Title of proposed EIA

Reference No

EA is in support of

**Review Frequency** 

Date of first review

Directorate

Division

Service Area

Responsible Officer(s)

Quality Control Officer(s)

Accountable Officer(s)

Purpose of proposal

Data sources

Please include any other sources of data

ASSESS THE IMPACT AGAINST THE PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS

Protected characteristic: Age

Age details:

Protected characteristic: Disability

Disability details:

Protected characteristic: Sex

Gender details:

Disposal of Murdoch & Pitman, 153-161 Corporation Street, Birmingham

EQUA738

New Function

Annually

18/08/2022

Inclusive Growth

**Property Services** 

**Investment Property Management** 

Felicia Saunders

Eden Ottley

Eden Ottley

To note the outcome of an informal tender to grant long leasehold for a premium payment of Murdoch and Pitman Buildings

Consultation Results; relevant reports/strategies; relevant research

Service Users / Stakeholders; Wider Community; Not Applicable

The disposal of Murdoch and Pitman Buildings will not have a negative impact on the grounds of age due to the fact any sale will have to be to an individual of adult age.

Service Users / Stakeholders; Wider Community; Not Applicable

The disposal of Murdoch and Pitman Buildings will not have a negative impact on the grounds of disability. However, subject to future use the purchaser/new owner would be responsible for any access to work obligations.

Service Users / Stakeholders; Wider Community; Not Applicable

	The disposal of Murdoch and Pitman Buildings will not be conducted on the basis of gender and therefore there are no negative impacts in relation to this protected chracteristic.
Protected characteristics: Gender Reassignment	Service Users / Stakeholders; Wider Community; Not Applicable
Gender reassignment details:	The disposal of Murdoch and Pitman Buildings will not be conducted on the basis of gender reassignment and therefore there are no negative impacts in relation to this protected chracteristic.
Protected characteristics: Marriage and Civil Partnership	Service Users/ Stakeholders; Wider Community; Not Applicable
Marriage and civil partnership details:	There are no known conditions for which these assets will be disposed of in the context of martial status, therefore there are no negative impacts or implications for this characteristic.
Protected characteristics: Pregnancy and Maternity	Service Users / Stakeholders; Wider Community; Not Applicable
Pregnancy and maternity details:	There are no criteria which prevents pregnant individuals wishing to purchase Murdoch and Pitman Buildings. By implication there will be no negative impact on the grounds of pregnancy.
Protected characteristics: Race	Service Users / Stakeholders; Wider Community; Not Applicable
Race details:	There are no criteria which prevents individuals wishing to purchase Murdoch and Pitman Buildings on the grounds of race. By implication there will be no negative impact.
Protected characteristics: Religion or Beliefs	Service Users / Stakeholders; Wider Community; Not Applicable

Religion or beliefs details:	The disposal of Murdoch and Pitman Buildings will be on an open market tender basis for which all members of the community will have an opportunity to purchase the assets, irrespective of religion or religious beliefs. Therefore there are no negative impacts or implications for this characteristic.
Protected characteristics: Sexual Orientation	Service Users / Stakeholders; Wider Community; Not Applicable
Sexual orientation details:	The disposal of Murdoch and Pitman Buildings will be on an open market tender basis for which all members of the community will have an opportunity to purchase the assets, irrespective of sexual orientation. Therefore there are no negative impacts or implications for this characteristic.
Socio-economic impacts	
Please indicate any actions arising from completing this screening exercise.	
Please indicate whether a full impact assessment is recommended	NO
What data has been collected to facilitate the assessment of this policy/proposal?	
Consultation analysis	
Adverse impact on any people with protected characteristics.	
Could the policy/proposal be modified to reduce or eliminate any adverse impact	?
How will the effect(s) of this policy/proposal on equality be monitored?	
What data is required in the future?	
Are there any adverse impacts on any particular group(s)	No
If yes, please explain your reasons for going ahead.	
Initial equality impact assessment of your proposal	To note the outcome of an informal tender to grant a long leasehold for a premium payment of Murdoch and Pitman Buildings.

https://birminghamcitycouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/EqualityAssessmen... 19/08/2021

The use of a surplus property asset will generate inward investment contributing to the Council's key business priorities and objectives.

The property is currently underutilised where a significant amount of investment is required in order to modernise it to current standards.

The disposal of this surplus asset will generate a capital receipt for the Council and provide an opportunity for the purchaser to redevelop.

Officers from Legal and Democratic Services and City Finance and other relevant officers from the Economy Directorate have been involved in the preparation of this report.

The relevant Ward Members (Ladywood) have been consulted and no adverse comment has been received.

Approval to market Murdoch and Pitman was received from Cabinet in June 2016.

Demand for tertiary office space has significantly reduced over the years. The upper floors at Murdoch Chambers have a low occupancy rate.

Members of the community have not been disadvantaged or denied access to participating in this sale process.

## Having reviewed all

protected characteristics, it has been determined there are no issues which impact negatively on any members of the community and therefore a full equality assessment is not required.

Consulted People or Groups

Informed People or Groups

Summary and evidence of findings from your EIA

QUALITY CONTORL SECTION		
Submit to the Quality Control Officer for reviewing?	Yes	
Quality Control Officer comments		
Decision by Quality Control Officer	Proceed for final approval	
Submit draft to Accountable Officer?	Yes	
Decision by Accountable Officer	Approve	
Date approved / rejected by the Accountable Officer	19/08/2021	
Reasons for approval or rejection		
Please print and save a PDF copy for your records	Yes	
Julie Bach		
Person or Group		
Content Type: Item Version: 41.0 Created at 18/08/2021 12:08 PM by <b>EFelicia Saunders</b>	[	Close
Last modified at 19/08/2021 03:34 PM by Workflow on behalf of 📕 Eden Ottley		