



Evidence for the  
Licensing Review of

# Horseshoe

1214 Stratford Rd

Birmingham

B28 9BH

## RESTRICTED DOCUMENT



## CONTENTS

• Review application	Pg 1 – 8
• Additional reps	Pg 9
• Premise licence	Pg 10 – 21
• Statement Pc Rohomon	Pg 22 – 29
• CCTV timeline	Pg 30 – 32
• Statement Licensing officer Jones	Pg 33 – 36
• Emails	Pg 37 – 39
• Police control logs	
○ No 89 (20/4/19)	Pg 40 – 43
○ No 103 (20/4/19)	Pg 44
○ No 1782 (21/4/19)	Pg 45 – 47
○ No 3017 (17/5/19)	Pg 48 – 51
○ No 3013 (17/5/19)	Pg 52 – 54
• Emails	Pg 55 – 57
• Police requested conditions	Pg 58 – 59
• Staffing sheet	Pg 60
• Door staff signing in sheet (17/5/19)	Pg 61

- Incident report Pg 62 – 63
- Emails around door supervisor Pg 64 – 71
- Flyer Pg 72

LOGGED.

Birmingham City Council, Licensing Section, Crystal Court, Aston Cross Business Village,  
50 Rocky Lane, Aston, Birmingham, B6 5RQ

**Application for the review of a premises licence or club premises certificate under the  
Licensing Act 2003**

**PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING INSTRUCTIONS FIRST**

Before completing this form please read the guidance notes at the end of the form.  
If you are completing this form by hand please write legibly in block capitals. In all cases ensure  
that your answers are inside the boxes and written in black ink. Use additional sheets if necessary.  
You may wish to keep a copy of the completed form for your records.

**I Chief Constable of West Midlands Police**

*(Insert name of applicant)*

**apply for the review of a premises licence under section 51 / apply for the review of a club  
premises certificate under section 87 of the Licensing Act 2003 for the premises described in  
Part 1 below (delete as applicable)**

**Part 1 – Premises or club premises details**

<b>Postal address of premises or, if none, ordnance survey map reference or description</b> Horseshoe 1214 Stratford Road	
<b>Post town</b> Birmingham	<b>Post code (if known)</b> B28 9BH

<b>Name of premises licence holder or club holding club premises certificate (if known)</b> Greene King Brewing and Retailing Limited
--

<b>Number of premises licence or club premises certificate (if known)</b> 1991
---

**Part 2 - Applicant details**

I am

Please tick ✓ yes

1) an individual, body or business which is not a responsible  
authority (please read guidance note 1, and complete (A)  
or (B) below)

☐

2) a responsible authority (please complete (C) below)

☒

3) a member of the club to which this application relates

☐

1

(please complete (A) below)

**(A) DETAILS OF INDIVIDUAL APPLICANT** (fill in as applicable)

Please tick ✓ yes

Mr ☐ Mrs ☐ Miss ☐ Ms ☐ Other title  
(for example, Rev)

**Surname**

**First names**

**I am 18 years old or over**

Please tick ✓ yes

☐

**Current postal  
address if  
different from  
premises  
address**

**Post town**

**Post Code**

**Daytime contact telephone number**

**E-mail address  
(optional)**

**(B) DETAILS OF OTHER APPLICANT**

**Name and address**

**Telephone number (if any)**

**E-mail address (optional)**

**(C) DETAILS OF RESPONSIBLE AUTHORITY APPLICANT**

Name and address Chief Constable West Midlands Police C/o Birmingham Licensing department Lloyd House Birmingham
Telephone number (if any) <b>0121 626 6099</b>
E-mail address (optional) Bw_licensing@west-midlands.pnn.police.uk

**This application to review relates to the following licensing objective(s)**

- 1) the prevention of crime and disorder
- 2) public safety
- 3) the prevention of public nuisance
- 4) the protection of children from harm

Please tick one or more boxes ✓

x	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
x	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Please state the ground(s) for review** (please read guidance note 2)

The premises are what are known commonly as a local pub, it has been set up to allow a good percentage of food sales and also vertical drinking.

At the rear of the premises is a converted unit that used to house a "Whacky Warehouse" – children's soft play area but this has been converted into a suite, set up with a bar, dance floor and seating.

The premise is a tenanted premises, although Greene King remain the licence holders, with the tenant Tanveer Handa being the DPS.

On the 15<sup>th</sup> January 2019 – officers from the local Police team contacted the Police licensing team, as they had been contacted by local residents and councillor indicating that the premises were operating until 0700 hours and that it was causing significant noise issues.

On the 26<sup>th</sup> January 2019 – the DPS had applied for a Late Ten notice, indicating that it was for a birthday party. It transpired that the actual event was for a member of an Organised Crime Group who had been killed. Flyers advertising the event and that they had flown artists in from the USA to perform.

Following this West Midlands Police began to have concerns that the premise was being used by members of organised crime groups. The licensing officer Chris Jones who dealt with the premises spoke to the DPS and told him that in future, any applications for a TEN would need to have a risk assessment as well, so that we could now assess the risk.

On the 28<sup>th</sup> January 2019 – the DPS applied for a further Late ten for a party on the 2<sup>nd</sup> Feb 2019, this time indicating it was for family and friends. This was going from 2200 until 0500 hours.

On the 8<sup>th</sup> February 2019 – the DPS applied for a further Late ten for a party on the 16<sup>th</sup> Feb 2019 again for a birthday party. This application was objected to by Environmental Health and so the TEN was counter noticed. There was no risk assessment with the application but as it had been counter noticed West Midlands Police did not chase this up with the DPS.

On the 10<sup>th</sup> April 2019 – the DPS applied for a Late Ten notice for the same party that had been arranged for the 8<sup>th</sup> February 2019. This event would be taking place over the Easter weekend from Sunday 21<sup>st</sup> April into Monday 22<sup>nd</sup> April 2019. This was again objected to by Environmental Health as they had served a noise abatement notice against the premise and a counter notice issued.

Over the Easter weekend several incidents happened at the premises that caused concern to West Midlands Police on how the premise was being operated.

On the 20<sup>th</sup> April at 00:31 hours a call was made from a member of public indicating that there was a large fight and potential stabbing at the premises. Officers attending identified injured persons (who would not engage with the Police) and also signs within the premises of a disorder taking place. A person was named on the Police log as the caller. It was ascertained that no stabbing had happened but officers noted that there were signs of disorder from the main section of the premises and not the area referred to as the "whacky Warehouse".

On the 21<sup>st</sup> April – WMP were aware of an event at the premises (for which a Ten had been applied but the application had been objected to and so a counter notice issued). This event from Police intelligence had been organised by known members of an organised crime group. The DPS was spoken to on the night by the duty Sgt, who confirmed the event was happening, until 0200 am, with half the security being provided by someone from the organisers.

Complaints were shared with West Midlands Police to indicate that the event that had started on the 21<sup>st</sup> had gone past the 02.00 hours as officers were told and had finished at the times that had been applied for through the Temporary Event notice (which had been counter noticed and so not

authorised)

As a result of the incidents Licensing Officer Chris Jones contacted the premises and requested copies of the incident reports for the weekend as well as the CCTV.

The DPS contacted Chris Jones advising that he would get the information and the CCTV which he could see live on his phone.

Licensing officers attended the premise, and spoke to the DPS.

Through the discussion at the premises it was discovered

- The area used for the events did not appear on the plans, and so was suspected to be unlicensed
- It was set up as a bar, with the DPS stating that was the responsibility of the premise licence holder
- CCTV was not working (has been seized for analysis)
- Booking procedures for events was poor
- Security issues
- People booking room, being allowed to sell own alcohol
- People involved in disorder just being ejected so fight could continue outside
- Incident book recording poor
- No risk assessments for TEN's when requested
- The person who had called the Police for the disorder on the 20<sup>th</sup> April was not on the list of employees working that night, and so the premises had not called the Police.

Discussions then took place with the premise licence holders and their solicitors; however agreement could not be reached on conditions to remedy the above issue.



**Please provide as much information as possible to support the application** (please read guidance note 3)

Police statements will follow from –

Local Police teams

Licensing Officer – Chris Jones

Pc Rohomon – Licensing Police Officer

Control logs

CCTV evidence (if back from analysis)

Plans for premises

Flyers for events

Please tick ✓ yes

Have you made an application for review relating to the premises before

☐

If yes please state the date of that application

Day		Month		Year	
1	1	1	1	1	1

**If you have made representations before relating to the premises please state what they were and when you made them**

A minor variation was submitted on behalf of the premise licence holder on the 14<sup>th</sup> May 2019 to amend operating conditions.

West Midlands Police objected to this application on the 14<sup>th</sup> May 2019

Please tick ✓


yes

- I have sent copies of this form and enclosures to the responsible authorities and the premises licence holder or club holding the club premises certificate, as appropriate ☒
- I understand that if I do not comply with the above requirements my application will be rejected ☒

**IT IS AN OFFENCE, LIABLE ON CONVICTION TO A FINE UP TO LEVEL 5 ON THE STANDARD SCALE, UNDER SECTION 158 OF THE LICENSING ACT 2003 TO MAKE A FALSE STATEMENT IN OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS APPLICATION**

**Part 3 – Signatures** (please read guidance note 4)

**Signature of applicant or applicant's solicitor or other duly authorised agent** (please read guidance note 5). **If signing on behalf of the applicant please state in what capacity.**

Signature  A/SUPT 4595

Date friday 17<sup>th</sup> may 2019

Capacity Acting Superintendent on behalf of Chief Constable West Midlands Police

**Contact name (where not previously given) and postal address for correspondence associated with this application** (please read guidance note 6)

Birmingham Central Police Licensing Team  
c/o Lloyd House Police Station  
Snow Hill Queensway

**Post town**  
Birmingham

**Post Code**  
B4 6NQ

**Telephone number (if any)** 0121 626 6099

**If you would prefer us to correspond with you using an e-mail address your e-mail address (optional)** bw\_licensing@west-midlands.pnn.police.uk

**Notes for Guidance**

1. A responsible authority includes the local police, fire and rescue authority and other statutory bodies which exercise specific functions in the local area.
2. The ground(s) for review must be based on one of the licensing objectives.
3. Please list any additional information or details for example dates of problems which are included in the grounds for review if available.
4. The application form must be signed.
5. An applicant's agent (for example solicitor) may sign the form on their behalf provided that they have actual authority to do so.
6. This is the address which we shall use to correspond with you about this application.

## **Abdool Rohomon**

---

**From:** Abdool Rohomon  
**Sent:** 10 June 2019 12:10  
**To:** licensing authority  
**Cc:** 'Matthew Phipps'  
**Subject:** Horseshoe - additional reps

Dear Licensing,

Following the review application submitted by West Midlands Police for the above premises, West Midlands Police seek to add the additional representation.

On the 18<sup>th</sup> May 2019, an incident took place inside the premises. West Midlands Police were called twice by people passing by indicating that there was a large fight on the car park for the premises. When officers arrived all parties had left officers informed by staff that two people were having a fisty cuff but had left. Lots of people were watching. No call was received from the premises.

On viewing the CCTV and also the door staff and incident report officers saw, the disorder starts inside the premises. There appear to be two door staff on, however only one is wearing a badge. They eject the parties and another person who is seen attacking and kicking one of the aggressors. From the CCTV you then see numerous people leave the premises and the door staff. CCTV does not cover the car park but people are constantly in and out with drinks, you can see some hostility in a far off shot but it is unclear what is happening.

It is clear that there is some disorder going on for sometime

A person is seen leaving who are extremely drunk and are walking sideways.

The incident reports indicated it was happening for 15 minutes

The incident report is of a low standard

The door staff signing in sheet indicates 4 entries. Two are for the same person all for the 17<sup>th</sup> May 2019

One of the door supervisors is not showing on the SIA website as having a valid badge.

The ejection clearly led to the continuance of the disorder outside the premises, when parties could have easily been separated inside the premises. There is no call to the Police when clearly things have escalated outside in the car park, only one of the door staff is identifiable and the door staff signing in sheet is inaccurate.

Supporting evidence will be provided for the full review hearing

The premise licence holder is aware and there representative Cc'd in this email

regards

**Abs Rohomon**

**PC 4075 Rohomon**  
**BW Licensing**  
**Police headquarters**  
**Lloyd House**  
**Colmore Circus**  
**Birmingham**  
**B4 6NQ**

**Internal : 801 1631**  
**External : 0121 626 6099**

**Follow us on Twitter - @brumcopslicensing**

**West Midlands Police**  
**Tel. 101 Ext 8011627**

Summary of the licence certificate.

#### Licence Details

<b>Application Reference</b>	73950
<b>Licence Holder Name</b>	Spirit Pub Company (Leased) Limited
<b>Registered Company Number</b>	5699544
<b>Licence Number</b>	1991
<b>Time Limited Start Date</b>	N/A
<b>Time Limited End Date</b>	N/A
<b>Issue Number</b>	5
<b>Issue Date</b>	22/07/2011
<b>Grant Date</b>	24/11/2005
<b>Granted By</b>	Carolyn Bennett
<b>Status</b>	Current
<b>Representation Start Date</b>	04/07/2011
<b>Representation End Date</b>	18/07/2011

## 2. Current Designated Premises Supervisor

A DPS is required wherever there is a licenced activity for the sale of alcohol for consumption on or off the premises.

#### Current DPS Details

<b>Status</b>	Assigned
<b>Name</b>	Mr Tanveer Handa
<b>Licence Number</b>	SOL/PE/1106/2009
<b>Issuing Authority</b>	Solihull
<b>Start Date</b>	09/09/2009

## 3. Premises Details

Details of the premises, the licensed activities and hours of operation.

#### Licence Details

<b>Premises Name</b>	Horseshoe
<b>Premises Type</b>	PUB
<b>Premises Address</b>	1214 Stratford Road, Hall Green, Birmingham, B28 9BH
<b>Ward Name</b>	HALL GREEN

### 3.1 Licensable Activities

A list of activities for which this premises is licensed.

A list of activities for which this premises is licensed.

Code	Description
E	Live music
F	Recorded music
H	Anything of similar description to that falling within (live music), (recorded music) or (performances of dance)
J	Provision of facilities for dancing
L	Late night refreshment
M3	Sale of alcohol by retail (both on & off the premises)

### 3.2 Activity Times

The times of day during which the activities listed in 3.1 are permitted. Where the start time is later than the end time, the period of activity spans mid-night. Where the start time is equal to the end time, the period licensed is 24 hours.

Days and times for which activities are licensed.

Days	Start Time	End Time	Licensed Activity Codes
Sunday - Wednesday	10:00	00:00	<u>E, F, H, J, M3</u>
	23:00	01:00	<u>L</u>
Thursday - Saturday	10:00	01:00	<u>E, F, H, J, M3</u>
	23:00	02:00	<u>L</u>

A further additional hour into the morning every Friday, Saturday, Sunday and Monday of each statutory Bank holiday weekend and on the Thursday preceding Good Friday.

ALL

A further additional hour into the morning every St David's Day, St Patrick's Day, St George's Day and St Andrew's Day subject to seven days notice to, and agreement with, the Police.

ALL

On a maximum of 12 occasions per calendar year for events of local, national or international significance licensable activities may be provided for an additional hour, subject to 21 days notice to and agreement with the police.

ALL

The Police retain the right of absolute veto over any such extension.

ALL

### 3.3 Operating Hours

The hours of operation of the premises during the day. Where the start time is later than the end time, the period of operation spans mid-night. Where the start time is equal to the end time, the period of allowed operation is 24 hours.

Licensed operating hours for the premises

Days	Start Time	End Time
Sunday - Wednesday	09:00	01:00
Thursday - Saturday	09:00	02:00

A further additional hour into the morning every Friday, Saturday, Sunday and Monday of each statutory Bank holiday weekend and on the Thursday preceding Good Friday.

A further additional hour into the morning every St David's Day, St Patrick's Day, St George's Day and St Andrew's Day subject to seven days notice to, and agreement with, the Police.

On a maximum of 12 occasions per calendar year for events of local, national or international significance licensable activities may be provided for an additional hour, subject to 21 days notice to and agreement with the police.

The Police retain the right of absolute veto over any such extension.

## 4. Conditions

### 4.1 Mandatory Conditions

Mandatory conditions attached to the licence.

Mandatory conditions attached to the licence	
Objective	Condition
N/A	No supply of alcohol may be made under the premises licence (a) at a time when there is no designated premises supervisor in respect of the premises licence, or (b) at a time when the designated premises supervisor does not hold a personal licence or his personal licence is suspended.
N/A	Alcohol shall not be sold or supplied except during permitted hours. In this condition, permitted hours means: a. On weekdays, other than Christmas Day, Good Friday or New Year's Eve, 11 a.m. to 11 p.m. b. On Sundays, other than Christmas Day or New Year's Eve, 12 noon to 10.30 p.m. c. On Good Friday, 12 noon to 10.30 p.m. d. On Christmas Day, 12 noon to 3 p.m. and 7 p.m. to 10.30 p.m. e. On New Year's Eve, except on a Sunday, 11 a.m. to 11 p.m. f. On New Year's Eve on a Sunday, 12 noon to 10.30 p.m. g. On New Year's Eve from the end of permitted hours on New Year's Eve to the start of permitted hours on the following day, which would be 11.00 a.m. if New Year's Day falls on a weekday or 12 noon if a Sunday. The above restrictions do not prohibit: (a) during the first twenty minutes after the above hours, the taking of the alcohol from the premises unless the alcohol is supplied or taken in an open vessel; (b) consumption of the alcohol on the premises or the taking of sale or supply of alcohol to any person residing in the licensed premises; (c) the ordering of alcohol to be consumed off the premises, or the despatch by the vendor of the alcohol so ordered; (d) the sale of alcohol to a trader or club for the purposes of the trade or club; (e) the sale or supply of alcohol to any canteen or mess, being a canteen in which the sale or supply of alcohol is carried out under the authority of the Secretary of State or an authorised mess of members of Her Majesty's naval, military or air forces; (f) the taking of alcohol from the premises by a person residing there; or (g) the supply of

Mandatory conditions attached to the licence

**Objective**

**Condition**

- alcohol for consumption on the premises to any private friends of a person residing there who are bona fide entertained by him at his own expense, or the consumption of alcohol by persons so supplied; or (h) the supply of alcohol for consumption on the premises to persons employed there for the purposes of the business carried on by the holder of the licence, or the consumption of liquor so supplied, if the liquor is supplied at the expense of their employer or of the person carrying on or in charge of the business on the premises.
- N/A Every retail sale or supply of alcohol made under this licence must be made or authorised by a person who holds a personal licence.
- The responsible person must ensure that staff on relevant premises do not carry out, arrange or participate in any irresponsible promotions in relation to the premises. In this paragraph, an irresponsible promotion means any one or more of the following activities, or substantially similar activities, carried on for the purpose of encouraging the sale or supply of alcohol for consumption on the premises— (a) games or other activities which require or encourage, or are designed to require or encourage, individuals to— (i) drink a quantity of alcohol within a time limit (other than to drink alcohol sold or supplied on the premises before the cessation of the period in which the responsible person is authorised to sell or supply alcohol), or (ii) drink as much alcohol as possible (whether within a time limit or otherwise); (b) provision of unlimited or unspecified quantities of alcohol free or for a fixed or discounted fee to the public or to a group defined by a particular characteristic in a manner which carries a significant risk of undermining a licensing objective; (c) provision of free or discounted alcohol or any other thing as a prize to encourage or reward the purchase and consumption of alcohol over a period of 24 hours or less in a manner which carries a significant risk of undermining a licensing objective; (d) selling or supplying alcohol in association with promotional posters or flyers on, or in the vicinity of, the premises which can reasonably be considered to condone, encourage or glamorise anti-social behaviour or to refer to the effects of drunkenness in any favourable manner; (e) dispensing alcohol directly by one person into the mouth of another (other than where that other person is unable to drink without assistance by reason of disability).
- N/A The responsible person must ensure that free potable water is provided on request to customers where it is reasonably available.
- N/A Where the number of children attending the entertainment exceeds 100 the licensee shall ensure the provisions of Section 12 of The Children and Young Persons Act 1933 are complied with.
- N/A The premises licence holder or club premises certificate holder must ensure that an age verification policy is adopted in respect of the premises in relation to the sale or supply of alcohol. The designated premises supervisor in relation to the premises licence must ensure that the supply of alcohol at the premises is carried on in accordance with the age verification policy. The policy must require individuals who appear to the responsible person to be under 18 years of age (or such older age as may be specified in the policy) to produce on request, before being served alcohol, identification bearing their photograph, date of birth and either— (a) a holographic mark, or (b) an ultraviolet feature.



Mandatory conditions attached to the licence

**Objective**

**Condition**

N/A The responsible person must ensure that (a) where any of the following alcoholic drinks is sold or supplied for consumption on the premises (other than alcoholic drinks sold or supplied having been made up in advance ready for sale or supply in a securely closed container) it is available to customers in the following measures (i) beer or cider: ½ pint; (ii) gin, rum, vodka or whisky: 25 ml or 35 ml; and (iii) still wine in a glass: 125 ml; (b) these measures are displayed in a menu, price list or other printed material which is available to customers on the premises; and (c) where a customer does not in relation to a sale of alcohol specify the quantity of alcohol to be sold, the customer is made aware that these measures are available.

N/A (1) A relevant person shall ensure that no alcohol is sold or supplied for consumption on or off the premises for a price which is less than the permitted price. (2) In this condition:- (a) "permitted price" is the price found by applying the formula  $P = D + (D \times V)$ , where- (i) P is the permitted price, (ii) D is the amount of duty chargeable in relation to the alcohol as if the duty were charged on the date of the sale or supply of the alcohol, and (iii) V is the rate of value added tax chargeable in relation to the alcohol as if the value added tax were charged on the date of the sale or supply of the alcohol; (b) "duty" is to be construed in accordance with the Alcoholic Liquor Duties Act 1979; (c) "relevant person" means, in relation to premises in respect of which there is in force a premises licence (i) the holder of the premises licence, (ii) the designated premises supervisor (if any) in respect of such a licence, or (iii) the personal licence holder who makes or authorises a supply of alcohol under such a licence; (d) "relevant person" means, in relation to premises in respect of which there is in force a club premises certificate, any member or officer of the club present on the premises in a capacity which enables the member or officer to prevent the supply in question; and (e) "value added tax" means value added tax charged in accordance with the Value Added Tax Act 1994. (3) Where the permitted price would not be a whole number of pennies, the permitted price shall be taken to be the price rounded up to the nearest penny. (4) Where the permitted price on a day ("the first day") would be different from the permitted price on the next day ("the second day") as a result of a change to the rate of duty or value added tax, the permitted price which would apply on the first day applies to sales or supplies of alcohol which take place before the expiry of the period of 14 days beginning on the second day.

N/A Each individual assigned to carrying out a security activity must be licensed by the Security Industry Agency.

N/A Licensable activities may continue beyond the times specified in the embedded restrictions up to the times the premises licence authorises the carrying out of licensable activities.

N/A This licence is granted subject to compliance with the conditions attached to the Public Entertainment Licence, previously issued in respect of these premises, as detailed in document reference number: PEL4.7 (copies of which are available upon request from the Licensing Section)

N/A Embedded Restrictions where a special hours certificate is in force on all or certain days: (1) Subject to the following paragraphs, the permitted hours on weekdays

## Mandatory conditions attached to the licence

### Objective

### Condition

shall extend until two o'clock in the morning following [three o'clock in the metropolis] [or, if an earlier hour is specified in the special hours certificate, that hour] except that - (a) the permitted hours shall end at midnight on any day on which music and dancing is not (or, in the case of casino premises, gaming facilities are not) provided after midnight; and (b) on any day that music and dancing end (or, in the case of casino premises, gaming ends) between midnight and two o'clock in the morning [three o'clock in the metropolis], the permitted hours shall end when the music and dancing end or, as the case may be, when the gaming ends. (2) In relation to the morning on which summer time begins, paragraph (1) of this condition shall have effect - (a) with the substitution of references to three o'clock in the morning [four o'clock in the metropolis] [or one hour following the hour actually specified in the certificate where the certificate currently requires closure between 1 a.m. and 2 a.m.] (3) Except on Sundays immediately before Bank holidays, the permitted hours on Sundays shall extend until thirty minutes past midnight in the morning following [or, if an earlier hour is specified in the special hours certificate, that hour], except that - a) the permitted hours shall end at midnight on any Sunday on which music and dancing is not (or, in the case of casino premises, gaming facilities are not) provided after midnight; (b) where music and dancing end (or, in the case of casino premises, gaming ends) between midnight on any Sunday and thirty minutes past midnight, the permitted hours on that Sunday shall end when the music and dancing end or, as the case may be, when the gaming ends. (4) On Sundays immediately before bank holidays, the permitted hours shall extend until 2 a.m. in the morning following [3 a.m. in the metropolis], except that - (a) the permitted hours shall end at midnight on any Sunday on which music and dancing is not (or, in the case of casino premises, gaming facilities are not) provided after midnight; (b) where music and dancing end (or, in the case of casino premises, gaming ends) between midnight on any Sunday and 2 a.m. [3 a.m. in the metropolis], the permitted hours on that Sunday shall end when the music and dancing end or, as the case may be, when the gaming ends. For casino premises (5) The sale of alcohol must be ancillary to the use of the premises for gaming facilities and substantial refreshment. For other premises (6) The sale of alcohol must be ancillary to the use of the premises for music and dancing and substantial refreshment.

## 4.2 Operating Conditions

Operating conditions attached to the licence and classified by objective:

### General

General conditions consistent with the operating schedule.

### Crime

Conditions consistent with, and to promote the prevention of crime and disorder.

### Safety

Conditions consistent with, and to promote public safety.

### Nuisance

Conditions consistent with, and to promote the prevention of public nuisance.

### Child

Conditions consistent with, and to promote the protection of children from harm.

Conditions consistent with the operating schedule

Objective	Condition
GENERAL	No adult entertainment or services are permitted on the premises, with the exception of AWP machines.
GENERAL	Regulated entertainment is permitted to take place inside the premises only.
GENERAL	The licence holder will ensure the premises respect Spirit Group's Approach to Responsible Drinking.
GENERAL	On a maximum of 12 occasions per calendar year for events of local, national or international significance licensable activities may be provided for an additional hour, subject to twenty-one days notice to, and agreement with, the Police. The Police retain the right of absolute veto over any such extension.
CRIME	When door staff are used on the premises they will be Security Industry Authority (SIA) approved and operate in accordance with the Security Industry guidelines.
CRIME	The licence holder shall ensure a zero tolerance towards illegal drugs will be enforced at all times on the premises.
CRIME	If a disk jockey is used on any night at the premises, then he/she will ask patrons to leave the premises quietly.
CRIME	The licence holder shall ensure that all instances of crime and disorder are reported to the police and an incident log book will be kept and maintained on the premises.
CRIME	The Licence Holder will ensure CCTV system is in place that captures sufficiently clear, usable images.
CRIME	Door staff will continue to be employed at the premises on Friday and Saturday evenings.
SAFETY	Maximum occupancy level: - limited to 200 persons (lounge) - limited to 100 persons (bar)
NUISANCE	The licence holder shall ensure additional patrols are carried out by members of staff, internally and externally, to limit any noise pollution when entertainment is being offered within the premises.
NUISANCE	Notices will be displayed on the premises, asking customers to respect local residents when leaving the premises.
NUISANCE	The Licence Holder will ensure that text and/or radio pagers, where already used will continue to be used on the premises during the hours of operation.
NUISANCE	Soft drinks and non-alcoholic refreshment will be available during any extended period between the cessation of the supply of alcohol and the closure of the premises.
CHILD	Whenever children are permitted on the premises, any entertainment offered within the premises will be suitable for persons of that age.
CHILD	Persons under the age of 16 must be supervised by an accompanying adult at all times.
CHILD	Soft drinks and non-alcoholic drinks will be available.
CHILD	Persons under the age of 18 are not permitted to play on Section 34 permitted AWP machines.

Conditions consistent with the operating schedule

Objective	Condition
CHILD	Persons under the age of 16 are not permitted in the area immediately adjacent to the bar servery.

### 4.3 Committee Conditions

Conditions attached to the licence after a hearing by the licensing authority and classified by objective:

#### General

General conditions consistent with the operating schedule.

#### Crime

Conditions consistent with, and to promote the prevention of crime and disorder.

#### Safety

Conditions consistent with, and to promote public safety.

#### Nuisance

Conditions consistent with, and to promote the prevention of public nuisance.

#### Child

Conditions consistent with, and to promote the protection of children from harm.

Conditions attached after a hearing by the licensing authority

Objective	Condition
GENERAL	N/A
CRIME	N/A
SAFETY	N/A
NUISANCE	N/A
CHILD	N/A

## 5. Licence History

The history of variation and transfer applications since the initial application for grant or conversion.

Reference	Applicant Name	Application Type	History of applications		Representation Dates	Variation Reason
			Application Date	Status		
<u>110588</u>	Greene King Brewing and Retailing Limited	Transfer	03/04/2019	New	03/04/2019 To 17/04/2019	
<u>49455</u>	Punch Taverns Plc	Variation	31/08/2007	Transferred	31/08/2007 To 28/09/2007	• Premises Plan Changed

History of applications						
Reference	Applicant Name	Application Type	Application Date	Status	Representation Dates	Variation Reason
<u>49021</u>	Punch Taverns Plc	Transfer	08/08/2007	Varied	08/08/2007 To 22/08/2007	
<u>48253</u>	Spirit Pub Company (Services) Limited	Variation	09/07/2007	Transferred		• Premises Plan Changed
<u>31845</u>	Spirit Pub Company (Services) Limited	Conversion -Variation	03/08/2005	Varied		

## 6. Designated Premises Supervisor History

A list of all Designated Premises Supervisors that have been responsible for sale of alcohol from the premises.

History of previous Designated Premises Supervisors for the premises.

Start Date	Finish Date	Name	Licence	Issuing Authority
04/09/2008	09/09/2009	Mr Pargon Malhi	3597	Birmingham
04/09/2008	09/09/2009	Mr Pargon Malhi	3597	Birmingham
19/07/2007	04/09/2008	Mrs Iwona Salmon	3264	Birmingham
19/07/2007	04/09/2008	Mrs Iwona Salmon	3264	Birmingham
05/03/2007	13/06/2007	Ms Colleen Andrews	132	Birmingham
05/03/2007	13/06/2007	Ms Colleen Andrews	132	Birmingham
05/03/2007	13/06/2007	Ms Colleen Andrews	132	Birmingham
05/03/2007	13/06/2007	Ms Colleen Andrews	132	Birmingham
05/03/2007	13/06/2007	Ms Colleen Andrews	132	Birmingham
09/11/2006	05/03/2007	Mr Jonathan Pickering	3117	Birmingham
09/11/2006	05/03/2007	Mr Jonathan Pickering	3117	Birmingham
09/11/2006	05/03/2007	Mr Jonathan Pickering	3117	Birmingham
09/11/2006	05/03/2007	Mr Jonathan Pickering	3117	Birmingham
09/11/2006	05/03/2007	Mr Jonathan Pickering	3117	Birmingham
03/08/2005	09/11/2006	Miss Lucy Collins	599	Birmingham
03/08/2005	09/11/2006	Miss Lucy Collins	599	Birmingham
03/08/2005	09/11/2006	Miss Lucy Collins	599	Birmingham
03/08/2005	09/11/2006	Miss Lucy Collins	599	Birmingham
03/08/2005	09/11/2006	Miss Lucy Collins	599	Birmingham

## 7. Events

Other significant events recorded for this licence.

Other matters of interest defined within schedule 3, section 8 of the Licensing Act 2003

Date	Reference	Event Name
03/04/2019	110588	Application Received (Transfer)
22/07/2011	73950	Issue
22/07/2011	73950	Licence Approval
04/07/2011	73950	Application Received (Transfer)
09/09/2009	49455	Designated Premises Supervisor Nominated
04/09/2008	49455	Designated Premises Supervisor Nominated
01/12/2007	49455	Issue
01/10/2007	49455	Licence Approval
22/08/2007	49021	Issue
22/08/2007	49021	Licence Approval
08/08/2007	48253	Issue
07/08/2007	48253	Licence Approval
19/07/2007	31845	Designated Premises Supervisor Nominated
05/03/2007	31845	Designated Premises Supervisor Nominated
09/11/2006	31845	Designated Premises Supervisor Nominated
17/01/2006	31845	Issue
17/01/2006	31845	Licence Approval
16/01/2006	31845	Committee Decision
03/08/2005	31845	Application Received (Conversion-Variation)

- [Help](#)
- [Accessibility](#)
- [External links](#)

© Birmingham City Council [Licensing Section](#), P.O. Box 17013, Birmingham, B6 9ES



Tel: 0121 303 9896


Email: [licensing@birmingham.gov.uk](mailto:licensing@birmingham.gov.uk)





- held open at all times

SITE LOCATION PLAN SCALE 1:250

<p>    <b>newman gauge</b>   <i>design &amp; manufacture</i>   1244 Quaker Place, Pinner, Herts, UK England   Tel: +44 (0) 20 222 9800 Fax: +44 (0) 20 222 9801   <a href="mailto:sales@newmanguauge.com">sales@newmanguauge.com</a> </p>	<p> 24-08-07  22-08-07 </p>	
<p>  </p>		
<p> <b>HORSESHOE</b>  <b>BIRMINGHAM</b> </p>		
<p> <b>LICENSING PLAN</b> </p>		
<p> JUNE 07 </p>	<p> STATED </p>	<p> LDH </p>
<p> 1377 </p>	<p> 208 </p>	<p> B </p>





### ③ FIRE REQUIREMENTS

1. Doors and partitions required to be fire resisting are to be in accordance with British Standard 476, 1972, as required for item 2 amended.
2. Fire resisting doors required to resist the passage of smoke at ambient temperature conditions should, unless tested in accordance with BS476, Section 31.1, 1985 be fitted with a smoke seal.
3. The fire alarm system where installed is to comply with British Standard 5839, Part 1, 2002. The attention of the Design / Installing Engineer should be drawn to paragraph 3.1 (consultation and records) of British Standard 5839, Part 1, 1988.
4. The emergency lighting installation is to comply with British Standard 5266, Part 1, 1999. The attention of the Design / Installing Engineer should be drawn to paragraph 3.1 (consultation and records) of British Standard 5266, Part 1, 1999.
5. Fire safety related signs and notices are to conform to British Standard 5499, Part 1, 1990.
6. Illuminated "EXIT" signs are to conform to British Standard 5499 part 3.
7. Firefighting equipment is to comply with British Standards 5423:1987, 5306 Part 3:2000, EN3, 7863:1996 and 5306-8:2000.

8. Upholstered seating furniture must satisfy, as a minimum standard, Ignition Source O (cigarette and match ignition test) and Crib Ignition Source 5 as specified in British Standard 5852, 1990 (1998), Methods of Test for Assessment of the Ignitability of Upholstered Seating by Smoldering with Flaming Ignition Sources.

BS EN 1021-1: 1994 Furniture-Assessment of the Ignitability of upholstered furniture. Part 1 Ignition source: smoldering cigarette.

BS EN 1021-2: 1994 Furniture-Assessment of the Ignitability of upholstered furniture. Part 1 Ignition source: match flame equivalent.

If in order to secure compliance with the above standards the fabric submitted for test has been tested beforehand with a fire retardant product, the testing laboratory must be instructed to subject the samples so treated to a water soak test, in accordance with British Standard 5651, 1989, paragraph 3, before the BS5852 tests are carried out. A copy of the laboratory test report from an accredited testing laboratory identifying compliance of the furnishing composite with the above mentioned British Standards must be forwarded to this Authority before installation and confirmation that the furnishings specified in this certificate have been installed in the premises.

9. Curtains and other textile he flame retardant or be treat retardant. They must be ce BS EN 1101: 1996 Textiles behaviour - curtains and dra determine the flame spread BS EN 1102: 1996 Textile: behaviour - curtains and dra determine the flame spread BS5438: 1989 Methods, fabrics when subjected to a to the face of vertically orie

10. Artificial foliage and other c retardant to the satisfactio Linings and surface finished have a surface spread of fl Building Regulations 2000

11. Textile floor coverings shall tested in accordance with E

Certificates of Installation T of items numbered 3, 4, 6, required for item 2

Outer doors to lobbies are 1 during public trading periods



**WITNESS STATEMENT**

Crime Number:.....

**Criminal Procedure Rules, r 27.2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, s.5B**URN 

--	--	--	--

Statement of: Abdool Rohomon .....

Age if under 18: +18 ..... (if over 18 insert 'over 18') Occupation: Police Officer.....

This statement (consisting of 8 page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it, anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature:  .....Date: 5<sup>th</sup> July 19 .....Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded ☐ (supply witness details on rear)

I am the above named person currently a serving Police Officer within West Midlands Police. For the last 16 years of my 28 year career I have been one of the licensing officers for Birmingham City Centre. I hold qualifications in risk management, risk assessment, assessing licensing premises and BII level 2.

This statement is in relation to my dealing with the Horse Shoe public house, 1214 Stratford Road, Hall Green, Birmingham. Up until this year I have had no dealings with these premises, with their main point of contact being Police Licensing officer Christopher Jones.

Around January 2019 Licensing officer Jones spoke to me about the premises, in that they had applied for a TEN for what was described as a "party" but turned out to be an event for an event gang member who had been killed. Chris Jones had concerns that the information being provided on the TEN applications was incorrect, and so it was decided that for any further TEN applications we would be seeking a risk assessment to be provided as well. I am aware that Chris Jones relayed this information to the DPS Tanveer Handa, over the phone.

Through our conversations about the premises I also became aware that the Police local Neighbourhood team had been receiving complaints from local residents and councillors around noise nuisance from the premises in the very early hours of the morning. Although I was aware that there were noise complaints I was not involved in dealing with them.

Over the next couple of months I was aware that a number of additional TEN were applied for, these are covered in the statement from Chris Jones. Over the Easter bank holiday which spanned from the 19<sup>th</sup> April to 22<sup>nd</sup> inc. West Midlands Police were aware that the premises had applied for another TEN for an event on the 21<sup>st</sup> April. This notice had not been accompanied with a risk assessment, which had been the request to the DPS previously and then was objected to by BCC Environmental Health officers. A counter notice was issued and so the premises had no authority to trade the additional hours it had applied for through the TEN.

Through the bank holiday weekend two significant issues occurred at the premise, which were of concern to West



(22)

Midlands Police, calls were logged to West Midlands Police on the 20<sup>th</sup> April 2019 indicating that there was a large fight outside the pub, and then on the 21<sup>st</sup> April 2019 intelligence was received that there was going to be an "urban event" at the premises until 5am.


The call on the 20<sup>th</sup> April was made at 00.31 hours, through the 999 emergency call system. The caller initially indicated that a stabbing had occurred and was screaming at the operator, by the time officers arrived officers indicated that there had not been a stabbing but had clearly been a disorder inside the premise that had spilt outside. I have listened to the 999 call (which is produced) and you can hear the screaming of the callers, asking for Police and Ambulance stating there was a lot of blood. This is crucial evidence as it is a first-hand account of what people at the premises were seeing.

The second police log, was a Police officer generated log on the 21<sup>st</sup> April 2019. It relates to intelligence being received that an event was happening at the premises that would attract gang nominal and the potential for firearms to be present at the event. There are several individuals named in the Police log, who are believed to be members of an organised crime group.

Officers have attended the premises before they opened, spoken to the DPS Tanveer Handa who indicated that the event would only be happening until 2am. The reason why officers were told this, is due to the fact that Tan had applied for a TEN for this date, which had been served as a late one, but BCC Environmental Health officers objected to the application which would have allowed the premises to operate until 6am. As the objection had been received a counter notice was issued and the authority to provide licensable activity was removed. The officers attending advised the DPS to consider his security procedures. Officers were aware that there were going to be 12 security staff provided 6 from the premises and the 6 from the organisers of the event. Intelligence indicated that the 6 being provided by the organisers were coming from a person who was named and again linked to organised crime groups. ....

On Tuesday 23<sup>rd</sup> April 2019 when I came back into work, Chris Jones made me aware of the two logs at the premises from over the weekend and that complaints had been received that the premises were open until 6/7am on the 21<sup>st</sup>/22<sup>nd</sup> which goes against what Tanveer told officers when they attended.

I asked Chris Jones to contact Tanveer and request the CCTV as a matter of urgency so we could see what had happened and also to see whether the premises had been trading until 6am. I also informed the area manager from Greene King that we would be attending the premises for a meeting and invited them along, however the area manager was unable to attend for find someone who could attend in his place. Together with Licensing officer Jones, we attended the premises on the 26<sup>th</sup> April 2019. Prior to going to the premises I was shown a copy of the premise licence and also the plans that were attached to the licence. Looking at the plans, it appeared to me that the area used for the events was not included within the license boundary line and so from my interpretation this area which



in the plans was referred to as the "Whacky Warehouse" was not licensed and so no licensable activity should take place there without the authority of a Temporary Event Notice.

At the premises we were met by a person I now know to be Tanveer Handa and his agent Patrick Burke. We asked to see the conference room and were shown to the rear room where the events took place. This building was not physically attached to the main building and we were walked out of the front door and then walked into the Whacky Warehouse entrance. On checking this room it was clearly set up and fitted out as a bar and event area. There was a large stocked bar to the left and a stage immediately opposite the bar, against the far wall. I completed a quick drawing of the layout which showed the bar and stage and the locations of the 4 CCTV cameras that I could see in the premise.

I took notes of the conversation that we then had with Tanveer.

When asked about the disorder on the Friday night, he stated that there had been nothing booked in. There had been some disorder but the parties had been ejected and the disorder carried on down the road. He stated he had a doorman on called Peter Brown and that he was provided to Tan by a friend of his called Rag. I then asked to look at the incident book, which was a new ring folder with no indexing. There was one entry for that Friday which was very poor and contained little detail. We asked to see a list of the people at work at the premises that day, which was provided and showed that the person that called the Police to say there was disorder was not a member of staff, and so the premises had clearly not called the Police.

Tan indicated that there was a booking for the Saturday, and they had paid £2,500 for the use of the outdoor premises, they would be provided 4-6 of their own security and then the premise would provide 4. The 4 from the premises were being provided by Tan, but he is not aware of the licence numbers. Tanveer told us that he had been in the premises for most of the night, but had definitely been back by 1am until 3am, and that the event had finished at 2am and everyone was out by 3am. Tanveer stated that when events are organised they normally have a personal licence holder with them, as they are allowed to sell their own alcohol, although he had not checked, and he had no knowledge of where the tickets were being sold or how many or had any control on them.

On the Sunday there was a further booking again for £2,500, which was just for the room only. The organiser was allowed to bring their own alcohol as those had done for Saturday and they were listed as Brandy, Champagne, Red Bull and other items. Tan was provided some of the security with the remainder coming from the organiser. Tan could not provide details of the security staff, he stated the ones he used were obtained through an associate he knew as Raj. Tan stated he didn't think Raj had a company and that he was paid cash or cheque.

Tan stated he did speak to the officers that attended and that he was there for the night and it did finish at 2am. I asked to see the booking forms for these events and was shown a diary. The detail in the diary was very poor and had little to no details in other than names and numbers. When I checked the entries against those of a restaurant booking, there was more information on the restaurant booking than the hire of the room booking. Tan

at 21/10/15

244

confirmed he did no risk assessments. When I asked him around the room called the "whacky Warehouse" and whether it was licensed, Tanveer was very quick to distance himself and said it was an issue for the brewer as they had fitted the premise out as a bar.

I then asked about the CCTV and we were told it was not working, I decided to seize the hard drive to see if it could be assessed.

My professional opinion was that Tanveer had absolutely no control over the premises and that he was allowed to do as he pleased by the premise licence holder, which are his landlords – Greene King. He was very quick to dismiss the point about the "whacky Warehouse" potentially being an unlicensed area as not his responsibility and that was the responsibility of Greene King. He had no booking in system, no risk assessments or controls, security provision that was potentially illegal under SIA legislation. It was also clear he was struggling as he said he was not getting the numbers through for his restaurant, and that he was prepared to let out his function room, where he was receiving £2,500 for its use. I was deeply concerned around the arrangements for the people hiring the venue being allowed to sell their own alcohol and provide their own security. Neither the DPS or PLH have any control of what is being sold, the quality, the safety of it. There is no control on the volume being sold to ensure drunkenness remains to a minimum. There were no policies on searching, egress or dispersal, or how they would deal with any vulnerability issues to ensure the public safety. There were no checks on the "security staff" being provided to ensure they were correct and their details were not recorded.

It appeared to me that the whole emphasis from Tanveer was to hire out the room and make as much money as possible whilst enabling the people who hired it to do as they pleased. I was also concerned around the apparent lack of control from the premise licence holder – Greene King. I was aware that the premise was being run on a tenanted basis, however the premise licence was being held by Greene King. They were clearly benefiting from this basis as they could then rent out the premise to their tenant (in this case Tanveer), on the basis the premise maintained a premise licence. This seemed to me as far as their involvement stopped, there were no procedures produced to us to show any policies for use of the premises especially the function room. The only comment we had around the function room when we questioned whether it was licensed was from Tanveer who was very quick to distance himself from it and claimed that responsibility lay with Greene King.

I had already spoken to the area manager indicating we would be having a meeting and over the next couple of days I spoke to various people from Greene King before finally getting a call from their solicitors. I had already indicated to the people I had spoken to at West Midlands Police concerns around the operation of the premises and that there was every chance we would be seeking an "Expedited Review" of the premises as we believed it was being associated with serious disorder.

After some consideration I took the decision that West Midlands Police would not seek to review the premises if they agreed to have a draft of conditions imposed on the licence through the process of a minor variation (this is

af 2495

(25)

not an uncommon use of this variation if all parties can agree as it saves the time and cost of a review hearing). I took the position of including the conditions West Midlands Police would be seeking; these are included in the evidence bundle. All the conditions were relevant and proportionate to deal with the issue at the premises, issues that were that serious we were considering issuing a certificate and application for an Expedited Summary review. Although the new conditions were mainly aimed at the DPS to comply with, there were several that required the premise licence holder to also do things. One was for them to provide a booking form that could be used for events, that any bookings to be signed off by the premise licence holder, and for the premises to do risk assessments when events were booked in.

The reason these conditions were tailored specifically to the premise licence holder comes back to the concerns we had around the lack of control the premise license holder had on the operation of its own licence. We asked for the Booking form to come from Greene King, as they would know what they wanted to see in terms of details on the form. We did not ask for them to complete it, but they must sign off each booking. This would show that they had control of their premise licence and show that they were promoting the licensing objectives. This is neither onerous nor difficult as they clearly have an area manager for the premises.

I did receive a reply on the 2<sup>nd</sup> May, detailing some concerns the premise had around the conditions and also asking for an extension in terms of submitting any minor variation. A flurry of emails then transpired between myself and the premises solicitor (which are included in the bundle). These directly show the dialogue and concerns and so I will rely on those as my evidence.

On the 14<sup>th</sup> May 2019 West Midlands Police received the minor variation application, as submitted by Greene King. Whilst the majority of the conditions had remained the same, the premise licence holder had changed the wording of any condition that directly meant they had to do something, and replaced it with the DPS. I took this as a direct attempt by the premise licence holder to distance themselves from the premises and absolve themselves of any responsibility. It has to be remembered that the premise licence holder is responsible for the promotion of the licensing objectives, and yet it seemed they did not want any such burden and would leave it all to the DPS. I was disappointed as the conditions we had offered were not onerous or unachievable for the premise licence holder, and showed that they would have knowledge of what was going on inside the area of their licensed premises, an area where significant concerns had arisen.

West Midlands Police had no option but to object to the minor variation and submitted a review application.

On the 20<sup>th</sup> May 2019 on returning to work from the weekend, I was made aware that there had been disorder at the premises on the 18<sup>th</sup> May. Two separate calls had been made from members of the public as they were driving past the premises to say there was disorder at the premises, one indicating up to 50 people fighting and the other that person was being punched in the head. Neither call had come from the premises. I was aware that Chris

af 2452

Jones had been in contact with Tanveer and requested the CCTV. He then went and collected the CCTV as well as taking picture of the incident book and also the door staff signing in sheet. I will deal with them separately.

I viewed the CCTV with Chris Jones, it shows the main bar area, with a view back to the main entrance. As stated before prior to this year I have had no involvement with these premises, however I surprised by the reaction of Chris Jones (who has for the last 5 years) around the clientele inside the premises and that this was not what he would have expected or led to believe would be in the premises.

The crowd inside the premises was very young; I would say aged between 18-25 years of age. You can see that there are 2 persons in the room who are dressed all in black, one clearly has an arm band on which is hi-vis and so is easy to presume that he is a door supervisor. The other male although dressed in black has no such arm band or any other visible markings to indicate they are a door supervisor. It is unclear why the disorder took place, there is some pushing and shoving and punches thrown. The two persons in black do move in and one of the offenders is removed from the premises and also the person who has been punched and kicked to the floor. The second offender dressed in a white top is left to remain in the premises.

From the footage you can see that the disorder continues outside, a flock of people suddenly leave the premises and it is possible to see more issues in the car park, but as the angle and lighting is poor it is not possible to see all the details. What it does clarify is that there are 2 persons who appear to be door staff, one with a badge on his arm which could be clearly seen and the other with nothing. Towards the end of the clip that shows the foyer, a young girl can be seen walking out of the premises, she is clearly intoxicated as she walks almost in a sideways motion, walks into the front door, even though the other side is open, and then stumbles further left as she leaves. There is little to no control at the door or outside, people just push the door staff a side, and by doing this the disorder just continues but now outside the venue.

The record in the incident book, which is not indexed and just appears to be a loose banded folder. The entry is very poor and offers very little detail and is hard to say is the same incident as seen on the CCTV. The entry is signed by a Michael Justin.

The door supervisor duty log, is from a company called TLG security, a company known to West Midlands Police. It is dated on the 17<sup>th</sup> May 2019, there are 4 entries for this one day. There are 2 entries for a M.L.J with the name Michael to the left, one for Leon Bell and another for S.A, with the name Ahmed to the left.

From this it would appear there are 3 supervisors for the 17<sup>th</sup>. From the names alone we can only assume that Ahmed would be of Asian appearance, whilst the other two would be unknown. The 2 persons in the CCTV are not of Asian appearance, and there is no one in the CCTV that appears to be acting as door supervisor or dressed as a door supervisor who would be.

I checked with Tanveer and he confirmed that he only had 2 door staff on that night, which questions why there are

*Handwritten signature*

4 entries for one day.

There are two entries for M.L.J, with the name Michael to the left. It is fair to assume that this person is Michael Justin (the one that signed the incident book). I have conducted checks on both the SIA public website and our local enforcement officer, and both have confirmed that there is no one with the name of Michael Justin on their system as a licensed door supervisor. I have also put in the licence number as recorded, and neither my checks on the website or with the local investigator have shown the licence number to be valid. For clarity the numbers checked by myself and the local SIA investigator were –

1963144510927760 or 1963144510927260

On the 3<sup>rd</sup> June I emailed the DPS and premise licence holder and asked for a copy of the physical SIA badge for M.L.J to be sent through to us. I made it clear that we could not find any record for M.L.J. so this was the reason I was making the request.

On the 10<sup>th</sup> June I got a reply from Tanveer, with a list of 5 names for persons who at worked at his premises that weekend. I noted that none of the names matched M.L.J and so I was still not able to identify who this person was. Over the next couple of weeks I have correspondence with the Sales and Recruitment manager from TLG security. Initially they said they had supplied the details of all the staff on the signing in sheet (the sheet they had as we had only taken a photo of it). I had to make several requests for the specific door supervisor signed in as M.L.J.

On the 26<sup>th</sup> June 2019 I got an email from TLG security saying that they had only supplied 2 staff that day and they were not sure who M.L.J. was, and they had no record of that person.

With the fact that the CCTV clearly shows someone with a badge visible and under taking the responsibilities of a door supervisor, the signing in sheet showing two entries for M.L.J, the incident book being signed off by Michael Justin, I am happy to conclude that the person who signed in as M.L.J and completed the register was the person acting as a door supervisor without the badge being visible.

There is no such person on the S.I.A website as M.L.J. either by name or the number in the book and so on this evidence I have to conclude that there was unlicensed door supervisors working at the premises, either provided by TLG or the premises. This matter has been reported to the SIA for investigation.

On the 28<sup>th</sup> June 2019 I received an email from Tanveer, stating he had finally been given the details of the person who had worked that day. This was for a person who was not on the signing in sheet for the 17<sup>th</sup>, it is a completely different person and there is no similarity between the badge numbers on the sheet to the one provided in the photo.


I am bewildered by this, the request was simple, for the badge details of the person signed in as M.L.J, but instead we have been given 5 different people initially, then don't know who he is, to then being supplied details for another completely different door supervisor.

gib  
24/6

28

I am very comfortable and confident in saying that the premises were using illegal unlicensed door supervisors.  
 I have no confidence in the DPS, but I also have little confidence in the premise licence holder who has seemed it fit to try and distance themselves from the clear and apparent issues, rather than accept conditions that will promote the licensing objectives, which is what they should be doing.

The issues at these premises have been significant and have developed in a very quick period of time.



Signature:  Signature witnessed by: .....

**OFFICIAL (when complete)**

**Witness contact details**

URN : / / /

Name of witness: Mr/Mrs/Ms/Miss/Dr .....

Former name: ..... \*Email address: .....

\*Email address needed for correspondence i.e. support material to be sent

Address: ..... Postcode: .....

Preferred telephone number: ..... Alternate telephone number: .....

Agreed means of contact and frequency : .....

Gender: ..... Date and place of birth: ..... Ethnicity Code (16+1) .....

**DATES OF WITNESS NON-AVAILABILITY:** (12 months) .....



CCTV – timeline

Horseshoe Public House –

17<sup>th</sup> May 2019

Frame reference number AO1-20190517234500

- General comment frame of the main bar area, bar to the bottom right, DJ top right, main entrance top left, tables and chairs throughout
- Around 30 people inside mainly young looking
- 23:49 – concentrate on round table middle left of picture
  - o Sitting top of table male in cap and white t-shirt
  - o To there right male with bald head
  - o To the bottom of the table another male
  - o The male with the bald head is of importance
- 23:49 – male with bald head has something white in his right hand (male 1)
- 23:50:04 – this male is rolling his fingers together
  - o Appears to be taking something out of something else
  - o Left palm is open
  - o Right hand appears to be crushing something in his hand
  - o Lots of people around him, people paying attention to him
- 23:50:43 – male with bald head bends over
  - o His head is over the table, can't see exactly what he is doing
  - o Clear that there is nothing in his hand anymore
- 23:50:58 – male who has bald head and had just bent head onto table has quickly become agitated
  - o This is only 15 seconds, so a very quick reaction
  - o Male with pony tail, who had been very animated previously holds bald head male down
- 23:51:12 – male in cap and white t-shirt grabs hold of a male that male 1 was agitated towards
  - o He grabs him around his neck and pulls him onto him

- Male 1 gets up and is very agitated
- Raises his right hand in clenched fist and does punching motion
- 23:51:21 – door staff that is wearing badge intervenes
  - He grabs hold of male with bald head (male 1)
- 23:51:34 – Male with baseball cap gets up with the male in the headlock still with him
  - Right hand punch towards male he had in headlock that he had let go of
  - Grapples him to the floor
  - Right foot kick to the head
  - Door staff 2 – who is not wearing a badge, grabs hold of the male in the white t-shirt and cap(offender)
  - Another male from pub grabs hold of the victim, who had been kicked and punched
- 23:51:54 – Male 1 is taken through the exit/entrance doors
  - Male in white shirt is being held
  - He is then patted by the door staff and released – he was the offender
  - IP walks to front door with others and walks out
- 23:52:53 – Male with white t-shirt and cap walks to exit/entrance. Door staff are there
  - People start to walk out
  - Some are looking through a window at outside
- 23:53:46 – people rush out
  - Even the DJ leaves his area to look out the window and then goes outside
- 23:55:49 – all bar 2/3 people who had been in the pub are now either outside or watching through a window
  - Clearly something going on outside
- 23:56:10 – people start filtering back into the pub.

Frame reference number AO3 – 2019057234613

- General comment frame of the front lobby area, doors to the right and then main doors to car park. Door staff to the right behind the door. Cannot see any badge ID on his arm or body
- 23:51:43 – male bald head, black top is being grabbed and held by doorstaff

- He is ejected but clearly agitated
- Comes back at door man who is now by the front doors
- 23:52:15 – other people leave
  - Fight carries on outside
  - See something happening on car park
  - Male in white top is brought to front doors
  - Door staff try and stop him going out
  - Another male in baseball cap comes in and starts to remonstrate towards the male in white t-shirt
- 23:53:35 – other people take white t-shirt male out
  - People rush out of premises and people go to the right
  - Fight then comes back in front of the premises
  - Someone is on the floor, but difficult to identify
  - One of the males fighting appears to be wearing white t-shirt
  - Fight continues on the car park
  - Lots of people outside watching or involved
- 23:58:05 – most people come back in
  - Door staff at front but looking to their left
  - People can still be seen on car park
  - People still looking left
  - People at top of screen start walking to the left
- 23:59:35 – very drunk female leaves premises and walks into door and sideways

**WITNESS STATEMENT**

Crime Number:.....

**Criminal Procedure Rules, r 27.2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, s.5B**

URN

--	--	--	--

Statement of: 55410 Christopher Jones.....

Age if under 18: over 18 26.1.18 (if over 18 insert 'over 18') Occupation: Licensing Officer.....

This statement (consisting of 4 page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it, anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature: Chris.....Date 06<sup>th</sup> June 2019.....Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded ☐ (supply witness details on rear)

I am employed by West Midlands Police as a Licensing Officer currently based as Lloyd House, Colmore Circus Birmingham B4 6NQ working within the Central Licensing Team. This team has responsibility for all licenced premises within the Birmingham City Council area.

I have known of The Horseshoe for approximately the last 5 years and until recently have had no concerns with how the premises have been run or managed by the Designated Premises Supervisor Tanveer Handa. I have always believed this premises were run a mainly food lead venue serving predominately high end Asian (Indian) food in a restaurant space segregated from the bar area. With the bar itself well presented catering for the upper end of the clientele for 'wet' lead business. I was also aware of a rear room which the venue hired out for corporate events and family parties.

On 13<sup>th</sup> January 2019 I was sent an email by the neighbourhood policing team who had received a complaint from local residents via a councillor complaining about loud music at the premises sometimes until 7 am. I asked the neighbourhood team to supply more information such as dates of the noise and also to download the CCTV for the dates in question. Unfortunately the neighbourhood team never came back to me with any other details.

This complaint surprised me as I would not think that this sort of complaint at this time of the morning would be related to this premises due to the business operating model I believed the premises operated.

The premises submitted a Temporary Event Notice (TEN) for a birthday party between the hours of 2200 and 0600 on 26.01.19. West Midlands Police (WMP) received intelligence that this event was a memorial for an urban gang member who was killed. I spoke with Mr Handa on the telephone after the event and explained that WMP had concerns that the event he had stated on the TEN application was a birthday party which was obviously incorrect and suggested that he made more checks on who was booking the venue and for what type of event. Also mentioned were the noise complaints which had been earlier raised by the Neighbourhood Team. Due to WMP concerns Mr Handa was informed that he would need to supply a Risk Assessment if he was to submit any more

TENs. This was to ensure that the licensing objectives had been considered by the premises. Mr Handa agreed to do this.

The premises submitted a TEN for an event on 16.02.19 from 2200 to 0500 this application was objected too by environmental health and a counter notice issued. No risk assessment was supplied with the application.

WMP received intelligence that on 16.03.19 there was an event taking place in Birmingham called 'March Madness' again this event was targeted at urban street gang members. The event was being advertised on social media at a secret location in Birmingham to be released on the day to avoid it coming to the attention of the police. Due to the other recent events at the premises I telephoned Mr Handa and asked if there were any events booked in on that date. He informed me that he did have an event booked and had taken part payment for it. Although he said that on reflection the event 'didn't seem right' and had decided to cancel the booking.

On 12.04.19 I visited the premises with the neighbourhood team, at their request due to concerns they had about the events which were taking place and information about cocaine use in the premises. Mr Handa was not at the premises at the time of the visit but one of his staff phoned him. I spoke with him and again explained WMP concerns and again stated the need for a risk assessment to be submitted with any TEN application.

The premises submitted a TEN for 21.04.19 this was again objected too by environmental health and a counter notice issued. This application was not accompanied with a risk assessment.

For the weekend bank holiday weekend 19<sup>th</sup> April to 22<sup>nd</sup> inc. WMP recorded a log at the premises for a fight outside the pub on 20.04.19 and also received intelligence that there was an event being held for an urban street gang member on 21.04.19 which is the same date that the premises had submitted a TEN until 05.00hrs. (Which a counter notice was issued against the application.) I was also made aware of noise complaints made to environmental health throughout the weekend including times outside the premises licensable hours.

I phoned Mr Handa and followed up with an email requesting CCTV footage of both evenings to see the disorder and what time the premises traded too as the noise complaints were outside their licensable hours (but would have been covered by the TEN which had been objected too and a counter notice issued.) I arranged to meet Mr Handa at the premises on 26.04.19 with a colleague PC Rohomon.

After speaking with Mr Handa back on 26<sup>th</sup> January 2019 requesting a risk assessment with every TEN and explaining WMP concerns he continued to submit various other TENs. A disorder also took place at the premises at no time did Mr Handa supply a risk assessment with the TEN application or make first contact with WMP. All the interaction with the premises was as a direct result of WMP contacting the premises first.

We met at the premises as agreed and Mr Handa informed that there was an event at the premises on 21.04.19 but it had finished as per the times stated in the premises licence (which contradicted the complaint to environmental health.) He also confirmed an incident at the premises which was dealt with by the door staff. Mr

Handa then stated that he was unable to supply the CCTV as it was faulty and had not been recording; therefore his version of events have not been confirmed. Mr Handa also stated that at the event he had allowed the person hiring the venue to supply and sell their own alcohol (anything other than draft beer) at the event. The person hiring the venue is obviously not an employee of the premises and Mr Handa as the DPS would have little or no control over the sale of alcohol at the event. Also Mr Handa would have not known where the alcohol had been sourced from and even if it was genuine or fit for human consumption. Mr Handa also stated that the person hiring the room had supplied half of the door staff / security for their event. Again Mr Handa would have had little or no control over these door staff, wouldn't have known if they were SIA registered and legally allowed to carry out security / door staff duties. PC Rohomon seized the hard drive for forensic examination which WMP are still awaiting results. The premises have installed a new hard drive for their CCTV. At this meeting Mr Handa indicated that the premises was not taking as much money as it used to and these events were supplementing what the business used to take. Mr Handa was also asked if the rear / function room of the premises was shown on the premises licence plan, as a copy I had requested from Birmingham City Council appeared not to show this room as being licenced. Mr Handa stated that he didn't know and that was an issue for the premises licence holders – Greene King and totally dismissed that fact I had raised a potentially serious issued that would affect his business putting the entire onus back to the premises licence holders.

On 20<sup>th</sup> May I was made aware of another disorder at the premises on 18<sup>th</sup> May. There were two logs called in by members of the public, who were passing the premises in two separate phone calls. The premises did not call the police themselves. Again I contacted Mr Handa for a copy of the CCTV and door staff details for the incident and arranged a date to collect it. The CCTV was collected and I took photographs of the door staff signing in & book and the incident report. The signing in book appeared to show 3 door staff on duty although one of the door staff signed in twice making a total of 4 entries in the book. After reviewing the CCTV it showed the incident report for the disorder was extremely poor and lacked in detail. The incident report did not really resemble what was seen on CCTV.

I viewed the CCTV at a later date with PC Rohomon and was very surprised of what I saw. In my opinion the premises has always appeared to cater for a more mature, discerning clientele at a food lead premises which has a large restaurant area. The CCTV showed a crowd of around 30 young people (18 to 25 years) very casually dressed with a disco playing for entertainment, completely the opposite type clientele to what I would have expected to see in the premises.

The CCTV showed a disorder starting inside the premises which spilled out to the car park, after door staff had ejected both parties, followed by what seemed the whole of the pub customers. From this point, from what could be seen on the CCTV the door staff took no further but was seen going in and out of the premises, although the

situation was obviously escalating outside the premises. There was no phone call from the premises or door staff to the police about the on-going disorder. The CCTV does not show what happen on the car park but customers were seen coming and going in and out of the premises carrying open drinks in glasses and bottles.

The door staff were not easily identifiable on the CCTV, there appeared to be only 2 door staff on duty. Neither was wearing high-viz clothing and only one could be seen wearing his SIA badge.

At one stage a young woman can be seen leaving the premises who appeared to be so intoxicated she was stumbling sideways. As she tried to leave she almost missed the open door almost crashing into the door that was closed.

Signature: *Ches* Signature witnessed by: .....

## Christopher Jones

---

**From:** Martin Key <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Pollution Team  
<Pollution.Team@birmingham.gov.uk>  
**Sent:** 08 February 2019 13:31  
**To:** Licensing Online; bw licensing  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** [Possible SPAM] RE: LATE TEN-The Horseshoe, 1214 Stratford Road, B28 9BH  
**Importance:** High

Hi

I am responding on behalf of the Environmental Health team as a responsible authority.

The application is for a late TEN for 16/17 February 2019 from 22.00 until 05.00 including regulated entertainment. Our officers have witnessed a noise nuisance on the 27 January 2019 attributed to loud noise from the banqueting suite/ function room and this was following comprehensive correspondence with the applicant, the DPS and the premises licence holder prior to the event. I am therefore concerned based on the previous history and location of the proposed entertainment that this event will lead to public nuisance.

I would therefore object to the grant of the TEN and would request that you issue a counter notice to this TEN.

Best Regards

Martin Key on behalf of Pollution Team  
Environmental Protection Officer

---

Environmental Health | Regulation & Enforcement Division

☎: +44 (0) [REDACTED]

✉: Environmental Health, Manor House, PO Box 16977, Birmingham, B2 2AE

(Office Site: Environmental Protection, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, 40 Moat Lane, Birmingham, B5 5BD)

🌐: [www.birmingham.gov.uk/eh](http://www.birmingham.gov.uk/eh) | Facebook: ehbham | Twitter: @ehbham

*locally accountable and responsive fair regulation for all - achieving a safe, healthy, clean, green and fair trading city for residents, business and visitors*

🖨 Please consider the environment before printing this email

---

**From:** Lisa Woodward On Behalf Of Licensing Online  
**Sent:** Friday, February 08, 2019 10:58 AM  
**To:** [bw\\_licensing@west-midlands.pnn.police.uk](mailto:bw_licensing@west-midlands.pnn.police.uk); Pollution Team  
**Subject:** LATE TEN-The Horseshoe, 1214 Stratford Road, B28 9BH

Good morning all,

Please find attached an application submitted via GOV.UK for your consideration.



## Christopher Jones

---

**From:** bw licensing  
**Sent:** 11 April 2019 10:42  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: LATE TEN-THE HORSESHOE, 1214 STRATFORD ROAD  
**Attachments:** The Horseshoe-1991-Late TEN-777942.pdf

Good morning Tanveer,

As previously discussed - due to recent intelligence about events at your premises and the fact Environmental Heath have recently issued the premises with an abatement notice West Midlands Police require a full risk assessment for the event you have applied for to ensure the licensing objectives are met and promoted. This risk assessment will need to be agreed with West Midlands Police.

Due to the tight legal timescales responsible authorities have to make representations to temporary event notices West Midlands Police require the full risk assessment no later than 10.00am Monday 15<sup>th</sup> April 2019 which needs to be agreed.

Regards

*Chris Jones* 55410  
Birmingham Central Licensing Team

Switchboard Tel: 101 ext. 801 1628  
Direct Dial Tel: 0121 626 6099

West Midlands Police HQ  
Lloyd House  
Colmore Circus  
Birmingham  
B4 6NQ

(Sat nav postcode B4 6AT)

Contact us on 999 in an emergency or for all other matters please visit [WMPolice Online](#)

---

**From:** Lisa Woodward [mailto:L[REDACTED]] **On Behalf Of** Licensing Online  
**Sent:** 10 April 2019 16:39  
**To:** bw licensing; Pollution Team  
**Subject:** LATE TEN-THE HORSESHOE, 1214 STRATFORD ROAD

Good afternoon all,

Please find attached an application submitted via GOV.UK for your consideration.

Kind regards

Lisa

## Christopher Jones

---

**From:** Paul R Samms <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Pollution Team  
<Pollution.Team@birmingham.gov.uk>  
**Sent:** 11 April 2019 10:38  
**To:** Licensing  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]; Pollution Team; bw licensing; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: LATE TEN-THE HORSESHOE, 1214 STRATFORD ROAD - Objection

All,

The applicant has submitted a TEN to extend hours of operation until 5am in the morning.

Env. Health has previously served a noise abatement notice as a result of the premises causing noise disturbance to local residents.

As a representative of Environmental Health I object to the above TEN for the event at The Horseshoe, 1214 Stratford Road, B28 9BH on grounds of likely public nuisance.

Regards,

Paul Samms  
Environmental Protection Officer

Environmental Protection Unit  
Environmental Health  
Manor House  
40 Moat Lane  
Digbeth  
Birmingham  
B5 5BD

[REDACTED]  
email [REDACTED]

Environmental Health, Regulation & Enforcement, Birmingham City Council, PO BOX 15908, Birmingham, B2 2UD

Website: <http://www.birmingham.gov.uk/eh>  
Facebook: <http://www.facebook.com/ehbham>  
Twitter: <http://www.twitter.com/ehbham>  
Flickr: <http://www.flickr.com/photos/envhbham>

"Locally accountable and responsive fair regulation for all - achieving a safe, healthy, clean, green and fair trading city for residents, business and visitors"

---

**From:** Lisa Woodward On Behalf Of Licensing Online  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 10, 2019 4:39 PM  
**To:** bw [licensing@west-midlands.pnn.police.uk](mailto:licensing@west-midlands.pnn.police.uk); Pollution Team  
**Subject:** LATE TEN-THE HORSESHOE, 1214 STRATFORD ROAD

Good afternoon all,

Please find attached an application submitted via GOV.UK for your consideration.

## Command &amp; Control - Search Results

Intranet Homepage

Return to Incident List

New Search

URN	Date & Time	Secure Record	Owner	Operator	Beat
89	20/04/2019 00:31:00	No	BVSP1	58929	BEEA
Initial Class	Response	Crime No.	Arrests	Date Closed	Status
DISORDER	P1 IMMEDIATE		0	20/04/2019 01:24:54	CLOSED
<b>Method Received</b>	999 Call --- Telephone No.: [REDACTED]				
<b>Caller</b>					
<b>Caller Address</b>					
<b>Caller Phone</b>	[REDACTED]				
<b>Incident Location</b>	RESTAURANT MIDLANDS LTD-1214 STRATFORD ROAD HALL GREEN-BIRMINGHAM-WEST MIDLANDS B28 9BH				
<b>OSGR</b>	410568, 281853				
<b>Incident Detail</b>	STABBING				
<b>Incident Result</b>	NO FURTHER POLICE ACTION REQUIRED				

## Updates

User	Date	Time	Terminal	Text
58929	20/04/2019	00:31:11	WBCHK2	Saturday 20 Apr 2019
58929	20/04/2019	00:31:11	WBCHK2	Location was HARASSMENT on 03-02-18
58929	20/04/2019	00:31:11	WBCHK2	Routed To Radio Operator(s)
58929	20/04/2019	00:31:11	WBCHK2	CLI data: EE- [REDACTED]
58929	20/04/2019	00:31:11	WBCHK2	409073- 281268- 4316- 4316- 80- 0- OSGB36
53916	20/04/2019	00:31:15	BER1	Incident Transfer Accepted by terminal BER1
58929	20/04/2019	00:31:17	WBCHK2	CALLER SAID THERES BLOOD EVERYWHERE
58929	20/04/2019	00:31:17	WBCHK2	
57919	20/04/2019	00:31:38	BER4	Incident Switch to Terminal YMR1-YMR2-YMMSS
57919	20/04/2019	00:31:38	BER4	FYI STABBING
57919	20/04/2019	00:31:38	BER4	Incident Switch
58929	20/04/2019	00:31:22	WBCHK2	SAID ITS HER COUSIN
58929	20/04/2019	00:31:23	WBCHK2	
58929	20/04/2019	00:31:44	WBCHK2	THIS IS THE HORSEHOE 1214 STRAFORD ROAD
58929	20/04/2019	00:31:44	WBCHK2	
57919	20/04/2019	00:31:52	BER4	View Street Index For Incident
58929	20/04/2019	00:31:48	WBCHK2	CALLER HAS NOW CLEARED
58929	20/04/2019	00:31:49	WBCHK2	
58929	20/04/2019	00:31:52	WBCHK2	SO MUCH DISORDER GOING ON
58929	20/04/2019	00:31:53	WBCHK2	
57919	20/04/2019	00:32:06	BER4	RCO70 Automatic STM Notification generated
57919	20/04/2019	00:32:06	BER4	RCO70 Despatched
58929	20/04/2019	00:32:09	WBCHK2	ONTO AMBO
59402	20/04/2019	00:32:27	BERA	22298 Redeployed from Incident 3039 19-04-19
59402	20/04/2019	00:32:27	BERA	22298 Automatic STM Notification generated
59402	20/04/2019	00:32:27	BERA	22298 Despatched
58811	20/04/2019	00:32:33	BER2	Invalid pluscode entry
59402	20/04/2019	00:32:35	BERA	RCM71 Redeployed from Incident 3018 19-04-19
59402	20/04/2019	00:32:35	BERA	RCM71 Automatic STM Notification generated
59402	20/04/2019	00:32:35	BERA	RCM71 Despatched
58728	20/04/2019	00:32:35	WHSD5	MATCHED WITH 84 (20-04-19) - REASON AS BELOW :-
58728	20/04/2019	00:32:35	WHSD5	FURTHER CALL
58811	20/04/2019	00:32:37	BER2	RCO72 Redeployed from Incident 3026 19-04-19
58811	20/04/2019	00:32:37	BER2	RCO72 Automatic STM Notification generated
58811	20/04/2019	00:32:37	BER2	RCO72 Despatched
57919	20/04/2019	00:33:00	BER4	RCB66 Redeployed from Incident 40 20-04-19
57919	20/04/2019	00:33:00	BER4	RCB66 Automatic STM Notification generated
57919	20/04/2019	00:33:00	BER4	RCB66 Despatched
59402	20/04/2019	00:33:06	BERA	0957 Redeployed from Incident 3039 19-04-19
59402	20/04/2019	00:33:06	BERA	0957 Automatic STM Notification generated
59402	20/04/2019	00:33:06	BERA	0957 Despatched
57919	20/04/2019	00:33:09	BER4	RCF70 Redeployed from Incident 40 20-04-19

40

57919 20/04/2019 00:33:09 BER4	RCF70 Automatic STM Notification generated
57919 20/04/2019 00:33:09 BER4	RCF70 Despatched
57919 20/04/2019 00:33:19 BER4	RCV7 Automatic STM notification generated
57919 20/04/2019 00:33:19 BER4	RCV7 Allocated to Incident
57919 20/04/2019 00:33:31 BER4	RCR24 Automatic STM notification generated
57919 20/04/2019 00:33:31 BER4	RCR24 Allocated to Incident
51239 20/04/2019 00:33:33 YMR1	Incident Switch Accepted By YMR1
51239 20/04/2019 00:33:56 YMR1	Incident Switch to Terminal YM1
51239 20/04/2019 00:33:56 YMR1	FOR INFO..THAKNS
51239 20/04/2019 00:33:56 YMR1	Incident Switch
58929 20/04/2019 00:33:58 WBCHK2	CALLER [REDACTED] FROM PREVIOUS CALLS
57919 20/04/2019 00:34:11 BER4	MOBILE BACK TO A [REDACTED]
57919 20/04/2019 00:34:27 BER4	OF [REDACTED]
57919 20/04/2019 00:34:36 BER4	RINGING BACK...
51239 20/04/2019 00:34:49 YMR1	AD59 Automatic STM Notification generated
51239 20/04/2019 00:34:49 YMR1	AD59 Despatched
57926 20/04/2019 00:35:02 BER3	Resources Dealing with this Incident Enquiry
59402 20/04/2019 00:35:07 BERA	RCF70 Redeployed to Incident 90 20-04-19
58929 20/04/2019 00:35:09 WBCHK2	AMBO LOG: 86
59402 20/04/2019 00:35:21 BERA	RCB66 Redeployed to Incident 90 20-04-19
4220 20/04/2019 00:35:41 YM1	YM1NOTED - MONITORING.
57926 20/04/2019 00:35:44 BER3	RCB66 Arrived at Incident
59402 20/04/2019 00:35:47 BERA	RCB66 Already Despatched
57919 20/04/2019 00:35:59 BER4	ON TO CALLER... NOT A STABBING
57919 20/04/2019 00:36:11 BER4	NOW SAYING SOMEONE HAS HAD THEIR HEAD STAMPED ON
57919 20/04/2019 00:36:22 BER4	CLEARING LINE ON ME- SHE KEEPS SCREAMING
59402 20/04/2019 00:36:28 BERA	RCO72 Redeployed to Incident 90 20-04-19
4220 20/04/2019 00:36:34 YM1	Incident Switch Accepted By YM1
57919 20/04/2019 00:36:34 BER4	RINGING BACK...
57919 20/04/2019 00:36:58 BER4	KEEPS GOING TO VM.
57926 20/04/2019 00:37:19 BER3	Incident Match
57919 20/04/2019 00:37:31 BER4	OFFICER ON SCENE STATES NOT A STABBING- FIGHT INSIDE
57919 20/04/2019 00:37:39 BER4	PUB WHICH HAS SPILLED OUTSIDE
57919 20/04/2019 00:37:48 BER4	ONE MALE INJURED
57926 20/04/2019 00:37:53 BER3	Incident Switch to Terminal YMR1-YMR2-YMMSS
57926 20/04/2019 00:37:53 BER3	TO CANCEL AD - NOT A STABBING
57926 20/04/2019 00:37:53 BER3	Incident Switch
57919 20/04/2019 00:37:55 BER4	AD59 Cancelled
51239 20/04/2019 00:38:16 YMR1	Incident Switch Accepted By YMR1
53916 20/04/2019 00:38:34 BER1	AD59 Automatic STM Notification generated
53916 20/04/2019 00:38:34 BER1	AD59 Despatched
57926 20/04/2019 00:39:09 BER3	MATCHED WITH 103 (20-04-19) - REASON AS BELOW :-
57926 20/04/2019 00:39:09 BER3	SAME
53916 20/04/2019 00:39:47 BER1	Incident Transferred by terminal BER1 to BER4
53916 20/04/2019 00:39:47 BER1	THANKS
53916 20/04/2019 00:39:47 BER1	Incident Transfer
57919 20/04/2019 00:39:50 BER4	Incident Transfer Accepted by terminal BER4
22214 20/04/2019 00:39:52 IncApp	INJURED MALE NOT WISHING TO ENGAGE WITH OFFICERS
22214 20/04/2019 00:39:52 IncApp	WHATSOEVER. APPROX 50 PEOPLE STILL PRESS T
53916 20/04/2019 00:40:53 BER1	0957 Arrived at Incident
59402 20/04/2019 00:40:54 BERA	0957 Already At Incident
58811 20/04/2019 00:41:05 BER2	AD59 Arrived at Incident
59402 20/04/2019 00:41:05 BERA	AD59 Already At Incident
57926 20/04/2019 00:41:12 BER3	RCM71 Arrived at Incident
53916 20/04/2019 00:41:29 BER1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> View Incident 89-20-04-19
57919 20/04/2019 00:42:20 BER4	RINGING AMBO...
53916 20/04/2019 00:42:29 BER1	RCO70 Redeployed to Incident 109 20-04-19
53916 20/04/2019 00:42:44 BER1	RCM71 Redeployed to Incident 109 20-04-19
22214 20/04/2019 00:42:48 IncApp	FEMALE IP IS [REDACTED]
22214 20/04/2019 00:42:48 IncApp	[REDACTED]
58811 20/04/2019 00:42:53 BER2	AMBO TA
57926 20/04/2019 00:43:17 BER3	PNC - [REDACTED]
53916 20/04/2019 00:43:49 BER1	RCB66 Left Incident

53916 20/04/2019 00:43:49 BER1 RCB66 Redeployed to Incident 130 20-04-19  
 58811 20/04/2019 00:45:01 BER2 22298 Redeployed to Incident 3039 19-04-19  
 58811 20/04/2019 00:45:04 BER2 0957 Redeployed to Incident 3039 19-04-19  
 57926 20/04/2019 00:47:42 BER3 AD59 Left Incident  
 22214 20/04/2019 00:48:07 IncApp [REDACTED]  
 22214 20/04/2019 00:48:07 IncApp [REDACTED]  
 57919 20/04/2019 00:52:59 BER4 Resources Dealing with this Incident Enquiry  
 57919 20/04/2019 00:53:12 BER4 Incident Transferred by terminal BER4 to BVSUPP  
 57919 20/04/2019 00:53:12 BER4 UPDATES  
 57919 20/04/2019 00:53:12 BER4 Incident Transfer  
 0041 20/04/2019 00:53:23 BVSP1 Incident Transfer Accepted by terminal BVSP1  
 53916 20/04/2019 00:56:17 BER1 Incident Switch to Terminal YMR1-YMR2-YMMSS  
 53916 20/04/2019 00:56:17 BER1 ANY AD UNIT PLEASE  
 53916 20/04/2019 00:56:17 BER1 Incident Switch  
 51239 20/04/2019 00:56:48 YMR1 Incident Switch Accepted By YMR1  
 51239 20/04/2019 00:57:21 YMR1 WHAT IS THE DOG REQUIRED FOR PLS - ALREADY ATTENDED  
 51239 20/04/2019 00:57:22 YMR1 AND LEFT  
 51239 20/04/2019 00:57:45 YMR1 POSSIBLE SWITCH ON WRONG LOG?  
 51239 20/04/2019 00:57:53 YMR1 Incident Switch to Terminal BER1  
 51239 20/04/2019 00:57:53 YMR1 AS LAST PLS  
 51239 20/04/2019 00:57:53 YMR1 Incident Switch  
 0041 20/04/2019 01:00:45 BVSP1 DOG NOT REQUIRED  
 22214 20/04/2019 01:09:13 WebOASIS ONE WHITE MALE EXITED THE PUB WITH A FEW SCRATCHES  
 22214 20/04/2019 01:09:13 WebOASIS BUT WAS REFUSING TO ENGAGE WITH OFFICERS. NOT CLEAR  
 22214 20/04/2019 01:09:13 WebOASIS WHO-WHERE OFFENDER IS AND WITHOUT VICTIM ENGAGING  
 22214 20/04/2019 01:09:13 WebOASIS NOTHING CAN BE RECORDED. LOG CAN BE CLOSED  
 21322 20/04/2019 01:11:48 BVSP3 All Resources Leave Scene-Dispatch Cancelled etc  
 21322 20/04/2019 01:12:39 BVSP3 Incident Result-Close Exited  
 21322 20/04/2019 01:12:39 BVSP3 Incident End (Result - Close)  
 21322 20/04/2019 01:13:13 BVSP3 Changed Class From CRIME  
 21322 20/04/2019 01:13:13 BVSP3 Amending Incident Type  
 21322 20/04/2019 01:13:21 BVSP3 Changed Class From MISCELLANEOUS  
 21322 20/04/2019 01:13:21 BVSP3 Amending Incident Type  
 21322 20/04/2019 01:13:36 BVSP3 Incident Closed By Terminal BVSP3  
 21322 20/04/2019 01:13:36 BVSP3 Incident End (Result - Close)  
 53916 BER1 The following was entered after the Incident was  
 53916 BER1 Closed  
 53916 20/04/2019 01:22:16 BER1 Re-Opened by BER1  
 53916 20/04/2019 01:22:22 BER1 Incident Switch Accepted By BER1  
 53916 20/04/2019 01:22:45 BER1 Incident Transferred by terminal BER1 to BVSUPP  
 53916 20/04/2019 01:22:45 BER1 FURTHER UPDATES SORRY  
 53916 20/04/2019 01:22:45 BER1 Incident Transfer  
 22214 20/04/2019 01:22:58 WebOASIS IF-WHEN [REDACTED] CALLS PLEASE REFER TO LOG [REDACTED]  
 22214 20/04/2019 01:22:58 WebOASIS OF [REDACTED] WHICH RELATES SPECIFICALLY TO HER  
 22214 20/04/2019 01:22:58 WebOASIS ASSAULT. THANK YOU. LOG CAN BE RE-CLOSED  
 0041 20/04/2019 01:24:15 BVSP1 Incident Transfer Accepted by terminal BVSP1  
 0041 20/04/2019 01:24:55 BVSP1 Incident Closed By Terminal BVSP1  
 0041 20/04/2019 01:24:55 BVSP1 Incident End (Result - Close)

## Resources

Rank	Name	Collar No	Dispatched	Arrived	Left	Cancelled	Informed	Informed Cancelled	Dealt With	Call Sign
PC	MCDONALD	22030	20/04/2019 00:32			20/04/2019 00:42				RCO70
PC	REYNOLDS	21080	20/04/2019 00:32			20/04/2019 00:42				RCO70
PC	TEBAY	22298	20/04/2019 00:32			20/04/2019 00:45			22298	
PC	MILES	22408	20/04/2019 00:32	20/04/2019 00:41		20/04/2019 00:42				RCM71
PC	CHISHTI	22417	20/04/2019 00:32	20/04/2019 00:41		20/04/2019 00:42				RCM71
PC	SAINES	21094	20/04/2019 00:32			20/04/2019 00:36				RCO72
			20/04/2019			20/04/2019				

PC	TUDOR	22234	00:32		00:36		RCO72
PC	YATES	22214	20/04/2019 00:33	20/04/2019 00:43	20/04/2019 00:35		RCB66
PC	LISSEMORE	0957	20/04/2019 00:33	20/04/2019 00:40	20/04/2019 00:45		0957
PC	MAYE	21202	20/04/2019 00:33		20/04/2019 00:35		RCF70
INSP	NAJIB	4544			20/04/2019 00:33	20/04/2019 01:11	RCV7
PS	WITHERS	3437			20/04/2019 00:33	20/04/2019 01:11	RCR24
PC	O'DONNELL	4775	20/04/2019 00:34		20/04/2019 00:37		AD59
PC	YATES	22214		20/04/2019 00:35			RCB66
PC	O'DONNELL	4775	20/04/2019 00:38	20/04/2019 00:41	20/04/2019 00:47		AD59
<b>Final Classification</b>		PUBLIC SAFETY/WELFARE SUSPICIOUS CIRCUMSTANCES					
<b>Qualifier</b>		NONE					
<b>Power of Arrest Used</b>							
<b>Anti-social behaviour</b>							
<b>Closing Text</b>							
<b>Officer Dealing</b>		22234					

Access may be restricted.

Data is subject to the provisions of the Data Protection Act 1998.  
Misuse of such data may be an offence under the Computer Misuse Act 1990.

2.4.0

## Command &amp; Control - Search Results

Intranet Homepage

Return to Incident List

New Search

<b>URN</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>	<b>Secure Record</b>	<b>Owner</b>	<b>Operator</b>	<b>Beat</b>
103	20/04/2019 00:36:00	No	BER1	58749	BEEA
<b>Initial Class</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Crime No.</b>	<b>Arrests</b>	<b>Date Closed</b>	<b>Status</b>
DISORDER	P9 CONTACT RESOLUTION			20/04/2019	CLOSED
<b>Method Received</b>	999 Call --- Telephone No.: [REDACTED]				
<b>Caller</b>	[REDACTED]				
<b>Caller Address</b>					
<b>Caller Phone</b>	[REDACTED]				
<b>Incident Location</b>	RESTAURANT MIDLANDS LTD-1214 STRATFORD ROAD HALL GREEN-BIRMINGHAM-WEST MIDLANDS B28 9BH				
<b>OSGR</b>	410568, 281853				
<b>Incident Detail</b>	MASSIVE FIGHT OUTSIDE....				
<b>Incident Result</b>	[X] MATCHED WITH 89 (20/04/19)				
<b>Updates</b>					
<b>User</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Time</b>	<b>Terminal</b>	<b>Text</b>	
58749	20/04/2019	00:36:44	WBCHF6	Saturday 20 Apr 2019	
58749	20/04/2019	00:36:44	WBCHF6	Location was HARASSMENT on 03-02-18	
58749	20/04/2019	00:36:46	WBCHF6	Routed To Radio Operator(s)	
58749	20/04/2019	00:36:47	WBCHF6	CLI data: [REDACTED]	
58749	20/04/2019	00:36:47	WBCHF6	No Location data available	
53916	20/04/2019	00:36:53	BER1	Incident Transfer Accepted by terminal BER1	
58811	20/04/2019	00:36:55	BER2	LOG 89 REFERS	
58749	20/04/2019	00:36:54	WBCHF6	CALLER WAS DRIVING PAST	
58749	20/04/2019	00:36:57	WBCHF6	F258749	
58749	20/04/2019	00:37:05	WBCHF6	THE HORSESHOE PUB	
57926	20/04/2019	00:37:06	BER3	Graded Response changed from P1 IMMEDIATE to P9	
57926	20/04/2019	00:37:06	BER3	CONTACT RESOLUTION	
57926	20/04/2019	00:39:09	BER3	MATCHED WITH 89 (20-04-19 ) - REASON AS	
57926	20/04/2019	00:39:09	BER3	BELOW :-	
57926	20/04/2019	00:39:09	BER3	SAME	
57926	20/04/2019	00:39:09	BER3	Incident Match	
<b>Resources</b>					
No Resources available.					
<b>Final Classification</b>	DISORDER				
<b>Qualifier</b>					
<b>Power of Arrest Used</b>					
<b>Anti-social behaviour</b>					
<b>Closing Text</b>					
<b>Officer Dealing</b>	N/A				

Access may be restricted.

Data is subject to the provisions of the Data Protection Act 1998.

Misuse of such data may be an offence under the Computer Misuse Act 1990.

2.4.0

444

## Command &amp; Control - Search Results

Intranet Homepage

Return to Incident List

New Search

URN	Date & Time	Secure Record	Owner	Operator	Beat
1782	21/04/2019 17:26:00	No	BER4	56692	BEEA
Initial Class	Response	Crime No.	Arrests	Date Closed	Status
MISCELLANEOUS P8	INTERNAL TASK		0	22/04/2019 07:16:23	CLOSED
<b>Method Received</b>	Telephone Call				
<b>Caller</b>	8941				
<b>Caller Address</b>					
<b>Caller Phone</b>					
<b>Incident Location</b>	RESTAURANT MIDLANDS LTD-1214 STRATFORD ROAD HALL GREEN-BIRMINGHAM-WEST MIDLANDS B28 9BH				
<b>OSGR</b>	410568, 281853				
<b>Incident Detail</b>	POSSIBLE GANG NIGHT TAKING PLACE.				
<b>Incident Result</b>	NO POLICE ATTENDANCE				

## Updates

User	Date	Time	Terminal	Text
56692	21/04/2019	17:26:47	WHSD5	Location was HARASSMENT on 03-02-18
56692	21/04/2019	17:26:50	WHSD5	8941 Automatic STM Notification generated
56692	21/04/2019	17:26:50	WHSD5	8941 Despatched
56692	21/04/2019	17:26:54	WHSD5	8941 Arrived at Incident
56692	21/04/2019	17:26:56	WHSD5	Incident Routed to Radio Operators
56692	21/04/2019	17:26:56	WHSD5	Route To All Operators
56692	21/04/2019	17:27:09	WHSD5	8941 SAYS THIS LOCATION SHOULD BE THE THE HORSESHOE PH
56692	21/04/2019	17:27:09	WHSD5	
56692	21/04/2019	17:27:24	WHSD5	Job 1: - CONTACT IT RE SIG NAME CHANGE
55998	21/04/2019	17:28:05	BER3	Incident Transfer Accepted by terminal BER3
55998	21/04/2019	17:28:27	BER3	Incident Transferred by terminal BER3 to BER4
55998	21/04/2019	17:28:27	BER3	FOR AI
55998	21/04/2019	17:28:27	BER3	Incident Transfer
52468	21/04/2019	17:29:42	BER4	Incident Transfer Accepted by terminal BER4
8941	21/04/2019	17:30:14	IncApp	272865-19 19-04-19 THERE WILL BE A PARTY AT
8941	21/04/2019	17:30:14	IncApp	THE HORSESHOE PUBLIC HOUSE- 1214 STRATFORD ROAD-
8941	21/04/2019	17:30:14	IncApp	BIRMINGHAM ON SUNDAY 21ST APRIL 2019. THIS WILL BE A
8941	21/04/2019	17:30:14	IncApp	BIRTHDAY PARTY AND AROUND 150 GUESTS ARE EXPECTED
8941	21/04/2019	17:30:14	IncApp	BETWEEN THE AGES OF 25-55 YEARS OLD. THE PARTY WILL
8941	21/04/2019	17:30:14	IncApp	BEGIN AT 21:30 AND END AT 06:00 ON 22-04-19. THERE
8941	21/04/2019	17:30:14	IncApp	WILL BE 12 SECURITY GUARDS IN ATTENDANCE AT THE TIME
8941	21/04/2019	17:30:14	IncApp	OF THE PARTY. THE MANAGER OF THE HORSESHOES PUB IS
8941	21/04/2019	17:30:14	IncApp	CALLED TAN AND HAS A CONTACT NUMBER OF [REDACTED]
8941	21/04/2019	17:30:14	IncApp	INTEL SUGGESTS THAT THE PARTY WILL BE ATTENDED BY
8941	21/04/2019	17:30:14	IncApp	BURGER BAR GANG MEMBERS AND THAT THERE IS POTENTIAL
8941	21/04/2019	17:30:14	IncApp	FOR FIREARMS TO BE PRESENT [REDACTED] FURTHER
8941	21/04/2019	17:30:14	IncApp	INTEL CORROBORATING THIS INFORMATION. [REDACTED]
8941	21/04/2019	17:30:14	IncApp	FURTHER INTEL CORROBORATING THIS INFORMATION- PARTY
8941	21/04/2019	17:30:14	IncApp	TO BE HOSTED BY [REDACTED] AKA [REDACTED]
8941	21/04/2019	17:30:14	IncApp	[REDACTED] IS WM FOR ROBBERY OFFENCES. HE HAS A **FI**
8941	21/04/2019	17:30:14	IncApp	MARKER [REDACTED] - THERE WILL BE A PARTY AT
8941	21/04/2019	17:30:14	IncApp	THE HORSESHOE PUBLIC HOUSE- STRATFORD ROAD
8941	21/04/2019	17:30:14	IncApp	BIRMINGHAM- B28 9BH ON EASTER SUNDAY 2019. IT WILL BE
8941	21/04/2019	17:30:14	IncApp	ATTENDED BY BURGER BAR GANG MEMBERS AND THERE IS A
8941	21/04/2019	17:30:14	IncApp	POTENTIAL FOR FIREARMS TO BE PRESENT.
8941	21/04/2019	17:34:31	IncApp	THE FUNCTION IS TAKING PLACE IN THE BANQUETING SUITE
8941	21/04/2019	17:34:31	IncApp	AT THE REAR OF THE PUB AND IT OUT OF SIGHT FROM THE



8941 21/04/2019 17:34:31 IncApp ROAD. IT IS UNCOMMON FOR THIS VENUE TO HAVE 12  
8941 21/04/2019 17:34:31 IncApp SECURITY EMPLOYED FOR A PROVATE FUNCTION THEREFORE I  
8941 21/04/2019 17:34:31 IncApp WOULD SUGGEST THE MANAGEMENT BELIEVE THERE IS AN  
8941 21/04/2019 17:34:31 IncApp INCREASED RISK ALTHOUGH THE MANAGER 'TAN' IS MAKING  
8941 21/04/2019 17:34:31 IncApp EVERY EFFORT TO REASSURE ME.  
58360 21/04/2019 19:23:42 BERA 8941 Left Incident  
8941 21/04/2019 19:31:32 WebOASIS I HAVE CONFIRMED THAT AN EVENT IS TAKING PLACE AT THE  
8941 21/04/2019 19:31:32 WebOASIS BANQUETING SUITE AND HAS BEEN ADVERTISED AS A LADIES  
8941 21/04/2019 19:31:32 WebOASIS NIGHT. IT IS TAKING PLACE TONIGHT-SUNDAY 21ST APRIL.  
8941 21/04/2019 19:31:32 WebOASIS THE ORGANISER IS KNOWN AS [REDACTED] WHO IS NOW KNOWN  
AS  
8941 21/04/2019 19:31:32 WebOASIS [REDACTED] THE EVENT IS CALLED  
8941 21/04/2019 19:31:32 WebOASIS SOPHISTICATED SWAG. THERE WILL BE 12 SECUIRITY ON  
8941 21/04/2019 19:31:32 WebOASIS DUTY- SIX OF WHICH ARE SUPPLIED BY THE VENUE AND WILL  
8941 21/04/2019 19:31:32 WebOASIS ALL BE SIA BADGED. SIX WILL BE PROVIDED BY A MALE  
8941 21/04/2019 19:31:32 WebOASIS ONLY KNOWN AS [REDACTED] IS BELIEVED TO BE  
8941 21/04/2019 19:31:32 WebOASIS [REDACTED] HAS HISTORIC  
8941 21/04/2019 19:31:32 WebOASIS LINKS TO THE BURGER BAR OCG AND IS BELIEVED TO BE  
8941 21/04/2019 19:31:32 WebOASIS ATTENDING THE EVENT THIS EVENING. THE EVENT IS  
8941 21/04/2019 19:31:32 WebOASIS SCHEDULED TO START AT 2230 AND FINISH AT 0200  
8941 21/04/2019 19:31:32 WebOASIS ALTHOUGH INTELLIGENCE SUGGETS IT WILL CONTINUE UNTIL  
8941 21/04/2019 19:31:32 WebOASIS 0600. INTELLIGENCE SUGGESTS THAT A NUMBER OF HISTORIC  
8941 21/04/2019 19:31:32 WebOASIS BURGER BAR NOMINAL MAY ATTEND THE FUNCTION AND THAT  
8941 21/04/2019 19:31:32 WebOASIS THEY MAY BE IN POSSESSION OF FIREARMS. THE LICENSEE  
8941 21/04/2019 19:31:32 WebOASIS HAS BEEN SPOKEN TO REGARDING POLICE CONCERNS AND  
HAS  
8941 21/04/2019 19:31:32 WebOASIS BEEN ASKED TO CONSIDER HIS SECURITY ARRANGEMENTS  
8941 21/04/2019 19:31:32 WebOASIS AROUND THE EVENT AND CONSIDER ROBUST SEARCHING ON  
8941 21/04/2019 19:31:32 WebOASIS THOSE ATTENDING. LOG NUMBER PROVIDED FOR REFERENCE.  
8941 21/04/2019 19:32:52 WebOASIS PLEASE SWITCH FOR THE ATTENTION OF YM1 AND THE DUTY  
8941 21/04/2019 19:32:52 WebOASIS FIM FOR CONSIDERATION AROUND [REDACTED]  
8941 21/04/2019 19:32:52 WebOASIS [REDACTED]  
4923 21/04/2019 20:00:29 WebOASIS FIM1 APPRAISED  
8941 21/04/2019 20:04:59 WebOASIS 5349- 7651 AND 0861 ON THE LOG PLEASE AS PASSING  
8941 21/04/2019 20:04:59 WebOASIS ATTENTION UNTIL 23.00. FIM UPDATED VIA PHONE AND WILL  
8941 21/04/2019 20:04:59 WebOASIS LIAISE WITH YM1  
7651 21/04/2019 20:47:13 IncApp CODE 6 ALL OFFICERS  
7651 21/04/2019 21:35:00 IncApp [REDACTED] WHITE RANGEROVER  
7651 21/04/2019 21:40:28 IncApp [REDACTED] - WHITE 1 SERIES  
7651 21/04/2019 21:51:20 IncApp 1 SERIES ON CLONED PLATES. PASSED FOR OBS  
7651 21/04/2019 22:03:28 IncApp [REDACTED] VAUXHALL INSIGNIA  
7651 21/04/2019 22:04:36 IncApp [REDACTED] - VAUXHALL ASTRA  
7651 21/04/2019 22:05:39 IncApp [REDACTED] - VAUXHALL CORSA  
7515 21/04/2019 22:07:10 WebOASIS IF CLONED BMW [REDACTED] IS SIGHTED AGAIN- PLEASE BEAR  
7515 21/04/2019 22:07:10 WebOASIS IN MIND NPAS ARE CURRENTLY IN THE AIR  
7651 21/04/2019 22:09:10 IncApp \*\*\*PRIOR TO LOG BEING CLOSED CAN VEHICLES BE PASTED  
7651 21/04/2019 22:09:10 IncApp INTO LOG. FOR FUTURE REFERENCE THESE VEHICLES HAVE  
7651 21/04/2019 22:09:10 IncApp ALL BEEN SEEN ENTERING OR ON THE CARPARK OF THE  
7651 21/04/2019 22:09:10 IncApp HORSESHOE DURING THE TIME OF OUR ATTENDENCE. PLEASE  
7651 21/04/2019 22:09:10 IncApp NOTE THAT THE PUB WAS OPEN TO THE PUBLIC AND AS SUCH  
7651 21/04/2019 22:09:10 IncApp VEHICLES MAY BE LINKED TO PATRONS OF THE PUB AND NOT  
7651 21/04/2019 22:12:05 IncApp ATTENDEES OF THE FUNCTION SUITE\*\*\*\*  
7651 21/04/2019 22:20:38 IncApp [REDACTED] - BLACK SEAT  
[REDACTED] - AUDI  
8941 21/04/2019 22:30:01 IncApp THE VENUE IS ONLY NOW STARTING TO GET PEOPLE  
STARTING  
8941 21/04/2019 22:30:01 IncApp TO ATTEND. GANGS TEAM OFFICERS WILL BE CLEARING AT  
8941 21/04/2019 22:30:01 IncApp 23.00 AND PASSING ATTENTION IS REQUIRED. COULD THE  
8941 21/04/2019 22:30:01 IncApp NIGHTS BE INSP PLEASE BE APPRAISED OF THE LOG.

7651 21/04/2019 22:30:11 IncApp [REDACTED] - SAAB  
 7651 21/04/2019 22:35:32 IncApp [REDACTED] GOLF  
 7651 21/04/2019 22:38:52 IncApp [REDACTED] - ASTRA  
 7651 21/04/2019 22:40:30 IncApp [REDACTED] - ROLLS ROYCE  
 7651 21/04/2019 22:50:09 IncApp [REDACTED] - TOYOTA YARIS  
 7651 21/04/2019 22:55:22 IncApp DISREGARD AUDI [REDACTED] CORRECT VRM IS [REDACTED]  
 7651 21/04/2019 23:10:48 IncApp GANGS TEAM HAVE NOW CLEARED. INTEL SUGGESTS EVENT IS  
 7651 21/04/2019 23:10:48 IncApp ON TILL 0600. CAN LOG BE KEPT OPEN TILL THEN IN CASE  
 7651 21/04/2019 23:10:48 IncApp OF ANY ISSUES.  
 7651 21/04/2019 23:28:48 WebOASIS IF NIGHTS OFFICERS DO HAVE OPPORTUNITY TO PAY PASSING  
 7651 21/04/2019 23:28:48 WebOASIS ATTENTION CAN VRMS BE NOTED ON THE LOG PLEASE  
 55022 22/04/2019 03:58:15 BER4 Monday 22 Apr 2019  
 55998 22/04/2019 07:15:22 BER4 Incident Close-Result failed - Outstanding Jobs  
 55998 22/04/2019 07:15:30 BER4 Job No. 1 Completed  
 55998 22/04/2019 07:16:23 BER4 Incident Closed By Terminal BER4  
 55998 22/04/2019 07:16:23 BER4 Incident End (Result - Close)

**Resources**

Rank Name	Collar No	Dispatched	Arrived	Left	Cancelled	Informed	Informed Cancelled	Dealt With	Call Sign
PS WEBB	8941	21/04/2019 17:26	21/04/2019 17:26	21/04/2019 19:23					8941
<b>Final Classification</b>		PUBLIC SAFETY/WELFARE CONCERN FOR SAFETY							
<b>Qualifier</b>		NONE							
<b>Power of Arrest Used</b>									
<b>Anti-social behaviour</b>									
<b>Closing Text</b>									
<b>Officer Dealing</b>		55998							

Access may be restricted.

Data is subject to the provisions of the Data Protection Act 1998.

Misuse of such data may be an offence under the Computer Misuse Act 1990.

2.4.0

## Incident 3017 of the 17/05/2019

Log Details CLOSED	
Urn	3017
Date	17/05/2019 23:55
Owner	BVSP1
Initial Classification	DISORDER
Response	P1 IMMEDIATE
Last Updated	18/05/2019
Reported by	CALL 999 [REDACTED]
Brief Incident Details	fight .. approx 50 people
Location of Incident	
Feature	THE HORSESHOES (HALL GREEN) LTD,1214
Street	STRATFORD ROAD
Area	HALL GREEN,BIRMINGHAM,WEST MIDLANDS
Postcode	B28 9BH
Beat	BEEA
OSGR	410568 281853
Location Ref	2/32/6/421
Caller	
Name	[REDACTED]
Title	
House	
Street	
Area	
Telephone Number	[REDACTED]
Ex-Directory	
Warning Markers	HARASSMENT
Crime Number	
Status	CLOSED
Date Closed	18/05/2019
Result	NO FURTHER POLICE ACTION REQUIRED
Final Incident Type	PUBLIC SAFETY/WELFARESUSPICIOUS CIRCUMSTANCES
Closing Qualifiers	NONE
Free Text	
Number of Arrests	0

Log Updates				
Date	Time	User	Terminal	Text
17/05/2019	23:55	52287	LHCHH3	Friday 17 May 2019
17/05/2019	23:55	52287	LHCHH3	Location was HARASSMENT on 03/02/18
17/05/2019	23:55	52287	LHCHH3	Routed To Radio Operator(s)
17/05/2019	23:55	52287	LHCHH3	CLI data: O2 [REDACTED]

Date	Time	User	Terminal	Text
17/05/2019	23:55	52287	LHCHH3	410601, 281541, 887, 887, 90, 0, OSGB36
17/05/2019	23:56	59239	BER4	Incident Transfer Accepted by terminal BER4
17/05/2019	23:56	52287	LHCHH3	CALLER IS ACROSS THE ROAD FROM LOCATION ....
17/05/2019	23:56	52287	LHCHH3	SPILLING OUT ONTO THE ROAD
17/05/2019	23:56	59239	BER4	Incident Switch to Terminal YMMSS,YMR1,YMR2
17/05/2019	23:56	59239	BER4	ANY AD UNIT
17/05/2019	23:56	59239	BER4	Incident Switch
17/05/2019	23:56	52287	LHCHH3	CANT SEE ANY WEAPONS
17/05/2019	23:56	52628	YMR1	Incident Switch Accepted By YMR1
17/05/2019	23:56	52287	LHCHH3	SOMEPEOPLE ARE SHOOTING OFF IN CARS .. - DRIVING OFF
17/05/2019	23:57	52287	LHCHH3	NFI CALLER IS OFF LINE
17/05/2019	23:57	59239	BER4	RCO70 Redeployed from Incident 2831 17/05/19
17/05/2019	23:57	59239	BER4	RCO70 Automatic STM Notification generated
17/05/2019	23:57	59239	BER4	RCO70 Despatched
17/05/2019	23:57	52628	YMR1	NOTED
17/05/2019	23:57	52628	YMR1	AD81 Redeployed from Incident 3004 17/05/19
17/05/2019	23:57	52628	YMR1	AD81 Automatic STM Notification generated
17/05/2019	23:57	52628	YMR1	AD81 Despatched
17/05/2019	23:57	59239	BER4	RCO73 Redeployed from Incident 2831 17/05/19
17/05/2019	23:57	59239	BER4	RCO73 Automatic STM Notification generated
17/05/2019	23:57	59239	BER4	RCO73 Despatched
17/05/2019	23:58	52628	YMR1	ERALLY UPDATE MAKING FROM A DISTANCE
17/05/2019	23:58	53154	BERA	MATCHED WITH 3013 (17/05/19 ) - REASON AS BELOW :-
17/05/2019	23:58	53154	BERA	FURTHER CALL REPORTING BIG FIGHT
17/05/2019	23:58	53154	BERA	Incident Match
18/05/2019	00:02	59239	BER4	Saturday 18 May 2019
18/05/2019	00:05	1577	BER1	RCB72 Redeployed from Incident 3007 17/05/19
18/05/2019	00:05	1577	BER1	RCB72 Automatic STM Notification generated
18/05/2019	00:05	1577	BER1	RCB72 Despatched
18/05/2019	00:05	RCO70	Airwave	RCO70 Arrived at Incident
18/05/2019	00:07	1577	BER1	View Incident 3013,17/05/19
18/05/2019	00:07	RCO73	Airwave	RCO73 Arrived at Incident
18/05/2019	00:08	RCB72	Airwave	RCB72 Arrived at Incident
18/05/2019	00:09	RCB72	Airwave	RCB72 Left Incident

Date	Time	User	Terminal	Text
18/05/2019	00:08	1577	BER1	WAS D FIGHT, BUT BOTH LEFT.
18/05/2019	00:10	59239	BER4	Incident Transferred by terminal BER4 to BVSP1
18/05/2019	00:10	59239	BER4	FOR UPDATES
18/05/2019	00:10	59239	BER4	Incident Transfer
18/05/2019	00:11	1577	BER1	1
18/05/2019	00:12	52468	BVSP1	Incident Transfer Accepted by terminal BVSP1
18/05/2019	00:15	1577	BER1	AD81 Arrived at Incident
18/05/2019	00:15	1577	BER1	AD81 Redeployed to Incident 38 18/05/19
18/05/2019	01:03	8485	WebOASIS	OFFICERS HAVE SPOKEN WITH STAFF AND CUSTOMERS. THERE
18/05/2019	01:03	8485	WebOASIS	WERE TWO PEOPLE HAVING FISTICUFFS AND A LARGE GROUP
18/05/2019	01:03	8485	WebOASIS	WATCHING BUT ALL PARTIES CONCERNED HAVE NOW LEFT. LOG
18/05/2019	01:03	8485	WebOASIS	CAN BE CLOSED PENDING ANY IP'S COMING FORWARD.
18/05/2019	01:05	59048	BVSP3	All Resources Leave Scene/Dispatch Cancelled etc
18/05/2019	01:05	59048	BVSP3	Incident Closed By Terminal BVSP3
18/05/2019	01:05	59048	BVSP3	Incident End (Result / Close)

## Incident Response THRIVE+ Assessments

Grade		Date	Time	Operator	Terminal
Original, Current	P1 IMMEDIATE Decision	17/05/2019	23:55	52287	LHCHH3
	THRIVE+ Assessment	17/05/2019	23:57	52287	LHCHH3

disorder 50 people

## Log Resources

Rank	Name	Collar No	Dispatched	Arrived	Left	Cancelled	Informed	Informed Cancelled	Dealt With	Call Sign
PC	PARTLOW	22286	17/05/2019 23:57	18/05/2019 00:05	18/05/2019 01:05					RCO70
PC	LOWE	8485	17/05/2019 23:57	18/05/2019 00:05	18/05/2019 01:05					RCO70
PC	SHORT	8001	17/05/2019 23:57	18/05/2019 00:15		18/05/2019 00:15				AD81
PC	WESTON	22372	17/05/2019 23:57	18/05/2019 00:07	18/05/2019 01:05					RCO73
PC	TOON	0319	17/05/2019 23:57	18/05/2019 00:07	18/05/2019 01:05					RCO73
PC	WOOD	20283	18/05/2019 00:05	18/05/2019 00:08	18/05/2019 00:09					RCB72

**PNC Results**

No PNC checks have been made against this incident

**Vehicle Recovery System**

No Elvis records are associated with this incident

Incorrect use of this facility may be an offence under the Computer Misuse Act 1990 and the data displayed is subject to the provisions of the Data Protection Act 1998.  
Version: 3.0.1.0

## Incident 3013 of the 17/05/2019

## Log Details CLOSED

<b>Urn</b>	3013	<b>Date</b>	17/05/2019 23:54	<b>Owner</b>	BERA
<b>Initial Classification</b>	DISORDER	<b>Response</b>	P9 CONTACT RESOLUTION	<b>Last Updated</b>	17/05/2019

**Reported by** CALL 999 [REDACTED]

**Brief Incident Details** BIG FIGHT AT LOCATION. SAW SOME GETTING PUNCHED IN THE HEAD

## Location of Incident

**Feature** THE HORSESHOES (HALL GREEN) LTD,1214  
**Street** STRATFORD ROAD  
**Area** HALL GREEN,BIRMINGHAM,WEST MIDLANDS  
**Postcode** B28 9BH  
**Beat** BEEA  
**OSGR** 410568 281853  
**Location Ref** 2/32/6/421

## Caller

**Name** [REDACTED] **Title** MRS  
**House**  
**Street**  
**Area**  
**Telephone Number** [REDACTED] **Ex-Directory**

**Warning Markers** HARASSMENT

**Crime Number**

**Status** CLOSED

17/05/2019

**Date  
Closed**

**Result** MATCHED WITH 3017 (17/05/19)

**Final  
Incident  
Type** DISORDER

**Closing  
Qualifiers**

**Free Text**

**Number  
of  
Arrests**

### Log Updates

Date	Time	User	Terminal	Text
17/05/2019	23:57	50573	WBCHG6	Location was HARASSMENT on 03/02/18
17/05/2019	23:57	50573	WBCHG6	CLI data: O2, [REDACTED]
17/05/2019	23:57	50573	WBCHG6	410601, 281541, 887, 887, 90, 0, OSGB36
17/05/2019	23:57	50573	WBCHG6	CALLER STATES THEY ARE IN THEGARDEN
17/05/2019	23:57	50573	WBCHG6	CALLER NOTICED AS SHE WAS DRIVING PAST
17/05/2019	23:57	50573	WBCHG6	Incident Routed to Radio Operators
17/05/2019	23:57	50573	WBCHG6	Route To All Operators
17/05/2019	23:57	53154	BERA	Incident Transfer Accepted by terminal BERA
17/05/2019	23:58	53154	BERA	DUPLICATE LOG..
17/05/2019	23:58	53154	BERA	Graded Response changed from P1 IMMEDIATE to P9
17/05/2019	23:58	53154	BERA	CONTACT RESOLUTION



Date	Time	User	Terminal	Text
17/05/2019	23:58	53154	BERA	MATCHED WITH 3017 (17/05/19) - REASON AS BELOW :-
17/05/2019	23:58	53154	BERA	FURTHER CALL REPORTING BIG FIGHT

### Incident Response THRIVE+ Assessments

Grade		Date	Time	Operator	Terminal
Original	P1 IMMEDIATE Decision	17/05/2019	23:57	50573	WBCHG6
	THRIVE+ Assessment	17/05/2019	23:57	50573	WBCHG6
DISORDER IN PROGRESS					
Current	P9 CONTACT RESOLUTION Decision	17/05/2019	23:58	53154	BERA
	THRIVE+ Assessment	17/05/2019	23:58	53154	BERA
duplicate log					

### Log Resources

There are no resources associated with this incident

### PNC Results

No PNC checks have been made against this incident

### Vehicle Recovery System

No Elvis records are associated with this incident

Incorrect use of this facility may be an offence under the Computer Misuse Act 1990 and the data displayed is subject to the provisions of the

## Abdool Rohomon

---

**From:** Abdool Rohomon  
**Sent:** 29 April 2019 11:48  
**To:** 'Matthew Phipps'  
**Cc:** Christopher Jones; 'Teresa Wilding'; 'k.boyle@birmingham.gov.uk'; Talib Hussain  
**Subject:** Three Horseshoes - Stratford Road  
**Attachments:** conditions.doc

Hi Matthew,

Following on from our conversations last week, I am now in a position to put into context what has happened and the communication we have had with your clients tenant and DPS for the premises Tanveer Handa.

On the 20<sup>th</sup> April at 00:31 hours a call was made from a member of public indicating that there was a large fight and potential stabbing at the premises. Officers attending identified injured persons (who would not engage with the Police) and also signs with the premises of a disorder taking place. A person was named on the Police log as the caller.

On the 21<sup>st</sup> April – WMP were aware of an event at the premises (for which a Ten had been applied but the application had been objected to and so a counter notice issued). This event from Police intelligence had been organised by known members of an organised crime group. Tan was spoken to on the night by the duty Sgt, who confirmed the event was happening, until 0200 am, with half the security being provided by someone from the organisers.

On Tuesday 22<sup>nd</sup> April – Licensing officer Chris Jones, both spoke to and sent an Email to Tan, requesting the CCTV, Incident reports, security details and member of staff details for the various nights. A deadline of Thursday was placed on this request. On the afternoon of the 23<sup>rd</sup> April, Tan requested an extension on getting the details until Friday. It was agreed that we would attend the premises on the Friday to collect the information.

Myself and Chris Jones attended on Friday and spoke to Tan, who also had his own personal licensing representative with him called Patrick Burke.

We inspected the area called the old “whacky warehouse” where the events were taking place – it was set up as a function room, with a bar, and had 3 cameras on the inside and on pointing at the car park on the outside.

From looking at the current plan held by BCC we were unable to see that this area was covered within the licensed area. We Tan was asked he stated he assumed it was and the landlord had put the bar and pumps in not him

In relation to the weekend, tan stated that  
Friday – nothing booked in  
Saturday – someone from the Sturidge family  
Sunday – booking by someone called Gavin

Friday – Tan stated that there had been some disorder, they had ejected the parties and the disorder had carried on down the road. The doorstaff were through someone called Rag's who was a friend of his. He was not a company but was licensed with SIA.

Tan confirmed he did not hold a badge

Incident book was seen. This was a new ring folder, with only one entry. The entry was poor, the booked was not indexed.

The person who called the Police was not on the staff sheet, and so there was no call from the premises to the Police

Saturday – booking taken and put in diary – no detail other than a name. £2500 paid for room hire

Tan provided 4 door staff, and the organisers provided the rest, unaware of any details of who they were  
Indicated that the event stopped at 2am and everyone out by 3am

Room hire – the person booking it, provided for their own bar, alcohol sales not provided by Tan  
People got wristbands to go in, which was checked by head doorman and door staff from the organiser  
Tan did not check if they were selling alcohol, or if tickets had been sold to other parties

Sunday – booking taken on New Years eve for this event, £2500 paid

Booked as a birthday party and church event

Again they did their own bar, Tan was aware that tickets were being sold at £15 per head

Organisers provided security, and Tan also provided 4

Tan confirmed no checks were made for this booking

Was aware of Police concerns on the night, but stated couldn't cancel event

Stated finished at 2am with everyone out by 3am

All the aspects of the event, ie DJ, food, what was being sold (other than beer) was the decision of the organisers

When challenged when I spoke to him why he couldn't, stated "Look at the pub, it's not exactly busy!"

When asked about CCTV for all 3 days indicated he couldn't get it to download, although could see live footage on his phone. CCTV had been put in by a friend, not aware of what his qualification are to do this.

Tan indicated he paid Rags for security staff either by cash or cheque and then Jags would pay his staff

#### Concerns

- Clear Tan is chasing money by putting these events on. When challenged why he could cancel event he said as above. The Police had been to premises before it opened to express concerns
- Using an area that from looking at plans is clearly not licensed
- Non existent booking procedure – in his book there was more about a wedding than there was for either event over the weekend
- Poor incident recording and welfare provisions
- CCTV not being available
- Inability to access CCTV system or see if it is recording
- Too many "friends" doing things with little control
- SIA irregularities
- No control on the venue
- Operating beyond his licensed hours
- My direct control needed by PLH

As stated we have seized the CCTV hard drive which will be sent off for examination, if that shows that the CCTV has been tampered with then we will be following through with a review application. Tan has assured us he hasn't tampered with it, he was asked that question directly and in the email from Chris Jones, he was told not to touch it or call and engineer out if he was having problems downloading it.

It is clear that these issues are as a result of the use of the function room, and so subject to the above around the CCTV, WMP are in a position to offer your client to apply for a minor variation to have the attached conditions imposed on the licence – once the question around the conference area being licensed, has been resolved. If these are not agreed we will have to follow this up with other action. This is a situation we cannot allow to continue

I have CC'd in BCC licensing and EHO as I am aware that there had been numerous noise complaints from the weekend, some of which support the intel that we have that the events went on past the times we were told by Tan.

Clearly any decision around what you do with the tenant is one for your client only.

I look forward to hearing back from you, in terms of the conditions we would request a response by Thursday of this week, with the application following by Friday

regards

**Abs Rohomon**

**PC 4075 Rohomon  
BW Licensing  
Police headquarters  
Lloyd House  
Colmore Circus  
Birmingham  
B4 6NQ**

**Internal : 801 1631  
External : 0121 626 6099**

**Mobile - [REDACTED]**

**Follow us on Twitter - @brumcopslicensing**

**West Midlands Police  
Tel. 101 Ext 8011627**

**Email: [a.rohomon@west-midlands.pnn.police.uk](mailto:a.rohomon@west-midlands.pnn.police.uk)**

**Website: [www.west-midlands.police.uk](http://www.west-midlands.police.uk)**

**Twitter: [www.twitter.com/brumpolice](http://www.twitter.com/brumpolice)**

**Facebook: [www.facebook.com/westmidlandspolice](http://www.facebook.com/westmidlandspolice)**

**YouTube: [www.youtube.com/westmidlandspolice](http://www.youtube.com/westmidlandspolice)**

**Vision statement - Serving our communities, protecting them from harm**

- There will be no licensable activity within the function /conference room after 23.30hrs
- The volume of any regulated entertainment will be reduced at 23.00hrs to 'back-ground' music level.
- The function room will be clear of all customers no later than 00.00hrs
- When the function room has been hired out / booked the premises will take the booking using the Premises Licence Holder approved booking form. The booking will include photographic ID. If photographic ID is not available then a copy of a utility bill no less than 3 months old will be acceptable.
- All bookings in the function/conference room (known as the old Whacky Warehouse) to be signed off by the premise licence holder.
- Last entry to the main premises on Friday, Saturday, Sunday and Monday of each statutory Bank holiday weekend and on the Thursday preceding Good Friday last entry to the premises will be 23.30hrs
- Regulated entertainment in the main premises to terminate at 23.30 hours. Retail sale of alcohol to remain as current licence.
- The premises will install / update a CCTV system to the specifications and recommendations of West Midlands Police. CCTV will record for a minimum of 28 days, display the correct time and date stamp and be downloadable.
- CCTV will be made immediately available to any of the responsible authorities on request. There will be a member of staff on duty who can operate the CCTV system.
- The CCTV to be checked to ensure it is recording daily and documented in management book. The DPS to sign this off and check every week.
- Where door staff are used then they must be supplied by an SIA (Approved contractor scheme ACS) supplier.
- There premises will employ a minimum of 2 doors staff on Friday, Saturday, every Sunday and Monday of each statutory Bank holiday weekend and on the Thursday preceding Good Friday. From 20.00hrs until all customers have dispersed from the premises.
- The premises will risk assess the need for extra door staff and different start times depending on any event being held at the premises or function room. These risk assessments will be made immediately available to any of the responsible authorities on request.
- All door staff will sign on and off duty. The premises will keep a profile for each of the door staff deployed at the premises. This profile will include a copy of their SIA badge and photographic ID. If photographic ID is not available then a copy of a utility bill no less than 3 months old will be acceptable. The profiles and signing on & off sheet will be made

immediately available to any of the responsible authorities on request. They will be kept on the premises for a minimum of 3 months

- The premises to have a carbonated and numbered incident book, to record all incidents irrelevant if any of the emergency services are called
- Incident book to be made available immediately on request, to any responsible authority
- Premises to have a documented vulnerability policy, to which all staff are trained (documented) and refreshed every 6 months. This policy as a minimum should look at the methods needed to protect people who become vulnerable through being at the premises.

19th April

Staff on the Night:

A [REDACTED] B [REDACTED]

L [REDACTED] S [REDACTED]

S [REDACTED] S [REDACTED]

V [REDACTED] G [REDACTED]

H [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

V [REDACTED] M [REDACTED]

18/02/2019

Date: 1/17/05 (2017)

Name	SIA Badge No.	Expiry Date	Time On	Time Off	Signature
M. L. J.	1963148510	927760	1430	01:30	M. L. J.
<del>C. B. J.</del>	10118574623	961216/122128	01:30		<del>C. B. J.</del>
M. L. J.	1965146510	927760	01:30		M. L. J.
S. A.	101702374610	927760	01:30		S. A.



18/5/2019

Reedbank: 18/05/19  
Time: 12:45  
Emergency Police.

While the club a minor  
accident took place  
between two friends  
the accident was dealt with  
quickly by the security  
guards of the club.  
The fight was about 15 min.  
The guys were separated,  
they left.

Michael push him

---



## **Abdool Rohomon**

---

**From:** Abdool Rohomon  
**Sent:** 03 June 2019 14:44  
**To:** [REDACTED] 'Matthew Phipps'  
**Subject:** Door staff MLJ

Dear Tan,

Could you also provide a copy of the SIA badge for MLJ as the number provided on the signing in sheet does not exist on the SIA website and we are getting no hits on the name either

If you could send this through to me please

regards

**Abs Rohomon**

**PC 4075 Rohomon  
BW Licensing  
Police headquarters  
Lloyd House  
Colmore Circus  
Birmingham  
B4 6NQ**

**Internal : 801 1631  
External : 0121 626 6099**

**Follow us on Twitter - @brumcopslicensing**

**West Midlands Police  
Tel. 101 Ext 8011627**

**Email:** [a.rohomon@west-midlands.pnn.police.uk](mailto:a.rohomon@west-midlands.pnn.police.uk)  
**Website:** [www.west-midlands.police.uk](http://www.west-midlands.police.uk)  
**Twitter:** [www.twitter.com/brumpolice](http://www.twitter.com/brumpolice)  
**Facebook:** [www.facebook.com/westmidlandspolice](http://www.facebook.com/westmidlandspolice)  
**YouTube:** [www.youtube.com/westmidlandspolice](http://www.youtube.com/westmidlandspolice)

**Vision statement - Serving our communities, protecting them from harm**

## Abdool Rohomon

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 10 June 2019 20:09  
**To:** Abdool Rohomon

Hi Abdool,

Tyson has just got back to me today with the badge details.

Here the badges for that weekend.

1012 6564 3171 [REDACTED] - L. Hall  
1016 6404 5664 [REDACTED] - M. Abubakar  
1019 0796 6915 [REDACTED] - J. Bell  
1019 3259 9683 [REDACTED] - J. Singh  
1011 8574 6239 [REDACTED] - L. Bell

Thanking You

Tan Handa

This e-mail is confidential and intended solely for the individual or party to whom it is addressed. Any use of its contents by anyone other than the intended recipient/s may be a breach of civil or criminal law

---

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.  
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

---

## **Abdool Rohomon**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 27 June 2019 08:49  
**To:** Abdool Rohomon  
**Subject:** Re: FW: Attached Image

Dear Abdool,

Our time sheets are for each day so I'm unsure why there is an extra name on there twice.

I understand your concerns and I'm happy to speak with the SIA on this matter.

Kind regards,  
D J H

On Jun 27, 2019 at 8:23 am, <[Abdool Rohomon](#)> wrote:

Dexter,

I find this somewhat disconcerting that a person is on your signing in sheet who is clearly not a door supervisor and was the person that signed the incident register and now you are saying you do not know who he is, if there was a supervisor or manager on duty as you seem to be implying then they should have done the incident register. This also does not appear to be the fact as there are only 2 members of staff in the premises who appear to be door staff and only one who is wearing a SIA badge.

I will be referring this matter to the SIA to investigate as I believe you are supplying unlicensed door staff.

**Abs Rohomon**

**PC 4075 Rohomon**

**BW Licensing**

**Police headquarters**

**Lloyd House**

**Colmore Circus**

**Birmingham**

**B4 6NQ**

**Internal : 801 1631**

**External : 0121 626 6099**

**Follow us on Twitter - @brumcopslicensing**

**West Midlands Police**

**Tel. 101 Ext 8011627**

**Email: [a.rohomon@west-midlands.pnn.police.uk](mailto:a.rohomon@west-midlands.pnn.police.uk)**

**Website: [www.west-midlands.police.uk](http://www.west-midlands.police.uk)**

**Twitter: [www.twitter.com/brumpolice](https://www.twitter.com/brumpolice)**

**Facebook: [www.facebook.com/westmidlandspolice](https://www.facebook.com/westmidlandspolice)**

**YouTube: [www.youtube.com/westmidlandspolice](https://www.youtube.com/westmidlandspolice)**

**Vision statement - Serving our communities, protecting them from harm**

**From:** Dexter Harris [mailto:[dex.operations@tlgmanagement.co.uk](mailto:dex.operations@tlgmanagement.co.uk)]

**Sent:** 26 June 2019 21:51

**To:** Abdool Rohomon

**Subject:** Re: FW: Attached Image

Dear Abdool,

I have checked through our records and it appears that we only sent two guards on that day. (Our requirements are only for two operatives. However sometimes a manager or supervisor may be on duty along with the guards and we have). Bell and Ahmed are part of the TLG team and I'm not sure who M L J is.

Kind regards,

D J Harris

On Mon, Jun 24, 2019 at 3:10 PM Dexter Harris <[dex.operations@tlgmanagement.co.uk](mailto:dex.operations@tlgmanagement.co.uk)> wrote:

Oh yes we are on the same date now. I will look through our records and get back to you asap.

Kind regards,

Dexter J H

On Mon, Jun 24, 2019 at 2:17 PM Abdool Rohomon <[a.rohomon@west-midlands.pnn.police.uk](mailto:a.rohomon@west-midlands.pnn.police.uk)> wrote:

Dexter;

This is the time sheet we took a copy of, and the one we have asked for detail from. You can clearly see this not 5 persons on it. We are interested in entries 1 and 3 which we believe are from the same person, they are marked MLJ.

I look forward to seeing the badge and badge number

**Abs Rohomon**

**PC 4075 Rohomon**

**BW Licensing**

**Police headquarters**

**Lloyd House**

**Colmore Circus**

**Birmingham**

**B4 6NQ**

**Internal : 801 1631**

**External : 0121 626 6099**

**Follow us on Twitter - @brumcopslicensing**

**West Midlands Police**

**Tel. 101 Ext 8011627**

**Email: [a.rohomon@west-midlands.pnn.police.uk](mailto:a.rohomon@west-midlands.pnn.police.uk)**

**Website: [www.west-midlands.police.uk](http://www.west-midlands.police.uk)**

**Twitter: [www.twitter.com/brumpolice](https://www.twitter.com/brumpolice)**

**Facebook: [www.facebook.com/westmidlandspolice](https://www.facebook.com/westmidlandspolice)**

**YouTube: [www.youtube.com/westmidlandspolice](https://www.youtube.com/westmidlandspolice)**


**Vision statement - Serving our communities, protecting them from harm**

**From:** "Abdool Rohomon" [mailto: [REDACTED]]  
**Sent:** 24 June 2019 14:08  
**To:** Abdool Rohomon  
**Subject:** Attached Image

This email is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged or confidential information. If received in error, please notify the originator immediately. Any unauthorised use, disclosure, copying or alteration of this email is strictly forbidden. Views or opinions expressed in this email do not necessarily represent those of West Midlands Police. All West Midlands Police email activity is monitored for virus, racist, obscene, or otherwise inappropriate activity. No responsibility is accepted by West Midlands Police for any loss or damage arising in any way from the receipt or use of this email.


--  
[REDACTED]  
Sales & Recruitment Operations  
[www.tlgmanagement.co.uk](http://www.tlgmanagement.co.uk)  
Work Mobile - [REDACTED]  
Head Office - [REDACTED]  
Recruitment & Operations

Member of Chamber of Commerce  
TLG Events - Security - Facilities - Traffic management & Catering



--  
[REDACTED]  
Sales & Recruitment Operations  
[www.tlgmanagement.co.uk](http://www.tlgmanagement.co.uk)  
Work Mobile - [REDACTED]  
Head Office - [REDACTED]  
Recruitment & Operations

Member of Chamber of Commerce  
TLG Events - Security - Facilities - Traffic management & Catering



## Abdool Rohomon

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 28 June 2019 15:02  
**To:** Abdool Rohomon  
**Subject:** Sis badge for guard

Hi Abdool,

I have finally received the badge and details of the guard that was present on the day. Apologies for any delay.



019 0435 3961 6012

LICEN

Security Industry Authority

MAY 2022

MANNO

07 05

Thanking You

Tan Handa

Office Suite 1  
1214 Stratford Road  
Hall Green  
Birmingham  
B28 9BH  
UK

TEL: [REDACTED]



This e-mail is confidential and intended solely for the individual or party to whom it is addressed. Any use of its contents by anyone other than the intended recipient/s may be a breach of civil or criminal law

**TONIGHT**

WHITE LINE ENTERTAINMENT  
IN CONJUNCTION WITH  
**TNT PROMO.**  
PRESENTS

**Promoted  
Linkup**

**20 YEARS ANNIVERSARY REUNION  
IN MEMORY OF ADAM**

FEATURING  
LIVE FROM USA

**NASHEEN  
FIVE**

**MAIN EVENT**

