Title of proposed EIA	Job Evaluation / Pay & Grading
Reference No	EQUA844
EA is in support of	Amended Policy
Review Frequency	Two Years
Date of first review	01/10/2024
Directorate	HR
Division	
Service Area	Total Reward
Responsible Officer(s)	☐ Anthony Sharwood
Quality Control Officer(s)	☐ Melanie James
Accountable Officer(s)	☐ Darren Hockaday
Purpose of proposal	To assess the impact of the redesigned Job Evaluation / Pay & Grading process
Data sources	Consultation Results; relevant reports/strategies; Statistical Database (please specify); Other (please specify)
Please include any other sources of data	Information collated over the past 12 years following the Single Status project and subsequent equal pay claims BCC has faced
ASSESS THE IMPACT AGAINST THE PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS	
Protected characteristic: Age	Not Applicable
Age details:	The Job Evaluation / Pay & Grading process is designed not to be age biased. The aim of the process is to provide a fair and transparent approach to Job evaluation accross BCC
Protected characteristic: Disability	Not Applicable
Disability details:	The Job Evaluation / Pay & Grading process is designed not to discriminate against disability. The aim of the process is to provide a fair and transparent approach to Job evaluation accross BCC
Protected characteristic: Sex	Not Applicable
Gender details:	The Job Evaluation / Pay & Grading process designed not to

discriminate against gender. The aim of the process is to provide a fair and transparent approach to Job evaluation accross BCC

Protected characteristics: Gender Reassignment

Gender reassignment details:

Not Applicable

The Job Evaluation / Pay & Grading process is designed not to discriminate against gender reassignment. The aim of the process is to provide a fair and transparent approach to Job evaluation accross BCC

Protected characteristics: Marriage and Civil Partnership

Marriage and civil partnership details:

Not Applicable

The Job Evaluation / Pay & Grading process designed not to discriminate against civil partnerships. The aim of the process is to provide a fair and transparent approach to Job evaluation accross BCC

Protected characteristics: Pregnancy and Maternity

Pregnancy and maternity details:

Not Applicable

The Job Evaluation / Pay & Grading process is designed not to discriminate against Pregnancy and Maternity. The aim of the process is to provide a fair and transparent approach to Job evaluation accross BCC

Protected characteristics: Race

Race details:

Not Applicable

The Job Evaluation / Pay & Grading process is designed not to discriminate against race. The aim of the process is to provide a fair and transparent approach to Job evaluation accross

BCC

Protected characteristics: Religion or Beliefs

Religion or beliefs details:

Not Applicable

The Job Evaluation / Pay & Grading process is designed not to discriminate against religious beliefs. The aim of the process is to provide a fair and transparent approach to Job evaluation accross BCC

Protected characteristics: Sexual Orientation

Sexual orientation details:

Not Applicable

The Job Evaluation / Pay & Grading process is designed not to discriminate

against sexual orientation. The aim of the process is to provide a fair and transparent approach to Job evaluation accross BCC

process is aesigned flor to discriminate

Socio-economic impacts

During the implementation phase carefull consideration needs to be made over the impact to staff with a full pay and grading review of all NJC for LGS roles due to take place.

Please indicate any actions arising from completing this screening exercise.

Ensure the process remains at all times fair, transparnent and non biased.

Ensure alignment with Trade Union colleagues remains strong

Please indicate whether a full impact assessment is recommended

NO

What data has been collected to facilitate the assessment of this policy/proposal?

Consultation analysis

Adverse impact on any people with protected characteristics.

Could the policy/proposal be modified to reduce or eliminate any adverse impact?

How will the effect(s) of this policy/proposal on equality be monitored?

What data is required in the future?

Are there any adverse impacts on any particular group(s)

No

If yes, please explain your reasons for going ahead.

Initial equality impact assessment of your proposal

Consulted People or Groups

Informed People or Groups

Summary and evidence of findings from your EIA

- The re-designed Job Evaluation / Pay & Grading process will be a fair and transparent way of evaluating jobs within BCC and associated partners.
- The process will not be biased toward any person
- For the implimentation of the new process to succeed it must remain aligned with Trade Union colleagues

QUALITY CONTORL SECTION

Submit to the Quality Control Officer for reviewing?

Yes

Quality Control Officer comments Decision by Quality Control Officer Submit draft to Accountable Officer? No Decision by Accountable Officer Date approved / rejected by the Accountable Officer Reasons for approval or rejection Please print and save a PDF copy for your records Yes		
Submit draft to Accountable Officer? Decision by Accountable Officer Date approved / rejected by the Accountable Officer Reasons for approval or rejection	Quality Control Officer comments	
Decision by Accountable Officer Date approved / rejected by the Accountable Officer Reasons for approval or rejection	Decision by Quality Control Officer	
Date approved / rejected by the Accountable Officer Reasons for approval or rejection	Submit draft to Accountable Officer?	No
Reasons for approval or rejection	Decision by Accountable Officer	
	Date approved / rejected by the Accountable Officer	
Please print and save a PDF copy for your records Yes	Reasons for approval or rejection	
	Please print and save a PDF copy for your records	Yes

Close

Version: 3.0

Created at 26/01/2022 10:34 PM by
Anthony Sharwood

Last modified at 26/01/2022 10:34 PM by Workflow on behalf of \square Anthony Sharwood