

[illegible]



RESTRICTED

# WEST MIDLANDS POLICE OFFENCE REPORT

Page 1 of 2

"RESOLVED"

Offence No. [REDACTED]

## OFFENCE DETAIL

**Offence Code** OF61102      **Description** WOU 47 ASSAULT OCCASIONING ABH  
**Offence Times** Between 17:00 hours Sun 19th Jan 2020  
and 17:15 hours Sun 19th Jan 2020  
**Main File Incident**  
**Location** BROAD NEWS, 83, BROADSTONE ROAD,  
STECHFORD, BIRMINGHAM, WEST MIDLANDS B26 2BY  
**Grid Ref** 414207286417  
**Neighbourhood** BEHD BE STECHFORD AND YARDLEY NORTH      **Sector** YARDLEY

## REPORTING DETAIL

**Reported Time** 17:15 hours Sun 19th Jan 2020  
**How Reported** Other

**Received By** PC 22486 GILBERT

## VICTIM DETAILS

**Nominal Ref.** [REDACTED]      **VICT**  
**Name** [REDACTED]  
**Maiden Name**  
**Address** [REDACTED]  
**Home** [REDACTED], WEST MIDLANDS B33 8RY  
**Home Tel.** [REDACTED]      **Work Tel.**  
**Mobile Tel.** [REDACTED]  
**Sex** Male      **D.O.B.** [REDACTED]      **Age** 29 Years  
**Place of Birth** PAKISATAN  
**Marital Status**      **Ethnic Appearance** ASIAN  
**Occupation**  
**Injury Code** SLIGHT  
**Description** 2 FAINT NAIL MARKS ON LEFT THUMB

## MODUS OPERANDI & PROPERTY

OASIS LOG 1783/19/01/2020

OFFENDER ENTERED THE SHOP ASKING FOR SOME ALCOHOL ON HIS TAB. IP HAS REFUSED TO GIVE HIM ANYMORE BEER UNTIL HE PAID HIS TAB UP. OFFENDER GRABBED THE IP BY HIS HAND TRYING TO PULL HIM OVER THE COUNTER AND CAUSING 2 SMALL NAIL MARKS TO HIS LEFT THUMB. OFFENDER HAS THE LEFT THE SHOP GOT INTO HIS VEHICLE AND DRIVE OFF IN IN UNKNOWN DIRECTION MAKING GOOD HIS ESCAPE

## ALL OTHER ROLES NOT PREVIOUSLY LISTED

**Type** INPT      **Name** CIV 53796 BROWN  
**Nominal Ref** 3223415M  
**Reason**

**Date** : 05-MAY-20 14:51:47      **Oracle User**: PORTAL\_USER

①



RESTRICTED

# WEST MIDLANDS POLICE OFFENCE REPORT

Page 2 of 2

"RESOLVED"

Offence No. [REDACTED]

Type **SUSP**

Name [REDACTED]

D.O.B. [REDACTED]

Nominal Ref [REDACTED]

Ethnic Appearance **ASIAN**

Reason

\*\*\*End of Report \*\*\*





RESTRICTED

## WEST MIDLANDS POLICE

Page 1 of 6

### INVESTIGATION LOG

"DETECTED"

Offence / Incident No. [REDACTED]

#### OFFENCE / INCIDENT DETAILS

Status	VALIDATED
Offence Code	OF61102
Offence Address	WOU 47 ASSAULT OCCASIONING ABH BROAD NEWS, 83, BROADSTONE ROAD, STECHFORD, BIRMINGHAM, WEST MIDLANDS B26 2BY
Committed	19/01/2020 17:00:0019/01/2020 17:15:00
Neighbourhood	BE STECHFORD AND YARDLEY NORTH

#### Investigation Log

GRAHAM\_22633 CONTACTED [REDACTED] ON 19 JAN 2020 AT 18:45:18 BY TEXT MESSAGE TO NUMBER [REDACTED]

GENERAL LOG ENTERED BY GRAHAM\_22633, ON 19 JAN 2020 AT 18:45:34:  
OASIS LOG NO 1783 OF 19/01/2020

OFFENCE LOCATION 1 BROAD NEWS, 83 BROADSTONE ROAD, STECHFORD

VICTIM DETAILS 1 [REDACTED]

OFFENDER DETAILS 1 [REDACTED]

CIRCUMSTANCES 1 THE VICTIM IS THE STORE MANAGER OF BROADSTONE ROAD, WITH THE OFFENDER BEING A REGULAR CUSTOMER. THE OFFENDER HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN PROVIDED WITH CREDIT FROM THE STORE HOWEVER THE VICTIM HAS BEEN ADVISED BY THE STORE OWNER THAT THIS CAN NO LONGER BE DONE.

TODAY THE OFFENDER HAS GONE TO THE STORE AND HAS SELECTED FOUR CANS OF BEER FROM THE FRIDGE, HE HAS PLACED THEM ON THE COUNTER AND HAS SAID TO THE VICTIM TO GIVE THEM TO HIM ON CREDIT. THE VICTIM HAS ADVISED THE OFFENDER THAT HE IS NO LONGER ABLE TO DO THAT. THE OFFENDER HAS BECOME IMMEDIATELY AGGRESSIVE AND STARTED HOSUTING AND SWEARING AT THE VICTIM IN [REDACTED] STATING THINGS SUCH AS 1 MOTHER FUCKER 1. THE VICTIM HAS ASKED THE OFFENDER TO LEAVE HOWEVER HE HAS CONTINUED TO BE AGGRESSIVE AND HAS STATED IN ENGLISH 1 [REDACTED] E, I 1 M GONNA COME DOWN ON YOU, YOU 1 RE ALWAYS HERE 1. THE VICTIM HAS AGAIN TOLD THE OFFENDER TO LEAVE, THE OFFENDER HAS THEN LEANT OVER THE COUNTER OF THE STORE AND HAS GRABBED THE VICTIM BY THE LEFT ARM AND HAND, HE HAS DUG IN WITH HIS HAND CAUSING PAIN AND DISCOMFORT AND CAUSING A SMALL INDENTATION ON THE VICTIMS LEFT HAND. THE VICTIM HAS PULLED THEIR HAND AWAY FROM THE OFFENDER AND PRESSED THE PANIC BUTTON WHICH IS INSTALLED AT THE STORE WHICH HAS

Date: 05-MAY-20 14:52:58

Oracle User: ROHOMON\_4075

3



RESTRICTED

## WEST MIDLANDS POLICE

Page 2 of 6

### INVESTIGATION LOG

VALIDATED

OF61102

AUTOMATICALLY CONTACTED POLICE. THE VICTIM HAS GONE AROUND THE COUNTER AND BEGAN TO USHER THE OFFENDER OUT OF THE STORE, THE OFFENDER HAS CONTINUED TO SWEAR AT THE VICTIM. EVENTUALLY THE VICTIM HAS HAD TO USE REASONABLE FORCE AND PUSH THE OFFENDER OUT OF THE STORE. THE OFFENDER HAS THEN GOT INTO THE DRIVERS SIDE DOOR OF A BLACK HONDA [REDACTED] HE HAS WOUND THE WINDOW DOWN AND HAS CONTINUED TO SHOUT AT THE VICTIM BEFORE DRIVING OFF PRIOR TO POLICE ATTENDANCE. THE VICTIM HAS NOT FELT H.A.D BY THE OFFENDERS WORDS, HE IS ONLY CONCERNED FOR OTHER MEMBERS OF STAFF AND CUSTOMERS.

THE VICTIM ONLY KNEW THE OFFENDER'S NAME AS [REDACTED] A PNC CHECK CONDUCTED BY OFFICERS ON RETURN TO STECHFORD POLICE STATION HAS IDENTIFIED THE REGISTERED KEEPER AND INSURED PARTY AS [REDACTED] AND HE IS THEREFORE BELIEVED TO BE THE OFFENDER IN THIS MATTER. IT WAS EXPLAINED TO THE VICTIM THE ON-GOING PROCESS FOR THIS INVESTIGATION AND THAT CHECKS WOULD NEED TO BE CONDUCTED TO IDENTIFY THE OFFENDER WHO WOULD THEN NEED TO BE INTERVIEWED REGARDING THE MATTER. SUITABLE FOR VOLUNTARY INTERVIEW.

INJURIES & PAIN AND DISCOMFORT TO LEFT HAND. SMALL SKIN INDENTATION, NO LASTING INJURIES OR BREAKING OF SKIN

CCTV & AVAILABLE WITHIN THE STORE. VICTIM DOES NOT HAVE ACCESS BUT WILL OBTAIN CCTV AND DOWNLOAD READY FOR INVESTIGATING OFFICER

HOUSE TO HOUSE & N/A

GENERAL LOG ENTERED BY GRAHAM 22633, ON 19 JAN 2020 AT 18:45:43  
OTHER WITNESSES [REDACTED]





RESTRICTED

## WEST MIDLANDS POLICE

Page 3 of 6

### INVESTIGATION LOG

VALIDATED

OF61102

VOICE OF THE CHILD ¿ N/A

DASH ¿ N/A

SCENE ¿ N/A

MG11 TAKEN FROM VICTIM ¿ UPLOADED TO CRIMESCAN. VPS HAS BEEN EXPLAINED AND DEFERRED. VICTIM HAS HAPPY AND WILING TO ATTEND COURT AND SUPPORTS A PROSECUTION AT THIS TIME.

SAFEGUARDING MEASURES ¿ VICTIM HAS BEEN ADVISED TO CALL POLICE IMMEDIATELY IF OFFENDER RETURNS. ADVISED VICTIM TO INFORM ALL OTHER STAFF MEMBERS OF THE OFFENDER AND TO OFFER THEM THE SAME ADVICE

OTHER AGENCIES CONTACTED ¿ N/A

DISCLOSURE OF MEDICAL INFORMATION ¿ N/A

PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN ¿ PC 22486 GILBERT

CLOTHES SEIZED ¿ N/A

PROPERTY STOLEN/DAMAGED - NONE

FLINTS ¿ NO PREVIOUS INCIDENTS BETWEEN THESE TWO PARTIES

GENERAL LOG ENTERED BY BRIDGEWATER\_22084 - SUPERVISOR, ON 19 JAN 2020 AT 19:28:09:  
REPORT REVIEWED.

Date: 05-MAY-20 14:52:58

Oracle User: ROHOMON\_4075

5



RESTRICTED

## WEST MIDLANDS POLICE

Page 4 of 6

### INVESTIGATION LOG

VALIDATED

OF61102

IP STATEMENT OBTAINED.

OFFENDER IDENTIFIED. POSSIBLY SUITABLE FOR VOLUNTARY INTERVIEW.

PLEASE FORWARD TO FCID FOR PROGRESSION.

GENERAL LOG ENTERED BY MARSTON\_0970 - SUPERVISOR, ON 30 JAN 2020 AT 09:38:44:  
PLEASE MAKE CONTACT WITH THE VICTIM TO ENSURE HE IS SUPPORTIVE OF A  
PROSECUTION AND CHASE THE CCTV THAT COVERS THIS OFFENCE.

ARRANGE FOR VI WITH THE SUSPECT

WITNESS MG11 FOR CONSIDERATION AFTER SUSP HAS BEEN INTERVIEWED IF NEEDED.

DS MARSTON

GENERAL LOG ENTERED BY BAYLIS\_23073, ON 02 FEB 2020 AT 19:10:44:  
I HAVE SPOKEN TO THE IP IN THIS MATTER AND HE HAS INFORMED ME THAT THE OFFENDER  
HAS SINCE ATTENDED THE STORE AND APOLOGISED FOR ACTIONS AND THAT HE STATED  
THAT HE WOULD NOT BE RETURNING TO THE STORE AGAIN. THE IP ASKED ME ABOUT  
ISSUING THE SUSPECT WITH A FORMAL BANNING NOTICE, I INFORMED HIM THAT THE STORE  
WOULD HAVE TO ISSUE THIS THEMSELVES AND THAT THE POLICE WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO  
DO THIS FOR HIM, I TOLD HIM I COULD SEND THE SUSPECT A LETTER ADVISING HIM TO NOT  
ATTEND THE STORE IN FUTURE DO TO THIS INCIDENT.

THE IP STATED HE IS NO LONGER IS WISHING TO SUPPORT A PROSECUTION DUE TO THE  
OFFENDER APOLOGISING FOR HIS ACTIONS, THE IP IS HAPPY FOR THE OFFENDER TO BE  
OFFERED WORDS OF ADVISE AND FOR A LETTER BEING SENT TO THE OFFENDER ADVISING  
HIM NOT TO ATTEND THE STORE.

I WILL MAKE CONTACT WITH THE OFFENDER ISSUING HIM WORDS OF ADVICE AND SEND HIM  
A LETTER ADVISING HIM NOT TO ATTEND THE STORE.

Date: 05-MAY-20 14:52:58

Oracle User: ROHOMON\_4075

6





RESTRICTED

## WEST MIDLANDS POLICE

Page 5 of 6

### INVESTIGATION LOG

VALIDATED

OF61102

GENERAL LOG ENTERED BY BAYLIS\_23073, ON 02 FEB 2020 AT 21:54:12:  
I HAVE SPOKEN TO THE OFFENDER IN THIS MATTER WHO HAS DENIED ASSAULTING THE IP IN ANYWAY, THE OFFENDER STATED THAT IT WAS A VERBAL ARGUMENT ONLY BETWEEN HIMSELF AND THE IP DUE TO THE FACT THAT THE OFFENDER OWED OUTSTANDING MONEY ON HIS TAB FOR ALCOHOL. THE OFFENDER SAID THE IP PRESSED THE PANIC ALARM INSIDE OF THE STORE DURING THE ARGUMENT AND DEMANDED HIM TO LEAVE, THE OFFENDER SAID HE LEFT IN A PEACEFUL MANNER.

THE OFFENDER INFORMED ME THAT HE APOLOGISED TO THE IP FOR THE ARGUMENT A FEW DAYS AFTER THE INCIDENT AND TOLD THE IP THAT WILL SEND HIS SON TO THE STORE TO SETTLE UP THE £14 THAT HE OWES ON HIS TAB AND SAID THAT HE HIMSELF WILL NOT ATTEND THE STORE AGAIN IN FUTURE.

THE IP IS NOT WILLING TO SUPPORT A PROSECUTION AND IS HAPPY THAT THE OFFENDER APOLOGISED FOR HIS ACTIONS STATING THAT HE WILL SETTLE UP THE TAB IMMINETLY AND WILL NOT ATTEND THE STORE AGAIN. THERE IS NO CCTV OF THIS OFFENCE BECAUSE THE IP INFORMED ME THAT IT ONLY LASTS ON THE SYSTEM FOR THREE DAYS.

I STRONGLY ADVISED THE OFFENDER IN THIS MATTER TO NOT ATTEND THE STORE AGAIN IN CASE A FURTHER INCIDENT TAKES PLACE.

I RESPECTFULLY RECOMMEND THIS MATTER TO BE FILED.

ENTERED BY MARSTON\_0970, ON 03 FEB 2020 AT 07:48:40:  
STATUS OF SUSPECT ~~SHIRAZ AHMED~~ Male, CHANGED TO 'NO FURTHER ACTION'

GENERAL LOG ENTERED BY MARSTON\_0970 - SUPERVISOR, ON 03 FEB 2020 AT 08:28:48:  
I HAVE DISCUSSED THIS MATTER WITH THE OIC. THE VICTIM HAS BEEN SPOKEN TO AND IT APPEARS TAHT THS HAS BEEN SORTED OUT NOW. HE WOULD LIKE AN APOLOGY FROM THE SUPSETC.





RESTRICTED

## WEST MIDLANDS POLICE

Page 6 of 6

### INVESTIGATION LOG

VALIDATED

OF61102

THEREFORE I CONSIDERED A PCR. SUSPECT HAS BEEN SPOKEN TO HOWEVER DENIES AN ASSAULT, STATING THAT THE VICTIM WAS JUST AS MUCH.

AS HE HAS NOT ADMITTED THE OFFENCE A PCR IS NOT APPROPRIATE. I SEE LITTLE GAIN IN CONDUCTING A VI AS HIS STANCE WILL NOT CHANGE AND I DO NOT BELIEVE THERE IS SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO CONSIDER CHARGE.

DS 0970 MARSTON

ENTERED BY MARSTON\_0970, ON 03 FEB 2020 AT 08:28:58:

INVESTIGATION CLOSED

OUTCOME CODE OC15 ASSIGNED TO SUSPECT

AS PORTAL

\*\*\* End of Report \*\*\*



RESTRICTED

# WEST MIDLANDS POLICE

## OFFENCE REPORT

Page 1 of 2

"RESOLVED"

Offence No. [REDACTED]

### OFFENCE DETAIL

**Offence Code** CJ88001 **Description** COMMON ASSAULT  
**Offence Times** Between 09:30 hours Wed 13th Nov 2019  
and 09:43 hours Wed 13th Nov 2019  
**Main File Incident** [REDACTED]  
**Location** BROAD NEWS, 83, BROADSTONE ROAD,  
STECHFORD, BIRMINGHAM, WEST MIDLANDS B26 2BY  
**Grid Ref** 414207286417  
**Neighbourhood** BEHD BE STECHFORD AND YARDLEY NORTH **Sector** YARDLEY

### REPORTING DETAIL

**Reported Time** 09:43 hours Wed 13th Nov 2019  
**How Reported** EMERGENCY TELEPHONE CALL 999

**Received By** PC 7796 LIVINGSTON

### VICTIM DETAILS

**Nominal Ref.** [REDACTED] **VICT**  
**Name** [REDACTED]  
**Maiden Name** [REDACTED]  
**Address** [REDACTED]  
**Home** STECHFORD, BIRMINGHAM, WEST MIDLANDS [REDACTED]  
**Home Tel.** [REDACTED] **Work Tel.** [REDACTED]  
**Mobile Tel.** [REDACTED]  
**Sex** [REDACTED] **D.O.B.** [REDACTED] **Age** 29 Years  
**Place of Birth** [REDACTED]  
**Marital Status** [REDACTED] **Ethnic Appearance** ASIAN  
**Occupation** [REDACTED]  
**Injury Code** NONE  
**Description** [REDACTED]

### MODUS OPERANDI & PROPERTY

INCIDENT 628 OF THE 13/11/2019  
BMT OFFENDERS HAVE ENTERED THE SHOP TO SPEAK WITH THE STAFF MEMBER ABOUT SELLING ALCOHOL AND CIGARETTES TO UNDER AGE CHILDREN. THE STAFF MEMBER HAS CALLED THE MANAGER (IP) AND HIS WIFE TO ATTEND. AFTER THEIR ATTENDANCE IT IS ALLEGED THAT OFFENDERS HAVE PUNCHED HIM TO HIS CHEST CAUSING NO INJURY

### FURTHER INFORMATION

#### **Crime Interests**

PUBLIC PLACE VIOLENCE

### ALL OTHER ROLES NOT PREVIOUSLY LISTED

9





RESTRICTED

# WEST MIDLANDS POLICE OFFENCE REPORT

Page 2 of 2

"RESOLVED"

Offence No. 20BE/268276N/19

Type **INPT** Name CIV 52854 SPARROW  
Nominal Ref 1258511X  
Reason

Type **SUSP** Name [REDACTED] D.O.B. [REDACTED]  
Nominal Ref [REDACTED] Ethnic Appearance WHITE NORTH EU  
Reason

Type **SUSP** Name [REDACTED] D.O.B. [REDACTED]  
Nominal Ref [REDACTED] Ethnic Appearance WHITE NORTH EU  
Reason

\*\*\* End of Report \*\*\*

10





RESTRICTED

## WEST MIDLANDS POLICE

Page 1 of 7

### INVESTIGATION LOG

"DETECTED"

Offence / Incident No. 20BE/268276N/19

#### OFFENCE / INCIDENT DETAILS

Status	VALIDATED
Offence Code	CJ88001
Offence	COMMON ASSAULT
Address	BROAD NEWS, 83, BROADSTONE ROAD, STECHFORD, BIRMINGHAM, WEST MIDLANDS B26 2BY
Committed	13/11/2019 09:30:0013/11/2019 09:43:00
Neighbourhood	BE STECHFORD AND YARDLEY NORTH

#### Investigation Log

CRIMES SERVICE TEAM LOG ENTERED BY SPARROW\_52854, ON 13 NOV 2019 AT 12:11:16:  
INCIDENT 628 OF THE 13/11/2019 - LINKED TO 4 OTHER CRIME REPORTS.

GENERAL LOG ENTERED BY NORTON\_20192, ON 13 NOV 2019 AT 13:07:45:  
INITIAL POLICE ACTION  
¿ TIME AND DATE OF LOG ¿ INCIDENT 628 OF THE 13/11/2019 ¿ COUNTER ALLEGATION LOG  
640 OF 13/11/19  
¿ LOCATION OF INCIDENT: BROADSTONE NEWS, 83 BROADSTONE ROAD, STECHFORD

PERSONS INVOLVED ¿ BOTH VICTIMS AND WITNESSES:  
ALL INDIVIDUAL CRIMES LISTED NEXT TO EACH VICTIM AND MATCHED TOGETHER

20BE/268269U/19 ¿ COMMON ASSAULT

1. NAME: [REDACTED]
2. ADDRESS: [REDACTED]
3. CONTACT DETAILS: [REDACTED]

20BE/268282E/19 ¿ 47 ASSAULT

4. NAME: [REDACTED]
5. ADDRESS: [REDACTED]
6. CONTACT DETAILS: [REDACTED]

20BE/268276N/19 ¿ COMMON ASSAULT

7. NAME: [REDACTED]
8. ADDRESS: [REDACTED]
9. CONTACT DETAILS: [REDACTED]

Date: 05-MAY-20 14:54:22 Oracle User: ROHOMON\_4075







RESTRICTED

# WEST MIDLANDS POLICE

Page 2 of 7

## INVESTIGATION LOG

VALIDATED

CJ88001

20BE/268287W/19 : COMMON ASSAULT

1. NAME: [REDACTED]
2. ADDRESS: [REDACTED]
3. CONTACT DETAILS: [REDACTED]

4. NAME: [REDACTED]
5. ADDRESS: [REDACTED]
6. CONTACT DETAILS: [REDACTED]

20BE/268293M/19 : COMMON ASSAULT

- 1) [REDACTED] - [REDACTED]

### CIRCUMSTANCES:

#### 1. DETAILS OF INCIDENT

THE FIRST 999 CALL WAS FROM ONE OF THE IP'S AT BROADSTONE NEWS ([REDACTED]) STATING THAT THERE WAS A DISTURBANCE IN THE SHOP AND 3 PEOPLE HAVE BEEN ATTACKED ([REDACTED]).

THE SECOND 999 CALL WAS FROM [REDACTED] SHE STATING THAT SHE JUST BEEN ASSAULTED BY SHOP KEEPER, HOLDING HER BABY. THEY ARE NOW THREATENING TO DAMAGE HER CAR. [REDACTED] WILL MOVE HER CAR AS SHE IS WORRIED ABOUT IT.

Date: 05-MAY-20 14:54:22

Oracle User: ROHOMON\_4075

12





RESTRICTED

## WEST MIDLANDS POLICE

Page 3 of 7

### INVESTIGATION LOG

VALIDATED

CJ88001

GENERAL LOG ENTERED BY NORTON\_20192, ON 13 NOV 2019 AT 13:08:06:  
OFFICERS FIRST ATTENDED BROADSTONE NEWS, 83 BROADSTONE ROAD, STECHFORD. ALL 3 IP<sub>2</sub>S (████████████████████) WERE OUTSIDE THE SHOP AND VERY IRRATE. THEIR VERSION IS THAT ██████ WAS ON HER OWN SERVING IN THE SHOP WHEN THE TWO OFFENDERS (████████████████████) CAME IN AND REQUESTED TO SPEAK TO THE MANAGER ABOUT HIM SELLING ██████'S 15 YEAR OLD SON AND OTHER UNDERAGE CHILDREN ALCOHOL. THE OFFENDERS HAVE REFUSED TO LEAVE UNTIL THEY HAVE SPOKEN TO THE MALE IN CHARGE WHO IS RESPONSIBLE. ██████ HAS MADE A CALL AND THEN HER BROTHER AND SISTER IN LAW (████████████████████) HAVE TURNED UP. THE 2 OFFENDERS HAVE LAUNCHED AT ██████ AND IN THE PROCESS OF ██████ TRYING TO GET INBETWEEN THEM, SHE HAS BEEN PUNCHED TO THE LEFT SIDE OF HER FACE. ██████ STATES THAT SHE WAS HEADBUTTED, PUNCHED TO THE FACE AND DRAGGED ON THE FLOOR BY THE LARGER OF THE TWO OFFENDERS WHICH IS ██████. ALL IP<sub>2</sub>S STATED THAT THE TWO OFFENDERS WERE RACIALLY ABUSIVE TOWARDS THEM BUT DID NOT ELABORATE.

THERE IS GOOD CCTV COVERAGE IN AND OUT OF THIS SHOP AND WHEN OFFICERS ASKED ██████ WHO SAID HE IS THE MANAGER TO VIEW THE FOOTAGE, HE SAID THAT THE DOOR WAS LOCKED TO THE CCTV ROOM AND ONLY THE OWNER COULD OPERATE IT AND HE WAS AWAY FOR APPROX ONE WEEK. ██████ SAID THAT THERE WERE WITNESSES IN THE SHOP AT THE TIME OF THE INCIDENT THAT HE KNEW AND THEN WHEN ASKED ABOUT THESE WITNESSES IT CHANGED TO ONE PERSON WHO LIVES UP THE ROAD AND HE WOULD FIND OUT HER NUMBER AND PASS IT ON.

OFFICERS PHONED THE MOBILE THAT ██████ HAD CALLED 999 ON TO ASCERTAIN WHERE SHE WAS. SHE CONFIRMED THAT SHE WAS WAITING AT HER HOME ADDRESS FOR OFFICERS. UPON ATTENDANCE SHE WAS WITH HER SISTER ██████ AND HER SON ██████ AGED 18 MONTHS. ██████ STATED THAT SHE HAD FOUND SOME CANS OF BEER IN HER 15 YEAR OLD SONS ROOM AND QUESTIONED WHERE HE HAD BOUGHT IT FROM. HE TOLD HER BROADSTONE NEWS AND THIS WAS NOT THE FIRST TIME THAT THE SHOPKEEPER HAS SOLD UNDERAGE KIDS CIGARETTES AND ALCOHOL. ██████ SAID THAT SHE WOULD GO TO THE

Date: 05-MAY-20 14:54:22

Oracle User: ROHOMON\_4075

13





RESTRICTED

## WEST MIDLANDS POLICE

Page 4 of 7

### INVESTIGATION LOG

VALIDATED

CJ88001

SHOP WITH [REDACTED] TO QUESTION THE MANAGER AND SHOW HIM PHOTOS OF HER SON AND HIS MATES SO THAT HE WOULD KNOW THAT THEY ARE UNDERAGE AND NOT TO SERVE THEM.

[REDACTED] SAID THAT [REDACTED] WAS NOT TAKING ANY RESPONSIBILITY AND SHE DEMANDED TO SPEAK TO MANAGEMENT. [REDACTED] MADE A PHONE CALL AND [REDACTED] AND [REDACTED] STORMED INTO THE SHOP. [REDACTED] WAS VERY AGGRESSIVE AND LAUGHED AT [REDACTED] WHO WAS HOLDING HER SON IN HER ARMS. [REDACTED] HAS HIT THE CHILD TO HIS FACE. [REDACTED] HAS SEEN [REDACTED] HIT HER NEPHEW AND PUSHED HER AWAY. A SCUFFLE HAS THEN BROKEN OUT. THREATS TO DAMAGE [REDACTED]S CAR WERE MADE CAUSING THEM TO MOVE IT AND WAIT FOR POLICE AT HOME.

2. DETAILS OF INJURIES, WHERE TREATED AND BY WHOM  
ONLY PERSON WITH A VISIBLE INJURY IS [REDACTED] WHO HAD A GRAZE TO HER RIGHT KNEE AND ANKLE.

3. ACCOUNTS FROM WITNESSES.  
NONE PRESENT

ENQUIRIES COMPLETED.

1. IP STATEMENTS & NO STATEMENTS TAKEN AT THIS TIME. SHOP IP'S WERE LEFT AT LOCATION IN ORDER TO IDENTIFY AND SPEAK TO OFFENDERS/OTHER IP'S WHO HAD LEFT THE SCENE. ONCE IDENTIFIED AND COUNTER ALLEGATIONS HEARD IT BECAME APPARENT THAT THERE WOULD BE NOTHING GAINED BY TAKING STATEMENTS FROM [REDACTED]

Date: 05-MAY-20 14:54:22

Oracle User: ROHOMON\_4075

14



RESTRICTED

## WEST MIDLANDS POLICE

Page 5 of 7

### INVESTIGATION LOG

VALIDATED

CJ88001

AND [REDACTED] UNTIL CCTV COULD BE VIEWED AND ALLEGED INDEPENDENT WITNESS SPOKEN TO. ALLEGED OFFENDERS ARE ALSO IP<sub>2</sub>S WHO WOULD NEED TO BE DEALT WITH IN THE SAME WAY.

2. CCTV CHECKS COMPLETED, CCTV INSIDE AND OUTSIDE OF BROADSTONE NEWS. CONTACT [REDACTED] TO VIEW WHEN HE IS BACK FROM HOLIDAY IN ONE WEEK.

CCTV OUTSIDE CASPIAN PIZZA MAY CAPTURE THE FIGHT SPILLING OUT ONTO THE STREET. CASPIAN WAS CLOSED.

#### OUTSTANDING ACTIONS.

CCTV INSIDE SHOP IS THE ONLY REAL EVIDENCE THAT WILL DETERMINE IF THERE ARE ACTUAL IP<sub>2</sub>S WITH OFFENDERS WORTH PROSECUTING OR THIS IS AN AFFRAY WITH EQUAL BLAME.

GENERAL LOG ENTERED BY EVANS\_5659 - SUPERVISOR, ON 13 NOV 2019 AT 15:35:41:  
\*\*\* PLEASE CROSS REF 20BE/268282E/19, 20BE/268269U/19, 20BE/268276N/19, 20BE/268287W/19  
AND 20BE/268293M/19 \*\*\*

I HAVE REVIEWED THIS MATTER AND AM SATISFIED THAT A PROPORTIONATE PRIMARY INVESTIGATION HAS BEEN CONDUCTED.

IP STATEMENTS REMAIN OUTSTANDING AS THERE ARE NUMEROUS COUTNER ALLEGATIONS THAT CAN BE NEGATED BY WATCHING THE CCTV.

Date: 05-MAY-20 14:54:22 Oracle User: ROHOMON\_4075

(15)





RESTRICTED

## WEST MIDLANDS POLICE

Page 6 of 7

### INVESTIGATION LOG

VALIDATED

CJ88001

CCTV NOT AVAILABLE TO BE WATCHED UNTIL 1 WEEKS TIME

FOR ALLOCATION TO THE APPROPRIATE INVESTIGATION TEAM FOR REVIEW AND PROGRESSION

GENERAL LOG ENTERED BY PRASAD\_22959, ON 09 DEC 2019 AT 08:27:24:  
CALLED IP AND THEY HAVE STATED THAT THEY ARE THE MANAGER OF THE STORE. THE  
CCTV IS ONLY RETAINED FOR THREE DAYS AND THEREFORE IT IS NO LONGER AVAILABLE.

I HAVE INFORMED IP THAT I AM OIC AND WILL BE HANDLING THIS CASE.

ENTERED BY WEAVER\_20588, ON 29 FEB 2020 AT 07:53:56:  
STATUS OF SUSPECT [REDACTED], CHANGED TO 'NO FURTHER ACTION'

ENTERED BY WEAVER\_20588, ON 29 FEB 2020 AT 07:54:02:  
STATUS OF SUSPECT [REDACTED] Not Stated, CHANGED TO 'NO FURTHER ACTION'

ENTERED BY WEAVER\_20588, ON 29 FEB 2020 AT 07:57:45:  
INVESTIGATION CLOSED  
OUTCOME CODE OC15 ASSIGNED TO SUSPECT [REDACTED] FEMALE  
I HAVE DISCUSSED THIS CASE WITH THE OIC. THIS REPORT RELATES TO 5 CRIMES NUMBERS  
WHEREBY COUNTER ALLEGATIONS HAVE BEEN MADE REARDING AN ASSAULT INSIDE A  
SMALL CONVENIENCE STORE. IN ESSENCE, FAMILY MEMBERS HAVE ATTENDED THE SHOP  
TO RAISE CONCERNS ABOUT THE SHOP SELLING ALCOHOL TO UNDERAGE CHILDREN AND  
THE FAMILY WORKING IN THE SHOP HAVE BECOME INVOLVED IN AN ARGUEMENT.

NUMEROUS ASSAULTS HAVE BEEN CRIMED, YET THERE ARE NO INDEPENDANT WITNESSES  
AND THE CCTV WITHIN THE STORE IS NOT WORKING.

Date: 05-MAY-20 14:54:22

Oracle User: ROHOMON\_4075

16





RESTRICTED

## WEST MIDLANDS POLICE

Page 7 of 7

### INVESTIGATION LOG

VALIDATED

CJ88001

THIS MATTER IS THEREFORE ONE WORD AGAINST ANOTHER AND WILL THEREFORE BE DIFFULT TO PROVE.

THERE WERE CONCERNS OVER A CHILD BEING ASSAULTED, YET CHILDRENS SERVICES ARE INVOLVED.

TRADING STANDARDS ARE ALSO AWARE OF THE CONCERNS RE SELLING ALCOHOL TO UNDERAGE CHILDREN.

THIS MATTER WILL BE FILED.

THE OIC WILL UPDATE THE IP'S AS TO THIS AND THE REASONS WHY.

PRASAD\_22959 CONTACTED Mr [REDACTED] BY TELEPHONE CALL TO MOBILE NUMBER (0 [REDACTED]) Called IP and explained that the matter had been filed as there are no independent witnesses and no CCTV available.

\*\*\* End of Report \*\*\*



**BIRMINGHAM CITY COUNCIL**

**LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE - A**

**MONDAY 11 MAY 2020**

**YARDLEY CUT PRICE, 83 BROADSTONE ROAD, YARDLEY, BIRMINGHAM, B26 2BY**

That, having reviewed the premises licence held under the Licensing Act 2003 by Mr Abdullah Khan in respect of Yardley Cut Price, 83 Broadstone Road, Yardley, Birmingham B26 2BY, upon the application of the Chief Officer of Weights and Measures, this Sub-Committee hereby determines that the licence be revoked, in order to promote the prevention of crime and disorder, public safety, and protection of children from harm objectives in the Act.

The Sub-Committee's reasons for revoking the licence are due to concerns expressed by the responsible authorities, namely the Trading Standards department of the City Council, and West Midlands Police.

Trading Standards had submitted a Report to the Sub-Committee explaining that in November 2019, Trading Standards had received an allegation from a local resident which suggested that the shop had been selling alcohol to those under 18 years of age.

Trading Standards therefore visited the shop in the same month, in order to issue a Trader's Notice to advise the management that the underage sale of alcohol was an offence under the Licensing Act 2003, and also to put them on notice that a test purchase exercise (for underage alcohol sale) could be undertaken as a follow-up, to ensure that the licence holder was complying with his responsibilities under the legislation. Test purchasing, which is a regular part of Trading Standards' normal work, is carried out to check compliance with the law – namely whether or not a premises is prepared to sell alcohol to a teenager who is visibly under 18 years of age.

In February 2020 Trading Standards conducted a test purchasing exercise. They visited the Yardley Cut Price premises. On the day in question, two female volunteers were assisting the officers – one a 14 year old, and the other a 15 year old.

On entering the Yardley Cut Price premises, at approximately 11.25am, a Trading Standards officer stood in the shop and observed as the staff member behind the counter allowed the 15 year old volunteer to purchase a four-pack of "WKD", which is an alcopop-style pre-mixed vodka drink of 4% ABV, by selecting it from the shelf, taking it to the counter and paying for it.

The staff member behind the counter who permitted the sale did not ask the 15 year old to show some age-related ID; indeed the staff member did not even ask the

volunteer to state her age. These circumstances did not inspire confidence that the premises was properly managed, properly staffed, or capable of following the law. After leaving the shop with the volunteers, officers returned to the shop to inform the staff member that the underage sale was an offence, and that it would be reported. A further Trader's Notice was issued.

Given that the shop had proven itself incapable of handling sales of alcohol legally, despite being put on notice that a test purchase exercise could be carried out, the recommendation of Trading Standards was that the Sub-Committee should revoke the licence. This proposed course was supported by West Midlands Police, who addressed the Sub-Committee on the impact that underage sales of alcohol have on the licensing objectives.

The Sub-Committee found the information provided by the Police in the Report to be useful in explaining the effects of improper sales of alcohol on youngsters, their families and the emergency services. The Sub-Committee agreed with the Police's conclusion, namely that any licensed premises prepared to take such risks with the licensing objectives was failing to uphold the trust placed in them by the City Council.

The Sub-Committee then heard from the licence holder, through his legal representative. The Sub-Committee gave careful consideration to the licence holder's submissions, but was not remotely satisfied, given the evidence submitted by the two responsible authorities, that the premises was capable of proper operation.

The suggestion from the premises, namely that the appropriate course was to simply add some further conditions and impose a suspension of the licence, was not sufficient to ensure that the licensing objectives would be properly promoted. As the Police observed, conditions were already on the licence to cover proper operation. The issue was that poor management and a lack of responsibility (despite advance warning) were undermining the licensing objectives.

The Sub-Committee was of the view that it was impossible to have any confidence in the premises, given the management style which had been described by the responsible authorities. Accordingly the only course was to revoke the premises licence.

In reaching this decision, the Sub-Committee has given due consideration to the City Council's Statement of Licensing Policy, the Guidance issued under Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003 by the Secretary of State, the application for review, the written representations received and the submissions made at the hearing.

All parties are reminded that under the provisions contained within Schedule 5 to the Licensing Act 2003, there is the right of appeal against the decision of the Licensing Authority to the Magistrates' Court, such an appeal to be made within twenty-one days of the date of notification of the decision.

The determination of the Sub-Committee does not have effect until the end of the twenty-one day period for appealing against the decision or, if there is an appeal against the decision, until the appeal is heard.



## WITNESS STATEMENT

Criminal Procedure Rules, r 27.2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, s.5B

Crime No. URN Statement of Abdool Rohomon *bor*

Age if under 18 (if over 18 insert "over 18")

Occupation *Police Officer*

This statement (consisting of 3 page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature:

(witness) *at*Date *1/6/2020*Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded ☐ (supply witness details on rear)

I am the above named officer, currently a serving Police officer within West Midlands Police, I have nearly 29 years service as a Police officer based in Birmingham City.

For the last 18 or so years I have been a licensing officer for Birmingham. I hold numerous qualifications in this field and expertise.

In relation to the supporting documents submitted to West Midlands Police today (1st June 2020) for a licensing for the grant of a premise licence for 83 Broadstone Road, Birmingham, B26 2BY I wish to clarify the following.

In the statement from Adil Siddique, he indicates that I have said he went to the property. I have never spoken with Adil Siddique and in fact only spoken to Mr Awais Khan and Miss Ishrat Habib, I spoke to both of them when we attended the premises on Good Friday (10th April 2020).

In the statement from Abdullah Khan he states that he couldn't surrender the lease due to Covid 19, I find this difficult to believe.

I turn to the statement of Ishrat Habib, in which she says I did not introduce myself. This is simply untrue, I attended the premises with my colleague Chris Jones. We were both in plain clothes as we always do we produced our warrant/id cards and identified ourselves as Police licensing officers.

After identifying ourselves we asked if she was in charge to which we were told she wasn't and that it was someone called Mr Khan. We asked to see Mr Khan about the licence.

As we were waiting I asked Ishrat Habib who was authorising her to sell alcohol, as I saw her make a sale. She said that Mr Khan had done so, and then proceeded to show me where the premises licence was and also that she had passed a licensing qualification.

I did then ask her about Mr Mailvaganam and it is true I had difficulty pronouncing his name, but I had the

Signature *at*Signature witnessed by *bor*

03/2016

OFFICIAL – (when complete)

20

Crime No. URN 

Statement of Abdool Rohomon

attention of Ishrat Habib and she was very clear in her response that she did not know who this person was.

For Ishrat Habib to say we did not identify ourselves in untrue and that she wasn't paying attention again is untrue, why would she proceed to show me the premise licence and her new qualification which she got from a folder.

We were told Mr Khan was on his way, although the shop wasn't busy I was conscious that we were taking room up in a small shop and that social distancing measures had to be in place. I had a very quick look in one isle where the alcohol was and then said we would wait outside.

I do not recall any children in the premises, in fact it wasn't that busy, as we remained outside I saw a couple of people go into the shop no more. There wasn't heavy traffic noise, as at the time the roads were fairly quiet as the Covid 19 lockdown was being observed.

I only recall Ishrat Habib making one call in our presence to Mr Khan.

I also call in doubt the credibility of the statement of Ishrat Habib, following from our visit we checked all the details of all the persons involved and we found someone with the exact details has a criminal conviction for importing controlled goods into a foreign country for which that person received a sizeable sentence and was then deported out of that country back to the UK.

I even sent an email to the licensing authority, asking if they had received a personal licence application for Habib as we would look to object.

I would say that it took about 5-10 minutes for Mr Khan to attend the shop. When he came to the shop we were both still outside and I called his name and he came over to us, we were standing by our vehicle. As always I produced my warrant card and identified myself as a Police licensing officer.

I asked Mr Khan who the DPS was, and initially he told me the name of the person on the proposed application, when I asked him who the DPS was now as the shop was selling alcohol. Mr Khan mentioned Mr Mailvaganam, I asked him why the lady behind the till had not heard of Mr Mailvaganam and he could give no answer.

I asked if he could contact Mr Mailvaganam as I was not convinced there was an effective DPS in place, as the person behind the till had not even heard of him. Mr Khan stated he needed to go through Abdullah Khan, and he tried to call him several times but could not get through.

I did ask Mr Awais Khan what his relationship was with Abdullah Khan and he said to me that they worked in the shop. Mr Awais Khan stated he had been the manager at the shop for the last 3 years. I then asked Mr Khan if he had met Mr Mailvaganam, he said he had a couple of times, in the years he had been there

Signature 

Signature witnessed by .....

03/2016

OFFICIAL – (when complete)



Crime No. URN 

Statement of Abdool Rohomon

and that he mainly came to bring stock. I asked him if he had a contact number for Mr Mailvaganam, but was told he needed to go through Mr Abdullah Khan.

Mr Awais Khan then told us the Mr Mailvaganam lived in Manchester. At this point I stated to Mr Khan that I did not believe there was an effective DPS in place and as such until they could prove that they had authorisation from Mr Mailvaganam to sell alcohol under his name then they could be committing offences.

We went back into the premises and explained this to Ishrat Habib as well. In is interesting that during the trading standards review hearing that followed our visit, they produced such an authorisation which was in writing and claimed it was there at the premises, this was not the fact at the time we visited.

Mr Awais Khan was involved in the business when the failed test purchase took place, which resulted in the trading standards review application. In the supporting documentation for that we supplied evidence that Mr Awais Khan was involved, in the business at a higher level than he is claiming now.

He is described in a crime report on 19th Jan 2020 as being the store manager, this crime report relates to credit being given for alcohol, something that was then reported at the hearing as being just for milk. The crime report is clear that is states it was for alcohol and the value of £14. The victim (Mr Awais Khan) refuses to give more credit until the tab is paid off, this indicates a higher level of involvement in the operational side of the premises.

In November 2019 West midlands police received a 999 call from the premises, where it was alleged the family of a child had come into the shop to complain and then caused problems. In the MO of the crime report it indicates that the staff member had called the manager, who then becomes one of 5 victims of a crime. This manager is identified as Mr Awais Khan. None of the 5 victims we have recorded for this incident were Abdullah Khan. It shows that the first person the shop assistant called was not Abduulan Khan but Awais Khan, again indicating a higher level of involvement.

This is the date when we received complaints that the premises were selling alcohol and cigarettes to a child of 15 years.

The statements are trying to indicate tha the new proposed licence holders had less of an involvement in the premises than the evidence we have tends to show!.

Signature 

Signature witnessed by .....

03/2016

OFFICIAL – (when complete)

22

**WITNESS STATEMENT**

Crime Number:.....

**Criminal Procedure Rules, r 27.2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, s.5B**

URN

Statement of: Christopher Jones SS410Age if under 18: over 18 (if over 18 insert 'over 18') Occupation: Licensing Officer

This statement (consisting of 1 page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it, anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature: Chris Date: 01.06.20Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded ☐ (supply witness details on rear)

I am currently employed by West Midlands Police as a licensing officer.

On Friday 10<sup>th</sup> April 2020 I was on duty with my Colleague PC Rohomon when we visited Broad News 83 Broadstone Road. B26 2BY as the premises had submitted a licensing application.

On entering the premises there was a female behind the counter who I now know to be Ishrat Habib. PC Rohomon entered the premises first and introduced himself and me to the member of staff. With both showed her our warrant / ID cards as we were both in plain clothes and both wearing jeans and trainers with a casual top.

PC Rohomon spoke with Habib and asked if she was in charge of the shop at the time, she said she wasn't but would call him and he would come to the shop and speak with us. I now know to be Awais Khan

While we were waiting for the person in charge PC Rohomon asked Habib a question, asking who had authorised her to sell alcohol. She didn't really appear to fully understand the question but gave a name other than the DPS as shown on the current premises licence at the time.

PC Rohomon asked Habib if she knew the DPS as per the premises licence and showed her the name on a copy of the licence. She said she didn't know who that was.

While PC Rohomon was talking with Habib there were a couple of customers in the shop but when they approached the till the conversation stopped in order for Habib to serve the customer and then would restart. The shop door was open but there was none or very little noise coming from outside the premises. The premises itself did not seem very busy with customer footfall.

We decided to wait outside the premises for the male Habib had called as we didn't want to be inside the premises longer than necessary due to self-distancing COVID 19 regulations.

We waited outside for approximately 10 minutes when Khan arrived. He walked towards us after PC Rohomon had called his name, again we introduced ourselves as we had been standing by our car outside the shop.

PC Rohomon had a conversation with Khan regarding the licence application, how long he had been involved in the business and what was the relationship of the current DPS with the business. Khan stated that the DPS lived in Manchester and had only seen on a couple of occasions.

We went back into the shop with Khan and PC Rohomon told both Khan and Habib that if they continued to sell alcohol they may be committing an offence as he believed there was no effective DPS in place to authorise the sale of alcohol.