Appendix 5

Title of proposed EIA	Additional Licensing in the Private Rented Sector	
Reference No	EQUA1014	
EA is in support of	New Function	
Review Frequency	No preference	
Date of first review	15/07/2024	
Directorate	City Operations	
Division	Regulation and Enforcement	
Service Area	Private Rented Sector	
Responsible Officer(s)	☐ Sajeela Naseer	
Quality Control Officer(s)	□ Rehana Kosar	
Accountable Officer(s)	☐ Mark Croxford	
Purpose of proposal	Additional Licensing in the Private Rented Sector	
Data sources	Survey(s); Consultation Results; Statistical Database (please specify); Other (please specify)	
Please include any other sources of data	MHCLG report on Selective Licensing 2019	
	Statistical database referred to is the HMO Stressors report commissioning for Birmingham in May 2022	
ASSESS THE IMPACT AGAINST THE PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS		
Protected characteristic: Age	Not Applicable	
Age details:		
Protected characteristic: Disability	Not Applicable	
Disability details:		
Protected characteristic: Sex	Not Applicable	
Gender details:		
Protected characteristics: Gender Reassignment	Not Applicable	
Gender reassignment details:		
Protected characteristics: Marriage and Civil Partnership	Not Applicable	
Marriage and civil partnership details:		
Protected characteristics: Pregnancy and Maternity	Not Applicable	
Pregnancy and maternity details:		
Protected characteristics: Race	Not Applicable	
Race details:		
Protected characteristics: Religion or Beliefs	Not Applicable	
Religion or beliefs details:		
Protected characteristics: Sexual Orientation	Not Applicable	
Sexual orientation details:		
Socio-economic impacts	Tenants of houses of multiple occupation are more likely to be deprived than occupants of other tenures. The additional licensing scheme would require all houses in	

multiple occupation to be licenced and maintain a certain

standard.

Please indicate any actions arising from completing this screening exercise. None Please indicate whether a full impact assessment is recommended What data has been collected to facilitate the assessment of this policy/proposal? Consultation with the general public, landlords, tenants groups and other interested parties. Birmingham HMO Stressors report Consultation analysis 90% agreed that poor property conditions are a problem in some areas of the city 92% agree that anti-social behaviour is a problem in some areas of the city 93% agree that waste incidents are a problem in some areas of the city 86% agree with the proposal to introduce additional licencing 81% believe the proposed scheme would have a positive impact on them 74% agree that the scheme would contribute to reducing anti-social behaviour linked to HMOs 70% agree that the scheme would contribute to reducing waste incidents linked to HMOs 78% agree that the scheme would contribute to improving property and management standards of HMOs Adverse impact on any people with protected characteristics. The premise of the scheme is to improve the standard of of HMOs in Birmingham and hence the living conditions of the tenants. There will be no adverse impact. Could the policy/proposal be modified to reduce or eliminate any adverse impact? N/A How will the effect(s) of this policy/proposal on equality be monitored? EA will be reviewed after year one. What data is required in the future? Intervention, enforcement and complaint data will be collected. Are there any adverse impacts on any particular group(s) No If yes, please explain your reasons for going ahead. Initial equality impact assessment of your proposal Consulted People or Groups Informed People or Groups Summary and evidence of findings from your EIA The prooposed additional licensing scheme for HMOs will not have an adverse impact on any groups from a protected characteristic. QUALITY CONTORL SECTION Submit to the Quality Control Officer for reviewing? No Quality Control Officer comments I am happy to pass this EIA to the Accountable Officer for final approval. Decision by Quality Control Officer Proceed for final approval

Yes

Approve

Submit draft to Accountable Officer?

Decision by Accountable Officer

Date approved / rejecte	d by the Accountable (Officer
-------------------------	------------------------	---------

Reasons for approval or rejection

Please print and save a PDF copy for your records

Content Type: Item Version: 44.0 Created at 15/11/2022 11:16 AM by \square Sajeela Naseer Last modified at 22/11/2022 02:34 PM by Workflow on behalf of \square Mark Croxford

22/11/2022

As Accountable Officer I have investigated if the EIA has considered the impact on the actual tenants if the costs were passed on in rent. I am advised: Consideration has been given to whether landlords will pass the licencing fee on to their tenants to recover their costs. A 2019 report commissioned by the Government "An Independent Review of the Use and Effectiveness of Selective Licensing" found no evidence to suggest that licensing has had a demonstrable effect on rent levels. The Council acknowledges that this report looked at the impact of selective licensing but considers that the conclusions can be applied to additional licensing. The private rented sector is a competitive market and market forces mean that rents are set at a level the market will bear. On average, lower quartile rents in areas which adopted selective licensing increased by 274% over a representative five-year period, whilst the licence fee alone (spread over 60 months) would account for an average increase of less than 3%. Even in the minimum case, the data found that the rent increase over the five year period was over 22 times the increase that can be put down to the licence fee alone. This is compelling evidence that the impact of market forces on rent levels dwarfs that of the cost of a licence.

Based on this i am content on the scope and information in the EIA. M Croxford

No

Close