Title of proposed EIA Grant Extension to The Active Wellbeing Society (TAWS) for Future PA Interventions Reference No EQUA1031 **Amended Service** EA is in support of **Review Frequency** Annually Date of first review 01/03/2024 Directorate **City Operations** Division Neighbourhoods Service Area **Sports Service** Responsible Officer(s) ☐ Paul Walls Quality Control Officer(s) Leroy Pearce Accountable Officer(s) □ Dave Wagg Purpose of proposal To extend grant funding to TAWS for future PA Interventions Data sources relevant reports/strategies; relevant research Please include any other sources of data ASSESS THE IMPACT AGAINST THE PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS Protected characteristic: Age Not Applicable Age details: Protected characteristic: Disability Not Applicable Disability details: Protected characteristic: Sex Not Applicable Gender details: Protected characteristics: Gender Reassignment Not Applicable Gender reassignment details: Protected characteristics: Marriage and Civil Partnership Not Applicable Marriage and civil partnership details: Protected characteristics: Pregnancy and Maternity Not Applicable Pregnancy and maternity details: Protected characteristics: Race Not Applicable Race details: Protected characteristics: Religion or Beliefs Not Applicable Religion or beliefs details: Protected characteristics: Sexual Orientation Not Applicable Sexual orientation details:

Socio-economic impacts Non

Please indicate any actions arising from completing this screening exercise. Non

Please indicate whether a full impact assessment is recommended NO

What data has been collected to facilitate the assessment of this policy/proposal?

Consultation analysis Public Health have been engaged in

and assisted with the review.

Adverse impact on any people with protected characteristics. There is no adverse impact as a result

> of this proposal on any people with protected characteristics. The proposal is to continue grant funding TAWS in order to sustain delivery as previously agreed and assessed for equality

impact purposes.

Could the policy/proposal be modified to reduce or eliminate any adverse impact? No. Conversely, failing to continue to

grant fund TAWS could lead to a reduction in physical activity intervensions being delivered which

would have an adverse impact.

How will the effect(s) of this policy/proposal on equality be monitored? While no effect(s) are anticipated this

proposal will be monitored as per the

frequency outlined above.

What data is required in the future? Non

Are there any adverse impacts on any particular group(s) No

If yes, please explain your reasons for going ahead.

Initial equality impact assessment of your proposal

Consulted People or Groups

Informed People or Groups

Summary and evidence of findings from your EIA There will be no negative impacts as a

result of implementing the

recommendations to continue grant funding TAWS to deliver physical activity intervensions on behalf of the Council. It will serve to sustain delivery as previoulsy agreed and assessed for

الماد (ها فالماد الماد (هما الماد عليا ما ما ما الماد عما الماد ما

equality impact purposes.

QUALITY CONTORL SECTION

Submit to the Quality Control Officer for reviewing? No

Quality Control Officer comments No negative impacts to those with

https://birminghamcitycouncil.sharepoint.com/sites/EqualityAssessmentToolkit/Lists/Assessment/DispForm.aspx?ID=1031&ContentTypeId=0x010... 3/4

Version: 23.0

Created at $07/12/2022\ 03:59\ PM\ by$ \square Paul Walls

Last modified at 12/12/2022 01:01 PM by Workflow on behalf of \square Leroy Pearce

protected characteristics identified.
This has been passed to the
Accountable Officer for final approval.

Decision by Quality Control Officer
Proceed for final approval

Submit draft to Accountable Officer?
Yes

Decision by Accountable Officer

Date approved / rejected by the Accountable Officer

Reasons for approval or rejection

Please print and save a PDF copy for your records

Yes

Content Type: Item

Close