults and vulnerability.

Feels that the property's are too close and will clash. School could use that building for parent partnerships workshops training, stay and plays, family support team, nurses lending library. We need this as it was a pillar of our community.

The age group for current school will increase to 11+

Mixing young children with older pupils will put the younger children in danger of bullying

The potential for vandalism will increase

Traffic will increase on a narrow and congested road (Ryland road)

Will cause additional parking problems for the residents

Drug dealers currently living opposite the school will have access to unaccompanied children.

Traffic in Ryland road will increase with congestion during pickup and drop off times. currently there are numerous road rage incidents every week on Ryland Road the main pickup and drop-off focal point

I live on Bracebridge road which Erdington hall school is placed on. Moved here eight years ago my children attend this school. Since moving here both children have developed health issues due to the lack of management and mitigation for Air/Noise pollution even thou the area is within a AQMA. I have HGV regularly using this road to preform three point turns bearing in mind its a school road. My children do not go to local shops because it is now used by business which have brought additional pollutants into this area. Cement sand aggregates dust along with fumes have failed to be addressed hence the high level of child and elderly health issues within the area. My question would be to parents and i understand the need for these school places. Would you feel happy to expose your children to this silent killer which has long term health implications as we are seeing now with the generation of who grew up in area. Education is needed but not at the expense of the health of children. The highway especially Wheelwright road is another danger due to lack of management speeding illegal parking HGV thundering along a residential road at 40mph.

I feel that this people is not fit for purpose of 32 + 11 adults. This building is a one storey building and at the moment comprising of a large room to the back of the building and a small room to the front. The kitchen area is open area and the emergency exits lead on the grounds of the primary school. Having children dropped and picked up at the front of the school will create further traffic. I have to drive to school as I pick my children from three different area of Birmingham therefore going by foot is not possible and I've seen what the traffic situation gets outside the school. They don't have a designated parking area for safety of the children arriving as-well as children walking to school passing the building.

I understand and accept that there isn't enough SEND provision in the area and it will release the pressure on schools and colleges but feel a 16plus provisions would be suited against a secondary school or college which sees the transition of these children from mainstream to SEND or the other way round.

No consultation was done with the residents of this area or the parents of the school next door. We were not given any information of this proposal until we saw work happening at the building and started asking questions as we would like something there for the community. A stay and play, workshops and classes for parents for new residents lack of English and education, we have many refugees who have been lived in the area some help for them, mother and child sessions and baby clinics. Meeting area for children in the area who are under care, maybe a fostering network as we have such an adverse community . Something that will help the parents of children connected with the school which will help with the transition between pre schools and school. Hopefully the school will extend its provision knowing they will have more children will come to school as it can.

This building will be more suited for the community in many ways.

We were upset to see that all the consultation process was under held and kept from the community and we need to be heard.

<p>Already congested road in morning and after school. Centre being full of pupils will make traffic worse</p> <p>Also think as there will be older children/adults at the centre this should not be allowed next to primary school which has much younger children,</p> <p>The risk to younger kids in such close proximity is high. How will children be managed when they go out of the building?</p> <p>Managed prior to entering managed while in the building which is right next door to the centre.</p>
<p>I have been told that there will be safeguarding issues as the children centre is linked to erdington school playground and the pupils will be able to access the schools grounds.</p>
<p>The proposed site is on and within school grounds of another school, housing children from the age of three.</p> <p>There are currently several issues throughout the day with parking. Where is the space for two mini buses? We don't have space in our school car park and the allocated parking space for the new site is tiny.</p> <p>The building overlooks our school site and the new pupils are essentially adults with unknown levels of needs. This could cause anxiety in our young pupils as the windows look over into our dinner canteen.</p> <p>The new building will need an outdoor space - where?</p> <p>None of the issues have been thought about and the whole thing is ill conceived.</p>
<p>As this is on the same site as the school grounds, I feel concerned for the safety of the pupils at Erdington Hall. Having older students on the same grounds will also cause disruption for residents. I also feel that there are many safeguarding concerns for the children surrounding this proposal.</p>
<p>I work in the office at Erdington Hall Primary School. I have concerns regarding safeguarding issues with our primary school age pupils and post 16 provision being on the same site. We already have lots of parking issues during the day with limited parking on the street and it gets particularly busy at the start and end of the day. Traffic will also increase making it less safe for our children and families whilst arriving and departing school.</p> <p>The children's centre has direct access to our premises with two doors that open on to our site. This presents a risk of older children gaining access to our site and our children potentially gaining access to there site. These doors cannot be locked as they are a means of fire escape.</p>
<p>This is going to cause immense issues to the community. Parking and traffic around the school is at high levels anyway nevermind with added crowds. There is also the issues of safeguarding.</p>

I believe Erdington Hall could benefit by offering this building to the community and provide services to the locals. These will include stay and play sessions, toy libraries, coffee mornings ,baby massage and adult education classes. There is a real need for these services as mental health has become a real concern after covid. Also I feel that before work started on the building the proposed changes should have been discussed.

Not in favour of mixing older children with such younger kids.

I do not agree for the centre to be opened up here as I know what the special children are capable of! They can be a hazard to the properties, primary school children, cars and streets. With the other centres I know of resident who lives next door had damage to her garden! Her dog was hurt! Has it has taken over her social time completely and confidence in going into her own back garden! Having a alternative provision is a very good idea but having it next door to schools and residents is a big No No! You are thinking about them kids yes but what about the safety of our children and people? Please find somewhere else for this centre as this is not the right place for children with needs. Thank you very much

The current building is on the school grounds and as such makes the children in our school vulnerable. Children who have need of a specialist provision will be in extremely close proximity of children under 10.

The traffic in Ryland Road is already dangerous before and after school and it would be under more pressure including transport minibuses etc. This would add more difficulty to our children getting to school.

I feel this is far too close to a primary school, and the age range is inappropriate, I can't see it working properly! The pupils will need a break outside and therefore will have to use Erdington hall primary, how would lunchtimes work when our children will be on lunch too, I think this is a massive safe guarding issue! I think St chads would be a better space for the children as that has a massive kitchen and access to outdoor space!

Building is attached to the premises of Erdington Hall Primary School... safeguarding issues as the building will be used for post 16. B"ham city council has not contacted any parent or resident in the area, so no consultation has taken place

Are you in favour of the proposal? - Analyst notes

opinion - need places in Birmingham

opinion - increase is good idea

opinion - in crease is need/good

concern - moving/disruption to pupils (again)

concern - current site purpose built/designed with pupils

concern - how will this effect teachers - teaching different lessons

concern - moving/disruption to pupils (again)

opinion - keep pupils number as low as possible

concern - air pollution in the area is bad

concern - traffic safety for pedestrians is bad

<p>opinion - need more SEN places in Birmingham</p> <p>opinion - separate P16 site will expand education offer</p> <p>opinion - help prepare P16 pupils for adulthood</p>
<p>opinion - need SEN places in Birmingham</p> <p>opinion - good to increase places</p>
<p>opinion - positive for post 16 (have space dedicated)</p> <p>positive - main site provide more places.</p>
<p>concern - traffic</p> <p>concern - parking</p> <p>concern - impact on Erdington Hall Primary.</p>
<p>concern - post 16 pupils safety (between sites)</p> <p>concern - time spent travelling between sites.</p> <p>concern - school staff safety (between sites)</p> <p>concern - additional travel costs between sites</p> <p>opinion/suggestion - BCC convert Elwood Centre to school post16.</p> <p>Concern/opinion - pupil numbers too high / impact on transition</p> <p>concern - no outdoor space at Post16 annex (no gym either).</p>
<p>concern/opinion - quality of education must remain high</p>

<p>concern - traffic</p> <p>concern - parking</p>
<p>note: type - staff member [erdington hall school - not Oscott Manor]</p> <p>Concern - traffic</p> <p>concern - parking</p> <p>concern - no outdoor space</p>
<p>concern - traffic</p> <p>concern - parking</p>
<p>opinion - site unsuitable for post16</p> <p>opinion - negative safety &amp; safeguarding neighbouring pupils (opinion - primary school and post16 shared facilities (?))</p> <p>concern - traffic</p>
<p>note: staff member is Erdington Hall (not Oscott Manor)</p> <p>concern - parking</p> <p>concern - traffic (pollution)</p> <p>concern - outdoor space</p>
<p>note: staff member is Erdington Hall - not Oscott Manor.</p> <p>concern - outdoor space</p> <p>concern - traffic</p> <p>concern - parking</p>
<p>note: parent is also staff member at Erdington Hall</p> <p>opinion - not suitable for post16</p> <p>opinion - negative impact on primary children and residents (undefined)</p> <p>concern - parking</p> <p>concern - safety / safeguarding (interaction between different age ranges of pupils).</p>

concern - consultation process (local community)  
concern - process (presumptuous - ref building works)  
concern - impacts on other schools (Erdington hall)  
concern - traffic  
concern - parking  
opinion / concern - safety safeguarding (primary pupils)

note : presume staff member is erdington hall (not oscott manor)  
concern parking  
concern safety safeguarding (primary pupils)  
concern - outdoor space  
concern - traffic

note: not identified as a Birmingham Councillor  
concern - traffic  
opinion - school not needed (?)  
concern - pupils mixing (primary pupils)  
concern / opinion - process - consultation with community (lack transparency)  
concern - parking



<p>concern - parking</p> <p>concern traffic</p>
<p>concern - outdoor space</p> <p>concern - safety safeguarding (primary pupils)</p> <p>concern - parking</p> <p>opinion - building not suitable post16</p>
<p>concern / opinion - safety safeguarding primary pupils</p> <p>concern parking</p> <p>opinion / suggestion - building used for EYCC</p>
<p>concern - safety safeguarding primary pupils</p> <p>erdington hall</p>
<p>concern - traffic</p> <p>concern - parking</p> <p>opinion - building unsuitable post16 / SEND</p> <p>concern - safety safeguarding primary pupils</p>
<p>concern - previous EYCC/community use discontinued</p> <p>question - why locate / need post16 in this locality?</p> <p>question - what benefits to local community?</p> <p>question - risk / options appraisal ref local community?</p> <p>question - other sites considered?</p> <p>question - why this community chosen?</p> <p>concern - process - consult community</p>

concern parking concern process - local community consultation concern process - presumptuous "council approved 4.4.22"(?)
concern parking concern - process community consultation opinion building unsuitable post16 concern - safety safeguarding primary pupils
concern - traffic
opinion - consult local community [directly]
note: likely parent of pupils at Erdington Hall Primary concern - traffic
note: parent of erdington hall primary concern - safety / safeguarding of primary pupils
opinion - good for SEN provision. concern - transport concern - parking

Staff (principal)Erdington hall:

concern - parking

concern - traffic

concern - safety / safeguarding primary pupils

concern - Oscott manor already working on the building.

suggestion (complaint) - Erdington hall proposal to use the site

concern - process - communication with erdington hall staff and  
parents and the local residents

concern - process - communication with Erdington hall staff / parents and the local residents  
suggestion - Erdington hall proposal  
opinion - building not suitable for SEN / post16  
concern - parking  
concern - outdoor space  
concern - safety safeguarding primary pupils

concern - parking  
concern - outdoor space  
concern - safety safeguarding primary pupils  
suggestion / opinion - support erdington hall proposal

concern - traffic  
concern - parking

opinion - positive - need SEN places  
positive - Oscott Manor has good ofsted  
positive - additional places may help other LA's (neighbouring LA's)

concern - safety safeguarding primary pupils  
concern - antisocial behaviour [o foscott manor pupils?]  
opinion - not suitable for SEN / post16

concern - parking  
concern - traffic  
suggestion - solution for parking / entrance to school(s) (bracebridge road)  
concern - process - communication / consultation with local residents  
(note misunderstanding "application for change of use" )

note: erdington hall parent  
concern - safety safeguarding primary pupils  
concern - traffic  
concern - parking

note: staff erdington hall  
concern - safety safeguarding primary pupils  
concern - traffic  
concern - process communication

concern - safety safeguarding primary pupils  
suggestion - support erdington hall proposal

concern - safety safeguarding primary pupils (misunderstanding "mixing")  
concern - vandalism antisocial behaviour [of oscott manor pupils?]  
concern - traffic  
concern - parking  
concern - drug dealers near children/young adults [antisocial behaviour of others?]

concern - traffic (current issue "road rage" [erdington hall parents])

concern - pollution / environmental issues [+existing concern ref erdington hall]

concern - traffic [+existing concern ref erdington hall]

note erdington hall parent

opinion - building not suitable for SEN / Post16

concern - traffic

concern - parking

opinion / suggestion - use / create on a secondary school site [not primary]

concern - process - oscott manor doing works

concern - communicaiton / consultation with erdington hall staff and parents and the community

suggestion / opinion - support erdington hall proposal

<p>note: local resident</p> <p>concern - traffic</p> <p>concern - safety safeguarding primary pupils</p>
<p>note: erdington hall parent</p> <p>concern - safety safeguarding primary pupils</p>
<p>note: erdington hall staff</p> <p>concern - parking</p> <p>concern - outdoor space</p> <p>concern - safety safeguarding primary pupils (anxiety)</p>
<p>note: erdington hall staff</p> <p>concern - safety safeguarding primary pupils</p> <p>concern - antisocial behaviour /disruption [caused by oscott manor pupils?]</p>
<p>note: erdington hall staff</p> <p>concern - safety safeguarding primary pupils</p> <p>concern - parking</p> <p>concern - traffic</p>
<p>note erdington hall staff</p> <p>concern - impact on community [?]</p> <p>concern parking</p> <p>concern traffic</p> <p>concern safety safeguarding [primary pupils]</p>

<p>suggestion opinion - support erdington hall proposal</p> <p>concern - process oscott manor started works</p>
<p>note [likely] erdington hall parent</p> <p>concern - safety safeguarding primary pupils</p>
<p>note erdington hall parent / local resident</p> <p>concern - antisocial behaviour by oscott manor pupils</p> <p>opinion - SEN pupils have hazardous behaviour</p> <p>concern / opinion - site not suitable for SEN / post16</p> <p>concern - safety safeguarding primary pupils</p>
<p>note: erdington hall staff</p> <p>concern / opinion - safety safeguarding primary pupils</p> <p>concern - traffic transport</p>
<p>note: likely erdington hall parent</p> <p>concern - outdoor space</p> <p>opinion - not appropriate site for SEN / post16</p> <p>suggestion - alternative site = St Chad's</p> <p>concern - safety safeguarding primary pupils</p>
<p>note likley erdington hall parent</p> <p>concern safety safeguarding primary pupils</p> <p>concern - process communication consultation with erdington hall parents and the local residents community</p>



Last Modified Date	Response ID
2022-03-21 14:36:36	ANON-HS75-315Z-T
2022-03-21 14:37:09	ANON-HS75-315U-N
2022-03-21 14:41:58	ANON-HS75-315T-M
2022-03-21 14:42:25	ANON-HS75-315C-3
2022-03-21 14:45:59	ANON-HS75-3157-Q

2022-03-21 14:47:58	ANON-HS75-3154-M
2022-03-21 14:48:30	ANON-HS75-3155-N
2022-04-11 12:28:11	ANON-HS75-315G-7
2022-04-11 12:29:55	ANON-HS75-315B-2
2022-04-11 12:36:05	ANON-HS75-315A-1
2022-04-11 12:36:36	ANON-HS75-3153-K

2022-04-11 12:37:55	ANON-HS75-315F-6
2022-04-11 12:40:25	ANON-HS75-3151-H
2022-04-11 12:47:29	ANON-HS75-315P-G
2022-04-11 12:49:57	ANON-HS75-3156-P
2022-04-11 12:51:04	ANON-HS75-3159-S
2022-04-11 12:53:27	ANON-HS75-315V-P
2022-04-11 12:56:45	ANON-HS75-3152-J

2022-04-11 13:01:06	ANON-HS75-315H-8
2022-04-11 13:03:17	ANON-HS75-31BN-U
2022-04-11 13:10:26	ANON-HS75-31BU-2

2022-04-11 13:10:48	ANON-HS75-31BX-5
2022-04-11 13:13:26	ANON-HS75-31BS-Z
2022-04-11 13:14:46	ANON-HS75-31B7-4
2022-04-11 13:16:01	ANON-HS75-31BJ-Q
2022-04-11 13:19:59	ANON-HS75-31BY-6
2022-04-11 13:23:56	ANON-HS75-31BG-M

2022-04-11 13:27:40	ANON-HS75-31BR-Y
2022-04-11 13:31:13	ANON-HS75-31BE-J
2022-04-13 16:53:02	ANON-HS75-31BA-E
2022-04-13 16:59:57	ANON-HS75-31BF-K
2022-04-13 17:02:25	ANON-HS75-31B1-X
2022-04-13 17:03:40	ANON-HS75-31BD-H
2022-04-13 17:06:54	ANON-HS75-31BP-W

2022-04-13 17:17:16	ANON-HS75-31B6-3
---------------------	------------------

2022-04-13 17:20:26	ANON-HS75-31BV-3
2022-04-13 17:21:40	ANON-HS75-31BH-N
2022-04-13 17:22:22	ANON-HS75-31YN-J
2022-04-13 17:24:22	ANON-HS75-31YZ-X
2022-04-13 17:26:36	ANON-HS75-31Y8-V



2022-04-13 17:29:51	ANON-HS75-31YC-7
2022-04-13 17:30:52	ANON-HS75-31YM-H
2022-04-13 17:32:05	ANON-HS75-31Y7-U
2022-04-13 17:32:53	ANON-HS75-31Y4-R
2022-04-13 17:34:45	ANON-HS75-31YJ-E

2022-04-13 17:35:43	ANON-HS75-31Y5-S
2022-04-13 17:38:09	ANON-HS75-31YG-B
2022-04-13 17:42:06	ANON-HS75-31YW-U

2022-04-13 17:43:24	ANON-HS75-31YR-P
2022-04-13 17:43:58	ANON-HS75-31YB-6
2022-04-13 17:47:00	ANON-HS75-31Y3-Q
2022-04-13 17:48:10	ANON-HS75-31YF-A
2022-04-13 17:53:51	ANON-HS75-31Y1-N
2022-04-13 17:54:34	ANON-HS75-31YP-M

2022-04-13 17:55:18	ANON-HS75-31YD-8
2022-04-13 17:55:50	ANON-HS75-31Y9-W
2022-04-13 17:58:21	ANON-HS75-31Y6-T
2022-04-13 17:59:11	ANON-HS75-31YV-T
2022-04-13 18:00:34	ANON-HS75-31Y2-P
2022-04-13 18:01:37	ANON-HS75-31YH-C

Comment themes: (counted per mention from total of 60 written comments)	count
Concern – impact/safeguarding Erdington Hall Primary (neighbouring)	31
Concern - Traffic & Parking	29
Concern / Opinion – site / building not suitable for Post16 education	12
Concern – no outdoor space on site for Post16 pupils	10
Concern – consultation process – lack of engagement with local community	10
Suggestion – alternative – transfer/use building as part of Erdington Hall Primary School / Early Years & Childcare	8
Opinion – pupil places are needed / increase is needed	7
Concern / opinion – Post16/SEN pupils’ – antisocial behaviour - negative impact on local community	6
Concern – integrity of consultation process / transparency / “a done-deal”	6
Concern – consultation process – lack of engagement with Erdington Hall Primary (staff/parents)	5
Concern – change & disruption for Post16 pupils	3
Concern / Opinion – keep pupil numbers lower / don’t increase Oscott Manor / don’t need places [in that area]	3
Suggestion – alternative location for Post16 provision – create at St Chads/other secondary	3
Concern – safeguarding Post16 pupils of Oscott Manor (locally/between sites)	2
Concern – air pollution locally	2
Opinion –positive for Post16 pupils’ development	2
Concern – impact on teachers / teaching lessons	1
Concern – time and cost for pupils and staff between sites	1
Concern – safety of staff between sites	1
Suggestion – transform Elwood Centre to be Oscott Manor Post16	1
Concern – quality of education across split sites	1
Positive – Oscott Manor has good Ofsted judgment	1
Opinion – increase is positive for neighbouring Local Authorities	1
Suggestion – parking – use Bracebridge Road entrance to site/Erdington Hall Primary	1