OPTIONS APPRAISAL									
1. General Informati	on								
Directorate	Economy	Portfolio/Committee	Housing and Neighbourhood s						
Project Title	BMHT 10 year Development Pipeline	Project Code	(as per Voyager)						
Project Description	homes for both rent and sales. Trust, the Council's brand nar BMHT was set up by the Counchanges to the Housing Reversity Government made it financial homes for the first time in 30 y Since 2009, BMHT has developed become the biggest housing on the common the City each your The development of new home a number of reasons. Firstly, the growing need for additional homes economy through construction chain sectors. The development of new renter which assumes the development which assumes the development homes sold under the Right to rest of the housing stock, most These proposals are important the next 10 years, providing a when, together with balance of the proposals for the first 5 years will need to take into account externally through a variety of to review and this approach we ensures that the financial rescallocated to a greater degree.	oped over 3000 homes for sale developer in the City, providing a ear. The sets by the Council through BMH the development of new homes omes in the city and provides a set related activity in the employment of 300 new rented homes end to offset to some degree the into Buy, but also to maintain the act of which is 60+ years old. In the setting out the details of the analysis on which sites will be off homes for rent and sale on eaters are more certain than the latthe ability to acquire land either interest. The proposals will ther will allow for a greater degree of ources for the development progress.	I homes. The stem made by the build new council and rent and has around 25% of all T is important for meets the stimulus to the lent and supply A business plan very years. These acome lost from sset value of the exprogramme for expression developed and ach site. Clearly st 5 years and internally or efore be subject certainty and gramme can be						
Links to Corporate and Service Outcomes	Directorate outcomes including		orate and						
	Leaders Policy statenCouncil Business Pla								
		n and Budget 2018+ count Business Plan 2018+							
	 Enjoy a high quality o rented homes 	f life – by providing high quality							
		Green City – by providing home sustainable homes level 4 and in							

	 spaces Succeed Economically – by providing employment opportunities for local people Housing Plan 2010 refresh Homelessness Strategy 2018
Project Benefits	The construction of the new homes set out in these proposals will provide new homes for growing city, will tackle inequality by providing a range of house types across the city and promote social cohesion across all communities. The construction industry will be stimulated through the Council's house building programme. The construction of affordable housing is important at time of increasing homelessness and waiting list. These new homes will provide households in housing need the opportunity for housing at social rent levels. This programme also provides outright sale homes and this will be used to cross subsidise the cost of the affordable housing but also contributes to housing growth across the city. The tenure and housing mix for each site is dependent upon the local housing market, on the majority of sites a minimum of 50% affordable housing for the council is provided with smaller sites this being 100%.
Project Deliverables	These proposals will see 2708 new homes constructed which will be for a mixture of rent and sale.
Procurement Implications	There are no specific procurement implications associated with these proposals, these will be reported at the FBC approval stage for each site. Sites are procured using a number of different procurement routes depending on the type of housing to be constructed and the nature and size of the site to be constructed and ensure that appropriate contractors tender for the scheme and provide competitive VFM tenders.
Taxation Implications	There are no specific taxation implications resulting from this options appraisal.
Accountable Body	Not applicable

Key Project Milesto	nes	Planned	l Delivery Dates
Approval of the PDD		Octobei	· 2018
Cabinet Report – Firs	t full business case for BMHT	April 20	19
programme 2019			
First schemes start o	n site	June 20	19
The concine care	T GREE	Julio 20	10
_			
Dependencies on			
other projects or	 Planning approvals to 	be obtained for the	sites outlined in
activities	these proposals		
	 Advertising loss of PO 	S	
	Availability of land for	housing developme	ent
	 Procurement of partner 	•	
	properties	no and market bare	01 11011
	Associated legal agree	omante to be ciano	4
	Associated legal agree	ements to be signer	J
A 1 1 1 1114			
Achievability	Birmingham City Council is an awa	ord winning dovoloper o	f mixed tenure
	residential housing developments t		
	Trust (BMHT).	anough birmingham w	amorpai modoling
	BMHT was set up by the Council ir		
	2009, BMHT has developed over 3		
	a proven track record on delivery a		
	builder in Birmingham, accounting annually in Birmingham	one quarter of all new i	nomes produced
Project Manager	Andrew Hood, Development	•	/ Directorate. T:
	303 7879. Andrew.Hood@Bi	rmingham.gov.uk	
Project	Parmjit Phipps, Head of City	Finance (HRA) / Te	el 303 4752
Accountant			
Project Sponsor	Waheed Nazir / Director - Inc	clusive Growth 464	1 7735
Proposed Project	Waheed Nazir / Director Inclu	usive Growth	
Board Members	Colette McCann / Head of Ho		nt (Interim)
,	Parmjit Phipps / Head of City		,
	i amini improvinced of Oity		
Finance Business	Dat	te of FBP Approva	nl
	Dai	COLLECT Applove	•
Partner (FBP)			
Other Mandatory Informa			
 Has project b 	udget been set up on Voyag	er?	Yes/no
 Issues and Ri 	sks updated (Please attach a co	opy to the PDD and	Yes/no
on Voyager)	·		

2. Options Appraisal Records

The following sections are evidence of the different options that have been considered in arriving at the proposed solution. All options should be documented individually.

Option 1	Setting a 10 years development plan of new homes for rent and sale through BMHT
Information Considered	Availability of Council owned land for development The need to support integrity of the HRA business Plan The track record of the Council in delivering new housing schemes Availability of resource, including potential resources from the HRA The rising demand for new homes of all tenures across the city.
Pros and Cons of	The advantages of using this approach is:
Option	The Council has the advantage on most of the sites of being the land owner, which allows for higher provision of affordable housing and for the scheme to be still financially viable
	These proposals will support the HRA Business Plan through the provision of new rented homes which will generate rental income for 60+ years.
	The Council will be in more control of the pace of development and quality of the design and build programme, and be in a position to ensure that development of these sites proceeds
	The Council will receive capital receipts from the outright sale properties
	The Council is able to demonstrate a proven track record of high quality new build properties through the BMHT programme and won many awards since its inception.
	Value for money will be gained through competitive procurement processes. In terms of outright sale homes, the approach is to examine the potential financial returns on individual sites. In the main sites in excess of 30 properties do not achieve the benefits of significant financial returns and is not therefore worthwhile. For sites in excess of this an analysis is undertaken to determine the relative returns obtained and whether the council should pursue outright sale homes either on a direct delivery development risk approach or a risk share model with the developer funding the outright sale homes.
	What are the Disadvantages/negative aspects of this approach are:
	Initial investment will be required from Birmingham city Council before any land receipts are generated.
People Consulted	Ward Councillors for the sites affected will be consulted as each site come forward for development
Recommendation	Proceed with this Option
Principal Reason for Decision	This option will ensure the development of 2708 new homes within the city and offers the best financial option for the council because the investment in new affordable homes for the Council enables the council to place families and in housing need and at risk of homelessness into this accommodation and then receive a revenue stream from the rents received for 50 to 60 years and in the event of the right to buy the council will receive a capital receipt from the sale which can then be reinvested into future affordable housing.

Option 2	Land Disposal: This option would involve the council selling the land on the
5 p 2	open market for the development of housing – on a site by site basis
Information	Availability of Council owned land for development
Considered	The need to support integrity of the HRA business Plan
	The track record of the Council in delivering new housing schemes
	Availability of resource, including potential resources from the HRA
	The rising demand for new homes of all tenures across the city.
Pros and Cons of	What were the advantages;
Option	The council will receive a one off capital receipt for each site
	What are the Disadvantages:
	The Council will have no control over the pace of development
	Developers will seek to maximise profits at the expense of affordable housing provision
	No new Council properties would be provided to contribute to objectives of the HRA Business plan 2018+
	Many of the proposed development sites are relatively small and unviable from a commercial perspective
People Consulted	N/A
Recommendation	This option is not recommended
Principal Reason for Decision	New Council properties will not be constructed under this option. Selling land on the open market would have limited control over the quality and timing of new build delivery

Option 3	Disposal of land to Registered Social Landlords
Information Considered	Availability of Council owned land for development The need to support integrity of the HRA business Plan The track record of the Council in delivering new housing schemes Availability of resource, including potential resources from the HRA The rising demand for new homes of all tenures across the city.
Pros and Cons of Option	What were the advantages of this option: New affordable housing would be developed, though this is likely to be offered at higher rent levels than those levied by the Council The Council would have nomination rights to the new properties What are the Disadvantages: Registered Social Landlords typically require discounted or free land from the Council for development of affordable housing resulting in a low level or no receipt to the Council.

	Many of the sites under this proposal would be too small and unattractive to RSLs There would be no new Council properties to contribute to the objectives of the HRA Business Plan 2018+ The Council would have less control over build quality, number of new homes and timing of the development.
People Consulted	N/A
Recommendation	Not Recommended
Principal Reason for Decision	Financially this option is less advantageous to the Council and the lack of control of delivery would mean that there would not certainty that the new homes would be delivered within the 10 year period,.

[Add further options as required]

		Options		Weighting	Weighted Score			
	1	2	3		1	2	3	
Criteria								
Total Capital Cost	1	10	10	10	10	100	100	
Upfront Revenue Cost	5	7	8	10	50	70	80	
Ongoing Full Year Revenue	10	5	1	10	100	50	10	
Consequences								
Capital Receipts	5	10	1	10	50	100	10	
Quality Evaluation Criteria e.gs								
1)Meeting Service Requirements	10	3	3	20	200	60	60	
2)Contributing to Priorities	10	3	5	20	200	60	100	
3)Deliverability	10	3	2	20	200	60	40	
-								
Total				100%	810	500	400	

4. Option Recommended	Option 1 is recommended

	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27	27/28	28/29	Total
Capital Account	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Total
	£m	£m									
Total Development Costs	3	20	26	37	12	16	22	17	20	28	201
Financing from Capital Receipts / RTB 1-4-1 Receipts / Affordable Housing S106 / General RTB Receipts / Revenue Contrrbutions from Wider HRA	(3)	(20)	(26)	(37)	(12)	(16)	(22)	(17)	(20)	(28)	(201)
Capital Account (Surplus) / Deficit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Social Rent	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27	27/28	28/29	
Properties	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Total
Pool Farm	0	0	10	40	40	40	0	0	0	0	130
Ward End Park Road	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stockfield Road	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
Highfield Lane	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hallmoor Road / Gressel Lane	0	0	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
Mod Pods	0	26	26	26	26	26	26	0	0	0	156
Highgate Road	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
Long Nuke Road	0	10	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
Lyndhurst Final Phase	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Beech and Alfred	0	0	10	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	34
Kestrel Avenue	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Kings Norton	0	0	5	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
Bromford Estate sites	0	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50
Commonwealth Games village	0	0	0	58	0	0	0	0	0	0	58
Additional New Build	0	0	1	16	24	24	104	95	95	150	509
Total	4	101	127	187	90	90	130	95	95	150	1,069

O. L. Dana artica	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27	27/28	28/29	T-4-1
Sale Properties	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Total
Pool Farm	0	0	10	40	40	40	0	0	0	0	130
Ward End Park Road	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stockfield Road	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Highfield Lane	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
Hallmoor Road / Gressel Lane	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mod Pods	0	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	26
Highgate Road	0	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
Long Nuke Road	0	10	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45
Lyndhurst Final Phase	0	15	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Beech and Alfred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kestrel Avenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kings Norton	0	0	5	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
Bromford Estate sites	0	10	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
Commonwealth Games village	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Additional New Build	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	50	83	63	40	66	0	0	0	0	302

6. Project Development Requirements/Information		
Products required to produce Full Business Case	Full business cases will be brought forward for individual schemes which will include: Detailed financial costings Detail scheme designs Details of specific scheme consultation. Value for money assessments Details of project procurement	
Estimated time to complete project development	Specific schemes will be taken forward over the 10 year period covered by these proposals up to 2029.	
Estimated cost to complete project development	In the main internal staffing resources will be used to develop the full business cases of specific sites, the additional costs being made up of design and project management to provide detailed design and cost information.	
Funding of development costs	The pre contract costs are already funded within the HRA business plan	

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	FBCs will go for approval from Technical Completion	for 2029
---	---	----------