

Title of proposed EIA	Public Consultation on Parking Supplementary Planning Document
Reference No	EQUA281
EA is in support of	New Policy
Review Frequency	Two Years
Date of first review	28/03/2020
Directorate	Inclusive Growth
Division	Planning
Service Area	Transport Policy
Responsible Officer(s)	■ Naomi R Coleman
Quality Control Officer(s)	■ Janet L Hinks
Accountable Officer(s)	■ Uyen-Phan Han
Purpose of proposal	To seek approval for public consultation on the Draft Birmingham Parking Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)
Data sources	Survey(s); Interviews; relevant reports/strategies; Statistical Database (please specify); Other (please specify)
Please include any other sources of data	
***ASSESS THE POTENTIAL IMPACT AGAINST THE PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS***	
Protected characteristic: Age	Not Applicable
Age details:	
Protected characteristic: Disability	Wider Community
Disability details:	<p>The Draft SPD includes a number of guidelines which will be beneficial to people with a disability. These include clear standards for disabled parking provision in all new developments.</p> <p>It is recognised that the wider parking strategy, which includes support for city centre pedestrianisation proposals and parking removal for transport infrastructure, may result in the removal of some disabled parking bays. To mitigate this the SPD includes a commitment to seek increased provision elsewhere in the city centre. Opportunities will</p>

also be sought to provide increased seating and resting facilities for those with limited mobility. Any new developments or projects which may be delivered in future as a result of the Parking SPD guidance will be subject to further equality analysis and relevant governance and consultation processes.

Whilst recognising potential future equality implications of the Draft SPD, this Equality Analysis concerns the decision to approve public consultation on the document. This will allow for engagement with relevant stakeholders which will be taken into account in the final version of the SPD.

Protected characteristic: Gender

Not Applicable

Gender details:

Protected characteristics: Gender Reassignment

Not Applicable

Gender reassignment details:

Protected characteristics: Marriage and Civil Partnership

Not Applicable

Marriage and civil partnership details:

Protected characteristics: Pregnancy and Maternity

Not Applicable

Pregnancy and maternity details:

Protected characteristics: Race

Not Applicable

Race details:

Protected characteristics: Religion or Beliefs

Not Applicable

Religion or beliefs details:

Protected characteristics: Sexual Orientation

Not Applicable

Sexual orientation details:

Please indicate any actions arising from completing this screening exercise.

A comprehensive public consultation process will take place to ensure that stakeholders representing the needs of different age groups and different types of disability are engaged and their views are taken into account for the final version of the strategy.

Please indicate whether a full impact assessment is recommended

NO

What data has been collected to facilitate the assessment of this policy/proposal?

## Consultation analysis

Adverse impact on any people with protected characteristics.

Could the policy/proposal be modified to reduce or eliminate any adverse impact?

How will the effect(s) of this policy/proposal on equality be monitored?

What data is required in the future?

Are there any adverse impacts on any particular group(s)

No

If yes, please explain your reasons for going ahead.

Initial equality impact assessment of your proposal

It is broadly felt that the impact of the draft Parking Supplementary Planning Document on those with protected characteristics, in particular those with a disability, will be positive. The guidance in the draft document requires all new developments to provide adequate parking for people with disabilities that is easy-to-use and convenient. It includes guidance to mitigate the impacts of any scheme which may result in the removal of disabled parking. Any new developments or projects which may be delivered in future as a result of the Parking SPD guidance will be subject to further equality analysis and relevant governance and consultation processes.

It should be noted that the decision of the Cabinet at this stage is to approve the public consultation on the Draft Parking Supplementary Planning Document . This will allow for further feedback from stakeholders regarding equality impacts which will be taken into account in the final version of the strategy.

Consultation will be carried out in accordance with the adopted Birmingham Statement of Community Involvement 2008 and the draft Statement of Community Involvement 2019. The approach to public consultation will ensure that protected characteristics are fully considered and the views of groups representing age and disability

characteristics are included. Relevant organisations with an interest in equalities will be consulted.

An engagement strategy has been developed to set out how the public consultation will be carried out on the Draft SPD. This will meet the requirements of the relevant regulations and guidance. The broad range of specific and general stakeholders will be informed about the draft strategy and invited to comment. Consultation methods will include letters, emails, a press release, social media publicity and a Be Heard consultation site for feedback. Meetings will be offered/ carried out with key stakeholders. The general public will be able to discuss the SPD face-to-face at public events.

Consulted People or Groups

Informed People or Groups

Summary and evidence of findings from your EIA

A full assessment is not required at this stage, however the results of the public consultation on the draft document will be used to update the Equalities Analysis and inform the final SPD when it is adopted by the Cabinet (scheduled for Spring 2020).

#### QUALITY CONTROL SECTION

Submit to the Quality Control Officer for reviewing?

No

Quality Control Officer comments

Proceed to Approving Officer  
8.10.19

Decision by Quality Control Officer

Proceed for final approval

Submit draft to Accountable Officer?

No

Decision by Accountable Officer

Approve

Date approved / rejected by the Accountable Officer

03/10/2019

Reasons for approval or rejection

Approved 3/10/19

Please print and save a PDF copy for your records

Yes

Content Type: Item  
Version: 48.0

Created at 28/03/2019 12:43 PM by  Naomi R Coleman

Last modified at 10/10/2019 10:17 AM by Workflow on behalf of  Naomi R Coleman

Close