Rec No	Recommendatio	n	Proposed Actions	Due Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
20/01	Financial Sustainability					
	Residual Risk	Low				
	Impact	Medium		1	1	
	The Council's fore significant indicati funding gaps in the (approximately £1 after the 2020/21 Although the Councidentified actions funding gap in the work in this area is continue as counce country adjust to to fithe Covid-19 paragraph of the Council need plan for future year proactively identifications pressures and as they arise.	ve provisional le coming years 00m per year year). ncil has to close the 2020/21 year, s likely to cils across the che true impacts andemic. n to continue to ars and y and mitigate	The Council has already taken unprecedented action by undertaking a comprehensive mid-year assessment of its Medium-Term Financial Plan which has been formally reported to Cabinet in November 2020 as well as being scrutinised by O&S Resources and this has been the baseline for developing budget proposals for both 21/22 and 22/23. The work on the underlying base budget is ongoing with DMT challenge processes which have taken place over January to test all assumptions, pressures and deliverability of savings using the mid year update of the MTFP. Further to this Star Chambers have and are taking place on both a service and issues basis to ensure completeness and robustness of estimates. The overall approach to balancing the medium-term budget is through the implementation of the Delivery Plan which has been approved by Cabinet alongside the refresh of the Medium-	February 2021 and ongoing	Interim Chief Finance Officer	March 2021 The comprehensive mid-year assessment of the Medium-Term Financial Plan was formally reported to Cabinet in November 2020 and scrutinised by O&S Resources. This provided the baseline for developing the final budget proposals for both 2021/22 and 2022/23 Following the mid-year assessment, DMT budget challenge sessions were held through to December 2020 in addition to the Star Chamber meetings held throughout the year. This provided assurance on the robustness of the budget baseline. The outcome of this comprehensive process and the Local Government Financial Settlement in December 2020 was a Financial Plan 2021 – 2025

Rec No	Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Due Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
		Term Financial Plan in November 2020.			agreed by the City Council on
		The Delivery Plan is leading the budget			23 February 2021.
		strategy and strategic resource			
		allocation over the period of the			The financial plan set out a
		Medium-Term Financial Plan.			balanced budget for 2021/22,
					balanced with no use of
		Proposals will be put forward to			reserves to achieve this and
		balance the budget for 2021/22 and an			which included a contribution
		indicative position presented to balance			to the Financial Resilience
		2022/23 based around the Outline			Reserve increasing its balance
		Business Cases work underpinning he			to £114.5m to improve the
		Delivery Plan.			Council's financial resilience.
		It should be recognised that medium			The budget outlook for
		and long-term financial planning is			2022/23 has an expectation
		extremely difficult in the context of the			that the Delivery Plan process
		1-year finance settlement from			will produce £38.5m of
		Government, the uncertainty of the			proposals.
		pandemic and Brexit's impact on			
		Birmingham.			In the context of only a single
					year financial settlement from
		To reflect this, the Council increased its			the Government, the Financial
		General Fund Reserve to 4.5% of its			Plan does indicate a funding
		net budget and will not need to draw on			gap in 2023/24 of £76m rising
		these reserves to balance the budget.			to £80m by 2024/25.
		Further to this, the Finance Resilience			
		Reserve remains intact at £84.9m (as			June 2021
		at 31 March 2020).			The Council's financial outturn
		Additionally, £60m has been identified			for 2020/21 which is being
		from other reserves that is available to			reported to Cabinet in June,
		be used to invest to ensure that the			will show the Council
		Final Business Cases which will be			weathered 2020/21 well and
		complete by 31 March 2020in the			has further strengthened its

Rec No	Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Due Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
		Delivery Plan are fully funded. The Final Business Cases are required to balance the budget in 2022/23.			financial resilience and provided further budget flexibility.
		To date the Covid tranche 4 grant from 2020/21 and the recently announced Covid tranche 5 grant for 2021/22 have been set aside in a specific Covid Reserve to mitigate cost pressures and financial risks relevant to Covid. They provide a significant level of additional financial resilience.			Enhanced Delivery Plan business cases are expected in late June which are intended to address the proposals needed for 2022/23. There remains a structural deficit, forecast to be £80m by 2024/25 that will need addressing and uncertainty around future government funding. A refresh of the Medium Term Financial Plan will be undertaken in the summer as part of the rolling budget process.
20/02	Independent Review of the Council's Waste Service				
	Residual Risk Low Low Low			•	,
	The Non-Executive Adviser for Waste Management and Industrial Relations stated in July 2020 "The Council has not yet concluded its review of waste collection services and the independent review is	There has been significant progress following Wood's initial review of the service. Performance has improved and there is a good working relationship with the Trade Unions.	September 2021	Director of Neighbourhoods (Acting)	March 2021 Work continues to improve performance. Phase 2 modelling is restricted until the Government release

Rec No	Recommendation	1	Proposed Actions	Due Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
	long overdue." Although progress by the Council dur there still some sig decisions which st made in the near f provision of the ware recommend the continues to work. Wood to ensure the independent recompleted as soon practically possible that it can maintain consistent relation union partners reg future changes to service delivery metals.	ing 2019/20, prificant ill need to be uture about the aste service. at the Council closely with at Phase 2 of eview is a as is a and ensures a effective and is with its trade ardless of any the waste	There are regular meetings chaired by the Cabinet Member to discuss the future of the service. Modelling work has taken place but we are waiting to see what the implications of the Government's review are, especially on food waste, before we can finalise recommendations for the City Regular meetings will be held with the Cabinet Member to discuss progress and the future of the service. Progress on phase 2 of the report has been more difficult as it links to the Government's plans to standardise items collected across England. Finalise the final delivery model taking onboard any changes in requirements.		Officer	their view on food waste, anticipated Summer 2021. The introduction of food waste has a critical effect on future collection models. June 2021 Consultation on the Government's Waste to Resources paper has restarted. This is looking at consistency of collections and different recycling options such as glass and food. The outcome of the consultation and subsequent legislation will inform future collection programmes. We are proposing to use this data to inform future collection models
20/03	Long term Highw Solution	ays PFI				
	Residual Risk	Medium				1
	Impact	Medium				
	The scale of the at in the long term Pf may lead to delays revised arrangement the Council and Bl	FI arrangements in agreeing ents between	The Council is fully cognisant of this risk and already places a high priority on putting in a place a long-term replacement subcontract that will	Ongoing	Assistant Director – Highways & Infrastructure	March 2021 The Council continues to work towards a value for money long-term solution.

Rec No	Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Due Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
NO	therefore may lead to delays in BHL securing a new, permanent subcontractor. There is a risk that the current arrangements are not delivering the best possible value for money, and that these arrangements may need extending beyond the term of the current agreement. Recommendation The Council should work towards completing negotiations with BHL as a matter of priority, in order to ensure that a new, permanent solution can be put in place as soon as reasonably possible to achieve best value for money.	deliver the best outcomes for the city within the available resources. Solutions will continue to be explored that provide the opportunity to ensure the best possible value in delivering these services in the long term. Structural changes as well as financing options are subject to extensive assessment between BCC and BHL supported by the DfT expert, consultants and lawyers. These negotiations are progressing positively.		OTTICER	The Council has reviewed the position on the optimum approach to delivering investment with the available funding in conjunction with BHL. This remains subject to reaching commercial agreement on the way forward, the parameters for which were reported to Cabinet on 16 March 2021. Any agreement reached will need to be reported to a later Cabinet. An assurance process is in place for the provision of services in the interim to seek to ensure that they deliver the best value for money in the present circumstances. June 2021 The Council's business case was submitted to Department for Transport (DfT) on 1 March 2021 based on optimising the approach to delivering investment in the network. A response from DfT is awaited.

Rec No	Recommendation	n	Proposed Actions	Due Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
						Negotiation on the long-term approach with other stakeholders continues within commercial parameters approved by Cabinet on 16 March 2021. On 8 June 2021 Cabinet approved the first stage in enabling these negotiations to be completed, extending the Restructuring Period to 3 December 2021.
20/04	Interim Highways	s PFI				
20,0.	subcontracting a					
	Residual Risk	Medium		1	1	-
	Impact	Medium				
	The interim subco completed on a 'correimbursable' basis the subcontractor relation to the conrisk sits with BHL. agreeing its forecapayments with the Council has agree BHL remains solve reasonable operated during the interim Recommendation We recommend the ensures proactive	is, meaning that takes little risk in tract, and the Subject to BHL ast cashflow and Council, the ed to ensure that ent by paying its cional costs period.	This recommendation is accepted and acknowledged. A 'cost reimbursable' basis was not the Council's preferred option but has ensured continuity of service and a smooth transition from the previous subcontractor. These were critical elements in enabling this service to move forward. The Council is in the process of putting in place arrangements to support the management of the subcontract through this interim period to provide a satisfactory level of assurance	Ongoing	Assistant Director – Highways & Infrastructure	March 2021 As stated in the response in 20/03 above, the parameters to reach commercial agreement on the way forward, were reported to Cabinet on 16 March 2021 and an assurance process is in place for the provision of services in the interim. The Council continues to work to improve this as part of day to day management of the service.

Rec No	Recommendation	1	Proposed Actions	Due Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
	between BHL and place, in order to refinancial risk to the created by their agensure that BHL results by paying its reason operational costs of interim period.	nitigate the e council greement to emains solvent onable			Omeci	This matter has also been covered by the Council's Internal Audit Team and management are taking forward the measures discussed accordingly. June 2021 The position continues to be as reported in March 2021, except that the additional resource to support assurance is in place from 15 June. There will be a necessary period of developing new staff into their roles, but the additional capacity will enable closer management of the assurance process by the Council, which is in addition to the monitoring and management undertaken by BHL.
20/05	Partner funding f	or the				
	Commonwealth (
	Residual Risk	Low				
	Impact Medium				T 2	
	Substantial work h		The Council is continuing to work to	Ongoing	Commonwealth	March 2021
	undertaken by the		secure further contributions, whether		Games	GBS LEP Contribution of
	secure the require		from existing planned Partners or other		Programme	£20m now received in full, in
	contributions of £7		funding bodies.		Director	advance of deadline of 31
	£50.0m secured to	date.				March 2021 for this funding

Rec No	Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Due Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
	The Council is continuing to work with various potential games partners to ensure that the remaining £25.0m of required partner contributions is secured, but this is not currently in place.	Engagement is being undertaken with Government and other Games partners to agree optimal and deliverable funding solutions. £19m of secured contributions now received by the Council.			source. It is intended that this will be supplemented by drawdowns of other Partner contributions to match levels of eligible actual expenditure incurred by 31 March 2021.
	Recommendation The Council should take further action to address the current shortfall of £25.0m in partner funding due to fund the capital expenditure budget in the second half of 2021/22 and 2022/23, if it is going to fully mitigate the financial impact of the Games.	Discussion paper presented to CWG Programme Board on 14 January to agree approach to ensure that the remaining £25m of funding is secured.			The remaining substantial risk relating to the current shortfall of £25m is explicitly referenced in the Financial Plan 2021-24 (approved at the Council Meeting on 23 February 2021) June 2021 Despite extensive dialogue with potential funding partners, it is considered increasingly unlikely that it will be possible to secure any further contributions in time for the Games, and therefore whilst any opportunities to secure external funding will continue to be sought, it is being recommended that the current identified funding shortfall is resolved by a drawdown of resources from the budgeted capital contingency, which includes allowance for this cost.

Rec No	Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Due Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
					Whilst potentially this may result in the Council making an additional budgeted contribution to the cost of the Games, the economic stimulus to the city should also be considered including the regeneration to Perry Barr that is directly linked to being awarded the Games.
20/06	Pensions Data Provided to the				
	Actuary				
	Residual Risk Low/Complete				
	Impact Low/Complete				
	During our work to assess the accuracy and completeness of the information provided to the actuary, we identified that the data initially submitted for April 2019 did not agree to payroll records. There is a risk that providing incorrect information to the actuary will impact on the actuarial valuation provided for the financial statements, and lead to a misstatement of the Council's liabilities.	The reports used to provide the information are currently being reviewed to ensure that the relevant information is available in the correct format. Management review will be undertaken to ensure that the information provided is accurate.	22 February 2021	Pensions Manager and Finance Manager – Financial Accounting	March 2021 Completed. This issue has been resolved for the 2020/21 IAS19 return. The Pensions Manager has checked the calculations on the Pensionable Pay and Contributions and signed to say that the information is accurate. This information was then used by the Finance Manager - Financial Accounts Team for the 2020/21 IAS19 return to the West Midlands Pension Fund.

Rec No	Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Due Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
	Recommendation				June 2021 This action is complete.
	This was later corrected by the Council in a subsequent data submission to the actuary. We recommend that management controls in place to ensure the data issues such as this are picked up prior to submission in future.				
20/07	Incorrect Capitalisation of revenue expenditure funded I capital under statute (REFCU				
	Residual Risk Low		1	1	
	Impact Low				
	Our testing of items within Property, Plant and Equipment during 2019/20 identified items REFCUS spend that had been incorrectly included in Assets Under Construction in the draft financial statements. While we have gained assurant that this does not represent a material risk to the financial statements in for 2019/20, incorrect treatment of the	Feedback will be provided to the team and relevant managers in the areas where the issues have occurred and reminders given on the requirement to differentiate between spend that can be capitalised and spend that is treated as REFCUS. For the 2020/21 financial statements, meetings have been scheduled to	May 2021	Finance Manager - Capital	March 2021 The Capital Team has been briefed on REFCUS items and sample checking will take place in March and April for the 2020/21 closedown. June 2021 Sample testing of items held in Assets Under Construction (AUC) and REFCUS has taken
	Council's spend will have a kno on impact on budget monitoring activity if it is inaccurate.	understand the impact of the recommendation and determine actions to review the activity within the current year.			place during and post closedown 2020/21. Working papers have been produced and evidence gathered for those items

Rec No Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Due Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
Recommendation Management should ensure that processes are in place to differentiate between spend that can be capitalised and spend that is being treated as REFCUS.	For 2021/22 financial year an internal monitoring framework will be established to assist in sample checking. It will be the responsibility of the Finance Manager to implement procedure with regular monthly sample checking throughout the financial year. Proposed timeframe as follows; February 2021 Team briefing on the need to ensure clear differentiation between spend that can be capitalised and spend that is treated as REFCUS. March 2021 Establish an internal monitoring framework to sample check items settled to Assets Under Construction (AUC) and REFCUS. May 2021 Implement internal monitoring framework and commence sample checking of items settled to AUC and REFCUS on a monthly basis.			classed as AUC ensuring that no REFCUS has been classified as AUC. For the 2021/22 financial year ongoing sample testing will take place to ensure continued compliance. This process will be embedded as business as usual for future financial years.

Rec No	Recommendation	n	Proposed Actions	Due Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
20/08	Incorrect capitalisation of revenue spend by schools					
	Residual Risk	Low				
	Impact	Low				
	Our testing of item Property, Plant and during 2019/20 ide revenue spend that incorrectly capitalis in the draft financia. While we have gait that this does not material risk to the statements in for 2 incorrect treatment. Council's spend won impact on budg activity if it is inacconstruction. Recommendation Management should processes are in put that the capital spending by schools is review accuracy before it into the Council's frecords.	d Equipment entified items of at had been sed by schools al statements. ined assurance represent a e financial 2019/20, at of the rill have a knockget monitoring curate. In all ensure that blace to ensure end submitted ewed for is incorporated	The recommendation is accepted and acknowledged. Feedback will be provided to the team and relevant managers in the areas where the issues have occurred. A review of the current process for monitoring school capitalisation will be undertaken. Proposed timeframe as follows; January 2021 Establish a meeting with finance team to discuss the background to the issues which have occurred. Meetings scheduled and preparation for the closedown of the 2020/21 accounts has started Review of current processes for monitoring school capitalisation.	31 March 2021	Finance Manager - Capital	March 2021 Schools capitalisation will be reviewed on a sample basis to check for incorrect items being capitalised. June 2021 In the lead up to and during closedown 2020/21 the schools' capitalisation process has been reviewed and sample testing was undertaken to ensure that no revenue items were capitalised. During 2021/22 there will be ongoing reviews of the schools' capitalisation process with recommendations for a consistent process and detailed workbooks being submitted by all schools. This process will be embedded as business as usual for future financial years.

Rec No	Recommendation	1	Proposed Actions	Due Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
20/09	Accete valued at	halow CEO OOO	March 2021 Feedback and training to service colleagues ahead of the closure of accounts for 2020/21.			
20/09	Assets valued at Residual Risk	Low				
	Impact	Low				
	The Council's policy revaluation of Prop Equipment states to valued at less than included in the final statements at £nilly. There is a risk that these assets could understating the Council Balance Sheet. Recommendation Management should level record of asset de minimis has been that an assessment as to whether there material misstatem balance in future years.	cy for the perty, Plant and that all assets a £50,000 will be ancial value. It in aggregate, be significantly ouncil's Ild keep a highets where this en applied so at can be made e is a risk of nent in the PPE	The number of items that are classed as de minimis because they are valued at less than £50,000 results in a maximum risk to the Council that is significantly below materiality. For valuations carried out in 2020/21 and future years, information will be maintained for assets valued below the de minimis value of £50,000, identifying the value that has been calculated for the particular assets in question. Valuers will maintain a record of actual values for all assets and those below the de minimis value will be recorded to identify any potential risk to the carrying value of non-current assets on the Council's Balance Sheet.	30 April 2021	Assistant Director of Property	March 2021 Valuations are produced for each asset and a record kept of the value even if this is de minimis. The valuation figure is also reported as part of the valuation process so it may be included on the Council's Balance Sheet if necessary. June 2021 Valuations continue to be produced for each asset, with records kept, including de minimis value

Rec No	Recommendation	ı	Proposed Actions	Due Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
20/10	Intra-group consolidation adjustments					
	Residual Risk	Low				
	Impact	Low				
	After preparation of statements, the find identified that they VAT amounts incomplete the intra-group adjusted consolidation proof the group finance. Recommendation Management shows sufficient time is be closedown process robust management review to be complete financial statement submitted for audit	ance team had treated brectly within ustments in the ess. Il misstatement cial statements. Ild ensure that uilt into the ses to enable a nt and quality leted prior to the ts being	A peer review process will be enhanced to ensure that VAT is understood and excluded from the intercompany elimination process. Written procedures and checklist will be completed to aid review. Preparation for closedown has started and this will form part of the procedures.	28 February 2021	Finance Manager – Financial Accounts	March 2021 Procedures are in progress to ensure the reviewer knows what to look for in terms of VAT and other matters pertaining to Group. June 2021 The 2020/21 financial statements are being prepared. A full peer review of the working papers will be carried on the Group Accounts once these are complete.
20/11	Open purchase o general ledger	rders in the				
	Residual Risk	Low		1	<u> </u>	
	Impact	Low				
	During our work or		A review is being undertaken of open	Continuous	Interim Chief	March 2021
	completeness of the		purchases orders so that those that are		Finance	The level of open purchase
	expenditure in the we have identified		no longer required can be closed. This will greatly aid the migration of		Officer	orders is regularly monitored and work is regularly

Rec No	Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Due Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
	significant number of open purchase orders in the general ledger that relate to previous years. Some of these date back to prior to the implementation of the current ledger system. The volume of open orders on the system means that management cannot glean any useful information from this data for their monitoring purposes. Recommendation We recommend that management look to reduce the number of historic purchase orders still open in the general ledger system, in order to make this a useful report for their consideration of the completeness of expenditure within the financial year.	appropriate activity to Oracle Fusion when it is implemented. Progress will be monitored through the 1B programme. Unfortunately, the closure of open purchase orders can be time consuming as it requires closed codes or vendors to be reopened where necessary to then close the purchase order. Reports have been run to review the level of open purchase orders so that progress can be monitored during the year. Progress will be monitored during the year to ensure that final migration to Oracle will be as efficient as possible.			undertaken to clear these. The work to close old open purchase orders can be time consuming. Many POs up to and including March 2018 have now been blocked. Data cleansing work stops whilst the year end accounts work is undertaken by Finance staff to avoid disrupting any processes Work will continue early in the new financial year to data cleanse POs dated April 2018 onwards with a view to completing the task prior to the introduction of Oracle. June 2021 The next phase of open PO data cleansing has commenced for POs dated from April 2018 to March 2020. Whilst many open POs can be closed, some cannot for SAP technical reasons. As these are identified, they are listed

Rec No	Recommendation	n	Proposed Actions	Due Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
						and will not be migrated to Oracle.
20/12	Capital Commitm	nents				
	Residual Risk	Low				
	Impact	Low				
	Through performatesting, we have note has been prebusiness cases are spend to date. While we are satisted does not give rise material error in the note, this disclosure prepared based or amounts and actuagainst these at the year. Recommendation We recommend the years management use the correct so for this disclosure.	nce of our oted that the ommitments spared based on and on estimated of the disclosure re should be not contracted all expenditure ne end of the oter take care to urce information	The recommendation is accepted and acknowledged. The commitments working papers will be reviewed more stringently as part of the 2020/21 closure of accounts. Feedback will be provided to the team and relevant managers in the areas where the issues have occurred. Proposed timeframe as follows; January 2021 Meeting to be arranged as part of preparation for 2020/21 closedown February 2021 A review of the working papers will be undertaken within the finance team. Correct source information and working papers will be identified to assist in the calculation of contracted commitments.	30 April 2021	Finance Manager – Capital	March 2021 Team members have been briefed on the requirements of the Capital commitments note, utilising contracted amounts and actual expenditure and work has started in March to prepare for this note. The workings to the final note will be reviewed in April and May prior to finalising the Statement of Accounts. June 2021 During 2020/21 closedown the capital commitments working papers have been produced based on the actual amount of outstanding commitments rather than being based on budgets, forecasts and business cases. This has also been based on accounting for costs incurred as at 31/3/2021.

Rec No	Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Due Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
		March – April 2021 Stringent reconciliation of the relevant closedown working papers and the source information will be incorporated as part of the closedown process for 2020/21.			Documentation has been provided as supporting evidence. This process will be embedded for business as usual for future years.
		Ongoing The above practice will be imbedded as 'business as usual' for future years.			
20/13	Heritage Asset Valuations				
	Residual Risk Low/Complete				
	Impact Low/Complete				
	The Council's Thinktank heritage asset has not been formally valued for a number of years; the figure used in the 2019/20 financial statements is based upon information compiled by the Council's insurance team. There is a risk that this valuation is not reflective of the asset's actual value. This asset is above our clearly trivial threshold but does not exceed our performance	The Council's approach to valuation of heritage assets complies with the Code for production of financial statements. Paragraph 4.10.2.9 of the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting (the Code) states, respect of Heritage Assets "Valuations may be made by any method that is appropriate and relevant. There is no requirement for valuations to be carried out or verified	31 March 2021	Head of City Finance – Financial Accounts	March 2021 The Council's approach to valuation of heritage assets complies with the Code for production of financial statements. The Council will continue to keep its insurance coverage under review.
	materiality.	by external valuers, nor is there any prescribed minimum period between valuations. However, where heritage assets are measured at valuation, the carrying amount shall be reviewed with sufficient			The current arrangements, outlined above, are Code compliant, therefore, this will be the approach taken for the for the foreseeable future. Should there be a change in

Rec No Recommendation Proposed Actions Du	ue Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
I Pecommonastion Proposed Actions III	Oue Date		process, an amended response will be provided. June 2021 Unless the requirements in the Code change, this action is considered complete.

Rec No	Recommendation	า	Proposed Actions	Due Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
19/04	Under accrual of	expenditure				
	Residual Risk	Low				
	Impact	Low				
	Our testing of the expenditure in 201 several items which after 31 March 202 have been accrued 2018/19 financially Council performed analysis covering during the period to 2019 which identified invoices (inclusive VAT) which relate were not accrued. In previous years, around the complete expenditure had be rependiture had be repropriately accruimplement addition reduce the risk of sin the future.	completeness of 8/19 identified th were paid 19 but should dinto the year. The lextended payments made o 22 August ied £9.6m of of associated to 2018/19 but similar issues eteness of een noted. I dinvestigate is were not ued and hal controls to	Regular reports are run on the level of procurement activity to identify those areas where there are delays in the payment of invoices or where procurement activities are not in line with Council processes. These reports are shared with Directorates so that appropriate action can be taken. The outcomes from the Audit Findings Report and its recommendations have been shared with the Council Leadership Team to highlight the issue. Finance Business Partners will also brief Directorate Management Teams on the issues identified and the action required and procedures to be followed to meet appropriate accounting requirements. The Voyager Newsletter sent out to staff will include articles on the issues identified and the actions that will be required to ensure future compliance.	Continuous	Interim Chief Finance Officer	Guidance/instruction relating to processes for the 2020/21 year end has been circulated to CLT and Directorate budget holders via Directorate Finance teams. Accrual process training has been provided to finance staff and school business support staff. Delayed payment reports are run on a regular basis. This information is disseminated to Directorate Finance Teams, who have been requested to discuss at budget holder meetings. June 2021 Directorate Finance teams worked with budget holders to identify accruals during the
	Update 2019/20 As part of the Couprocess, in advance the outturn report a	ce of preparing	Reports on procurement activity will be run regularly at the year end to try and			closing of the 2020/21 accounts process.

Rec No	Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Due Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
	statements, a review of outstanding purchase orders and invoice clearance was undertaken to ensure appropriate entry into the accounts. Major payments made in April and May 2020 were reviewed to check the financial year in which the expenditure should be recorded and whether accruals had been made. As part of our testing in the 2019/20 year, we again identified transactions that had not been recorded in the correct year, and additional testing has had to be performed. We will continue to follow up on this recommendation in future years.	capture activity within the appropriate financial year. The preparation for the closure of the 2020/21 accounts has started and reports are being run on procurement activity to identify issues and problem areas so that action can be taken at an early stage.			Outstanding purchase orders at the yearend were reviewed to ensure an appropriate entry into the accounts. Cut over testing has been undertaken, reviewing significant payments in April and May to check accruals have been made where required.
19/03	Errors noted in property valuations				
	Residual Risk Low Low Low				
	We identified errors in the work of the valuer relating to the valuation of secondary schools, and a valuation where expenditure was used instead of profit as the basis of the valuation.	Whilst the review in 2019/20 concentrated on the appropriateness of the valuations carried out, this will now be extended to include the administration of the transfer of information from valuation to database.	Immediate	Head of Property Valuation and Sales	March 2021 Appropriate checks have now been put in place to avoid any further errors. All internal valuations are checked and signed off by a senior officer.

Recommendation Appropriate review should be included as part of the valuation process to ensure that any errors in valuation are identified and	
resolved. Update 2019/20 A two-tier checking system has been put in place with a peer review by an appropriately qualified surveyor followed by a management review by the Head of Service. An independent professional review of all cyclical valuations undertaken by in-house valuers has been carried out by Avison Young's valuation team who specialise in valuations of this nature. Our audit work in 2019/20 has again identified issues in relation to the valuations performed for the purposes of the financial statements. We will continue to follow up on this recommendation in future years, as the steps that the Council has taken to address this risk have not been completely effective.	A second independent review will take place which will cross reference the valuation information from the data base with the valuation certificate. June 2021 2020/21 valuations are split between internal and external valuers with all valuations checked by Property Services contract managers.

Rec No	Recommendation	า	Proposed Actions	Due Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
19/02	Disposals omitte prior year	d from the				
	Residual Risk	Low			•	•
	Impact	Low				
	An asset with a net £9.4m was disposed but this was not accounted for in the Council should are appropriate counted for in the Council informate and teams have met, wightening procedus sharing of informate to make the counted for in the Council informate and the council informa	that this was an ue to the there was no a 2018/19 In the ther	Property Services will ensure that clear instructions are sent to Legal & Democratic Services, Property Records Team and relevant stake holders to facilitate the disposal of assets in an appropriate manner. Legal, Property and Finance staff will meet to share information on property transactions and ensure that processes are in place to capture relevant information and are being followed. Reconciliations will be undertaken during the year of disposals to identify any mismatches in information. The error identified in 2019/20 was an isolated incidence and resulted from human error. Further checking will be put in place to ensure movements are reconciled.	Immediate	Assistant Director, Property Services	March 2021 Assets sold in year are reported via a completion memo. All disposals continue to be monitored on a monthly basis at the capital receipts meeting and appropriate memos issued. June 2021 Assets sold in year are reported via a completion memo to Legal & Democratic Services, Property Records Team, Financial Services and relevant stake holders.

Rec No	Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Due Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
	highlighted at the earliest opportunity.				
	Where external legal support is used the agreement will include the requirement to provide a completion memo for ensuring property records are maintained appropriately. All transactions are monitored on a monthly basis by Property Services Officers at each Capital Receipts meeting.				
	Our testing of disposals recorded in the 2019/20 financial statements has again identified an asset that should have been derecognised in the previous financial year. At the time of writing this report, further testing is in progress to assess the potential impact of this on the financial statements.				
	We will continue to follow up on this recommendation in future years, as the steps that the Council has taken to address this risk have not been completely effective.				

Rec No	Recommendation	n	Proposed Actions	Due Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
19/06	Multiple Account single user	s assigned to a				
	Residual Risk	Low				
	Impact	Low				
	We identified a higusers with multiple SAP. Whilst some required for FireFi purposes, it appears are unnecessary. Recommendation Management show which users need accounts within Saccess to those with function where is in the Council's view of access identifier recommendation in ensure that system can be maintained reviews of access and the new Governand Compliance to to support the moraccess.	e accounts within of these are ghterID ars that some and consider multiple AP and remove here this t not required. In the sequired to me functionality decomposition and consider multiple are undertaken are undertaken consider are undertaken consider and consider are undertaken consider and	The Firefighter role is required to ensure the integrity of SAP SAP BSC will carry out a monthly check to ensure that all Firefighters are valid. Access for Firefighters will be revoked where they are no longer required. Birmingham audit has been asked to undertake a review and report on the matter and identify if any additional checks are required.	Continuous	Finance Manager – SAP BSC	March 2021 Monthly checks to validate the assignment of Firefighter access are ongoing and remedial action taken where a change to access is identified. In addition, a system audit log which identifies when a user logs onto the system using a Firefighter ID is reviewed on a regular basis. June 2021 Birmingham Audit have undertaken a review and the report is being considered by SAP-BSC management. If any further actions are required, this will be reported in September's update.

Rec No	Recommendation		Proposed Actions	Due Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
18/03	General IT controls					
	Residual Risk	Low/Complete				
	Impact	Low/Complete				
	Residual Risk Low/Complete		The majority of the transactions listed here will be assigned to BASIS only (the team who deal with the core of the system – these transactions are appropriate for this team to use) and most within their firefighter id. The rest have been reviewed after previous audits and deemed appropriate All users with access to any of these transactions will either be support personnel, or in the case of SM37, users within the business. (SM37 monitors jobs run in the background in SAP. Due to the size and complexity of BCC's ledger, it's recommended that large reports are run in the background to reduce stresses on BAU processing). Response from BCC User access to critical transactions is reviewed regularly with access to areas such as SAP_ALL reviewed daily. Appropriate action is taken to remove or amend as required. Birmingham audit has been asked to undertake a review and report on the matter and identify if any additional checks are required.	Immediate	Finance Manager -SAP BSC	March 2021 SAP is interrogated daily to check for SAP_ALL access and action is taken to remove or amend as required. Periodic checks are made to validate the critical transaction list held in SAP. June 2021 The actions outlined in the March update above continue and are embedded into business as usual. The Council considers these reviews adequate and therefore the action is ongoing and considered complete.

Rec No	Recommendation	Proposed Actions	Due Date	Responsible Officer	Progress in implementation
NO	Update 2019/20 The Council's view is that the level of access identified in the recommendation is required to ensure that system functionality can be maintained. Regular reviews of access are undertaken			Officer	
	and the new Governance, Risk and Compliance tool is being used to support the monitoring of access.				