

BIRMINGHAM CITY COUNCIL

CHILDREN'S SOCIAL CARE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

WEDNESDAY, 16 JANUARY 2019 AT 10:00 HOURS
IN COMMITTEE ROOM 2, COUNCIL HOUSE, VICTORIA SQUARE,
BIRMINGHAM, B1 1BB

A G E N D A

1 NOTICE OF RECORDING/WEBCAST

The Chairman to advise/meeting to note that this meeting will be webcast for live or subsequent broadcast via the Council's Internet site (www.civico.net/birmingham) and that members of the press/public may record and take photographs except where there are confidential or exempt items.

2 DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS

Members are reminded that they must declare all relevant pecuniary and non pecuniary interests arising from any business to be discussed at this meeting. If a disclosable pecuniary interest is declared a Member must not speak or take part in that agenda item. Any declarations will be recorded in the minutes of the meeting.

3 APOLOGIES

To receive any apologies.

3 - 8

4 ACTION NOTES

To confirm the action notes of the meetings held on the 12th December and 17th December 2018.

9 - 82

5 YOUTH JUSTICE STRATEGIC PLAN

Dawn Roberts, AD, Early Help and Trevor Brown, Head Of Youth Offending Services in attendance.

83 - 88

6 WORK PROGRAMME

For discussion.

7 **DATE OF NEXT MEETING**

The next meeting is scheduled to take place on Wednesday 13 February 2019 at 1000 hours in Committee Room 2, The Council House.

8 **REQUEST(S) FOR CALL IN/COUNCILLOR CALL FOR ACTION/PETITIONS RECEIVED (IF ANY)**

To consider any request for call in/councillor call for action/petitions (if received).

9 **OTHER URGENT BUSINESS**

To consider any items of business by reason of special circumstances (to be specified) that in the opinion of the Chairman are matters of urgency.

10 **AUTHORITY TO CHAIRMAN AND OFFICERS**

Chairman to move:-

'In an urgent situation between meetings, the Chairman jointly with the relevant Chief Officer has authority to act on behalf of the Committee'.

11 **EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC**

That in view of the nature of the business to be transacted which includes exempt information of the category indicated the public be now excluded from the meeting:-

Exempt Paragraph 3

P R I V A T E A G E N D A

12 **ACTION NOTES**

Item Description

13 **OTHER URGENT BUSINESS (EXEMPT INFORMATION)**

To consider any items of business by reason of special circumstances (to be specified) that in the opinion of the Chairman are matters of urgency.

BIRMINGHAM CITY COUNCIL

CHILDREN'S SOCIAL CARE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY (O&S)

COMMITTEE – PUBLIC MEETING

10:00 hours on Wednesday 12th December 2018, Committee Rooms 3 & 4 – Actions

Present:

Councillor Mohammed Aikhlaq (Chair)

Councillors: Shabrana Hussain, Kerry Jenkins and Alex Yip.

Also Present:

Councillor Kate Booth, Cabinet Member for Children's Wellbeing

Anne Ainsworth, Acting Corporate Director for Children and Young People

Andrew Christie, Chair, Children's Trust

Andy Couldrick, Chief Executive, Children's Trust

Professor Jon Glasby, Non-Executive Director, Children's Trust

Rose Kiely, Group Overview & Scrutiny Manager

Amanda Simcox, Scrutiny Officer

Paul Stevenson, Interim Finance Business Partner, Children & Young People

1. NOTICE OF RECORDING

The Chairman advised that this meeting would be webcast for live or subsequent broadcast via the Council's Internet site (www.civico.net/birmingham) and that members of the press/public may record and take photographs except where there were confidential or exempt items.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS

Councillor Alex Yip declared he is a school governor at Wilson Stuart School.

3. APOLOGIES

Apologies were submitted on behalf of Councillors: Diane Donaldson, Charlotte Hodivala, Morriam Jan and Lucy Seymour-Smith.

4. ACTION NOTES

(See document 1).

Cllr Alex Yip informed the Committee that in relation to a letter of no confidence from Special Head Teachers, it states in the notes that 'the Acting AD stated that they had addressed the concerns in writing and this has been sent to all the Head Teachers of

the 27 special schools and there was also a discussion at the Special Heads Forum'. The letter Cllr Yip has from the Head Teachers contradicts everything that the AD has said and he is requesting that scrutiny take this matter back to the AD for a proper answer.

RESOLVED:

The action notes of the meeting held on the 14th November 2018 were confirmed and the Committee will take the matter of the contradictions back to the AD.

5. CHILDREN'S TRUST

(See documents 2, 3, 4, and 5).

Andrew Christie, Chair; Andy Couldrick, Chief Executive and Professor Jon Glasby presented the item and answered Members' questions.

Members congratulated the Trust on the ice rink event for children in care and they would like to thank the owners of the ice rink and Cadburys for their support. Also, the children's take over on twitter and the recent foster carer event were also to be congratulated.

The Chair of the Trust informed Members that the relationship with the Trust and the City Council is collaborative and very positive.

Between January and February both the KPI's and tolerances will be discussed and this may involve agreeing the narrative and exploring different ways the Trust's performance can be measured.

The Chair of the Children's Trust requested the Committee hold them to account and has a careful look at the commissioning side.

RESOLVED:

Update noted and updates on CSE, missing children, youth offending, FGM and results of the Ofsted inspection to be discussed at future meetings.

6. CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN'S WELLBEING

(See documents 6, 7 and 8).

Councillor Kate Booth, Cabinet Member for Children's Wellbeing; Anne Ainsworth, Acting Corporate Director for Children and Young People and Paul Stevenson, Interim Finance Business Partner, Children & Young People presented the item and answered Members' questions.

Members requested clarity regarding where responsibilities start and end between the two Cabinet Members, especially in relation to scrutiny. For instance, this committee is inviting the Learning, Culture and Physical Activity O&S Committee to

attend its meetings where there are duplications. Cllr Booth responded that there has been a degree of overlap and duplication with the two Cabinet Members in some instances and so their plan is to revisit their portfolios, so there is clarity, especially in relation to scrutiny.

With regards to the budget discussion and CY110 19+ Travel Assist:

- The Acting Corporate Director for Young People informed Members that they have been able to reduce the proposed overspend.
- The Interim Finance Business Partner, Children & Young People stated that the budget had a previously non-delivered saving of £3.5m and they are putting in an extra £2.2m which means the budget has increased from £19m to £20m - £21.2m approximately and the £1.7m is to bridge the gap. However, Members were confused as this is not reflected in the factsheet (extract below):

The amount currently spent on the service and the proposed reduction is shown below

Total Spend 18/19	Income 18/19	Net Spend 18/19	Saving in 19/20	Saving in 20/21	Saving in 21/22	Saving in 22/23
£18.798m	(£0.403m)	£18.395m	(£1.718m)	(£2.488m)	(£2.488m)	(£2.488m)

- Members were concerned that demand is increasing and therefore there are concerns regarding deliverability. The Acting Corporate Director stated that they needed to look at the family of SEND services, including those of the partners and they will also be consulting with families etc. to help shape the service.

RESOLVED:

Update noted.

7. WORK PROGRAMME

(See document 9).

RESOLVED:

The work programme was noted and the following were agreed:

- The Ofsted inspection report for Children's Services to be discussed at the 13th February 2019 meeting.
- The Corporate Parenting item to be moved to the 13th March 2019 meeting.
- The updates on CSE, missing children, youth offending and FGM to be discussed at the 13th March 2019 meeting.
- The visit to the Children's Trust to be on the 17th April 2019 instead of 13th March 2019.

8. DATE OF NEXT MEETING

RESOLVED:

Noted the next meeting is scheduled to take place on Wednesday, 16th January 2019 at 1000 hours in Committee Rooms 3 & 4. However, the request for call in will need to be discussed before this date.

9. REQUEST(S) FOR CALL IN/COUNCILLOR CALL FOR ACTION/PETITIONS

The request for Call in for the Written Statement of Action (WSOA) – Special Educational Needs and Disability (SEND) decision is to be considered on Monday 17th December 2018 at 3.30pm.

10. OTHER URGENT BUSINESS

None.

11. AUTHORITY TO CHAIRMAN AND OFFICERS

RESOLVED:

That in an urgent situation between meetings the Chair, jointly with the relevant Chief Officer, has authority to act on behalf of the Committee.

The meeting ended at 12.00 hours.

BIRMINGHAM CITY COUNCIL

**CHILDREN'S SOCIAL CARE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY (O&S)
COMMITTEE – PUBLIC MEETING**

15:30 hours on Monday 17th December 2018, Ellen Pinsent Committee Room – Actions

Present:

Councillor Mohammed Aikhlaq (Chair)

Councillors: Diane Donaldson, Shabrana Hussain, Morriam Jan, Kerry Jenkins, Lucy Seymour-Smith and Alex Yip.

Also Present:

Councillor Kate Booth, Cabinet Member for Children's Wellbeing

Councillor Debbie Clancy

Councillor Kath Scott, Learning, Culture and Physical Activity O&S Committee

Anne Ainsworth, Acting Corporate Director for Children and Young People

Rose Kiely, Group Overview & Scrutiny Manager

Amanda Simcox, Scrutiny Officer

1. APOLOGIES

Apologies were submitted on behalf of Councillor Charlotte Hodivala.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS

None.

3. REQUEST FOR CALL IN: WRITTEN STATEMENT OF ACTION (WSOA) - SPECIAL EDUCATIONAL NEEDS AND DISABILITY (SEND)

(See documents 1, 2 and 3)

The Chair advised Members that the purpose of the meeting is to discuss whether the Committee should, or should not, exercise its power of Call In – that is: whether to formally request that the Executive reconsiders its decision.

The Committee is considering a request by two Members, Councillor Alex Yip and Councillor Debbie Clancy to call in a decision made on Friday 7 December 2018 by the Cabinet Member for Children's Wellbeing and the Acting Corporate Director for Children and Young People.

Members of the Learning, Culture and Physical Activity O&S Committee have been invited to attend committee meetings when SEND is being discussed and the Chair welcomed Councillor Kath Scott to the meeting.

4. REQUEST(S) FOR CALL IN/COUNCILLOR CALL FOR ACTION/PETITIONS RECEIVED (IF ANY)

No further requests for call in had been received.

5. OTHER URGENT BUSINESS

None.

6. EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC

The Chair stated 'that in view of the nature of the business to be transacted which includes Confidential Information: information given to the Council by a government department on terms which forbid its public disclosure. The public must be excluded from meetings for items where confidential information would be disclosed'.

The Committee agreed the resolution.

PRIVATE AGENDA

7. REQUEST FOR CALL IN: WRITTEN STATEMENT OF ACTION (WSOA) - SPECIAL EDUCATIONAL NEEDS AND DISABILITY (SEND)

Councillors: Debbie Clancy and Alex Yip presented the reasons for the call in request and Councillor Kate Booth, Cabinet Member for Children's Wellbeing and Anne Ainsworth, Acting Corporate Director for Children and Young People presented the reasons for the decision and confirmed there were background documents to support the WSOA that provided clarity.

RESOLVED:

The Cabinet Member for Children's Wellbeing agreed to circulate the background documents including the action plan and risk assessment to the Committee and Councillor Alex Yip agreed to withdraw the request for call-in.

8. OTHER URGENT BUSINESS (EXEMPT INFORMATION)

None.

9. AUTHORITY TO CHAIRMAN AND OFFICERS

RESOLVED:

That in an urgent situation between meetings the Chair, jointly with the relevant Chief Officer, has authority to act on behalf of the Committee.

The meeting ended at 16.35 hours.

Public Report

Birmingham City Council

Report to Cabinet

Date: 11 December 2018



Subject: YOUTH JUSTICE STRATEGIC PLAN 2018/19

Report of: Anne Ainsworth
Acting Corporate Director, Children and Young People

Relevant Cabinet Member: Councillor Kate Booth, Children's Wellbeing
Cllr Tristan Chatfield - Social Inclusion, Community Safety and Equalities

Relevant O &S Chair(s): Councillor Mohammed Aikhlaq, Children's Social Care

Report author: Dawn Roberts
Assistant Director,
Early Help, Family Support and Youth Justice
0121 464 0600
dawn.roberts@birminghamchildrenstrust.co.uk

Are specific wards affected?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All wards affected
If yes, name(s) of ward(s):		
Is this a key decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
If relevant, add Forward Plan Reference: 005729/2018		
Is the decision eligible for call-in?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Does the report contain confidential or exempt information?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If relevant, provide exempt information paragraph number or reason if confidential :		

1 Executive Summary

- 1.1 The Local Authority has a statutory duty under Section 40 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 to consult with partner agencies to prepare and implement an

annual Youth Justice Strategic Plan, setting out how Youth Justice Services are to be provided and funded and how the youth offending team or teams established by them are to be composed and funded, how they are to operate, and what functions they are to carry out, outlining the contributions of the City Council and its partners towards the principal aim for the youth justice system: 'the prevention of offending by children and young people'. The Youth Justice Service is provided on behalf of the Council by Birmingham Children's Trust.

- 1.2 The Birmingham Youth Justice Strategic Plan reviews the performance of the Service and its partners in 2017/18 in relation to the three national indicators: first time entrants into the criminal justice system; reducing reoffending and reducing the use of custody. The Service's Management Board also monitors the performance of other local indicators identified as significant contributors to achieving broader outcomes, including a young offenders' engagement in suitable full-time Education, Training and Employment (ETE), which in relation to this, the Service continues to perform well against the national average and other Core Cities.
- 1.3 The plan identifies the barriers for these cohorts highlighting progress made and sets out priorities and on-going actions across partner agencies to address performance over the next 12 months.
- 1.4 In the period 01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018, the Youth Offending Service worked with 968 young people on court ordered and preventative programmes, representing a decrease of 14.9% from 2016/17. The first time entrant rate fell to 453 young people per 100,000 compared with 545 per 100,000 in 2016, representing an improvement of 16.8%, however Birmingham is performing less well compared to the national average and other Core Cities. Birmingham has sustained one of the lowest re-offending rates of all core cities and is below the national average; however, challenges remain in relation to the use of custody where Birmingham has a higher rate of custodial sentences than the national average, although within the range of other Core Cities.

2 Recommendations

- 2.1 That Cabinet approves the Youth Justice Strategic Plan 2018/19, taking into account the financial implications and the priority actions identified, and forwards to Full Council to adopt.

3 Background

- 3.1 In the period 01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018, the Service worked with 968 young people on court ordered and preventative programmes. 549 (56.7%) of these were existing clients. This compares with 1138 young people, of whom 547 (48.1%) were existing clients, the previous year: a decrease of 14.9% from 2016/17. The majority of these young people were male 849 (87.7%) and young women 119 (12.3%). 17 year olds were the most prevalent age in the Service's caseload. Though the overall number of young people worked with by the

Service has fallen, a significant number continue to present with complex needs and high risk behaviours and the Service has increased its intensive interventions from 17.2% in 2016/17 to 20% in 2017/18. In addition, the Service worked with approximately 1039 parents and siblings under its 'Think Family' responsibilities.

- 3.2 The Plan outlines the types of crime committed by young people in the Youth Justice System. The most prevalent offences include Violence Against the Person, which has increased from 514 in 2016/17 to 565 in 2017/18. Theft, motoring and robbery offences have decreased however drug offences have seen a 60.4% increase to 170 drug related offences. The Service has seen an increase in young people on its knife possession programme from 173 to 237.
- 3.3 The first time entrant rate fell between April 2017 and March 2018 to 453 young people per 100,000 (541 young people), compared with 545 per 100,000 in 2016. This is an improvement of 16.8%, however Birmingham is performing less well compared to the national average and the majority of other Core Cities.
- 3.4 Birmingham has sustained one of the lowest re-offending rates of all core cities and is below the national average. Birmingham's reoffending cohort comprised of 1110 young offenders, the largest across the core cities, with 36.1% re-offending, which was one of the lowest percentages of reoffenders of all core cities and compared with 41.9% nationally.
- 3.5 Challenges remain in relation to the use of custody. Birmingham has a higher rate of custodial sentences than the national average, although within the range of other Core Cities. The number of custodial sentences in Birmingham decreased in the 2017/18 period to 94. This compares to 96 custodial sentences in 2016/17 and has been reducing year on year from the 253 young people sentenced in 2007/08.
- 3.6 The Service continues to monitor education outcomes as a local indicator in recognition of the importance of building and sustaining resilience and protective factors. School aged young people engaged in full time education at the end of their order has increased from 82.4% during 2016/17 to 84.4% in 2017/18. The Birmingham Children's Trust and Birmingham City Council Education Managers have established triage and panel arrangements for all those young people not in suitable or full time education and this will support those young people post Order. Post school aged engagement into full time training or employment has reduced from 73.03% to 69.2% however these young people continue to receive support from the Youth Promise Plus programme.
- 3.7 Within the Youth Offending Service all young people are screened for issues of safety and well-being. Between 01/04/2017 and 31/03/2018, 968 young people were assessed for safety and well-being compared with 1138 young people in the previous year. 377 (38.9%) young people were identified with medium or higher vulnerabilities, requiring increased responses to mitigate these, compared with 39.3% in the previous year. The proportion of those assessed

with 'High' or 'Very High' vulnerabilities increased to 10.73% in 2017/18 compared with 9.67% in 2016/17. Responses include referrals to Children's Safeguarding Services, Child and Adolescent Mental Health, intensive family and mentoring interventions, substance misuse and alcohol treatment services.

- 3.8 The management of young offenders subject to court orders is a key responsibility of the Youth Offending Service. Compared with 2016/17, 2017/18 saw 344 (35.5%) young people assessed as medium or higher risk to others, compared with 418 (36.7%). There has been a decrease in the proportion of young people assessed as high or very high risk to others from 8.5% to 7.84%. The Youth Offending Service continues to lead and chair local Risk and Vulnerability panels in each of the five area teams to discuss those young people assessed at medium to high risk of reoffending, harm and vulnerability. Those young people assessed as posing a high or very high risk to the public from re-offending or causing harm to others are subject to more intensive multi-agency offender management arrangements to address concerns.
- 3.9 The Plan identifies that Criminal Exploitation, including "County Lines" and gang affiliation are complex and developing areas of youth justice, social work and family support practice. Young people at risk of or being criminally exploited or those affiliated to gangs are influenced by their peer group and adults external to the family network and broader partnership work is required that addresses these extra-familial contexts that are often outside the control of their families. Many young people may not relate to their experience as being abusive or exploitative however a number of young people want to end their association with this activity but continue because of coercion and threats to them or their families and are unable to communicate this to professionals including police or their families. The Plan outlines the partnership work across the statutory, voluntary and community sector to ensure early identification of all young people exploited to safeguard and support them to make positive life choices and the partnership work to disrupt exploitation.

4 Options considered and Recommended Proposal

- 4.1 The Youth Justice Plan is the City Council's response to the requirement in the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 (Section 40) that every Local Authority should join together with partner agencies to produce an annual Youth Justice Plan.

5 Consultation

- 5.1 Cllr Tristan Chatfield, Cabinet Member for Social Inclusion, Community Safety and Equalities and Equality and Chair of the Youth Offending Service Management Board has been consulted on the plan. Consultation has also taken place with Councillor Cllr Mohammed Aikhlaq, Chair of Children's Social Care Overview and Scrutiny Committee and Councillor Brett O'Reilly, Cabinet Member for Jobs and Finance and Resources. There has been consultation and engagement with relevant Birmingham City Council services. The Youth Offending governance structure includes representation from these services.

6 Risk Management

- 6.1 The Youth Justice Plan highlights risks to future delivery and the controls to manage that risk.

7 Compliance Issues:

7.1 How are the recommended decisions consistent with the City Council's priorities, plans and strategies?

7.1.1 The Plan is consistent with the Early Help Strategy and Safeguarding Improvement Plan for Birmingham Children's Trust and contributes to the Council Business Plan, which includes working together to make people safe, especially the most vulnerable; improving health and wellbeing and ensuring that young people are in employment, training or education. It focuses on how partners in Birmingham will ensure that services for children and young people in the City are delivered in a manner which reduces youth crime and re-offending, protects the public from harm, promotes safeguarding, takes into account the views of victims and utilises restorative justice where appropriate. The participation of members of the community as volunteers and Referral Order Panel members increases the transparency and accountability of the Service, contributing to a reduction in the fear of crime within communities.

7.2 Legal Implications

7.1.2 There is a statutory duty upon each local authority, pursuant to S40 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998, to formulate and implement, after consultation with the relevant persons and bodies each year, a Youth Justice Plan. The Plan sets out how youth justice services in their area are to be provided and funded; and how the youth offending team or teams established by them (whether alone or jointly with one or more other local authorities) are to be composed and funded, how they are to operate, and what functions they are to carry out.

7.3 Financial Implications

7.3.1 The plan outlines the resource and funding arrangements for the Youth Offending Service, including those from its statutory partners, the Youth Justice Board and the Community Safety, Police and Crime Board.

7.3.2 The plan identifies the agreed funding for 2018/19, the pressures within these budgets and how Birmingham Children's Trust, as provider of this Service, will manage these resources. The Birmingham Children's Trust Assistant Director and Head of Service continue to work with the senior management team and partners to implement a strategy to address the challenges to funding and to meet the savings the Service is required to make, which includes an on-going review of the Youth Offending service operating model.

7.3.3 The Youth Offending Service partnership's overall delegated funding for 2018/19 is £7,895,423, of which the City Council's contribution (£3,963,838) for staffing is funded from the Birmingham Children's Trust (BCT) approved budget. There are funding pressures in this area that the Children's Trust has to manage within its overall financial envelope

7.3.4 The Birmingham Children's Trust Youth Justice Service continues to experience significant budget pressure in relation to the remand budget. The total funding from the Youth Justice Board and the Local Authority for remands in 2018/19 is £715,615. The total cost of remands for 2017/18 was £1,611,230, a shortfall of £1,055,120. Overall the Youth Justice Board Remand grant has been reduced by £239,632 in the last six years. For 2018/19 the Youth Justice Board grant has increased by £159,503 compared with 2017/18. The service is currently holding six front line vacant posts as a mitigation measure in response to this budgetary pressure and is continuing to explore other mitigating actions.

7.4 Public Sector Equality Duty

7.4.1 Cabinet is asked to give due regard to the Equality Assessment at Appendix 2. The Plan supports key outcomes in relation to improved life chances for vulnerable people and safer communities. Cabinet is particularly asked to consider the analysis of disproportionality, which sets out offender data based on a group's representation in the context of the general population.

7.4.2 Black or Black British young people remain over-represented in the Criminal Justice System in relation to the general 10 - 17 population. The proportion of offenders from Black or Black British background increased slightly from 21.8% in 2016/17 to 23.7% in 2017/18. The Partnership continues to take action to reduce this over-representation including contributing to preventative work to reduce school exclusions and gang affiliation, which is significant to this agenda.

7.4.3 Children in Care (CIC) are an especially vulnerable group and their prevalence in the youth justice system is regularly monitored and reported upon. In 2016/17, 263 young people were currently or had previously been looked after at the point of receiving a substantive outcome.

7.4.4 The latest Local Authority returns identified that 42 (4.6%) of the 912 children aged 10 or older who had been looked after for more than 12 months had a conviction or were made subject to a youth caution during the period 1st April 2016 - 31st March 2017, a small reduction from 54 (5.14%) in 2015/16. This compares with the national average of 4.50% and has been supported by Police and Crown Prosecution Service practices to reduce criminalisation of young people in care for minor offences such as criminal damage. This data will be refreshed by central government in December 2018.

- 7.4.5 Young people with a history of being looked after were more likely to be sentenced to custody, with custodial sentences comprising 17.9% (19 young people) of all CIC sentencing. Despite the high proportion being sentenced to custody, young people with a history of being looked after only constituted 5.2% of First Time Entrants during the period. However, young people with a history of being looked after were less likely to be in full time ETE at the end of their order (64.5%) than those who had never been looked after (76.9%).
- 7.4.6 Of the young people remanded to the secure estate during the period, 10 (17.8%) were looked after at the time of remand. These young people accounted for 23.6% (661) of the 3187 remand bed nights during the period.
- 7.4.7 To ensure that Children in Care are not disadvantaged by being allocated to a new worker when a new placement moves them from one catchment area to another, the Service allocates a worker to them from their 'home' team and this worker is responsible for ensuring they receive the necessary support and intervention irrespective of where they are placed, either within the city or an out-of-city placement. In addition to Birmingham Children in Care, the Service also provides a service to other local authorities who place their young people within Birmingham.

8 Background Documents

- 8.1 List of Appendices accompanying this Report:
1. 2018/19 Youth Justice Strategic Plan
 2. Equality Impact Needs Analysis 2018



**BIRMINGHAM
CHILDREN'S TRUST**



Birmingham City Council

Birmingham Youth Justice Strategic Plan 2018 - 2019



**National
Probation
Service**



Table of Contents

Introduction to the Youth Justice Plan	2
Purpose of the Plan	2
Background	2
What Works	4
Evidenced-based practice	5
Vulnerable Adolescents	5
Self-assessment surveys	6
Case Management Plus	6
Structure and governance	7
Partnership arrangements	9
Review of 2017/2018 performance	10
How we measure performance and quality	10
Performance against the three Youth Justice priorities	12
Asset Plus	15
Safeguarding	16
Children in Care	18
Summary of 2017/18 priorities	22
Offending Profile	24
Priorities for 2018/2019	30
Resources and Value for money	35
Funding	35
Remands to Custody.....	36
Value for money.....	37
Training, Learning and Development.....	37
Risks to future delivery	40
Approval and sign off	43
Appendix 1: Working with children, young people and their families	44
Appendix 2: Glossary	58

Introduction to the Youth Justice Plan

Purpose of the Plan

There is a statutory requirement in the Crime and Disorder Act 1998, Section 40, for every local authority, after consultation with partner agencies, to produce and implement an annual youth justice plan. The plan must set out how local youth justice services are to be provided and funded. There is a requirement for the Plan to be submitted to the national Youth Justice Board and published in accordance with the directions of the Secretary of State.

The principal aim of the Youth Justice System, established by Section 37 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998, is to prevent offending and re-offending by children and young people aged 10-17 years. Local Youth Justice Services are delivered and managed through Youth Offending Services, which are multi-agency partnerships with statutory representation from local authorities (specifically Social Care and Education), the Police, Probation and Health. The model brings together a range of agencies with expertise in welfare and enforcement practices to improve outcomes. The majority of the services are prescribed by statute or policy.

Birmingham Youth Offending Service is the largest metropolitan Youth Offending Service in the country and is identified as the most complex by the Youth Justice Board given its urban context. The service works in partnership to achieve the national Youth Justice strategic objectives which are to:

- Prevent offending
- Reduce re-offending
- Reduce anti-social behaviour
- Increase victim and public confidence
- Ensure the safe and effective use of custody.

This plan outlines the governance arrangements, including the role of the Youth Offending Service Management Board, which ensures the statutory requirements are met. The Board has responsibility for overseeing the performance of the Birmingham Youth Justice Partnership against national and local outcomes, maximising its collective resources and contributing to wider priorities as set out in Council and partnership plans. Strong partnership working is essential across criminal justice and children's welfare services to ensure continuous improvements in outcomes related to the prevention and reduction of offending by young people, public protection and the safeguarding of children and young people. The plan outlines our current performance benchmarked against comparators, outlines the latest evidence on what works and outlines the priorities for 2018/19 which have been informed by self-assessment surveys by young people.

Background

Birmingham is a richly diverse city with a population of over a million people and has one of the youngest populations of any European city. The latest census figures identify that over 26% (274,135) of the population is under 18 years and 58% of these are from minority ethnic backgrounds. There are approximately 117,000 10-17-year olds. Birmingham is a city with areas of significant deprivation and as a result, although many children and young people achieve good outcomes, others face a range of challenges, particularly in terms of their wellbeing and staying safe.

Section 39 (1) of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 requires the cooperation of the named statutory partners (Local Authority, Police, Probation and Health) to form a Youth Offending Service, which includes staffing contributions from those statutory partners. The Service must provide the main supervisory elements of statutory youth justice services:

- Assessment and management of risk and safeguarding;
- Effective interventions.

This supports:

- Appropriate Adult Services and Pre-Court Interventions, including Cautions and Community Resolutions;
- Young people subject to civil and criminal anti-social behaviour contracts and orders;
- Young people remanded in custody and local authority care, and those requiring intensive bail support in the community;
- Court orders managed in the community, including the provision of a lay youth panel to discharge the responsibilities of Referral Orders;
- Parenting Contracts and Orders;
- Restorative Justice to support victims;
- Sentence planning for young people in custody and their supervision on release.

The youth justice system works by addressing risk and vulnerability factors such as family breakdown, educational underachievement, substance misuse, mental illness, recent bereavement or loss within the family and building resilience as the best way to reduce a young person's risk of offending and re-offending.

The Service monitors the three Youth Justice Board national priorities: preventing young people entering the youth justice system; reducing re-offending; and reducing use of the secure estate. In addition to the three national youth justice indicators, the Service's Management Board monitors the performance of other local indicators identified as significant contributors to achieving broader outcomes. This includes a young offenders' engagement in suitable full-time Education, Training and Employment (ETE) at the end of their order. The Service also contributes to the Birmingham Community Safety Partnership Strategic Assessment and the West Midlands Combined Authority Youth Justice Strategic Needs Assessment

The first time entrant rate fell between April 2017 and March 2018 to 453 young people per 100,000 compared with 545 per 100,000 in 2016. This is an improvement of 16.8%. However, Birmingham is performing less well compared to the national average and the majority of other Core Cities.

Birmingham has sustained one of the lowest re-offending rates of all Core Cities and is below the national average. Birmingham's reoffending cohort comprised of 1110 young offenders, the largest across the Core Cities, with 36.1% re-offending, which was one of the lowest percentages of reoffenders of all core cities and compared with 41.9% nationally.

Challenges remain in relation to the use of custody. Birmingham has a higher rate of custodial sentences than the national average, although within the range of other Core Cities. The number of custodial sentences in Birmingham decreased in the 2017/18 period to 94. This compares to 96 custodial sentences in 2016/17 and has been reducing year on year from the 253 young people sentenced in 2007/08.

What Works

HMIP research, interviews with young people in the youth justice system and local practitioner intelligence supports the adoption of the principles of desistance in supporting children and young people to move away from offending. This approach takes into account the wider social context of children and young people's behaviour and acknowledges the fundamental importance of trusted professional relationships as a medium for change. This includes offering personalised interventions to each young person to remove structural barriers, including exclusions from education, training and employment. It also promotes engagement with the wider social context especially the family but also peers, schools, colleges and work, creating opportunities for change enhancing social inclusion and promoting individual change, including addressing young people's sense of worth and identity whilst ensuring appropriate access to mental health and substance misuse services and developing skills to maximise opportunities.

Asset Plus, an assessment and planning framework, implemented nationally by the Youth Justice Board, contains materials premised on desistance theory and the practical application of desistance. The Service has implemented Asset Plus, which allows for the personalisation of desistance support for children and young people.

Addressing youth violence is a key target of the Youth Offending Service and its partners: understanding Risk and Protective factors is fundamental to our approach. Research has identified the risk and protective factors that make youth violence more or less likely to occur at the level of the individual, family and peer relationships, the community and society.

At the individual level, risk factors can include a history of involvement in crime, delinquency and aggressive behaviour; psychological conditions such as hyperactivity and conduct disorder; and the harmful use of alcohol and illicit drugs. At close relationships level, the risk factors include growing up with poor parental supervision, having experienced harsh and inconsistent discipline by parents, domestic abuse within the family, parental involvement in crime and associating with delinquent peers. Risk factors at community level include neighbourhood crime, gangs and a local supply of guns and illicit drugs, ease of access to alcohol; unemployment, high levels of economic inequality and concentrated poverty.

Protective factors may be distinct from risk and, as a result, can be considered to interact with risk factors to reduce their influence on the development of violent behaviour – for example a warm and supportive relationship with a parent will not address the family's low socio-economic status or parental substance misuse problem but it does buffer the child from the adverse effects of poverty or inconsistent parenting. Protective factors include low impulsivity, commitment of and to school, a warm and supportive relationship with a parent or carer or significant adult, positive peer relations and positive aspiration.

A comprehensive approach for preventing youth violence includes intervening at all levels to address risk factors and generate protective factors. Relationship based practice with young people and their families, effective parenting interventions, early childhood development, school-based life and social skills training, therapeutic approaches (such as cognitive behaviour therapies) and policies to reduce access to and the harmful use of alcohol and illegal substances have all shown promise in preventing youth violence. At community and societal level, community and problem-orientated policing, including reducing knife possession and the supply and distribution of drugs, effective approaches to reducing substance misuse and access to firearms aim to address wider risk factors.

Evidenced-based practice

Birmingham Youth Offending Service and its broader partners deliver or commission a range of assessments, services and interventions informed by research and best practice. These include: Asset Plus, AIM2, Triple P Positive Parenting Teen, Multi Systemic Therapy, Restorative Justice, Family Group Conferencing; Good Lives; Cognitive Behavioural Therapy; Dialectical Behaviour Therapy; Female Gender Specific Interventions and trauma informed approaches. In the past year, the Service has offered an intervention 'Parents and Children Together' to respond to an increase in violence from young people to their parents.

These are embedded within a model focused on relationship based practice which recognises the importance of a trusted relationship to support the engagement in evidence-based interventions and approaches.

The Service has adopted a whole family response under the city's 'Think Family' approach and delivering a systematic assessment of the young person within the context of the family. Across the Service, front-line practitioners have been trained in 'Working with Complex Families (Level 4, City and Guilds)' alongside an equivalent for front-line managers, to promote a consistent approach across the city. The Service is able to draw on the input of a range of disciplines through seconded staff (mental health, substance misuse, education link mentors, social workers and probation officers and police youth crime officers) and create or support access to opportunities (education / employment) for all family members.

We continue to gather evidence of impact through direct feedback from young people and their families, distance travelled tools, including pre and post Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaires (SDQ), alongside the regular case reviews and the intelligence developed within the Service.

It is widely recognised that there is a high prevalence of neurodevelopmental disorders amongst young people involved in the criminal justice system. In relation to special educational needs, research demonstrates that between 23 and 32% of young people in custody have a diagnosable learning disability (Hughes et al 2012) and research has remained consistent in identifying that approximately 1 in 5 young people who offend have an IQ of below 70. Furthermore, research has indicated that young people who engage in offending behaviour experience greater difficulties with executive functioning than their non-offending peers.

Vulnerable Adolescents

Criminal exploitation, including "County Lines" and gang affiliation, are complex and developing areas of youth justice, social work and family support practice. Protecting children from risks outside the family home requires a different approach to how YOT workers, social workers, family support workers and multi-agency partners traditionally safeguard children. Children at risk of, or being, criminally exploited or those affiliated to gangs are influenced by their peer group and adults external to the family network and broader partnership work is required that addresses these extra-familial contexts that are often outside the control of their families including disrupting the exploitation. Factors within the home such as domestic violence can also lead to young people avoiding the home environment and becoming more vulnerable.

Many children may not relate to their experience as being abusive or exploitative. However, a number of children want to end their association with this activity but continue because of coercion and threats to them or their families and are unable to communicate this to professionals including police or their

families. Extensive evidence has established the importance of relational working for young people, as well as the work that is required with families, for addressing the impact of extra-familial risk on them.

The most effective method to prevent children becoming involved in gangs and/or criminal exploitation is early identification to help young people make positive life choices and distance themselves from gang-related activity. Right Help Right Time guidance and Birmingham's Front Door arrangements (CASS/MASH) have been strengthened to recognise the potential significant harm for these children. 'Contextual safeguarding' is a theory developed by Dr Carlene Firmin, MBE, of the University of Bedfordshire, which supports an approach to understanding and responding to children's experiences of significant harm beyond their families. It is recognised that the different relationships children form in their neighbourhoods, schools and online can feature violence and abuse. Parents and carers can have little influence over these contexts and young people's experiences of extra-familial abuse can undermine parent-child relationships and parent/carer capacity to keep them safe.

Self-assessment surveys

The Partnership priorities have been informed by feedback from 681 recent self-assessment surveys completed by young people between 01 April 2017 and 31 March 2018:

- 93 (13.7%) reported living with others who got into trouble with the police.
- 267 (39.2%) had lost someone special from their life.
- 33 (4.8%) drank alcohol too much and 45 (6.6%) used drugs too much.
- 59 (8.7%) had thoughts about hurting themselves and 50 (7.3%) had thoughts about killing themselves.
- 440 (64.6%) get angry and lose their temper.
- 367 (53.9%) had friends who got into trouble.
- 112 (16.4%) wanted help with reading
- 123 (18.1%) wanted help with writing.
- 308 (45.2%) admitted to truanting from school.

Case Management Plus

The Case Management Plus (CMP) service was recently established in response to the changing cohort of young people known to the Service where we are identifying an increase in the number of young people who are presenting with increasingly complex needs and more serious offending. The service is led by a Senior Forensic Psychologist, supported by an Assistant Psychologist and Trainee Psychologists on placement.

The primary focus is to support case managers in their assessments and interventions with young people, particularly those who have difficulty engaging or who are considered to be problematic and prolific young offenders. All case managers and managers have received 2 days training, which covered child development, attachment, trauma, clinical supervision and formulation. Partners including Forward Thinking Birmingham, Police, SOVA and Aquarius attended sessions.

Training was completed in March 2018 and feedback from the training was extremely positive and demonstrated a significant improvement in the understanding of staff in these key areas.

Since March 2018 area teams have selected young people who they feel would benefit from a detailed, multi-disciplinary approach to case planning. The case manager, team manager and other relevant professionals attend information-sharing meetings, facilitated by a member of staff from the CMP service, to develop genograms and timelines and build up a team formulation of the young person's difficulties and needs. This formulation is developed using psychological theory and allows for a holistic understanding of the young person and their family. Following this, a bespoke intervention plan is developed to meet these specific, identified needs.

A key feature of the CMP service is clinical supervision and this is offered to case managers who are working with young people open to the CMP team. Clinical supervision provides a space for case managers to think about how the young person is experiencing the service they are receiving and to explore any potential impact of work on the case manager. This is an essential part of the service as case managers are often working with young people who have experienced significant trauma and other adverse childhood experiences and the nature of this work can be challenging.

To-date, the service has provided support to case managers working with a cohort of young people assessed as 'high risk of re-offending and /or high risk of serious harm to others', with at least six months remaining on their current order. These include young people with current or historic involvement with Children's Service on a Child in Need or Child Protection plan. Initial feedback has been positive with case managers reporting that the process helps them to feel well supported and aids their intervention planning. More detailed outcomes will be available in 2019 and it is anticipated that there will be a reduction in re-offending, a reduction in the number of breaches and improvements in the therapeutic relationship between case managers and young people with on-going improvements in management and partnership collaboration and oversight.

The Service is planning to continue developing its offer to expand its psychological assessments and therapeutic interventions.

Structure and governance

Youth Offending Services were established under the statutory provisions of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998. The Act sets out the requirement for local Youth Offending Teams to comprise the four statutory agencies: The Local Authority (including Children's Services), Police, Probation and Health. The primary duty to ensure a Youth Offending Service, and appropriate youth justice services are in place, rests with the Chief Executive of the local authority.

Accompanying the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 was an inter-departmental circular on "Establishing Youth Offending Teams" that set out the requirements for a governing chief officer steering group. In 2004 the YJB published "Sustaining the Success: Extending the Guidance, Establishing Youth Offending Teams", which set down the requirements for steering groups to transfer into governing YOT Management Boards. The role and responsibilities of Youth Offending Teams and their governing Management Boards are regulated by National Standards.

YOS Management Boards are primarily responsible for:

- Providing strategic direction and delivering the principal aim of reducing offending and re-offending;
- Ensuring there is a collective response to preventing and reducing youth crime;

- Determining how appropriate youth justice services are to be provided and funded;
- Ensuring the effective delivery of justice services for children and young people;
- Ensuring that children and young people involved in the youth justice system have access to universal and specialist services delivered by partners and other key agencies;
- Ensuring that the services delivered reference the responsibility towards victims of youth crime.

Birmingham Youth Offending Service Management Board meets quarterly and is chaired by the Cabinet Member for Social Inclusion, Community Safety and Equalities, with the Head of National Probation Service, Birmingham, as Deputy Chair. Board members comprise representatives of each of the statutory partners, in addition to representation of the Chair of the Youth Bench, a community member and other local partners.

Cabinet approval was given in January 2017 to create a voluntary **Birmingham Children's Trust** in the context of developing and sustaining good practice, with a single focus on improving outcomes for Birmingham's most disadvantaged children and families. A shadow period from April 2017 to March 2018 was put in place to test the governance arrangements between the Council and the Trust prior to full transition, which took place in April 2018. Services that transferred into the Trust included the resources and BCC staff within the Youth Offending Service under TUPE arrangements. Staff continue to be well-managed and supported alongside targeted commissioning with a focus on delivery. The Council remains accountable for the welfare and wellbeing of children and young people and for improving outcomes. Through a Service Delivery Contract with the Council, the Trust is responsible for determining how those outcomes of most relevance to its work are achieved and for the day-to-day running of Children's Services. There are no current plans to make any major changes to the organisational structure of the Children's Services including the current YOS operating model. The Birmingham Safeguarding Children Board's current role is to ensure the effectiveness of co-operation between agencies in safeguarding and promoting the welfare of children and young people. This recognises that the development of the Trust sits within a wider outcomes framework that must have regard for the wellbeing of all Birmingham's children and young people and for the associated outcomes to which all agencies, including the Trust, will contribute.

The Assistant Director responsible for the Youth Offending Service is also the strategic lead for the Early Help Strategy and is joint chair of the **Birmingham Early Help and Safeguarding Partnership**, co-ordinating early help services across the partnership, Family Support and the 'Think Family' Programme (Birmingham's response to the national 'Troubled Families' programme). The Assistant Director is also one of the senior managers across agencies supporting the strategic responsibilities under the Community Safety Partnership.

Birmingham Youth Offending Service has five multi-agency Youth Offending Teams based across the city; a city-wide alternative to custody in its Intensive Supervision and Surveillance (ISS) Team, a Court, Bail and Remand Team and a Harmful Sexual Behaviour Team, which is targeted at children and young people aged 6 – 17 years. In addition to statutory partners based in the service (Probation, Social Care, Health and Police) there are co-located specialist staff supporting outcomes based in the Service, including: restorative practice workers supporting victims of crime; parenting workers offering individual and group work support; an accommodation officer (St Basils); specialist autism worker (Barnados); disrupting exploitation staff (Children's Society); substance misuse staff (Aquarius) and training and employment mentors (SOVA).

Partnership arrangements

The Youth Offending Service is a member of, or represented in, key partnerships and forums, providing the opportunity to highlight the needs and risks of those young people involved in the youth justice system, or at risk of entering it. These include the following:

- Birmingham Early Help and Safeguarding Partnership
- Safeguarding Children's Board
- Birmingham Community Safety, Police and Crime Board
- NEET Action Group
- Strategic Child Sexual Exploitation Sub Group
- CASS/MASH Partnership Forum
- Police and Schools Panels
- Substance Misuse Strategy and Commissioning Group
- Integrated Offender Management Strategic Group
- Prevent Strategy Group
- Birmingham Guns, Gangs and Organised Criminality Strategic Group
- 0-18 Mental Health Transformation Board

During 2017/18 the Service has continued to build on partnership working by:

- Working collaboratively with partners in relation to gangs and serious violent crime to maximise opportunities to manage high risk offenders and increase interventions that reduce risk and vulnerability;
- Prioritising strategies to prevent and reduce anti- social behaviour and youth crime. The Service continues to support the city-wide School and Police Panels and working collaboratively with education colleagues in Birmingham City Council to improve school attendance and reduce exclusions.
- Fulfilling the requirements under the Service's 'Think Family' Investment Agreement, which includes achieving positive outcomes for families defined under the agreement, including Department of Work and Pensions staff, to promote training and employment opportunities for young adults and parents within families;
- Working closely with colleagues in the Economy Directorate of BCC to support the Youth Employment Initiative. In 2017/18 this enabled the deployment of eight intervention workers across the Service in addition to the introduction of enhanced innovative offers, including entrepreneurial support and engagement activities to develop healthy lifestyles and improve motivation and attitudes for the workplace.
- Delivering a restorative justice project with Centro aimed at young people who commit minor offences whilst on public transport;
- Continuing the secondment of a worker into the Special School Consortium to continue to develop work under the 'Pathfinder' programme with external funders and the University of Birmingham, aimed at preventing and reducing offending by this cohort.
- Resourcing managers from the Service into the partnership arrangements at the 'front-door' (Children's Advice and Support Service) to share information relating to risk and vulnerability and to joint plan.

Review of 2017/2018 performance

How we measure performance and quality

The Service monitors the three Youth Justice Board national priorities: preventing young people entering the youth justice system; reducing re-offending; and reducing use of the secure estate.

In addition to the three national youth justice indicators, the Service's Management Board monitors the performance of other local indicators identified as significant contributors to achieving broader outcomes. This includes a young offenders' engagement in suitable full-time Education, Training and Employment (ETE) at the end of their order. The Service also contributes to the Birmingham Community Safety Partnership Strategic Assessment and the West Midlands Combined Authority Youth Justice Strategic Needs Assessment.

The Service contributes data to the city-wide Children's Services data-sharing hub (Sentinel) which brings together, cleans and matches data from the Raise (Youth Offending), CareFirst (Social Care) and Impulse (Education) case management systems to provide a holistic 'single view' of a client's interaction with the various services. The range of data being collected and combined by Sentinel is currently being expanded to support the 'Think Family' agenda and the Service is engaged in this work.

The Youth Offending Service performance framework has been developed to support individual case workers and managers in delivering quality interventions to young people and their families. A number of individual strands underpin this and are supported by the Service's case management system:

1. Weekly workload sheets for individual case workers and managers, identifying pending and outstanding assessments, plans and reviews;
2. Monthly case file audits/practice evaluations;
3. Audits of all cases where the young person has been re-arrested;
4. Quarterly performance reviews;
5. Learning from Strength and Difficulties Questionnaires (SDQ);
6. Learning from feedback from young people and families;
7. Learning from Thematic inspections and serious incidents.

Young people and their families

In the period 01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018, the Service worked with 968 young people on court ordered and preventative programmes. 549 (56.7%) of these were existing clients. This compares with 1138 young people, of whom 547 (48.1%) were existing clients, the previous year: a decrease of 14.9% from 2016/17. Though the overall number of young people worked with by the Service has fallen, a significant number continue to present with complex needs and high risk behaviours and the Service has increased its intensive interventions from 17.2% in 2016/17 to 20% in 2017/18.

In addition, the Service worked with approximately 1039 parents and siblings under its 'Think Family' responsibilities

Age and gender

The majority of young people worked with during 2017/18 were male (849, 87.7%). Females accounted for 119 clients (12.3%). 17 year olds were the most prevalent age in the Service's caseload. This is not significantly different from the previous year.

Ethnicity

Those young people from a Black, Black British or Dual Heritage remain over-represented as a proportion of the clients of the Service, whilst the Asian or Asian British population is under-represented.

The Service's intervention programmes take into account the cultural and religious needs of the young person and their family observances as laid down in legislation and National Standards. The programmes promote better behaviour by young people, which is reinforced by the compliance and breach procedures. Group work establishes the opportunity for all young people to interact in a positive manner and Restorative Justice approaches ensure that victims are supported and young offenders can take responsibility for their actions. The Service engages translation services where necessary and has actively recruited staff with appropriate language skills to work with groups of young people who speak very little English.

The Service has taken a number of actions, including contributing to preventative work to reduce school exclusions and commissioning programmes to reduce gang affiliation, which are significant to this agenda, and is also working with faith-based organisations to address issues. The young black men's empowerment programme, 'The Journey', works with young black men by strengthening protective factors to enable desistance.

The Service runs interventions which are specific to British Asian/Muslim boys, which are designed to prevent radicalisation and promote greater life chances. Work is also on-going to reduce extremism by white young people.




Figure 1: Number of clients worked with by Age and Gender, 01 April 2017 – 31 March 2018

	Number of young people aged 10 -17	% of 10 - 17 population	Number of offenders worked with	% of 10 - 17 offending population
Asian or Asian British	39,459	33.5%	205	21.2%
Black or Black British	12,633	10.7%	230	23.7%
Chinese or other ethnic group	2,804	2.4%	24	2.5%
Mixed	9,936	8.4%	115	11.9%
White	53,042	45.0%	395	40.8%
Total	117,874		969	

Figure 2: Ethnicity of clients worked with, 01 April 2017 – 31 Mar 2018

Performance against the three Youth Justice priorities

Reducing the number of first time entrants

First time entrants (FTEs) are young people, resident in England and Wales, who received their first, caution or court conviction. The figures are presented as a number and as a rate per 100,000 of the 10-17 year local population.

The first-time entrant rate fell between April 2017 and March 2018 to 453 young people per 100,000 compared with 545 per 100,000 in 2016/17. This comprised 541 young people in 2017/18, down from 648 young people the previous year. This is an improvement of 16.8%.




Figure 4: First-time entrants, rate per 100,000. Core cities comparison 2017/2018

Of the outcomes given to first time entrants, 43.4% received pre-court outcomes, 47.0% first-tier outcomes, 6.8% community penalties, and 2.8% were sentenced to custody. 92 had previous Community Resolutions (17.0%).




Figure 3: First-time entrants per 100,000 by year, 2014/15 – 2017/18

The majority of the 541 young people who were first time entrants were aged 15-17, with 43% aged 16 or older. 84 (15.5%) of first time entrants were female. The most prevalent offences amongst first time entrants were Violence against the Person, Theft and Handling Stolen Goods, and Motoring offences.

447 Community Resolutions were issued in the 12 month period; these do not count as substantive outcomes and therefore do not feature in the First Time Entrants figures. They are however, an alternative to the formal Youth Justice System. All Community Resolutions are assessed with the Police at a Joint Decision Making panel to identify whether the young person and/or their families need additional support provided through the Service's 'Think Family' responsibilities. This includes young people who have been excluded from school for significant behaviour problems. Of the 541 first time entrants, 176 (32.5%) had an identified special educational need.

Reducing re-offending

A proven re-offence is defined as any offence committed in a one year follow-up period and receiving a court conviction, reprimand or warning in the one year follow up or a further six months waiting period.

Within this cohort were 1110 young offenders, the largest across the Core Cities, with 36.1% re-offending, which was one of the lowest percentages of re-offenders of all Core Cities and compared with 41.9% nationally.

An analysis of Birmingham young people shows that those who re-offended were more strongly affected by the following risk factors than those who did not re-offend:

1. Lack of commitment including truancy;
2. Living in families under stress due to criminality, substance misuse, mental health issues;
3. Special Educational Needs;
4. Children in Care status;
5. Having a large number of previous outcomes;
6. Young people at risk of gang affiliation

Positive interventions include: offending behaviour programmes with a cognitive behavioural therapy focus; restorative justice; evidence-based parenting programmes; young people supported to re-engage in education, training and employment and access to substance misuse and mental health treatment.

The Service delivers these interventions through their multi-agency staff and commission third sector specialist services for reducing gang affiliation (including support for Children in Care), services for young people on the autistic spectrum (including for those at risk of child sexual exploitation) and intensive mentoring to support engagement in education, training and employment. Robust transition arrangements with the Probation Trust for all young people approaching 18 are continuing to enable reductions in re-offending to be maintained into the adult system.

Re-offending Rate per 100,000: Oct 2015 to Sep 2016 cohort




Figure 5: Average number of re-offences per offender, October 2015 – September 2016

Reducing the use of the secure estate

Custodial sentences

This indicator compares the number of custodial sentences against the 10 –17 year old population of a local area.

Birmingham has a higher rate of custodial sentences than the national average, although within the range of other Core Cities. The number of custodial sentences in Birmingham decreased in the 2017/18 period to 94. This compares to 96 custodial sentences in 2016/17 and has been reducing year on year from the 253 young people sentenced in 2007/08.

The majority (74.5%) of young people sentenced to custody were aged 16-17 and young males of either Black or Black British ethnicity or dual heritage backgrounds remain over-represented in comparison with the general population. 1.06% of those sentenced to custody were female.

The offence categories most likely to lead to custody were Violence Against the Person (50, 53.2%); Robbery (26, 27.7%); and Drugs (12, 12.8%), which together accounted for 93.6% of custodial sentences during the period. The 94 custodial sentences made, 23 (24.4%) received up to 4 months detention, 51 (54.2%) from 4 months to 2 years and 20 (19.1%) over 2 years. This compares with 28 (29.1.6%), 60 (62.5%) and 18 (18.7%) respectively in the previous year.

Of the 94 custodial sentences made, 39 (41%) related to young people who had an identified special educational need.

The Service has an alternative to custody Intensive Supervision and Surveillance (ISS) programme, which is available to courts at bail and sentence stage and for young people released from custody and subject to licence. This programme includes 25 hours per week of intensive supervision and curfew enforced by electronic monitoring. Over the whole year, 178 young people were worked with by ISS on community-based programmes. This included 21 Intensive Bail packages, 32 Youth Rehabilitation orders and 61 Detention and Training Order licences.

Local Authority	Custodial sentences 01 April 2017 - 31 March 2018	10-17 population	Rate per 1,000 of the 10-17 population
Birmingham	94	117,343	0.80
Bristol, City of	14	34,983	0.40
Cardiff	14	29,082	0.48
Leeds	36	64,225	0.56
Liverpool	29	36,724	0.79
Manchester	48	44,101	1.09
Newcastle upon Tyne	18	22,939	0.78
Nottingham	32	25,766	1.24
Sheffield	10	48,475	0.21
England and Wales	1944	5,157,876	0.38

Figure 6: Comparison of custody rates between Core Cities, April 2017 – March 2018.

Remand bed nights

The Legal Aid, Sentencing and Punishment of Offenders (LASPO) Act 2012 introduced a new remand framework for 10 to 17 year olds in December 2012. The key changes made by the legislation were as follows:

- 10 to 17 year olds are treated according to the same remand framework (including remands to local authority accommodation or youth detention accommodation) regardless of their age and gender;
- 12-17 year olds can only be remanded to youth detention accommodation if their offending is serious enough to warrant a custodial sentence including murder, attempted murder, rape, firearms offences, drugs and aggravated robbery or there is a history of failing to attend court.
- every child or young person remanded to youth detention accommodation are now treated as “looked after” by their designated local authority; and
- placement of children and young people remanded to youth detention accommodation is a function of the Secretary of State for Justice but this power is exercised concurrently and in practice by the Youth Justice Board for England and Wales (YJB).

A remand to youth detention accommodation is currently to either: a secure children’s home (LASCH); a secure training centre (STC); or a young offender institution (YOI).

Between 01/04/2017 and 31/03/2018 a total of 73 young people were remanded to the secure estate which was an increase from 58 in the previous year (25.9%). Young people occupied 5363 remand bed nights This was an increase of 67.1% (3210 bed nights) in the same period the previous year.

Further analysis has identified that the use of bed nights for offences of violence against the person rose by 27.1% and that within the violence against the person category, wounding with intent rose by over 450%. The average number of bed nights spent during any single remand episode was 73.5. Young people placed in LASCHs spent significantly longer on remand (113.7 days) compared with STCs (90.8 days) and YOIs (66.98 days). Of the 73 young people remanded during the period 9 (12.33%) were Children in Care at the time of the remand. A further 8 were previously a Child in Care. 56 young people (76.7%) gained Child in Care status as a result of their remand.

Asset Plus

The Service has been using the new national assessment framework ‘Asset Plus’ from September 2016. The framework is premised on desistance theory and the practical application of desistance. Given that ‘Asset Plus’ is a more comprehensive assessment tool than the previously used assessment, ‘ASSET’, all assessments now include not only indicators of the level of risk but also contextualises the impact of that risk. This allows for a more accurate assessment than was previously possible as it takes into account protective factors that could mitigate against the likelihood of committing similar offences again.

Safeguarding

The Youth Offending Service continues to carry out its responsibilities under Section 11 of the Children Act (2004), which places a number of duties it (and the services contracted out to others) to ensure that the day to day business takes into account the need to safeguard and promote the welfare of children. The Service submits annual reports to the Birmingham Safeguarding Children's Board which indicates how safeguarding duties are being fulfilled.

Safeguarding training has been offered across the Service via the Birmingham Safeguarding Children's Board, as well as internal development and external training providers undertaking training across a range of vulnerabilities including:

- Safeguarding for Senior Managers;
- Child Protection and Early Help;
- Child Sexual Exploitation, Missing and Trafficked Children;
- WRAP3 and Prevent;
- ASSET Plus training
- Gangs;
- Responsibilities as a provider of services to Think Family and Early Help
- Child Development, Attachment and Trauma
- Female Genital Mutilation
- Harmful Sexual Behaviour

Children's Advice and Support Service (CASS) is a multi-agency front door using the principles of Right Help, Right Time. The Youth Offending Service provides daily on site YOS manager support to CASS which has seen an improvement in:

- Timeliness and quality of YOS safeguarding referrals;
- A greater understanding around Remands to Local Authority Accommodation and Youth Detention Accommodation;
- An Increase in early referrals to the Harmful Sexual Behaviour Teams;
- YOS attendance at peer on peer abuse strategy discussions;
- Understanding changing threats and risk, including child criminal exploitation and child sexual exploitation
- An increased understanding around the factors related to desistance in strategy discussions
- An increased understanding around YOS assessments and interventions




Figure 7: Assessed level of risk to safety and well-being, comparison 2016/17 - 2017/18.

Within the Youth Offending Service all young people are screened for issues of safety and well-being. Between 01/04/2017 and 31/03/2018, 969 young people were assessed for safety and well-being compared with 1138 young people in the previous year. 344 (35.5%) young people were identified as at having medium or high vulnerabilities, requiring an increased response to mitigate these, compared with 36.7% in the previous year. The proportion of those assessed with 'High' or 'Very High' vulnerabilities increased to 10.73% in 2017/18 compared with 9.67% in 2016/17. Responses include referrals to Children's Safeguarding Services, Child and Adolescent Mental Health, intensive family and mentoring interventions, increased offender management and intensive interventions, and substance misuse and alcohol treatment services.

The Head of Service has named responsibility for attending and supporting the work of key Birmingham Safeguarding Children's Board subgroups which include:

- Child Death Overview Panel;
- Performance and Quality Assurance;
- CSE and Missing Operational Groups.

The Performance and Development Manager has acted as the Joint Chair of the Learning and Development sub-group.

Certain risk factors may lead to a greater propensity to remain engaged in offending behaviour. By mapping data contained within the Asset Plus assessment, analysis has identified the incidence of the risk factors within the assessments completed.

For the young people assessed during the period April 2017 – March 2018, 5 risk factors were identified as each, in turn, affecting over 40% of the young people. The most common risk factors (Figure 8) showed some differences to those identified in 2016/17. Whilst some will be due to the change in assessment tools used between the two periods, the analysis shows a greater cognizance of other factors in the young person's life including education history and family circumstances.

Young people sentenced to custody between April 2017 and March 2018, showed a higher incidence of aggressive behaviour, family history of problem behaviour and poor parental supervision and discipline. In addition, alienation and lack of social commitment was shown in over 50% of the assessments compared with 35% of overall assessments.

Strengthening protective factors such as reasoning skills and employment prospects help mitigate against a young person remaining engaged in offending and diminish the effect of risk factors which are more difficult to change e.g. disadvantaged neighbourhood or family history of problem behaviour. Of the young people assessed between April 2017 and March 2018, 92.1% of those assessed were judged to have at least one protective factor.




Figure 8: Significant risk factors, comparison 2016/17 – 2017/18

Children in Care

National research has concluded that children in care are disproportionately represented in the criminal justice system and recommends the use of restorative justice as an alternative form of behaviour management for minor offences. The latest Local Authority returns¹ identified that 42 (4.6%) of the 912 children aged 10 or older who had been looked after for more than 12 months had a conviction or were made subject to a youth caution during the period 1st April 2016 - 31st March 2017, a small reduction from 54 (5.14%) in 2015/16. This compares with the national average of 4.50% and has been supported by Police and Crown Prosecution Service practices to reduce criminalisation of young people in care for minor offences such as criminal damage. This data will be refreshed by central government in December 2018.

Children in Care (CIC) are an especially vulnerable group and their prevalence in the youth justice system is regularly monitored and reported upon. 263 young people were currently or had previously been looked after at the point of receiving a substantive outcome in 2017/18.

Young people with a history of being looked after were more likely to be sentenced to custody, with custodial sentences comprising 17.9% (19 young people) of all CIC sentencing. Despite the high proportion being sentenced to custody, young people with a history of being looked after only constituted 5.2% of First Time Entrants during the period. However, young people with a history of being looked after were less likely to be in full time ETE at the end of their order (64.5%) than those who had never been looked after (76.9%). Of the young people remanded to the secure estate during the period, 10 (17.8%) were looked after at the time of remand. These young people accounted for 23.6% (661) of the 3187 remand bed nights during the period.

To ensure that children in care are not disadvantaged by being allocated to a new worker when a new placement moves them from one catchment area to another, the Service allocates a worker to them from their 'home' team and this worker is responsible for ensuring they receive the necessary support and intervention irrespective of where they are placed, either within the city or an out-of-city placement. In addition to Birmingham Children in Care, the Service also provides a service to other local authorities who place their young people within Birmingham.

A recent review of all Children in care cases who are known to the YOS identified that there was a lack of consistency between CiC planning and YOS intervention planning. The formation of the Trust and greater levels of communication between YOS staff and Social workers should lead to an increased level of congruency when planning with the young person.

In addition, work has been on-going to streamline the case review process across agencies into a single meeting to improve integrated working and avoid unnecessary duplication.

¹ <https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/outcomes-for-children-looked-after-by-las-31-march-2017>

Public Protection

The management of young offenders subject to court orders is a key responsibility of the Youth Offending Service. Those young people assessed as posing a higher risk to the public from re-offending or causing harm to others are subject to more intensive multi-agency arrangements to address concerns.

The Youth Offending Service continues to lead and chair local Risk and Vulnerability panels in each of the five area teams to discuss those young people assessed at medium to high risk of reoffending, harm and vulnerability. This allows the YOS to co-ordinate services for the young person to reduce risk and vulnerability.

The Service is responsible, within the Asset Plus framework, for completing assessments of the risk posed by young people and co-




Figure 10: Intervention levels, comparison 2016/17 – 2017/18




Figure 9: Assessed level of Risk to others, comparison 2016/17 – 2017/18

ordinates robust multi-agency plans for these young people. Compared with 2016/17, 2017/18 saw 344 (35.5%) assessed as medium or high risk to others compared with 418 (36.7%). There has been a decrease in the proportion of young people assessed as high or very high risk to others from 8.5% to 7.84%.

The Scaled Approach lays down, within National Standards, the levels of contact that each young person subject to a court order will receive and each young person is set an 'intervention level' which is regularly reviewed within the 'Asset Plus' framework. Compared with 2016/17, 2017/18 saw an increase in the proportion of young people within the Service's caseload assessed on the Intensive level of intervention. Those young people requiring an Enhanced level of intervention remained fairly static. Those on an Enhanced and Intensive level require higher contact levels than the Standard intervention level.

Youth Justice Board Serious Incidents Procedures

During the past 12 months the YJB has gone through large scale organisational changes resulting in a wider review of how all statutory functions are applied; seeking minimal bureaucracy but maximum benefit. On the 8th May 2018 the Youth Justice Board ceased the requirement for YOT's to comply with the current Community and Safeguarding Public Protection Incidents (CSPPPI) process. Decisions about how to review incidents and relevant services being delivered to affected children are to be taken locally, following multi-agency discussions wherever appropriate.

Birmingham Youth Offending Service will continue to report on Community and Safeguarding Public Protection Incidents to the Birmingham YOS Management Board, and where applicable to the Birmingham Children's Safeguarding Board. This includes details of any child involved in a safeguarding or public protection incident whilst on a YOT caseload, or if they are charged with certain serious offences whilst not under YOT supervision or on a YOT caseload. The criteria for identifying community safeguarding and public protection incidents remains the same as those previously determined by the YJB on the 13th March 2017.

Any evaluation of the circumstances takes into account the interplay with any wider agencies. The approach to learning from serious incidents focuses on the journey of the child, rather than on the perspectives of individual service providers and therefore encourage joint working and learning wherever possible.

Between the 1st April 2017 and the 31st March 2018, the Service had four Public Protection Serious Incidents and one Serious Safeguarding Incident. Lessons learnt across the partnership were:

1. The majority of serious incidents are being committed by young people not previously known to the YOS or not currently open.
2. Greater collaboration must be encouraged between all services including youth services and community groups to identify and provide targeted early interventions that build resilience to those young people most at risk of engaging in violent behaviour
3. YOS and Partners should share information and investigate any correlation between knife, drugs, and driving offences to better understand links to gang affiliation and/or exploitation.
4. Escalate to the Youth Justice Board concerns about young people being released from custody without appropriate conditions on their licence.
5. Continue to identify Government funding or organisations with funded intervention programmes and projects that work with young people to prevent them from getting involved with gang violence, criminal exploitation and serious organised crime.

Integrated Offender Management

Integrated Offender Management (IOM) brings a cross-agency response to the crime and reoffending threats faced by local communities. The most persistent and problematic offenders are identified and managed jointly by partner agencies working together.

The Service is represented within the Integrated Offender Management (IOM) Board, Strategic IOM Subgroup and IOM Operational meetings to ensure that the Youth ODOC (One Day One Conversation) case management meeting is steered within a pan-Birmingham Strategy, in line with adult offender management, but recognising the differences in managing the risk of children and young people. Police Offender Managers are closely aligned to the Service and work in partnership alongside the YOT case managers with those young people who require more intensive engagement and management.

The Youth ODOC is chaired by the Youth Offending Service and vice-chaired by West Midlands Police. The two current cohorts of Youth ODOC are those who are deemed “Persistent and Priority Offenders,” and those young people in the ‘Deter’ cohort to address concerns at an early stage and divert escalation into persistent offending and entrenchment.

The main interventions offered under the IOM Strategy are: drugs and alcohol, mental health services, education training and employment, accommodation and support, thinking attitudes and behaviour, family support and safeguarding and health.

Multi-Agency Public Protection Panel

The Multi-Agency Public Protection Arrangements (MAPPA) are a key part of the government’s strategy in protecting the public and are intended to help manage the risks presented by serious violent and sexual offenders. The four key functions of MAPPA are to

- Identify all relevant offenders;
- Complete comprehensive risk assessments that take advantage of coordinated information sharing across agencies;
- Devise implement and review robust MAPPA management plans;
- Focus available resources in a way which best protects the public from serious harm.

A pan-Birmingham level 2 youth MAPPP (Multi agency Public Protection Panel) is chaired by a senior probation officer (Violent Offenders) and a senior Police officer (Sexual Offenders).

Summary of 2017/18 priorities

Outcome	Measure	Target for 2017/18	Outcome (2017/18)	Target for 2018/19
Reduce first time entrants (FTE) to the Youth Justice system	First time entrants to youth justice system (per 100,000 children)	5% Improvement Required 487 per 100,000	453 per 100,000	5% improvement
Reduce Recidivism 12 month post completion	Reduction in re-offending Reduce or maintain national average	Maintain current performance	(Oct 2015 – Sep 2016) Binary Rate: 36.1% Frequency Rate:1.20 401 re-offenders in 1110 cohort	Maintain current performance
	Reduction in re-offending rates for ODOC/MAPPA clients	52 young people tracked for 12 months (April 2017 – March 2018)	46.1% Baseline	5% improvement
Reduce the use of Custody	Reduction in number of young people per 1,000 of 10 – 17 population sentenced to the secure estate	5% Improvement Required (0.78)	0.80 (2.4% improvement)	5% improvement
	Reduction in number of young people remanded to the Secure Estate	5% Improvement	76	5% improvement
Reduce the number of CIC in the YJS and re-offending by this group	Reduction in number of LAC who re-offend	LAC re-offending congruent with city population	City = 36.1% LAC = 31.6%	Maintain performance
	Young people looked after for more than 12 months given a substantive outcome.	Performance to be equal or better than national average.	Birmingham=5.14% National figure = 4.95% Core Cities =5.90%	Maintain performance
	Improvement in proportion of CiC with arranged accommodation before release	Maintain	100%	Maintain performance

Outcome	Measure	Target for 2017/18	Outcome (2017/18)	Target for 2018/19
Increase the number of young people in the YJS engaged with ETE	Percentage of young people of school age engaged in full time education at conclusion of order.	Increase performance to 82.4%	84.4%	Maintain performance
	Number of young people post-school age engaged in full time ETE at conclusion of order	Increase performance to 75%	69.2%	5% improvement
	Distance travelled (improved and maintained) measurements pre and post order	Maintain	Improved/maintained=93.0% Poorer=7.0%	Maintain performance
Improved Youth Justice Outcomes for BME young people	Reduce the over representation of Black and Black British and dual heritage young people in the youth justice system.	5% improvement. Target 10.5%	11.5%	5% improvement

Offending Profile

The profile of young offenders in Birmingham is similar to the National Audit Office (2010) research, which identified that the risk factors most associated with those young people at risk of custody and re-offending were:

- Higher proportion had risks related to family relationships;
- Higher levels of truancy and NEET;
- Association with negative peers including gangs;
- Negative mind-set and attitude;
- High levels of substance misuse, including alcohol;
- Aggressive behaviour;
- Special Needs.

Proven Offences

In the period 01 April 2017 to 31 March 2018, 1789 offences were proven against 808 young people. This resulted in 997 outcomes. In comparison with the same period in 2016/17, the number of offenders represented a decrease of 11.8% (from 916), offences a fall of 9.5% (from 1976) and outcomes a fall of 12.9% (from 1145).

Community Resolutions

Changes in the criminal justice system have displaced the disposal of some crimes from formal action through the CPS and courts to more informal processes to deal with low-level crimes and ASB. Community Resolutions are one such avenue, which allows police officers to bring offenders and victims together to find an acceptable outcome. It is implemented by the police to support a restorative approach. This approach also prevents young people who commit minor offences from receiving a formal criminal record which may disadvantage them in the future e.g. employment opportunities. The Service receives all Community Resolutions and triages them at a joint-decision making pre-court panel comprising YOS case managers and Police who agree interventions for those young people who most need it.

In the period, 447 Community Resolutions were made for Birmingham young people. The top 3 crime categories for the 10 – 17 age range where a Community Resolution was used were Theft, Assault and Criminal Damage. Taking Community Resolutions and substantive outcomes as a whole (1444 disposals), Community Resolutions account for 30.9% of disposals relating to young people in this period. This is a decrease from 33.6% in the same period the previous year.

Proven offences by type

The offence categories with the highest prevalence of offending were:

- Violence against the person
- Motoring
- Theft and Handling
- Drugs

Although the most prevalent crimes were the same as last year, theft and robbery continued to show a reduction in number and proportion, whilst violence against the person and drugs offences have shown an increase.

Offence Type	2016/17	2017/18	% change
Violence Against The Person	514	565	9.9%
Motoring	316	287	-9.2%
Theft And Handling	264	186	-29.5%
Drugs	106	170	60.4%
Robbery	156	117	-25.0%

Table 1: Offences with the highest prevalence, 2016/17 - 2017/18

Offences relating to drugs have seen an increase of 60.4%. Of the 170 drugs related offences, 121 were for possession of a controlled drug, mostly cannabis with 47 for offences relating to supply and production.

Overall, the 1789 proven offences were broken down as shown in Figure 11.




Figure 11: Proven offences by type, 01/04/2017 – 31/03/2018

Age and Gender

It is well established that young people with a criminal record have a more difficult and less successful transition into adulthood. It has also been researched extensively that the earlier a young person becomes involved in offending, the higher the risk of persistence. Young offenders and those who are violent at a young age or have experienced violence within the family, also have an increased likelihood of becoming persistent, recidivist offenders and engaging in violent crime.

Children and young people are subject to criminal prosecution from the age of 10 and national figures show offending peaking at age 17, with a decrease thereafter. However, in 2017/18 local figures (Figure 12) show a peak at 16 years for both young men and women.

Offending remains a predominantly male activity. Young men accounted for 698 (86.4%) and young women 110 (13.6%) of the young people who had offences proven against them in 2016/17. In 2017/18, of the 1789 proven offences committed, 1580 (88.3%) offences receiving a substantive outcome were committed by young men and 209 (11.7%) by young women. This gives a rate of 2.26 offences per person for males and 1.90 for females.

There is a difference in the nature of offences committed by each gender. Though the number of young females involved in offences is much lower than young men, young females have a far higher proportion of offences in the violence against the person category. The difference between the genders in all offence categories is shown in Figure 13.




Figure 12: Proven offences by age, 01/04/2017 – 31/03/2018




Figure 13: Proven offences by age and gender, 01 April 2017 – 31 March 2018

Ethnicity

The most recent data² to breakdown the 10 – 17 population by ethnicity has been used to analyse the number of offenders with proven offences in 2017/18 in relation to the overall 10 – 17 population of the city.

The Service continues to place a high priority on reducing disproportionality, both in terms of young people engaged in the criminal justice system and the use of the secure estate.

Black or Black British young people remain over-represented in the Criminal Justice System in relation to the general 10 - 17 population. The proportion of offenders from Black or Black British background increased slightly from 22.2% in 2016/17 to 23.1% in 2017/18. The Partnership continues to take action to reduce this over-representation including contributing to preventative work to reduce school exclusions and gang affiliation which is significant to this agenda

	Number of young people	% of 10 - 17 population	Number of offenders	% of 10 - 17 offending population
Asian or Asian British	39,459	33.48%	175	21.63%
Black or Black British	12,633	10.72%	190	23.49%
Chinese or other ethnic group	2804	2.38%	21	2.60%
Mixed	9936	8.43%	84	10.38%
White	53,042	45.00%	322	39.80%
Not Recorded			13	1.61%
Total	117,874		809	

Table 2: 10 – 17 years of age population: Number of offenders with proven offences by ethnicity, 01 April 2017 – 31 March 2018

² Office of National Statistics Census 2010

Outcomes

In respect of the 1789 offences proven between 01/04/2017 and 31/03/2018, 997 outcomes were made. Of those outcomes, 862 (86.5%) were made on young men and 135 (13.5%) on young women.




Figure 14: YJB Outcome Tier for proven offences comparison 2016/17 - 2017/18

The proportion of outcomes in each of the four tiers shows a small shift away from pre-court penalties towards increased rate of first-tier disposals, when comparing 2016/17 with 2017/18, as well as a reduction of community penalties. The proportion of custodial disposals remained relatively static.

Priorities for 2018/2019

Priority	Why is this important	What do we know?	What will we do?	How will we know we are making a difference?
Reduce First Time entrants into the youth justice system	Involvement in offending is hugely detrimental to young people's ability to achieve, make a positive contribution and achieve economic well-being.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Whilst First Time Entrants are falling, over 40% of First Time Entrants are receiving pre-court disposals, a proportion of which have received a Community Resolution however, some have not. 2. The Service and partners offer targeted support for those young people subject of Community Resolutions who are most vulnerable or with complex needs. Children with special educational needs are over represented 3. We have established multi - agency arrangements to support young people at risk of or affiliated to gangs and/or criminally exploited. We continue to align our work with the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner. 4. We have supported the SEMH Pathfinder aimed at meeting the complex needs of this group and the sustaining inclusion agenda to reduce exclusions. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review decision making and guidance with Police and CPS for Community Resolutions and entry into formal youth justice system. 2. Greater analysis on those most likely to enter the system including those young people on Education, Health and Care Plans or with Special Educational Needs. 3. Support the OPCC's commissioning of intensive mentoring across the City and a broader community and faith offer for young people not in the formal youth justice system but at risk of gang affiliation and criminal exploitation 4. Identify funding sources to wrap support around those young people subject to community resolutions, those at risk of exclusion and SEND young people. 	<p>Reduction in FTE to national averages.</p> <p>Reduction in young people with Community Resolutions.</p> <p>Reductions in young people with Community Resolutions who enter the youth justice system.</p> <p>Reduction in school exclusions temporary or permanent.</p> <p>Reduction in young people subject to Education, Health and Care Plans or with Special Educational needs and Children in Care entering the youth justice system.</p>

Priority	Why is this important	What do we know?	What will we do?	How will we know we are making a difference?
Robust Safeguarding and Risk Management Processes	<p>Many of the young people involved in the YJS have also been victims themselves and/or are vulnerable due to loss, mental health problems, and family violence. It is important to adopt approaches that are relationship based and trauma informed to ensure intervention plans address vulnerabilities, meet need and address risks to self and others.</p> <p>Effective offender management and safeguarding arrangements protect the public and young people in the YJS.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There has been an increase in the proportion of young people assessed with “High” and “Very High” risks for safety and well-being from 9.6% in 2016/17 to 10.7% in 2017/18 2. The Case Management Planning tool is an effective approach to support greater understanding of YOS staff to understand the lived experience of young people and their families. All YOS staff have received 2 days training in this approach. 3. New case planning guidance has been shared across the Service 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Increase the roll out of the Case Management Planning arrangements across teams to increase support to front line staff. 2. Ensure that the improved assessment framework, Asset Plus, is fully implemented and the benefits in relation to improved assessments and intervention planning are realised 3. Continue to invest YOS resources into CASS (front door) to improve information sharing and joint planning 4. Report and analyse the number of young people subject to Child in Need and Child Protection plans within the Youth Justice system. 5. Adopt the Children’s Trust Practice Evaluation tools and align these with the current YOS thematic audits. 	<p>Reduced vulnerability and risk levels pre and post intervention amongst young people within the youth justice system</p> <p>Quality Assurance feedback identifies more tailored assessments and intervention plans with YOS staff trained in delivering effective interventions/</p> <p>Reduced re-offending.</p>
Reduce Recidivism	Lower re-offending rates protect the public and increase young people’s life chances.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Offence categories with the highest prevalence are: violence, motoring offences and theft & handling stolen goods. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Further develop and implement Birmingham’s ‘Think Family’ model. 2. Continue to work with social 	Maintain current performance – below national average reoffending rate.

Priority	Why is this important	What do we know?	What will we do?	How will we know we are making a difference?
		<p>2. In 2017/18 there was a 60% increase in offences relating to drugs both possession and intent to supply. There is increase awareness of young people being criminal exploited and therefore victims of organised criminal groups.</p>	<p>work, police and offender manager colleagues to share intelligence and actions that safeguard young people against extra-familial risks</p> <p>3. Ensure that the highest risk young people receive our most intensive interventions and risk management arrangements (Inc. ISS, ODOC/MAPPA) and that safeguarding processes are supporting young people at risk of exploitation.</p> <p>4. Statutory partners to undertake a review of the broader universal offer for this cohort</p>	<p>Reduction in frequency.</p> <p>Reduction in risk factors at end of intervention</p> <p>Baseline re-offending rates of those young people leaving custody</p> <p>All young people most at risk of re-offending have access to partners' universal offer and where they do not bring to the attention of the YOS Management Board</p>
Reduce the use of custody	A decrease in the use of custody should be a direct result of reducing a young person's escalation through the YJS and/or a reduction in violent crime.	<p>1. Birmingham has a higher rate of custodial sentences than the national average though the number fell in 2017/18 to 94 compared to 96 in 2016/17</p> <p>2. The offences most likely to lead to custody are robbery, violence against the person and domestic burglary.</p> <p>3. Once in the criminal justice system, Children in Care (CIC) are more likely to receive a custodial sentence (17.9%) than</p>	<p>1. Continue to invest in the YOS Bail and Remand service to ensure that robust bail support packages are offered as an alternative to the use of YDA where appropriate.</p> <p>2. Ensure those identified as highest risk of re-offending receive intensive support, supervision and surveillance (ISS) and Integrated Offender Management to</p>	<p>Numbers of young people remanded and sentenced to custody</p> <p>Reduction in serious youth violence</p> <p>Successful completions of bail support packages</p> <p>Successful completion of ISS programmes</p> <p>Sentencer feedback on PSR's and 'alternative to</p>

Priority	Why is this important	What do we know?	What will we do?	How will we know we are making a difference?
		those who have never been CIC (7.5%)	minimise risk 3. Increase take up of non-secure accommodation, where appropriate, for purpose of PACE 4. Ensure that the Courts maintain confidence in the YOS ISS and understand the CMP approach and exploitation	custody' offers is good
Improve Youth Justice outcomes for BME young people	Being treated discriminately can have a significantly adverse impact on a young person's view of themselves and their outlook on life. This is compounded for those within the CJS who are more likely to receive negative outcomes.	1. Black or Black British and dual heritage young people remain over-represented in the CJS 2. There has been a review of data and interventions in relation to the BME cohort in order to improve our understanding of their journey through the YJS. Young black men are over represented in the excluded population and those at risk of gang affiliation and criminal exploitation 3. On behalf of the Community Safety Partnership, YOS has led the commissioning of specialist interventions for young people at risk of gang affiliation and/or serious youth violence and is supporting the OPCC to invest further in community and specialist based interventions.	1. YOS Management Board to review current partnership actions to reduce disproportionality, understanding the journey of black young men from childhood to entry into the YJ system. 2. YOS Board to support a broad review of the culture and practices of organisations to increase its responses to support these children and young people to achieve their full potential	Percentage reduction in BME young people entering the YJS and receiving custodial sentences to below average BME population Increase in number of black young men sustaining inclusion in education, training and employment Less black young men at risk of gang affiliation and criminal exploitation

Priority	Why is this important	What do we know?	What will we do?	How will we know we are making a difference?
Increase the number of young people in the youth justice system engaged in Education, Training and Employment	Being in education, training or employment helps to build resilience in young people, thereby reducing the likelihood of them offending/reoffending	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Young people with a history of being CIC are less likely to be in full time ETE at the end of their order 68.5% than those who had never been CIC (76.6%). 2. 84.4% of school age young people worked with during 2017/18 were in ETE by the end of their order and 69.3% for those post-16. 3. Youth Employment Initiative mentors support engagement with training and employment 4. Education/Children's Trust triage arrangements have been put in place to improve ETE provision to YOS young people not receiving appropriate education 5. YOS have recently won a Youth Justice Board Kitemark award on SEND/EHC Plans. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ensure all young people without full time access to education or not attending are referred into the new triage arrangements and report regularly to the YOS Management Board on any themes or areas for the broader strategic partnership arrangements. 2. Influence the Youth Promise Plus and PCC funding to ensure it continues to be targeted on those most at risk of offending and those within the youth justice system. 3. Align actions with those in the SEND inspection action plan. 	<p>Number of young people post-school age engaged in full time ETE at conclusion of order</p> <p>Distance travelled (improved) measurements pre and post order</p> <p>All young people in the Youth Justice system have appropriate provision and are supported to attend.</p>

Resources and Value for money

Funding

The Youth Offending Service partnership's overall delegated funding for 2018/19 is £7,895,423, of which the City Council's contribution (£3,963,838) for staffing is funded from the Birmingham Children's Trust (BCT) approved budget. There are funding pressures in this area that the Children's Trust has to manage within its overall financial envelope.

Probation, Health and Police partners continue to second staff into the service. It is expected that following a change in the national formula, Probation funding will be reduced from 5.5 FTE Probation Officers to 4 for 2018/19. The cash contribution will also be reduced accordingly to £5,000 per Probation Officer. West Midlands Police are currently reviewing their staffing levels.

Partner	Staffing	Payments in Kind	Other Delegated Funds	Total
Birmingham Children's Trust	£3,223,097		£740,741	£3,963,838
Police	£382,000			£382,000
Police & Crime Commissioner	£275,000			£275,000
Probation	£301,385		£30,000	£331,385
Health	£253,327			£253,327
Youth Justice Board	1,915,430		£300	£1,915,730
Other sources of funding	£711,348		£62,795	£774,143
Total	£7,061,587		£833,836	£7,895,423

The Service continues to receive 'Think Family' funding of £646,862, as part of an Investment Agreement, to take on additional responsibilities related to whole family interventions and continuing engagement with families post the statutory order, where outcomes have yet to be met. This funding has continued at the same level as 2017/18. Whilst this has increased workloads, it provides significant opportunities to increase family resilience and improve outcomes. National funding for the Troubled Families programme is due to end March 2010, which will impact significantly on family support and YOS funding.

The Police and Crime Commissioner funding has been confirmed for 2018/19. The allocation has increased by £94,519 from 2017/18. The funding enables the Service to support restorative justice interventions, extended the Service's knife crime programmes and therapeutic work with young people engaged in sexually harmful behaviour.

The CAMHS Transformation Board have agreed to fund two posts on a permanent basis to extend the sexually harmful behaviour team to young people with communication difficulties who are not in the Youth Justice System.

Maintaining funding levels is becoming an increasingly difficult challenge for the Service in the current economic climate. All statutory partners are facing funding cuts within their own organisations. At this point it is not clear what, if any, savings the Service may be required to deliver in the future. The YJB grant has remained at the same level as 2017/18 and does not take account of the 2% pay award payable from 1st April 2018. This has to be funded out of the pooled budget and has created a turnover on staffing for the service.

Remands to Custody

The total funding from the Youth Justice Board and the Local Authority for remands in 2018/19 is £715,615. The total cost of remands for 2017/18 was £1,611,230, a shortfall of £1,055,120. Overall the Youth Justice Board Remand Grant has been reduced by £239,632 in the last six years. For 2018/19 the Youth Justice Board grant has increased by £159,503 compared with 2017/18. The Service is currently holding six front line vacant posts, focused on our targeted prevention work, as a mitigation measure in response to this budgetary pressure.

Remand funding Partner	Funding 2018/19	Funding 2017/18	Variance
Birmingham Children's Trust	£147,997	£147,997	£0
YJB-Remands	£567,615	£408,113	£159,502
Total Remands	£715,612	£556,110	£159,502

There has been an increase in the cost of bed night prices of £12,234 for 2018/19. There continues to be increased usage in bed nights for Youth Offending Institutes, Secure Training Centres and Secure Children's Homes and these are adding to the pressure on the Remands budget. This in turn is having an impact on the overall budget of the Service. Since 2016/17 the cost of Remands has increased by 72%. The 2018/19 projected overspend on the direct Remands budget based on analysis of the first two months of 2018/19 and a rolling average for the remaining ten months is £1,024,007

Establishment type	2016/176: Cost of bed nights	2017/187: Cost of bed nights	Difference in cost of bed nights from 2017/18 to 2016/17
Secure Children's Home	£185,402	£172,387	(£13,105)
Secure Training Centre	£318,600	£668,928	£350,328
Youth Offending Institute	£414,121	£739,552	£325,431
Overall	£918,123	£1,580,867	£662,744

Value for money

The YOS Management Board is overseeing the allocations for 2018/19 on behalf of the Chief Executive in order to continue to deliver effective services to meet statutory responsibilities. Staffing costs make up a significant part of the YOS budget from statutory partner funding:

- Birmingham Children's Trust (BCT), Probation and the Youth Justice Board (YJB) contributions fund the statutory duties of the Service including: court officers, social workers, YOT officers and Probation Officers who risk assess, write court and Referral Order reports and carry out statutory interventions and enforcement activity with young people subject to court orders. This joint funding also funds specialist project staff required to provide statutory interventions and meet national standards.
- The YOS business support is provided through the Professional Support Service (PSS). Funding for 2018/19 is £439,270 and this is included in the BCT line. Birmingham Children's Trust also funds a statutory Appropriate Adult service including out of hours provision.
- Birmingham Children's Trust and the YJB Grant funds a Harmful Sexual Behaviour team, which works with young people from 6 years to 17 years, their parents and guardians, to reduce their risk to others and to themselves. This service has received additional funding of £60,000 from the Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) via Forward Thinking Birmingham, and a further £59,077 which will be ensuring that the team can continue to meet the needs of this particularly vulnerable cohort of children. This additional funding is also being used to increase psychological assessments and interventions and develop services in relation to work with young people with learning difficulties.
- Police funding contributions enable the secondment of Youth Crime Officers who contribute significantly to offender management and support intelligence to reduce re-offending and identify and respond to vulnerability i.e. child exploitation or trafficking issues. Contributions also support the pooled management arrangements.
- Health contributions fund the secondment of clinical nurse specialists and access to psychiatry and educational psychology consultations. This ensures enhanced pathways to mental health screening and interventions for young people to reduce their risk of harm to others and to themselves i.e. self-harm. It also funds towards Multi Systemic Therapy provision.
- Birmingham, as a result of its size, has higher numbers of young people involved in the Youth Justice System or at risk of entering it. The Police and Crime Board therefore support a package of interventions that provide additional support to these children and young people. These interventions provide evidence based support including to young people not yet in the formal Youth Justice system. The interventions are commissioned or delivered by the Birmingham Youth Offending Service. This funding does not fund posts delivering the statutory YOS functions.

Training, Learning and Development

The focus from 2017 into 2018 has been on consolidating practice using the combined assessment and planning tools of ASSET Plus and the Early Help assessment to give a more holistic planning provision to young people and their families

Early 2018 saw the introduction of the Case Management Plus system; Increasingly it has been identified that some young people who experience mental health difficulties as a result of trauma and other adverse childhood experiences, do not meet the criteria for mental health services, as they do not have a diagnosable mental illness. In order to address this gap in service provision, YOS staff have been given training to help them understand and respond to the

psychological needs of these young people, with a view to developing the evidence base for the interventions that the service can deliver. Young people who do meet the threshold for mental health services receive specialist assessments and interventions from the service's FTB staff.

YOS staff have continued to access the BSCB training on all aspects of safeguarding and there is a continued commitment to all staff enlisting on the rolling programme of Level 4; Working with Complex families.

The Parenting workers are currently working across teams to provide PACT groups for parents in order to enhance the skills and confidence of parents who struggle to maintain healthy relationships with their children. Additional training for staff in the PACT programme is being provided as part of the Trust's Learning and Development program

The Parenting workers are also providing training for all staff to assist in their work with parents. This is being done with the assistance of the 'Triple P Tip sheets' which are designed to provide guidance to both staff and parents on the resolution of problems within the home environment.

The Head of Service and several of the YOS managers have completed the "Systemic Supervision" training rolled out across Birmingham Children's Trust. Plans to support all managers to receive this or similar training and development are being pursued. This will ensure all YOS Managers work systemically and embed reflective supervision across the service.

Quality Assurance

The Service provides interventions focusing on planned and systematic activities implemented in a quality system so that quality requirements for the service are fulfilled. In order to ensure that the service is working effectively it operates a series of systematic measurements, ranging from evaluation of performance in relation to National Standards, to monitoring of processes and audits of practice.

The Service implements these systems as part of a process, which ensures that strategy, training of staff, service delivery and evaluation are part of one method. Quality Assurance operates at a number of levels and as part of a cyclical process. In order to pull together all of the quality assurance strands, the YOS Quarterly Performance Meeting is used to provide the main focus. This in turn informs the YOS Management Board, the YJ Plan and the information supplied to the Youth Justice Board.

The Case Audit process was suspended for a period of time, to allow for the introduction of ASSET Plus and to give preference to the National Standards Audit for the YJB earlier in the year. The process was redesigned and reinstated as it was recognised that it would help to analyse and raise practice standards, by providing the opportunity to reflect on practice and measure the effectiveness of the work and intervention for both young people and their families. It was also realised that by adopting a reflective format it would give the opportunity for learning and reinforce a more consistent approach, which would challenge complacency, whilst at the same time further embed a culture of continuous improvement.

In preparation for the audits, work was undertaken to assess new and emerging information from both HMIP and the YJB in relation to desistance and trauma in order to provide underlying structures for the process. Discussion also took place with the BCC Practice Evaluation Team to look at their framework, with the view to creating a synergy with their process. As a consequence, two of their documents have been adopted; Views of Parents

(information gathered from parents by Team Managers) and the Case Reflection document (which allows workers to record their thoughts about each case).

Findings

1. Most Case Managers knew their cases well and were able to justify their assessments in relation to the offending behaviour. However, it was recognised that there was a lack of knowledge about historical traumatic events and their effects on the child's behaviour. Much of this information was available on CareFirst
2. Whilst there were good examples of partnership working, this information was not always well recorded.
3. PSRs were of a good quality, mostly well analysed and contained appropriate proposals
4. Needs identified in assessments were sometimes not highlighted in intervention plans
5. Custodial plans and adjudications were not regularly cited on CareDirector, nor included in the assessment
6. Reviews were not always timely. In some cases, the Review stage was being used more as a case recording document, rather than a fixed point in the order.
7. Self-Assessments (Young people and Parents) were not routinely completed, particularly for those in custody.
8. Management oversight has tended to be limited to confirmation of 'documents countersigned' and contained little in reflection on the cases.

Developments

1. The majority of YOS Managers and Case Managers have received CareFirst training and have read only access.
2. The Trauma Recovery Model and the Reflective Discussion and Audit Framework documents have been distributed to teams for discussion in Team meetings
3. The completed Audit tools (HMIP), the Views of Parents and the Reflective documents have been recorded on CareDirector for each case, as a prompt for individual supervision.
4. All teams have now received two days of Case Management Plus training in order to assist staff in understanding and identifying young people who experience mental health difficulties as a result of trauma and other adverse childhood experiences.
5. The Case Management Planning team has been established with the primary aim of supporting YOS case managers in their assessments of and interventions with young people with complex needs.
6. The Service will adopt the Birmingham Children's Trust Practice Evaluation framework and all managers will be supported to utilise this alongside thematic audits.




Risks to future delivery

Service Objective	Risk Description	Controls to manage Risk
Prevent children and young people from entering the criminal justice system.	Further reduction in targeted prevention funding will have an impact on outcomes; in particular this will lead to an increase in First Time Entrants (FTE).	<p>The YOS Board monitors trends in FTEs on a quarterly basis to establish any themes for increased partnership working.</p> <p>Work collaboratively with schools and relevant partners to reduce exclusions and identify those young people most at risk of entering the Youth Justice System</p> <p>Good partnership working increases the Early Help offer to effectively target evidence based interventions for those children in need and most at risk of offending.</p> <p>Police partnership to review the triaging of out of court disposals to identify and ensure that all opportunities to divert young people from the criminal justice system are pursued.</p> <p>YOS will continue to support the 'Think Family' Programme, encouraging Schools, Partners and Districts to identify families who meet the criteria and would benefit from early support.</p> <p>The Police and Crime Gangs Commission is commissioning additional interventions including mentoring and mediation to reduce the young people most at risk of exclusions, gang affiliation and criminal exploitation</p>
Ensure children and young people are protected from harm and are helped to achieve.	The poor economic outlook impacts on education and employment opportunities for young people.	<p>Increased safeguarding arrangements for those young people with extra-familial risks to ensure robust protection to reduce exploitation and additional support for them and their families.</p> <p>Improved partnership working with Children's Social Care and Family Support Services will reduce the negative impact on young people's lives and ensure that support is given to families to be successful and achieve.</p> <p>Vulnerability management plans are reviewed regularly and YOS Board take action to collectively support young people.</p>

Service Objective	Risk Description	Controls to manage Risk
Reduce Re-offending by children and young people under the age of 18.	<p>Reductions in funding will have a negative impact on outcomes.</p> <p>Reduction/instability in ETE team resources is likely to have an effect on the educational attainment of young people at risk of re-offending, thereby increasing the risk of re-offending.</p>	<p>YOS Board will continue to monitor outcomes data and ensure targeting and quality of work to reduce re-offending is robust by YOS and broader Partnership.</p> <p>YOS board to review and monitor the recidivism levels of young people exiting custody in order to identify and implement strategies to encourage desistance.</p> <p>New practice evaluation arrangements are implemented to support quality assessments and interventions across the service to reduce risks and vulnerabilities and increase effective interventions across the partnership.</p> <p>YOS identify all young people not in full time education or suitable provision and refer into the newly established Education/Trust triage and Panel arrangements to put in place education provision that will support resilience. YOS Board to review any themes that are barriers to ETE engagement</p> <p>Youth Employment mentors are funded by the Youth Promise Plus Programme to support post-16's into training and employment. This will increase the number of successful destinations, build resilience, thereby reducing the risk of re-offending</p> <p>YOS Board to review the reduced education hours for any young person within the Youth Justice System</p> <p>YOS to maintain its focus on identifying funding to support the engagement of young offenders in education, training and employment.</p>
Minimise the use of Remand and Custody for children and young people.	<p>Low level use of remand and custody is not maintained.</p> <p>An increase in gang activity and serious violence will increase the number of remands to custody</p>	<p>Service will maintain close liaison with sentencers in relation to sentencing options and the availability of YOS programmes and services and increase understanding of contextual safeguarding and extra-familial risks including exploitation.</p> <p>YOS Management Team reviews use of custody cases to identify partnership learning.</p> <p>Continued partnership work with Children's Services will minimise the impact, including enhancing the provision of alternatives to remand and custody.</p> <p>The YOS Board's continued work with its Community Safety Partners to address gangs and serious organised crime and to identify further opportunities for this to be supported by the community and 3rd sector interventions will mitigate the risks associated with this activity.</p> <p>'Think Family' interventions will provide enhanced support to complex family issues.</p>

Service Objective	Risk Description	Controls to manage Risk
To improve victim satisfaction and public confidence.	<p>Service and partners fail to learn from a serious incident.</p> <p>Reduced Public Protection.</p>	<p>Ensure lessons from serious incidents are shared with partners to increase preventative work and continue to be integrated into practice improvements in conjunction with relevant partners.</p> <p>YOS and partners' actions to learn lessons from serious incidents are monitored for completion at the YOS Management Board.</p>
Reduced YOS funding across a range of statutory and non-statutory partners	<p>Funding streams from statutory partners are reduced in line with partner savings. The Service fails to meet its investment agreement for 'Think Family' and the funding is reduced. There is a cumulative effect from reductions.</p>	<p>Ensure that contributions are targeted effectively to key priority areas and continue to demonstrate good outcomes and best value to all partners and funders.</p> <p>YOS board to identify opportunities to generate income through funding bids that support its aims and objectives. The Youth Offending Service Management Board monitors the impact of any reductions in savings.</p>
Increase in complexity of case loads	<p>Overall risk and complexity of cases managed by the Service is heightened leading to increase in offending and risks to the public, increase in vulnerability issues including self-harm and poorer outcomes.</p> <p>Lack of effective transition arrangements between the YOS and the National Probation Service/Community Rehabilitation Company resulting in increased workload in the YOS.</p>	<p>Additional training and development is carried out across the service. Continued roll-out and embedding of the Case Management Plus Programme across the Service</p> <p>Work collaboratively with the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner to provide effective approaches and interventions to reduce serious youth crime</p> <p>YOS will continue to review its evidence-based programmes for the 'Early Help' offer to ensure young people and families' access available interventions delivered or commissioned by the Service and through partners.</p> <p>Robust actions are taken between YOS and National Probation Service/Community Rehabilitation Company to transfer case responsibilities in a timely manner and support the development of the 18-25 year olds transition service within CRC.</p>

Approval and sign off

Senior partner name	Role	Signature	Date
Councillor Tristan Chatfield	Chair YOS Management Board Cabinet Member for Transparency, Openness and Equality		
Neil Appleby	Head of Probation, Birmingham, National Probation Service		
Anne Ainsworth	Corporate Director, Children and Young People, Birmingham City Council.		
Councillor Kate Booth	Cabinet Member for Children's Wellbeing		
Andy Couldrick	Chief Executive, Birmingham Children's Trust		
Dawn Roberts	Assistant Director, Early Help, Family Support and Youth Justice		
Carol McCauley-Kiernan	NHS Birmingham and Solihull Clinical Commissioning Group		
Tom Joyce	Superintendent, Neighbourhood Policing West Midlands Police		

Appendix 1: Working with children, young people and their families

Restorative Practice

The Role of the Restorative Practice workers is to make contact with victims in order that their views and wishes can be taken into consideration. This takes place, where possible, prior to the offender being sentenced, utilising the Police system of PENYs.

Working within the guidelines laid out by the Restorative Justice Council, workers make contact with some of the most complex and traumatic cases, offering support and signposting onto a variety of community-based service. More recently younger victims have been able to access some of the structured leisure activities and emotional and mental health support only previously offered to young people who have offended. The Service has dedicated Restorative Practice workers who contact all relevant victims and offer engagement in shuttle or direct face to face mediation.

Reparation

The Service offers a variety of reparation schemes which are designed to allow offenders to 'payback' to the victims and the community whilst acquiring skills and experience to enhance training and employment opportunities.

- Graffiti removal: The Service works in tandem with City Council provision and young people remove graffiti from public areas, parks and buildings
- Ring and Ride: Young people attend at the local garage and clean some of the contracted vehicles that provide transport for older people and those with mobility issues.
- Allotments: Young people are instructed in the growing of vegetables and the produce is donated to local food banks.
- Safer Travel: The Service works closely with Centro and National Express to make young people who commit crimes on the buses and trains more aware of the effect of their actions. Young people undertake victim awareness sessions and attend the National Express garage where they clean buses and remove graffiti from bus stands.

The contract with the local Community Rehabilitation Company came to an end in April 2017 and the service had to, at short notice, identify alternative arrangements. Working closely with the Canal and River Trust the YOS had adopted a section of the grand Union canal and works to clean and maintain this stretch of canal. Group work activities include, Graffiti removal and repainting of bridges, locks and other structures, removal of litter and detritus from the water and the towpath and the cutting back of vegetation.

Mrs K is an older person with a disability, who had her purse stolen from her handbag whilst at the local shops.

Whilst there was no intimidation or violence by the young offender, S, the offence had a negative impact on both her physical and her mental health.

Through meeting with Mrs K and her daughter it was made clear that Mrs K wanted answers to the question as to why she had been picked as the victim of this offence. Although Mrs K initially wanted to have a face to face meeting with the young person, both the RPW and the daughter felt that she was too vulnerable to undertake such a meeting.

However, working with the young person and his worker, a list of questions from Mrs K was answered and a letter of apology was sent to Mrs K. Whilst Mrs K was concerned that she could not speak directly to the young person she did feel that the letter of apology did answer many of her questions and put her mind at rest.

For those young people unable to adapt to the group work or the physical nature of the work on the canals, alternative placements are found in local charity shops. Despite the nature of their Court order, young people are invited to apply as volunteers at the charity shops with the hope that they will continue to volunteer after their statutory hours are complete.

Anti-Social Behaviour

The Youth Offending Service's Anti-Social Behaviour (ASB) Support Team is a city-wide service with a small staff team providing support across the five area teams. The team works with young people aged between 10-17 years subject to an ASB sanction, including an early warning letter, and Acceptable Behaviour Contracts (ABC) and to support the positive requirements for Civil Injunction and Criminal Behaviour Order (CBO) that are commonly used by West Midlands Police and BCC Housing.

The team deliver appropriate support in order to tackle the underlying causes contributing to the behaviour and help the young person and their family to make the necessary changes to their behaviour to avoid legal action.

The Team has close working relationships with the West Midlands Police Neighbourhood Teams (NHT's) to provide advice and guidance on when an application should be considered.

The team have taken on the responsibility of co-ordinating and participating in the legally required consultation meetings, ensuring that all relevant professionals involved with the young person are present. This has resulted in more appropriate CBO applications being submitted to the Crime Prosecution Service (CPS), as the views of the Youth Offending Service are evidenced, whether we agree or disagree with the application.

In cases where there is a clear disagreement, the YOS will submit a recommendation for alternative arrangements to deal with the young person's ASB which have been better received by the Courts. The response from the Police and Housing teams has been very positive as over this fiscal year: 16 formal consultation meetings have taken place, resulting in 5 Civil Injunctions due to the young people and their families refusing to engage with the team on a voluntary basis and continued to engage in anti-social behaviour, and 6 CBO's being imposed citywide. The other 4 were discussed but YOS were unwilling to support the application given the evidence presented by the Police and agreed that alternative arrangements would be sufficient to deal with the behaviour in these cases.

T came to the attention of the Police and Housing Team as part of a small group for being involved in anti-social behaviour

The case was discussed at the local Safer Communities Group and agreed for ASB sanctions to be implemented

The Police, Housing officer, and ASB worker discussed with T and his mother the ASB concerns and details of the Acceptable Behaviour Contract (ABC), which they both agreed to and signed.

Focused sessions took place initially weekly over a 6 month period with T at his school to reinforce the need to cease challenging behaviour at school and with his mother focussed on routines and boundaries.

T reported that the sessions gave him the opportunity to think differently and helped him want to change. T's behaviour improved at school and at home also benefiting his younger siblings. T began attending a local youth provision and made different friends.

6 months after the intervention ended T has not offended and there has been no further ASB issues reported with residents speaking positively about him.

Education, training and employment

The engagement of young offenders into positive education, training and employment is an integral protective factor to reduce re-offending and is a priority objective for the Service. The Service continues to use the support of dedicated ETE mentors from SOVA (voluntary sector) who focus on raising young people's aspirations, building confidence and supporting them to engage in ETE. This delivery model, of deploying mentors in the YOT's and the

Police Teams has been very successful, and they were recognised for their impact in the recent national ESRA Employability Awards. SOVA won the 2018 Youth Employability Award category, for exceptional commitment in delivering employment support services for young offenders.

As a result, the Service continues to perform well against the national average and other Core Cities. However, looking ahead there will be an increasing challenge to find suitable provision that can effectively engage some of the more complex young people. This is due to an emerging pressure to reduce the use of School Alternative Provision that has traditionally worked with this cohort and a continuing reduction in Post 16 Work-Based Learning Providers in Birmingham.

It is clear from the on-going analysis of the young people that the Service works with, that disproportionately high numbers of young people known to the Criminal Justice System are attending Special Schools or have been permanently excluded with pressure on full time provision in Pupil Referral Units.

The YOS has recently realigned the Service's Music Studio Project to help provide an alternative enrichment provision, for those at risk of disengagement with education. This Project will provide the Special Schools and PRUs with the offer of this attractive hook for their pupils, incorporated as part of their curriculum, to act as a stimulus for re-engagement with education. This is being trialled at the moment and has had an immediate impact by successfully engaging non-school attenders.

B was given a 6 month Referral Order for Theft of Motor Vehicle.

Following assessment and attendance at Panel a contract was drawn up which focused on the 'Your Choice' motoring programme from the Fire Service alongside work looking at his offending behaviour along with indirect reparation.

It was also recognised that he was not in education or employment and a mentor was identified to help support him. B successfully completed all of the elements of the contract and before the end of the order he had managed to gain a traineeship in Business Administration.

The Service continues to work collaboratively with Birmingham SENAR to support the priorities of the SEND code of practice: in particular the joint working between YOS and SENAR, to implement the new legal framework for those with SEN in custody. This has earned this Birmingham partnership the Quality Mark status from Achievement for All.

In response to the Service working with an increased concentration of young people with multiple complex needs, many of who are disengaged and have likely higher levels of recidivism, the Service continues to develop the SEMH Pathfinder project. This offers sustained support to young people and families with multiple complex needs; through the release of specially trained school based staff and establishing a multi-agency team comprising of DWP Think Family Employment Adviser, Special School Nurse, Aquarius Substance Misuse Worker, 16+ ETE Mentors, Employment Service Officer and a mental health specialist. The Pathfinder is aligned with the City's Early Help offer and works closely with Family Support and YOS.

The Service continues to commission mentors for every team to support the school age cohort and the Romanian Romany Mentors continue to offer crucial support for this particularly vulnerable cohort. The Service has also introduced the offer of in house numeracy and literacy support. This is delivered through the provision of one to one tutor support provided at the team venues, at their homes or in the local community, with the aim to help stimulate re-engagement into ETE.

J was 17 years old and had been excluded from school and been out of education for over three years. He had alcohol misuse and anger problems.

The InspirEd YOT Tutor began building a relationship with J and assessed his literacy and numeracy levels

An intervention plan was drawn up which focused on building his confidence in basic skills and addressing the issues relating to alcohol and anger management.

J responded really well and as a result of this support J is now alcohol free, more confident around his literacy and looking forward to a new future. He has successfully progressed on to a construction training programme.

J stated "The support from InspirEd was brilliant; I can't fault it in any way. I'm off the drink and on a course, everything's good. The worker has done a brilliant job; I wouldn't have given up drinking without him."

The Birmingham Youth Offending Service also continues to benefit from external funding that provides our intensive ETE mentoring support. Youth Promise Plus has been providing considerable support for the Post 16 cohort through the “at risk of offending” contract. YPP is a Birmingham and Solihull Employment Pathway Project supported by the European Social fund and Youth Employment Initiative. This first phase ended in July 2018 but there has been a proposal extended this, albeit at a reduced level for another 2 years. Whilst this proposal is being considered, the Office of Police and Crime Commissioner has provided funding to enable the ETE mentoring support to continue in this interim period. In addition, SOVA have also successfully secured 3 years funding from the City and Guilds Foundation to provide the Service with 2 ETE engagement mentors to support young offenders to re-engage with ETE.

Substance Misuse

Cannabis and alcohol are the main substances used by young people in Birmingham. Despite national trends, Class A users presenting for treatment are low and a relatively small number are identified as new psychoactive substance (‘legal highs’) users. Since May 2016, when the Psychoactive Substances Act came into force, none of these drugs are legal to produce or supply.

‘Aquarius’ provides the substance misuse provision for the Service and a named substance misuse worker is provided for each of the five area teams. These workers also attend risk and vulnerability panels and contribute towards the Service-led intervention plans. In addition to individual sessions, ‘Aquarius’ also provides interactive group-work sessions, designed to help engage young people in structured treatment and ensure harm reduction and safe practices information. The number of referrals to ‘Aquarius’ in 2017/18 was 138, with young people receiving brief interventions (some on more than one occasion) and more structured treatments. This has been mainly due to a new working model which has included a higher level of community outreach provision. The Youth Offending Service is the highest referrer into these services.

“County Lines” is the organised criminal distribution of drugs from the big cities into smaller towns and rural areas using children and vulnerable people. Although cannabis is occasionally linked to the “County Lines” organisations, it is harder drugs that provide the focus: heroin, cocaine, and amphetamines. Aquarius is working collaboratively with YOS and other agencies to identify and deter young people attracted to or exploited by these activities.

Child and Adolescent Mental Health

Forward Thinking Birmingham (FTB) in partnership with the Voluntary Sector, Beacon, The Children’s Society and Priory has a range of Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services ranging from the age 0-25, that aim to support children, young people and young adults who are experiencing emotional and mental health problems. Children and young people’s mental health disorders affect 10-20% of children and young people. Common mental health disorders and difficulties encountered during childhood and the teenage years include:

- ADHD (Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder);
- Autistic spectrum condition (ASC);
- Emotional and behavioural problems;
- Conduct Disorder;
- PTSD (Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder);

- OCD (Obsessive Compulsive Disorder);
- Depression; Eating Disorders; Bullying; Anxiety.

FTB have a dedicated team of clinical staff working within the Youth Offending Service who work alongside staff to offer screening, identification and treatment of mental health difficulties of young offenders aimed at reducing the range of risk factors that can cause young offenders to be more at risk of emotional and developmental problems. By building an individual's resilience, improvements are seen in their ability to cope with situations that may lead to offending.

FTB aims to improve the mental health and emotional well-being of children, young people and their families and to improve the level of knowledge and awareness of mental health issues among the wider staff group.

The Clinical Nurse Specialist posts reflect the specialised clinical qualification in the domain of Child and Adolescent Mental Health. Whilst not essential, post holders have specialist training in dedicated therapeutic approaches to intervention, assessment of complex mental health need and advanced skills in multi-disciplinary working. This role includes the assessment, clinical formulation and delivery of interventions to meet complex mental health needs.

Staff within the Service have been trained alongside the specialist clinical staff in the use of SAVRY (Structured Assessment of Violence Risk in Youth) and in DBT (Dialectic Behavioural Therapy) which can assist in the treatment of those with suicidal tendencies and those who have experienced deep trauma in their past. They also offer cognitive behaviour therapy, brief solution focused therapy, family work and neuro developmental interventions.

The clinical staff provide a core set of skills which means that they can accommodate all emergencies regardless of who is available for the assessment and are able to work flexibly to meet the needs of the young person.

Current on-going caseloads are approximately 150 across FTB YOS staff. This includes one of the staff members being a non-medical prescriber. 85 cases are currently under a prescriber being treated for ADHD and ASD, with a small proportion being treated for co-morbid psychiatric conditions, mainly depression or mood dysregulation. Over 65% of the cases are neuro-developmental - mainly ADHD and ASC.

The case study highlights key strengths:

1. Immediate mental health assessment with case worker having the ability to co-ordinate support for assessment in the form of additional staff members
2. Ability, with parental consent to identify vulnerability to local police via YCO as this young person was leaving the family home unsupervised and was acting erratically so vulnerability was the focus not criminal arrest when encountered.
3. Referral to Early intervention team and access to place of safety.
4. Electronic record system in FTB allowed via mobile access to record details of need clearly for all health teams.

F was placed on an order for assaults on staff within an out of area residential home. When F returned to Birmingham aged 17 years, a referral was made to YOS FTB staff and engaged well. F was diagnosed with a borderline personality disorder but engaged well in individual sessions of Dialectical Behaviour Therapy and used these skills to better regulate ongoing behaviour.

F successfully completed the court order. There is no current self-harm, low risk of suicide; relationships with peers are going well. The FTB Service is now facilitating the transfer the case to an adult CMHT team.

5. Youth Offending Case worker able to highlight signs and symptoms of acute change in mental state to request urgent assessment

FTB continues to work closely with the Youth Offending Service to ensure that all young people have access to mental health support and interventions in line with the NICE guidelines (National Institute of Clinical Excellence) and aligned to the needs to the client group they support.

Harmful Sexual Behaviour Team

The Harmful Sexual Behaviour Team (formerly the Sexually Harmful Behaviour Team) is a small but key safeguarding team hosted and funded through the Youth Offending Service, Community Safety Partnership and Clinical Commissioning groups that undertakes risk assessment and therapeutic intervention to prevent and reduce harmful sexual behaviour in partnership with key agencies including Children's Services, Youth Offending Services, Police, CPS and schools. The service works with young people from 7-17 years either on a voluntary or statutory basis. Between 1st April 2017 – 31st March 2018, 104 young people new referrals were received. During this time, the team worked with 171 young people (including existing cases) and had an average caseload at any one time of approximately 65 cases.

In addition, the team continues to provide advice and support to other professionals.

The name of the team has recently changed to the Harmful Sexual Behaviour team to reflect current research and practice and to encompass both sexually abusive behaviour and sexually problematic or concerning behaviour.

Children and young people who sexually abuse usually exhibit common life experiences and individual traits that contribute to development and future behaviour. Early intervention and therapeutic work can target these areas and promote change in family systems and the behaviour of children. Families and carers are essential to this work and are actively engaged throughout HSB interventions. Protection of victims is comprehensively assessed at all stages. The team also provides training and consultancy to other professional agencies and carries out preventative work in schools in order to promote appropriate behaviour.

What is very apparent from the numbers and sources of referrals, that the team is placed within the correct space to work with those young people to avoid unnecessary criminalisation of children and young people when an educative programme and family support can be put in place prior to the young person reaching court and statutory interventions.

Over the past 12 months the team has continued to develop partnership working with other key agencies and has provided training to a number of education providers in relation to managing harmful sexual behaviour. Furthermore, the team has worked in partnership with Children's Services and Educational Psychology Services

Referral Source	Number
Children's Services	52
Education	31
Police	11
Primary Health	1
Community Mental Health Service	2
Youth Offending Service	7
Total	104

A was referred to HSB after he pleaded guilty to contact and online sexual offences.

The HSB assessment identified that isolation and familial relationships were significant contributory factors to A's offending. Consequently, the HSB plan was to reduce A's feelings of isolation and address his cognitive distortions.

The plan for HSB work was developed around the AIM2 and Transactional Analysis HSB assessments and HSB worked closely with A's mother, his case manager and his SOVA mentor to coordinate the support required to address his offending.

A's isolation was compounded by his anxieties around independent travel and therefore emotion regulation work was facilitated to support A to manage these challenges. At the time when A was transferred to the Probation Service, he had successfully completed a period on a curfew; he was engaged in ETE and was travelling around the city independently. A had also developed a support network of pro-social peers and had not committed any further offences.

to develop guidelines for education providers on responding to harmful sexual behaviour in schools.

In January 2017, the team gained additional capacity funded from NHS commissioners to increase specialist staff within the team for children and young people on the autistic spectrum and those with learning difficulties and the team now has a specialist Autism worker and an Assistant Psychologist in post. These staff also provide training and consultancy to the whole Youth Offending Service and the Autism Worker facilitates a rolling programme of Cygnet Training to families. The availability of this provision ensures that the service is able to identify and respond to the individual needs of each young person.

Female Gender Specific Programme

The Female Gender Specific Programme (FGSP) is a city wide programme within Birmingham Youth Offending Service supported by two members of staff delivering interventions for young women aged 12-18 years, open to the Service on Youth Cautions, Referral Orders, Youth Rehabilitation Orders, Community Licence and Think Family Plans.

The Unit utilises a programme based on well researched and validated model 'Oregon's (USA) Guidelines for Effective Gender Specific Programming for Girls (2000)' which advocates a holistic approach to working with young women, to manage both high risk behaviours that place the public and victims at risk, alongside safeguarding and welfare needs. Staff have specialist knowledge and experience of working with children and young women who have offended and been victims of abuse through child sexual exploitation and gang violence. Interventions are delivered on one to one or group basis. It is important to equip children and young women with knowledge, so that they can try to keep themselves, their friends and peers safe. We aim to raise their awareness by putting them at the centre of the work we do, so in turn they can be listened too, be believed and be supported. By informing them of the facts, they can make informed choices and decisions in order to help promote a healthy and safe wellbeing. This specialist and flexible provision allows young women and girls to return to see FGSP staff for help / reassurance to keep on track post order, this can be face to face or telephone support.

Young women have been supported to open up about their own experiences of being a victim of CSE and gang violence. This in turn, is fed into the wider safeguarding panels to form part of a plan to safeguard them and disrupt perpetrators.

Appropriate Adults

The Service is responsible for ensuring that support is offered to all young people, aged from 10 to 17, who are arrested and detained at a Police Station where a responsible adult cannot attend.

The Appropriate Adult attends to safeguard the welfare of the young person and to ensure that processes in keeping with the PACE Codes of Practice are adhered to. The service is staffed by volunteers supported by a full-time co-ordinator and is available to all Police Stations across the city. Out-of-hours co-ordination is covered on a paid contract basis and the Service works closely with colleagues from Children's Services in respect of the corporate parenting of Children in Care. The Local Authority has a duty under Section 38 of the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 to accept the transfer of children who have been charged and denied bail from police custody to local authority accommodation. This has recently been re-enforced by the issuing of a concordant by central government. Work is on-going with Police and Children's Services to ensure that appropriate accommodation is available.

The working relationship between the Service, the Police and solicitors remains positive. The change of legislation in relation to PACE which to now include 17 years old young people has not made significant changes to the number of callouts.

Following recent research by the Combined Authority into the detention of young people in police cells and the access to PACE beds, further discussion has taken place between the Service, Police and EDT. The Police now have a system in place to ensure that any decision to detain a young person in the cells is reviewed by a senior officer. Similarly, Police data is being shared monthly with both the Youth Offending Service and EDT to analyse the number of PACE beds being requested and those being utilised.

Parenting

Parenting interventions are used to reduce risk factors such as harsh or erratic discipline, poor supervision and conflict at home, and to strengthen protective factors such as constructive supervision and supportive relationships. Parenting workers with the Service utilise the 'Triple-P' Positive Parenting programme as the main evidence-based programme to help parents to develop parenting and supervision skills. The Parenting workers also work closely with the Restorative Practice workers to provide young people, their parents and victims with Family Group Conferencing.

Where more intensive work with families is required, the Service can draw upon the Multi Systemic Therapy teams, which are funded with Think Family funding. Multi Systemic Therapy (MST) is a goal-oriented, comprehensive treatment programme designed to work with young people at risk of custody or care who have multiple problems including anti-social behaviour; aggression/difficulties in relationships with various systems including within the family or at school; going missing or staying out late and lack of clear family rules or expectations. It is a family-focused and community-based treatment programme that has been the focus of several major research studies and demonstrated clinical and cost-effectiveness for youth with complex emotional, social, and educational needs. All interventions are designed in full collaboration with family members and key figures in the child's life.

K was given a 12 month YRO for an offence of Burglary. Following assessment of both the young person and his mother it was recognised that although K's mother, Ms R, could be very authoritarian, she was inconsistent in her parenting of her children.

Further discussions indicated that she had been subjected to repeated domestic violence from a previous partner, which her son had more recently tried to emulate.

The parenting worker used the Triple P programme to assist her to gain greater consistency in her parenting and to work more closely with her children to set clearly defined goals of behaviour.

She was also assisted to seek help from her GP to get help for her depression and work was also undertaken to help her have the confidence to seek new employment.

Parents and Children Together (PACT)

Incidences of aggression from children towards their parents can be viewed as part of normal child development and dealing with such issues present opportunities to learn and develop for both parent and child. Child to parent abuse goes beyond the everyday experiences of children "hitting out" at parents, which can happen for all sorts of medical, developmental and situational reasons. It also goes beyond "one off" incidents.

Child to parent abuse is rarely recognised as domestic violence but uses many of the same patterns and tactics of power and control as in adolescent and adult intimate relationships. Put-downs, threats, intimidation, property destruction, degrading language and physical violence are used to gain power and control over the other person and can be learned behaviour.

L was referred to the Multi Systemic Therapy (MST) team as he was fire setting, carrying weapons, being physically and verbally aggressive. His attendance and behaviour at school was poor, he was involved in anti-social behaviour and was coming home late and going missing from home. L was also having regular seizures which required hospital treatment as well as emerging mental health concerns which resulted in the mental health crisis team being involved with the family.

MST utilised a range of psychological and behavioural interventions including support to his parent to set clear expectations, use of structured rewards and consequences, skills in de-escalation, use of emotional warmth, and how to support L to be less influenced by his peers

L made excellent progress and has not re-offended.

The Service has begun to roll out the 'PACT' programme which aims to:

- reduce incidents of child to parent abuse
- increase safety within families
- promote positive relationships within families
- improve outcomes for families e.g. improved school attendance, entry into employment

PACT consists of a twelve-session programme for parents and teenagers, delivered in parallel. The last session is delivered jointly with both parents and their teenagers. The programme is multi layered and weaves together cognitive behavioural therapy and skills development, in a restorative practice framework, with family safety and respectful family relationships at the centre. It is designed to create a safe and respectful environment to enable learning on the programme to be integrated into family life. The programme addresses the young person's abusive and violent behaviour and reduces the instances of this behaviour by developing a more effective relationship between parent/carer and young person. The YOS Manager who leads this programme has supported PACT training across Family Support teams.

Gangs and Serious and Organised Crime

Birmingham has a long history of reducing gang-related violence through its partnership and community approach. However, recent gang activity has demonstrated the need for constant vigilance and innovative ways to keep pace with gang activity. There are approximately 35/40 Organised Crime Groups (OCG's) that operate in Birmingham, often in areas of high deprivation and unemployment, where they are able to carry out their activities. The activities of these gangs involve: trafficking foreign nationals into modern day slavery, Child Sexual Exploitation, Child Criminal Exploitation, the importation, supply or production of illicit drugs, and the use or supply of firearms. The most frequently seen crime types of OCG's within the city, based on our current understanding, are: drugs, specialist money laundering, economic crime, organised immigration crime and human trafficking (not for sexual exploitation), violent crime (including firearms offences), organised theft and sexual offences. The vast majority of OCG members are adults; however young people are recruited and affiliated to these more organised groups usually either willingly, for protection or for fear of reprisals to themselves or their family.

The Office of the West Midlands Police and Crime Commissioner (OPCC) established a Gangs and Related Violence Commission to formulate a response, which was community led, with the support of statutory services, and its findings were reported in December 2017 along with 25 recommendations from this work. Having identified the need for a multi-agency city-wide response to the serious issues, challenges and vulnerabilities inherent in gang-related offending, Birmingham Community Safety Partnership has overseen the establishment of a **City Wide**

R was referred for mentoring support as he was assessed as at risk of gang activity. Initially R did not fully engage and tried to make it difficult to contact him. Efforts to spend time with him paid off and in addition to the 1:1 sessions he began attending a 'Be a Leader' course. R settled on the course and was quickly supporting others to think differently and share their ideas. His self-confidence grew and his mother gave positive feedback about the effort he was making at home. R asked if he could become a peer mentor.

'If it was not for my mentor, I would have been in a really bad place. He gave me a chance and one day I want to give back like he has done to young men like myself growing up in a world where we find it hard to get out.'

Organised Crime and Gangs Strategy to respond to the prevailing situation led by West Midlands Police and Birmingham Children's Trust. The recommendations of the OPPC Gangs Commission and those in the CSP Birmingham Gangs Strategy have been integrated.

The Birmingham Gangs Strategy adopts a public health approach and uses the nationally recognised '**4P framework**' with its four thematic pillars:

1. Pursue - prosecuting and disrupting people engaged in guns, gangs and organised criminality;
2. Prevent - preventing people from engaging in these activities;
3. Protect - increasing protection against guns, gangs and organised criminality;
4. Prepare - reducing the impact of this criminality where it takes place.

A **Strategic Board** has been formed to oversee the implementation of the strategy and has established a multi-agency **Operational Group**, comprising of Police, Youth Offending Service, National Probation Service, Community Rehabilitation Companies, BCC Local Services, Children's Social Care, Health, representatives from Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs, Department of Work and Pensions and representation from community organisations and

Victim Support. This meets regularly to discuss current issues/problems and individuals (both young people and adults) where there are concerns about their risk of/or involvement in gang-related activity and agree interventions to mitigate and manage identified risk.

A multi-agency Criminal Exploitation Panel has also been established, focussing on young people identified as being criminally exploited and applies the 4P approach to mitigating the risks.

In line with this, local safeguarding arrangements have been reviewed to incorporate these groups of young people, involving the triggering of child protection procedures where a young person has been identified as being at significant risk of gang involvement or criminal exploitation with city-wide procedures that include a screening tool for professionals to understand contextual safeguarding and the signs that a young person is vulnerable or at risk.

The Police and Youth Offending Service are in discussion with community groups (including the faith sector) to determine how on-going work in the community can be supported and how the community can work alongside statutory agencies to effectively deal with the serious violence issue in the City.

The Service has commissioned specialist mentoring intervention programmes being delivered, mainly in the south of the city where there has been a particular spike in gang activity and related violence. The Service is also working closely with the OPCC to procure additional specialist community-led programmes to extend provision across the city which will include mediation services that are both led and supported by community groups.

A strategy regarding the deployment of specialist detached youth workers to engage young people involved in or at risk of gang involvement and/or criminal exploitation is currently being scoped and will be operationalised once workers have been identified.

Preventing Violent Extremism

The Service continues to work in partnership at both a strategic and operational level as a member of the Birmingham Prevent Strategy Board, communicating closely with both the Birmingham City Council Prevent Coordinator and 'Channel' Coordinator, as well as working directly with the Security and Partnership Teams, being a member of the 'Channel' panel and with local community-based and voluntary groups.

This close working relationship has allowed the Service to align itself with national strategy and interpret this to a local level, in addition to being aware of emerging trends locally. The Service's strategic lead for Prevent is an Assistant Head, who is supported operationally by a Prevent Coordinator who is based in the Children's Advice and Support Service (CASS).

The Prevent programme assesses young people who may be vulnerable to violent extremism (Islamic extremism or right wing extremism) and responds by implementing safeguarding measures in order to support the young person. The programme offers individuals an opportunity to air their views, thoughts, frustrations and concerns in a safe environment allowing the young person to both develop and gain resources through active engagement and discussions. Staff within the Service have been trained in WRAP3.

The Service responds to developments within the Government's Contest Strategy allowing staff to gain an understanding of the Prevent strategy and their role within it; to use existing expertise and professional judgement to recognise vulnerable individuals who may need support; and to ensure that local safeguarding and referral mechanisms are known to professionals. For those that require relevant additional multi-agency oversight, the Service continues to ensure good quality referrals into the 'Channel' Panel to ensure there are appropriate mechanisms and interventions in place to support vulnerable individuals, including those which require additional multi-agency oversight.

B initially presented as a victim of Child Sexual Exploitation and was under the care of another Local Authority placed in Birmingham for safeguarding reasons regarding gang associations.

Whilst in Birmingham, B was associating with adults of concern and as a result of this and concerns around possible susceptibility to extremism, the YOS Prevent Coordinator became involved and completed 1-2-1 sessions and ensured involvement of the police offender manager who provided additional oversight given the nature of the concerns.

A referral was completed to West Midlands Prevent. Children's Services moved the young person outside of Birmingham. The Prevent Referral followed her to the new local authority and managers at the COG (CSE Operation Group) were made aware of the links that she had formed in Birmingham, to ensure that other young people were safeguarded appropriately.

Junior Attendance Centres

Junior Attendance Centres (JAC) continue to work in accordance with the requirements set out in the JAC Operating Model produced by the YJB, and in support of the statutory aim of the youth justice system to prevent offending by children and young people. Birmingham operates two centres from YOS building, and offers a range of life-skills programmes to young people with a variety of offending profiles from lower risk to those subject to ISS interventions, including on Saturdays. The JACs are also used for young people whose training or employment excludes them attending the YOT during the weekday sessions.

Robbery and Knife Crime Intervention

Weapons offences include possession of offensive weapon, possession of a bladed article, possession of a firearm imitation or real, knife-enabled robberies or theft from person or aggravated burglary. Self-defence and fear are the most frequently cited reasons for carrying a weapon.

A had recently been released from custody following convictions for Robbery and Assault.

A had a significant history of violent offending and was assessed as posing a high risk of reoffending and a high risk of serious harm to others. Case Management Plus guided the development of a multi-disciplinary team formulation which allowed for a shared understanding of A's strengths and difficulties and their impact on his offending behaviour.

A bespoke plan was developed to support A and his family to address the issues highlighted and allowed for detailed and focused work to address the issues relating to A's offending.

A is currently engaging very well in developing a Good Lives Plan and has complied with a robust risk management plan. He is maintaining a college placement, has completed a Mental Health Assessment and has not committed any further offences.

The Service delivers a Knife Education Programme to every young person that comes to the attention of the Youth Justice System and to those identified as vulnerable or at risk by partners and a more specific intervention programme for young people who have committed any weapons offence or knife crime. For preventative purposes the Service also supports those identified by other agencies as at risk, for example, pupils excluded or at risk of exclusion as a result of bringing a knife or bladed instrument to school who do not receive a community resolution, caution or court disposal.

All young people are engaged in consideration of the consequences of carrying weapons and young people are encouraged to repeat these messages to their peers to amplify the effect of the education programme. The positive interaction with young people builds resilience and protective factors to improve problem solving and life skills. Young people carrying knives but not in the formal court system are both challenged and supported to reduce their risk and vulnerability, supporting children and young people to move away from negative peer groups and maintain or improve their education, training and employment opportunities and become a more positive member of the community. The impact of this approach is evident in the post intervention assessment which shows a stable or improved ETE position for all young people that pass through the intervention.

The YOS delivers interventions that tackle knife carrying among young people who offend as part of a court order who are convicted of any offence where a knife, or the threat of a knife, is a feature. In 2017/18, 237 young people went through this Knife Possession Programme (up from 173 young people in 2016/17) showing improved identification of young people not charged with knife offences who nevertheless were in possession at the time ('knife enabled' offences). Of the 173 going through the programme in 2016/17, 10 young people were subsequently re-convicted of offences involving knives within the following 12 months.

In addition, the Service runs a specialist programme "Knife Means Life", which is part of our statutory work and integrated within a 25 hour per week supervision and surveillance programme funded by our statutory grant.

The Service also works in partnership with Street Doctors (a national charity working through medical students) who teach young people to deliver basic first aid skills and give young people the opportunity to talk to ex-offenders and victims of knife crime. West Midlands Police support the programme with officers and speakers. The PCC's office has recently begun supporting additional programmes for preventative work in schools and additional capacity within the Service.

Accommodation

Most young people who approach the Service requiring support with accommodation do so because of the breakdown in their relationship with their parent/guardian. In the majority of cases, this is due to their offending behaviour and the impact it is having on other siblings/family members within the household. Sometimes accommodation is required where a young person may need to move because of 'gang affiliation' or they have committed an offence within the local area and require an alternative bail address.

An accommodation officer from St Basils is based with the Service and has access to specialist accommodation for young offenders through 'Supporting People' funded provision provided by Trident Reach Housing Association. This provision comprises 10 fully supported bed spaces, 9 semi-supported and 4 training flats. These placements come with wrap around support for young people in relation to Education, Training and Employment, physical and emotional health, life skills and independent living. The Service also has access to an emergency bed space, provided by St Basils, at an alternative venue.

In addition, the Service benefits from its partnership with St Basils Youth Hub, a multi-agency response to youth homelessness in Birmingham, which provides quality prevention advice and is working in line with statutory services implementing the HRA (Homeless Reduction Act) which came into force 3rd April 2018 nationally. St Basils has a full range of prevention, accommodation, support and engagement services as well as services which aim to ensure young people develop the skills and have the support needed to move on successfully.

St Basils also has 24 supported accommodation projects in Birmingham providing over 350 bed spaces for homeless young people, and these are accessed where appropriate by the co-located accommodation officer.

The Service continues to work with its partners to ensure suitable accommodation is secured for all young people on release from custody.

C was 18 years old and became homeless because of conflict at home and he had nowhere to stay.

C said "Trident Reach has been helping me for just over a year. I had nowhere to live but I have a roof over my head and the staff are really supportive, they have helped me sort myself out, manage my money, get qualifications and find voluntary work.

"I volunteer at the Trident Reach shops – stacking shelves, working on the tills and serving customers. I'm also doing training with Prince's Trust and have done courses in climbing, teamwork and health in the community with a view to do health and safety and emergency first aid"

"I'm good at clearing gardens so I'd like to get into property management in the future. I'm hoping to move into my own flat soon too. I don't know what would have happened to me if it wasn't for this place, I'd probably still be homeless but being here has given me a focus to get my life back on track"

It's like being in a family and we all try to help each other out"

Transitions

The Birmingham Community Rehabilitation Company (CRC) has a cohort of 3733 Service Users of which young adults aged between 18 and 24 years represent 20% of this caseload. There is a clear distinction between the work undertaken with young adults and the general populous and the needs of this age group. Young adults are over represented in crime figures and the criminal justice system; in relation to the general population, however, early adulthood may also be a time where, with the right support and influence, it can be a:

'... watershed period, a time when people start to desist and thus a time at which the CJS can be influential in helping or hindering these moves towards desistance' (Shapland Et-al, 2012)

Research indicates that the brain is not fully mature until the early to mid-20's and psychosocial maturity is highly relevant to offending and engagement with services, with the main factors being 'responsibility, temperance and perspective'. Milestones associated with becoming an adult tend to occur later in life and delays (for example due to experiences of care or custody) can impede a successful transition to adulthood. Many of the needs of 18 – 24 year olds are similar to those of 16- 17 year olds. Young adults are likely to have complex levels of need including emerging mental health issues, personality disorders, maturity and vulnerability issues. Poor engagement is also a significant factor for this distinctive group. A barrier identified has been poor narrative skills and the lack of ability to communicate appropriately:

'...monosyllabic, poorly elaborated and non-specific responses that may be accompanied by poor eye-contact and occasional shrugs of the shoulders.' (Snow and Powell, 2012)

Such responses may be mistaken for deliberate rudeness and wilful non-compliance when being interviewed by police or cross-examined in court and if interpreted as behavioural and attitudinal, communication difficulties may create 'additional disadvantage for the young person's passage through the justice system' (Snow et al, 2012).

In light of the above, the West Midlands Reducing Reoffending Partnership has developed a 'young adult semi-specialist' provision across the service to manage caseloads of young adults and undertake specific training to enable positive work to support this cohort and reduce the risk of re-offending through positive engagement and a distinctive approach. Young adult programmes have been developed to support the work and a package of training has been provided specifically for the semi-specialists and for all staff members to increase awareness.

This team is being formed and will comprise of a manager and approximately 12 full time members of staff, who will work alongside peer mentors and Community Support Workers. The remit of this team will be:

- Case management of all cases and full transfer of cases from the YOS in line with newly agreed transition processes, allowing named officers to be identified prior to transfer as well as an introduction to CRC Adult Services. This process will be supported by peer mentors and community support workers, who will promote engagement and facilitate a smooth transition for the young people.
- Delivery of the Fast Forward Programme (RAR) – up to 24 years
- Delivery of the Pathway to Independence Programme (RAR) – up to 24 years
- Development of a young adult partnership hub to support desistance.
- Development of new pathways for emotional awareness and masculinity.

The Young Adult Team' is intended to improve communication and service user engagement within the transition period, through the provision of a distinctive and bespoke intervention programme, which effectively addresses the needs of young adults; improving staff knowledge and practice and developing strong partnerships. The Youth Offending Service is working closely with the CRC in 2018/19 in developing this provision, specifically in relation to the 16 and 17 cohorts.

Appendix 2: Glossary

Absolute discharge: Discharges are given for minor offences at Court. An 'absolute discharge' means that no more action will be taken.

Bail Supervision and Support: Bail Supervision and Support (BSS) is an intervention provided by the YOT to help ensure a young person meets the requirements of bail. The young person may additionally be electronically tagged.

Bed night: measure of occupancy one young person for one night in the secure estate.

Breach of statutory order: Is an offence of failing without reasonable excuse to comply with the requirements of an existing statutory order.

Community Sentence: When a court imposes a community sentence, the young person carries out this sentence in the community. Community Sentences in the Youth Justice System include Youth Rehabilitation Orders.

Criminal Behaviour Orders: Civil orders (which replaced ASBOs), designed to prevent someone causing "harassment, alarm or distress". Breach of an order is a criminal offence, punishable by up to 5 years in prison (2 years for juveniles).

Detention and Training Order (DTOs): Detention and Training Orders (DTOs) are determinate custodial sentences which can last from four months to 24 months in length. A young person spends the first half of the order in custody and the second half released on licence. If they offend while on licence, they may be recalled back to custody.

Disposals may be divided into four separate categories of increasing seriousness starting with out-of-court disposals then moving into first-tier and community-based penalties through to custodial sentences.

First-tier penalty: This is an umbrella term used for the following orders made at court: Referral Orders, Reparation Orders, bind over, discharges, fines and deferred sentences.

First Time Entrants: First time entrants to the criminal justice are classified as offenders who received their first caution or conviction, based on data recorded by the police on the Police National Computer.

Intensive Supervision and Surveillance: Intensive Supervision and Surveillance (ISS) is attached to a Youth Rehabilitation Order and has been set as a high intensity alternative to custody. ISS combines a set period of electronic tagging, with up to 25 hours per week intensive supervision. ISS is aimed at young offenders on the custody threshold and has to be considered as an option before a custodial sentence is given. ISS may also be attached to conditional bail.

Parenting Orders: Parenting Orders aim to prevent offending and anti-social behaviour by reinforcing parental responsibility.

PENY: Police Electronic Notification to YOTs. Daily information sent to YOTs regarding children and young people coming to notice.

Pre-sentence report: This is a report to the sentencing magistrates or judges containing background information about the crime and the defendant and a recommendation on the sentence to assist them in making their sentencing decision.

Proven offence: A proven offence is defined as an offence which results in the offender receiving a caution or conviction.

Remands: Once the court has denied bail, there are three remand options:

Remand to local authority accommodation: A young person may be remanded to local authority accommodation. This remand may be accompanied by electronic tagging.

Court-ordered secure remand: A court-ordered secure remand allows courts to remand young people into Secure Children's Homes or Secure Training Centres. This provision applies to any 12-14-year-old and to 15-16-year-old girls. This also applies to 15-16-year-old boys who are deemed vulnerable by the court and for whom a place is available.

Custodial remand: If the court is not satisfied that imposing community-based bail will ensure compliance, or if the offence is serious, or if the young person frequently offends, then it may order a remand in custody. This applies to 15-16-year-old boys not deemed vulnerable by the court and 17 year old boys and girls.

Restorative Justice: Restorative justice is an approach to justice that focuses on the needs of the victims. Victims can take an active role in the process, whilst offenders are encouraged to take responsibility for their actions.

Section 90/91 of the Criminal Court Sentencing Act (2000): Any young person convicted of murder is sentenced under section 90. A section 91 sentence is for young people convicted of an offence other than murder for which a life sentence may be passed on an adult. The court can, if appropriate, sentence a young person to detention for life.

Secure estate: There are three types of placement in the secure estate. These are Secure Children's Homes (SCH), Secure Training Centres (STC) and Young Offender Institutions (YOI):

Local Authority Secure Children's Home (LASCH): Secure Children's Homes in England are run by Local Authorities and are overseen by the Department for Education in England. They generally accommodate remanded or sentenced young people aged 12-14 and girls and 'at risk' boys up to the age of 16. They can also accommodate young people placed by Local Authorities on welfare matters.

Secure Training Centre (STC): There are four purpose-built Secure Training Centres in England offering secure provision to sentenced or remanded young people aged 12-17. They provide a secure environment where vulnerable young people can be educated and rehabilitated. They are run by private operators under contracts which set out detailed operational requirements.

Young Offender Institution (YOI): Young Offender Institutions can accommodate young people and young adults who offend from between the ages of 15-21 years old.

Substantive Outcome: Is an umbrella term referring both to sentences given by the court and pre-court decisions made by the police

Self-harm: Self harm is defined as any act by which a young person deliberately harms themselves irrespective of the method, intent, or severity of the injury.

Youth Offending Service (YOS): The Youth Offending Service comprises of seconded representatives from police, probation, education, health and social services, and specialist workers, such as restorative justice workers, parenting workers and substance misuse workers.

Title of proposed EIA	Youth Justice Strategic Plan 2018/19
Reference No	EQUA188
EA is in support of	New Strategy
Review Frequency	Annually
Date of first review	06/05/2019
Directorate	Children and young people
Division	Birmingham Children's Trust
Service Area	Youth Offending Service
Responsible Officer(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Trevor A Brown
Quality Control Officer(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Trevor A Brown
Accountable Officer(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Dawn Roberts
Initial equality impact assessment of your proposal	<p>The Youth Justice Strategic Plan supports key outcomes in relation to improved life chances for vulnerable people and safer communities. The Plan identifies the barriers for these cohorts, highlights progress made, and identifies ongoing actions to address performance across partner agencies including education and training providers. This strategy has been informed from consultation with partners and service users and utilises data and information, brought together from a variety of sources, to determine, amongst other outcomes, how inequality within the criminal justice system can be effectively addressed.</p> <p>The Youth Offending Service is a member of, or represented in key partnerships and forums, providing the opportunity to highlight the needs and risks of those young people involved in the youth justice system, or at risk of entering it. These include; Birmingham Early Help and Safeguarding Partnership, Police and Schools Panels, Safeguarding Children's Board, Substance Misuse and Commissioning Group, Birmingham Community Safety, Police and Crime Board, INtegrated Offender Management Strategic Group, NEET Action Group, Prevent Strategy Group, Strategic Child Sexual Exploitation Sub-Group, CASS/MASH Partnership</p>

Forum, 0-18 Mental Health Transformation Board and Birmingham Guns and Organised Criminality Strategic Group. These partnerships will continue to be utilised to develop common strategies and interventions in relation to addressing areas of disadvantage and inequality.

The work of the youth offending partnership in 2018/19 will involve: continuing to work with the Multi-Agency Gang Unit to maximise opportunities to manage high risk offenders and increase interventions that reduce risk and vulnerability; Prioritising attendance at the School and Police Panels: working collectively on strategies to prevent and reduce anti- social behaviour and youth crime; working directly with schools and Head Teachers where offending rates require more collaborative approaches; fulfilling the requirements under the Services Think Family Investment Agreement, which includes achieving positive outcomes for families defined under the agreement; developing relationships within the Think Family partnership, including Department of Work and Pensions staff, to promote training and employment opportunities for adults within families; continuing to develop partnerships with employment and training providers, thereby increasing the opportunities for young people through apprenticeships and other placement provisions, to improve outcomes and working alongside partners to develop services to reduce Child Sexual Exploitation and expanding the Female Gender Specific Programme.

Protected characteristic: Age

Age details:

Service Users / Stakeholders

The Plan is primarily aimed at the 10-17 year old age group, which is the core group for the work of the

Youth Offending Service for those subject to Court orders and other disposals. In the period April 2017 to March 2018, the Service worked with 968 young people on court ordered and preventative programmes. 549 (56.7%) of these were existing clients. The majority of young people worked with during 2017/18 were male (849, 87.7%). Females accounted for 119 clients (12.3%). 17 year olds were the most prevalent age in the Service's caseload. In addition, the Service worked with approximately 5500 parents and siblings under its 'Think Family' responsibilities.

Protected characteristic: Disability

Disability details:

Service Users / Stakeholders

The plan sets out how partners will work together to support children and young people who are experiencing emotional and mental health problems. Children and young people's mental health disorders affect 10-20% of children and young people. Common mental health disorders and difficulties encountered during childhood and the teenage years include: .

- . ADHD (Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder);
- . Autism and Asperger Syndrome (the Autism Spectrum Disorders, or ASD);
- . Emotional and behavioural problems;
- . Conduct Disorder;
- . PTSD (Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder);
- . OCD (Obsessive Compulsive Disorder);
- . Depression; Eating Disorders; Bullying; Anxiety

Protected characteristic: Gender

Gender details:

Service Users / Stakeholders

The Plan identifies the breakdown of the client group in respect of gender. In terms of the gender of the young people worked with during 2017/18. Figures show that the majority of young people worked with

were male (1364, 85.20%). Females accounted for 237 clients (14.80%).

The Plan contains information about the Female Gender Specific (FGS) unit within the Youth Offending Service which has been developing since 2013. This programme is managed by the Service and the intensive activity provided is additional to core work, which is delivered in an integrated way through Youth Offending Service staff applying the Barnardos Four A's Framework. The Unit utilizes a programme based on the well-researched and validated model 'Oregon's (USA) Guidelines for Effective Gender Specific Programming for Girls (2000)' which advocates a holistic approach to working with young women.

Protected characteristics: Gender Reassignment Not Applicable

Gender reassignment details:

Protected characteristics: Marriage and Civil Partnership Not Applicable

Marriage and civil partnership details:

Protected characteristics: Pregnancy and Maternity Not Applicable

Pregnancy and maternity details:

Protected characteristics: Race Service Users / Stakeholders

Race details:

The Plan identifies the over-representation of Black young males within the criminal justice system and the range of actions being taken to address this, including contributing to preventative work to reduce school exclusions and commissioning programmes to reduce gang affiliation which are significant to this agenda and is also working with faith-based organisations to address issues.

Work is also underway to address disproportionality in relation to race utilising the Youth Justice Board 'Disproportionality Toolkit' that is assisting the Service to better understand the contributory factors and impact and to inform an improvement plan that is being driven and monitored by the Head of Service and senior management team.

The Service's intervention programmes take into account the cultural and religious needs of the young person and their family observances as laid down in legislation and

National Standards. The programmes promote better behaviour by young people, which is reinforced by the compliance and breach procedures. Group work establishes the opportunity for all young people to interact in a positive manner and Restorative Justice approaches ensure that victims are supported and young offenders can take responsibility for their actions. The Service engages translation services where necessary and has actively recruited staff with appropriate language skills to work with groups of young people who speak very little English.

The Service runs interventions which are specific to British Asian/Muslim boys, which are designed to prevent radicalisation and promote greater life chances. Work is also on-going to reduce extremism by white young people.

The Service runs a young black men's empowerment programme, 'The Journey', which aims to strengthen protective factors to enable desistance from offending.

Protected characteristics: Religion or Beliefs

Religion or beliefs details:

Service Users / Stakeholders

The Plan identifies a range of Service interventions, all of which are sensitive to religious beliefs and observances as laid down in legislation and National Standards. The Service also runs interventions which are specific to British Asian/Muslim boys, which are designed to prevent radicalisation and promote greater life chances.

Protected characteristics: Sexual Orientation

Sexual orientation details:

Not Applicable

Consulted People or Groups

The plan is informed by feedback received from service users, which has helped to shape this strategy and the policies and practices in relation to the interventions that support desistance and build resilience within families.

Informed People or Groups

Summary and evidence of findings from your EIA

Despite the Service facing a challenging year specifically in relation to managing funding pressures, the Youth Justice Strategic Plan for 2018/19 will not adversely impact on the community, service users and victims of crime. Innovative, yet efficient proposals will be pursued to ensure that performance is improved upon and that matters in

relation to inequality and discrimination are challenged and addressed.

In view of the Service having identified the relevant areas of inequality within the youth justice system and having the strategies and mechanisms in place to address this, I would conclude that there is not a need to progress to a further full assessment. The Youth Justice Plan will remain on the agendas of YOS management meetings and YOS Management Board. Its actions and content will be monitored throughout the year as part of the YOS quarterly Performance meetings

Submit to the Quality Control Officer for reviewing?

No

Quality Control Officer comments

Thorough initial assessment addressing all relevant areas. Measures are in place to address and mitigate risks in relation to the disproportionate impact of this strategy on all persons with protected characteristics and therefore a full assessment is not required.

Decision by Quality Control Officer

Proceed for final approval

Submit draft to Accountable Officer?

Yes

Decision by Accountable Officer

Approve

Date approved / rejected by the Accountable Officer

14/11/2018

Reasons for approval or rejection

Accurate assessment
Full EINA not required

Please print and save a PDF copy for your records

Yes

Content Type: Item

Version: 27.0

Created at 06/11/2018 12:58 PM by ☐ Trevor A Brown

Last modified at 14/11/2018 05:27 PM by Workflow on behalf of ☐ Dawn Roberts

Close



Children's Social Care O&S Committee: Work Programme 2018/19

Chair: Cllr Mohammed Aikhlaq

Committee Members: Cllrs: Diane Donaldson, Charlotte Hodivala, Shabrana Hussain, Morriam Jan, Kerry Jenkins, Lucy Seymour-Smith and Alex Yip

Officer Support: Rose Kiely, Group Overview & Scrutiny Manager (303 1730)
Amanda Simcox, Scrutiny Officer (675 8444)
Sarah Stride, Committee Manager (303 0709)

1 Terms of Reference

- 1.1 To fulfil the functions of an Overview and Scrutiny Committee as they relate to any policies, services and activities concerning the Children's Trust, vulnerable children, corporate parenting and other child social care and safeguarding functions of the council.

2 Priority Issues and Items to be Scheduled

- 2.1 The following were highlighted in June as the possible priority issues for the committee's 2017/18 municipal year:
- Children's Trust - July and December 2018 committee meetings and visits in September 2018 and April 2019.
 - Safeguarding - to include the Birmingham Safeguarding Children's Board Annual report in October 2018 and Youth Justice Strategic Plan in January 2019.
 - Special Educational Needs and Disabilities (SEND) - October 2018 and further updates tbc.
 - Early Years, Health and Wellbeing contract – to be scheduled.
 - Update on the Children Missing from Home and Care Inquiry – 13 March 2019.
 - Corporate Parenting – the Corporate Parenting Board Annual report and an update on progress with the Corporate Parenting Inquiry recommendations will be discussed in March 2019. Also, the Cabinet Member for Children's Wellbeing is the Lead Member for Children's Services (LMCS) and the LMCS and the Director of Children's Services (DCS) have a shared responsibility with all officers and members of the local authority to act as effective and caring corporate parents for Children in Care. The Cabinet Member attended the December 2018 committee meeting to provide an update on her portfolio.



3 Meeting Schedule

3.1 Below is the list of potential committee dates and Members may want to use some of these dates for other things other than committee meetings, such as visits, informal briefings etc.

All at 10 am	Session / Outcome	Officers / Attendees
20 June 2018 in committee room 6	Informal meeting to discuss the Work Programme and priorities.	Andy Couldrick, Chief Executive, Children's Trust; Seamus Gaynor, Head of Executive, Children's Trust; Sarah Sinclair, Interim AD, Commissioning; Natalie Loon, Corporate Parenting Coordinator.
25 July 2018 committee room 6 Report Deadline: 16 July 2018	Cllr Booth, Cabinet Member for Children's Wellbeing.	Suman McCarthy.
	Children's Trust briefing and discussion.	Andy Couldrick, Chief Executive; Seamus Gaynor, Head of Executive; Sarah Sinclair, Interim AD, Commissioning; Dawn Roberts, AD, Early Help; David Bishop, Head of Service.
19 September 2018	Visit to the Children's Advice and Support Service (CASS) to observe the work of the Children's Trust.	Seamus Gaynor, Head of Executive, Children's Trust; Rachel McCartan, Head of Service, CASS.
17 October 2018 committee rooms 3 & 4 Report Deadline: 8 October 2018	Birmingham Safeguarding Children's Board (BSCB) Annual Report.	Penny Thompson, Chair of BSCB; Simon Cross, Business Manager.
	Special Educational Needs and Disability (SEND) Cllrs Kath Scott and Martin Straker-Welds were in attendance from the Learning, Culture and Physical Activity O&S Committee.	Cllr Kate Booth, Cabinet Member for Children's Wellbeing; Anne Ainsworth, Acting Corporate Director for Children and Young People; Rachel O'Connor, Director of Planning & Performance, Birmingham and Solihull CCG.
14 November 2018 committee rooms 3 & 4 Report Deadline: 5 November 2018	Travel Assist Cllr Kath Scott, Cllr Mary Locke and Rabia Shami were in attendance from the Learning, Culture and Physical Activity O&S Committee.	Cllr Kate Booth, Cabinet Member for Children's Wellbeing; Anne Ainsworth, Acting Corporate Director for Children and Young People; Sharon Scott, Acting AD for SEND; Jennifer Langan, Travel Assist Lead; Suman McCarthy, CSO.
12 December 2018 committee rooms 3 & 4 Report Deadline: 3	Children's Trust report (10am – 11am)	Andrew Christie, Chair; Andy Couldrick, CEX; Professor Jon Glasby, Non-Executive Director.



All at 10 am	Session / Outcome	Officers / Attendees
December 2018	<p>Cllr Kate Booth, Cabinet Member for Children's Wellbeing Update (11am – 12)</p> <p>To provide an update on portfolio (including the budget proposals).</p>	Anne Ainsworth, Acting Corporate Director for Children and Young People; Suman McCarthy, CSO.
17 December 2018 Ellen Pinsent committee room	<p>Request for Call In: Written Statement of Action (WSOA) – Special Educational Needs and Disability (SEND)</p> <p>Cllr Kath Scott was in attendance from the Learning, Culture and Physical Activity O&S Committee.</p> <p>Background documents including the action plan and risk assessment to be circulated to Members. The action plan was circulated on the 2nd January 2019.</p>	Cllr Kate Booth, Cabinet Member for Children's Wellbeing; Anne Ainsworth, Acting Corporate Director for Children and Young People.
8 January 2019 at 1pm committee rooms 3 & 4	Request for Call In: Travel Assist Service	Cllr Kate Booth, Cabinet Member for Children's Wellbeing; Anne Ainsworth, Acting Corporate Director for Children and Young People.
16 January 2019 committee room 2 Report Deadline: 7 January 2019	<p>Youth Justice Strategic Plan (annual)</p> <p>Members from the Housing and Neighbourhoods O&S Committee invited for this item as it is linked to the work of the Community Safety Partnership.</p>	Dawn Roberts, AD, Early Help; Trevor Brown, Head Of Youth Offending Service.
13 February 2019 committee rooms 2 Report Deadline: 4 February 2019	<p>Ofsted Inspection Report – Children's Services</p> <p>Update on the Child Poverty Commission</p> <p>Special Educational Needs and Disability (SEND) TBC for either Feb or Mar</p> <p>Members from the Health and Social Care O&S Committee and Learning, Culture and Physical Activity O&S Committee to be invited.</p>	<p>Andy Couldrick, CEX, Children's Trust and Cllr Kate Booth, Cabinet Member for Children's Wellbeing.</p> <p>Councillor Tristan Chatfield, Cabinet Member for Social Inclusion, Community Safety & Equality; Marcia Wynter, Cabinet Support Officer; Suwinder Bains, Cohesion and Partnerships Manager.</p> <p>Cllr Kate Booth, Cabinet Member for Children's Wellbeing; Tim O'Neill, Director for Education and Skills; Anne Ainsworth, Acting Corporate Director for Children and Young People; Rachel O'Connor, Director of Planning & Performance, B'ham and Solihull CCG.</p>



All at 10 am	Session / Outcome	Officers / Attendees
13 March 2019 committee room 2	Updates on CSE, missing children, youth offending and FGM	Andy Couldrick, CEX and/or relevant officers tbc)
Report Deadline: 4 March 2019	Corporate Parenting Board report (annual) and a progress update on the Corporate Parenting Inquiry recommendations	Andy Pepper, AD, Children in Care Provider Services; Natalie Loon, Corporate Parenting Support Officer.
	Special Educational Needs and Disability (SEND) TBC for either Feb or Mar Members from the Health and Social Care O&S Committee and Learning, Culture and Physical Activity O&S Committee to be invited.	Cllr Kate Booth, Cabinet Member for Children's Wellbeing; Tim O'Neill, Director for Education and Skills; Anne Ainsworth, Acting Corporate Director for Children and Young People; Rachel O'Connor, Director of Planning & Performance, B'ham and Solihull CCG.
17 April 2019 committee room 6	Visit to observe the work of the Children's Trust.	
Report Deadline: 8 April 2019		

4 Other Meetings

Call in Meetings

17 December 2018 – Written Statement of Action (WSoA)
8 January 2019 - Travel Assist Service

Petitions

None scheduled

Councillor Call for Action requests

None scheduled

It is suggested that the Committee approves Wednesday at 10.00am as a suitable day and time each week for any additional meetings required to consider 'requests for call in' which may be lodged in respect of Executive decisions.



5 Report to City Council / Pieces of Work

5.1 Members to discuss the timings for this.

(Update on the Children's Trust- TBC)

Date	Item

6 Outstanding Tracking

Inquiry	Outstanding Recommendations	Date of Tracking
Children Missing from Home and Care 12 January 2016 report to City Council	R2 – Develop an overarching strategy for missing children so responsibilities are clear and understood, risk is managed well, especially for looked after children and persistent runaways, information is shared effectively and appropriate support is in place for children and families.	Update received: 12 October 2016, 26 April 2017 and 18 October 2017
Corporate Parenting 4 April 2017 report to City Council	R1 – R7	Update rec'd 18 Oct 17 & Annual Report 14 Feb 18
<p>R01 - Councillors to commit to at least one activity from the 'menu of involvement'. This will then be published on the Council's website. A follow-up survey will be undertaken by the Scrutiny Office in nine months requesting an update from Councillors on this. Responsibility - All Councillors, by April 2017.</p> <p>R02 - The menu of involvement for Councillors is developed into a corporate parenting handbook for Councillors for May 2018. This will include providing Councillors with examples of how they can undertake each task. Responsibility: Cabinet Member for Children, Families and Schools by May 2018.</p> <p>R03 - Training is offered to Councillors in the first couple of weeks of becoming a Councillor. Responsibility: Deputy Leader by May 2018.</p> <p>R04 - Every children's home in Birmingham that has a Birmingham child in care is visited by the end of July 2017 and the District Corporate Parent Champions ensure this happens. Responsibility: District Corporate Parent Champions by July 2017.</p> <p>R05 - Supporting documentation for completing cabinet reports includes a requirement that consideration is given as to any impact of the proposals on children in care. If there are likely impacts, the cabinet report should include this in the body of the report. Responsibility: Cabinet Member for Transparency, Openness and Equality by October 2017.</p> <p>R06 - The AD, Children in Care Provider Services presents an annual Corporate Parenting Board report to the Schools, Children and Families O&S Committee. Responsibility: Cabinet Member for Children, Families & Schools by Feb 2018.</p>		



7 Useful Acronyms

ASTI = Assessment and Short Term Intervention	EHE = Elective Home Education	PCT = Primary Care Trust
BEP = Birmingham Education Partnership	FGM = Female Genital Mutilation	PEP = Personal Education Plan (all CIC must have one of these).
BSCB = Birmingham Safeguarding Children Board	FSM = Free School Meals	PEX = Permanent Exclusions
CAF = Common Assessment Framework	IRO = Independent Reviewing Officer	SEN = Special Educational Needs
CAFCASS = Child & Family Court Advisory Support Service	Key Stage 1 (Ages 5-7) Years 1 and 2	SENAR = SEN Assessment and Review
CAMHS = Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services	Key Stage 2 (Ages 7-11) Years 3, 4, 5 & 6	SENDIASS = SEND Information, Advice and Support Service
CASS = Children's Advice and Support Service	Key Stage 3 (Ages 11-14) Years 7, 8 & 9	SENCO = Special Educational Needs Coordinator
CIC = Children in Care	Key Stage 4 (Ages 14-16) Years 10 & 11	SEND = Special Educational Needs and Disability
CICC = Children in Care Council	Key Stage 5 (Ages 16 – 18)	SGOs = Special Guardianship Orders
COBS = City of Birmingham School	MASH = Multi Agency Safeguarding Hub	UASC = Unaccompanied Asylum Seeking Children
CSE = Child Sexual Exploitation	NEET = Not in Education, Employment or Training	YOT = Youth Offending Team
	NRPF = No Recourse to Public Funds	
	Ofsted = Office for Standards in Education	

8 Forward Plan for Cabinet Decisions

8.1 The following decisions, extracted from the Cabinet Office Forward Plan of Decisions, are likely to be relevant to the Children's Social Care O&S Committee's remit.

ID Number	Title	Cabinet Member	Proposed Date	Date of Decision
005164/2018	T023 – Provision of Transport Services (Contract Extension)	Children's Wellbeing	26 Jun 18	26 Jun 18
005447/2018	Council Run Day Nurseries – Public Report	Children's Wellbeing	11 Dec 18	11 Dec 18
005639/2018	Options for Next Stage of Early Years Health & Wellbeing Contract	Children's Wellbeing	22 Jan 19	
005729/2018	Youth Justice Strategic Plan 2018-19	Children's Wellbeing	11 Dec 18	11 Dec 18
005449/2018	Travel Assist Service	Education, Skills & Culture	11 Dec 18	11 Dec 18

8.2 The following are joint decisions made by the relevant Cabinet Member and Chief Officers.

Ref No	Title	Cabinet Member & Lead Officer	Date of Decision
005968/2018	Written Statement of Action (WSOA) - Special Educational Needs and Disability (SEND) - Public Report	Cllr Kate Booth, Cabinet Member for Children's Wellbeing Jointly with Sharon Scott, Interim Assistant Director - SEND	7 Dec 2018
005969/2018	Private Report		