| Project Name: | Swanshurst School - Curtain Walling Replacement - Centre Building/ Centre Dance | Date HARI commenced: 16/03/23 |
|---------------------------|---|---|
| Stride Project Number: | 156016 | HARI Version at RIBA: Stage 2 / Stage 3 / Stage 4 / Stage 5 |
| Principal Designer: | Stride Treglow n | |
| Designer: (Architectural) | Stride Treglown - Clare Hassam | |
| Designer: (M&E): | Qoda - George Sigalas | |
| Designer: (Structural): | John Duddell Associates | |
| Designer: (): | | |

Instructions for use

This HARI Checklist is the key Stride Treglown CDM Regulations document for recording awareness of hazards and identifying risks on the project. The document is to be maintained as a 'living' document throughout all project stages from RIBA 2 to RIBA 5. At the conclusion of each stage, undertake a 'file save as' of the document in PDF format as an audit trail to demonstrate hazard and risk reduction over time. The checklist is to be completed by a 'Designer' as defined by the CDM Regulations. This will usually be the job architect or the job runner from another Stride Treglown design discipline. The form may be used to record a single discipline's input, e.g. from the architect, or it may be used to record the combined inputs from all the Designer disciplines, e.g. the architect, the structural engineer and the M&E Engineers. In the latter circumstance, take care to ensure each disciplines' inputs are clearly identified as belonging to them in order to avoid potential PII issues. The decision to create a combined checklist needs to be agreed by all the designers and taken at the inception of the HARI process. Work through the checklist from top to bottom completing the RAG list boxes and adding narrative where appropriate in the 'Designers' Mitigation / Control Notes' column.

Note that many items in **Section 1 - 'Hazards to be mitigated and** or controlled which can be anticipated during the Design Phase' will not apply to your project. In this case click on the 'N/A' choice in the RAG list drop-down menu box. Note that whilst it is tempting to 'hide' all the rows that are tagged as 'N/A' to make the checklist appear shorter, do not edit the checklist in this way because it is important to demonstrate in the audit trail that every potential hazard has been examined even it has subsequently been discounted. Where a more detailed record and audit trail of the Designer's mitigations and control measures is required than can be sensibly be entered into the text box for any given item, you are advised to complete a separate Design Risk Assessment form for that item.

In Section 2 - 'Hazards to be mitigated and/or controlled that occur in the Construction Phase' - record any opportunities for you as Designers to contribute to reducing risk for the workers constructing the building - you may be surprised how much influence have to mitigate hazards when you really think about how the building is to be constructed. Work through each of the hazards identified and try to envisage the effects of your Designer's specification, design and detailing choices on actual tradespersons and other workers. Where a more detailed record and audit trail of the Designer's mitigations and control measures is required than can be sensibly be entered into the text box for any given item, you are advised to complete a separate Design Risk Assessment form for that item.

Section 3 - Register of Residual Risks after Handover in the Use, Maintenance and Demolition Phases - is for creating a record of 'Residual Risks'. These are items that could not be completely eliminated but remain for the building users to manage. For example, a flat roof represents a 'fall from height' hazard but if a parapet or balustrade has been included, then the Residual Risk is a 'managed' and low risk one - a 'green' in the RAG list box. Note that the register of Residual Risks forms part of the information package which the CDM Regulations legally require to be recorded in the Health & Safety File at the end of the project.

Definitions

N/A

A 'hazard' is any source of potential damage, harm or adverse health effect on something or someone under certain conditions at work.

A 'risk' is the chance or probability that a person will be harmed or experience an adverse health effect if exposed to a hazard.

The hazards listed in the tables below are either intrinsic hazards which can cause harm in and of themselves - for example, asbestos or buried explosives - or they are hazards which may arise from a particular site feature, situation or circumstance - for example, proximity to a quayside with deep water or a site with high winds.

RED / AMBER / GREEN colour coding categories

RED...indicates a hazard which should be eliminated if at all possible due to it: a) generating a high risk and: b) the difficulty of mitigating and/or controlling this high risk

AMBER...indicates a hazard which still ideally should be eliminated but which is able to be mitigated and/or controlled to reduce the risk to an acceptable level

GREEN ...indicates a hazard which presents a low risk from the outset OR is a hazard previously of a higher category which has been mitigated or controlled

N/A on a white background...indicates a hazard which does not apply on this particular project

| ISSI | 10 | |
|------|----|--|

| 2000_ | | | | | | | |
|---------|-------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------|---------|--|--|
| Version | Reason for issue | Date | Issued to | Fro m | Checked | | |
| 1 | Stage 2 issue for information | 16.03.23 | | | | | |
| 2 | Stage 3 issue for information | 27.04.23 | | | | | |
| 3 | Pre-Construction Issue | 19.06.23 | Design Team | СН | AS | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Section 1 - Hazards to be mitigated and/or controlled which can be anticipated during the Design Phase

| Ref. | Hazard | General Notes & Prompts | Designer's Mitigation / Control Notes | RAG | Ref. |
|-------|--|---|--|------|------|
| | | SITE FEATURE HAZARDS - Refere | ence prefix 'SF' | | |
| SF0 1 | Proximity to an overground railway inc. embankments, cuttings and viaducts | The Office of Rail Regulation and Network Rail will need to be involved at the earliest opportunity as there are comprehensive rules for building close to or over railways. | No overground railway inc. embankments, cuttings and viaducts in close proximity to the building | N/A | SF01 |
| SF02 | Proximity to an underground railway / railway tunnel | The Office of Rail Regulation and Network Rail or alternatively another body such as Transport for London will need to be involved at the earliest opportunity as there are comprehensive rules for building close to or over railways | No underground railway or railway tunnel in close proximity to the building | N/A | SF02 |
| SF03 | Proximity to a motorway / trunk road inc. embankments, cuttings and viaducts | The Department for Transport may need to be involved at the earliest opportunity. | No motorway/ trunk road, inc. embankments, cuttings and viaducts in close proximity to the building | N/A | SF03 |
| SF04 | Proximity to other roads inc. embankments, cuttings and viaducts | The local or county authority may need to be involved at the earliest opportunity. | No other roads, embankments, cuttings or viaducts in close proximity to the building | N/A | SF04 |
| SF0 5 | Proximity to a road tunnel | The category of road carried using the tunnel will determine whether it is the Department for Transport or the local / county authority who is responsible. | No road tunnel in close proximity to the building | N/ A | SF05 |
| SF0 6 | Proximity to a canal inc. aqueducts, locks and tunnels | The Canal and River Trust will need to be involved at the earliest opportunity. Drowning is an ever present danger. Canals themselves may be relatively shallow but locks are particularly hazardous installations. | No canal, aquaducts, locks or tunnels in close proximity to the building | N/A | SF06 |
| SF0 7 | Proximity to a river | The Environment Agency and/or the Canal and River Trust may need to be involved at the earliest opportunity. Rivers are highly variable environments with tides or high rainfall creating the potential for flooding a site over a short period of time. Drowning is an ever-present danger. | | N/A | SF07 |
| SF08 | Proximity to a quayside or dock | Quays and docks have the potential to be dangerous places for a number of reasons including the fact they are adjacent to deep water and often have unguarded edges. Accordingly, drowning is an ever-present danger. There are also hazards arising from dockside installations/activities which can include moving cranes, container-handling equipment, railways and lorries. Ownership of quays and docks may fall under many different types of tenure including the Ministry of Defence, Associated British Ports, other public bodies or private owners. | No quayside or dock in close proximity to the building | N/ A | SF08 |
| SF0 9 | Proximity to a large bridge | There will be a variety of issues when working close to high bridges. Falling objects from the bridge is one possibility. Downdraughts is another. | No large bridge in close proximity to the building | N/A | SF09 |
| SF10 | Proximity to an airport / airfield | Airports and airfields have the potential to be dangerous places for a number of reasons. Particular issues for aviation include FOD (Flying Object Damage) to aircraft or caused by aircraft to the surrounding environment. Interference with avionic / communication equipment is also an issue to consider. Radars give off powerful electromagnetic radiation. Ownership of airports and airfields may fall under many different types of tenure including the Ministry of Defence, Civil Aviation Authority, other public hodies or private owners. | Construction traffic to be controlled and minimised where possible to avoid disruption to other road users accessing primary shool in contruction and demolition phase. Site access point and boundaries opposite entrance to be made secure with safe segregated pedestrian and cyclist access points provided. Operations/access times to be coordinated to mitigate disruptions to the schools operating times, including drop off and pick up. Security and safeguarding will be considered carefully and managed around the the shared site entrance and adjoining boundary. Placement and massing of the proposed building mitigates risk to pupils and staff during construction. | | SF10 |
| SF1 1 | Proximity to a hospital / health facility | Hospitals will require constant access for emergency vehicles. Noise from construction sites may present problems to inpatients. Similarly, dust from construction sites can adversely affect vulnerable patients. | | N/A | SF11 |

| SF1 2 | Proximity to a college / school | Schools in particular are vulnerable to compromises in road safety from construction sites. When working within schools, there will be security and safeguarding requirements for contractors to resolve. | Site access for deliveries to be from the rear gate off Billesley Tennis Centre access road, banksman to walk in front of the vehicle to the site. Site exit to be via the main drive where automatic gates will open on approach. Alternative access for deliveries/ waste collection not possible, construction traffic to be controlled and minimised where possible to avoid disruption to other road users accessing the school in the construction and demolition phase. Construction/ demolition work will commence during term time, although the bulk of the facade replacement will be taking place during the holiday period during which time there will be minimal presence of staff or pupils on site, construction will commence four weeks prior to the school closing. Contractor to provide traffic management and signage and to observe the schools requirements for contractor parking arrangements. Suggest the Contractor proposes a delivery plan to be discussed and agreed with the Client together with the compound arrangements for safe off loading. Security and safeguarding will be considered carefully around the movement of vehicles through the site. | | SF12 |
|-------|---|---|---|------|-------|
| SF13 | Proximity to a military establishment | Military establishments will have a range of health & safety issues for contractors working in or adjacent to them. Security is one of a number of 'high profile' issues. Some types of establishment may have a legacy of old unexploded ordnance buried in the ground. | No military establishments in close proximity to the site | N/ A | SF13 |
| SF1 4 | Proximity to high buildings | High buildings will produce high wind speeds at ground level due to downdrafts off the faces of the building. Objects may also fall or be deliberately thrown from high buildings. | No high buildings in close proximity to the site | N/A | SF14 |
| SF1 5 | Proximity of historic / listed buildings | There will be a variety of issues including maintaining support of relatively weak structures, the maintenance of historic assets and the presence of obsolete materials such as asbestos. | No historic or listed buildings in close proximity to the building | N/ A | SF15 |
| SF16 | Existing building(s) to be incorporated into the project | There will be a wide variety of issues including structural stability and obsolete materials such as asbestos, lead paints etc. | Riverside Type 3 Asbestos report ref. £237536 confirms ACMs are present. Chrysotile asbestos has been identified in the boarding to the rear of the glass façade, within the existing floor tiling/adhesive backing and the putty/ mastic to the window frames. The nature of the works requires the removal of the asbestos containing material to facilitate the stripping out of the facade, the contractor is to provide a method statement covering the proposed means of removal. Removal of ACMs to take place prior to works commencing on site and during school periods when no pupils or staff are present on site. Due to the nature of the works the condition of the existing structure is not visible, the curtain walling fabricators will need to survey the building and highlight any fragile areas or items requiring special attention once the structure is exposed. Structural details/ specification for remediation work required to the cantilevered floor slab to the staircore to be provided by the Structural Engineer. | | SF1 6 |
| SF1 7 | Unusual topography inc. cliffs / steep slopes / pits | Unusual topography will present a variety challenges. For example cliffs can present the danger of rockfalls and produce high windspeeds at ground level from downdraughts. | No unusual topography in close proximity to the building | N/ A | SF1 7 |
| SF1 8 | Existing building(s) to remain in use during the construction period. | There will be a variety of issues around the logistics of the building remaining in use including large numbers of pupils using the dining room facilities during the lunchtime periods and the food technology classroom being in use throughout the day. | The curtain walling refurbishment affects the rear elevations of Centre Building and all elevations to Centre Dance, partial possession of Centre building will restrict construction/demolition works to one half of the building whilst the remaining areas are still in use. Separate access is to be maintained for site personel for the first 4 weeks of the construction period and access for use by the school will not be permitted Discussion needed bewteen Contractor, fabricator and Client to agree sequence of work and measures to be put in place to prevent unauthorised access and maintain existing escape routes for the building users. | | SF18 |
| | Other hazard - designer to define | | | | SF1 9 |
| SF20 | Other hazard - designer to define | | | | SF2 0 |
| | | UTILITIES & SERVICES HAZARDS - R | <u> </u> | 1 | |
| US01 | Proximity to energy generating / transmission facilities | This may include renewable sources such as wind turbines and photovoltaic 'farms'. Wind turbines may produce interference to telecommunications transmitters. PV farms may create dazzling reflections of the sun. | No energy generating/transmission facilities in close proximity to the building. | N/ A | US01 |
| US02 | Overhead electricity lines | The National Grid governs the major high voltage transmission lines with regional power distribution companies governing installations running at lower voltages. Power lines generate electromagnetic fields although there is debate about how much effect they might have on health. Notwithstanding there may be interference to telecommunications systems from power lines. The ubiquitous hazard is electrocution | No overhead electricity lines in close proximity to the building | N/A | US02 |
| US03 | Underground electricity lines | Underground lines can also include major high voltage lines. | No underground electricity lines in close proximity to the building - not relevant to proposed refurbishment works | N/A | US03 |
| US04 | Underground gas pipelines | The National Grid governs the high pressure gas distribution network with regional gas distribution companies shipping gas to the final consumer. | No underground gas pipelines in close proximity to the building | N/A | US04 |

| US05 | Other underground pipelines | Other underground pipelines may carry a variety of products and may be | No underground pipelines in close proximity to the building - not relevant | | US05 |
|--------|--|---|---|--------|--------|
| | | in private ownership. There is still a network of 'Government' pipelines | | N/A | |
| | | which ships aviation fuel for both military and civil users. | | | 7700 |
| US06 | Proximity to telecommunications facilities | Electromagnetic interference may emanate from or be caused to telecommunications facilities such as radar and microwave transmitters. | No telecommunications facilities in close proximity to the building | N/A | US06 |
| US07 | Overhead telecommunication lines | Overhead telecommunications lines do not present a particular hazard in | No overhead telecommunication lines in close proximity to the building | | US07 |
| | | and of themselves as they run at low voltages however disruption to | | N/A | |
| | | networks can have serious 'knock-on effects', not necessarily in the | | 11, 11 | |
| US08 | Underground telecommunication lines | immediate vicinity of a construction site. The same strictures apply as with overhead lines. Lines may include highly | No underground telecommunication lines in close proximity to the building | | US08 |
| 0500 | Charles to the accommunication lines | secure installations such as international telecommunications fibre optic | Two underground delecommunication miles in close proximity to the building | | |
| | | lines or Ministry of Defence lines, damage to which can cause widespread | | N/A | |
| | | disruption. | | | |
| US09 | Underground water pipelines | Water supply is controlled by regional and local supply/distribution | No underground water pipelines in close proximity to the building - not relevant to proposed | | US09 |
| | | companies. Some companies include water and waste water (sewerage) | refurbishment works. | | |
| | | within their remit and some are water supply only. Disruption to water mains may create issues including flooding, damage to | | | |
| | | buildings/infrastructure and pollution. | | | |
| US10 | Underground drainage culverts / sewers / drains | Such pipelines are likely to present health hazards to those entering into or | Underground surface water sewer and underground foul sewer are located on the site, running east to | | US10 |
| | | working on them including the risk of contracting water-borne diseases. | west. Contractor to make allowance for protective measures to be put in place when access equipment | | |
| | | Drowning is also an ever-present risk in large/ deep tanks and chambers. | is in use in these areas. | | |
| US11 | Other hazard - designer to define | | placeholder | | US11 |
| | O ther hazard - designer to define | | placeholder | | US12 |
| US13 | Other hazard - designer to define | | placeholder | | US13 |
| | | OTHER SITE-WIDE HAZARDS - Refe | erence prefix 'OS' | | |
| O S0 1 | Presence of underground voids and other underground features | Undiscovered / uninvestigated voids can lead to sudden collapses in the | No underground voids or other underground features in close proximity to the building - not relevant to | | O S0 |
| | | ground, especially when new loadings are applied. These can include old | proposed refurbishment works. | N/A | |
| | | mine workings, drainage installations, air raid shelters and the like. | | | |
| O S0 2 | Land liable to flooding and inundation | Sites may include land adjacent to the sea, estuaries, rivers and lakes but | Site not liable to flooding and inundation. | NI / A | O S0 2 |
| | | also adjacent to docks, canals and the like. | | N/A | |
| O S0 3 | Poor ground in respect of structural bearing | | On a previous phase of curtain walling refurbishment an area of ground collapsed around an existing | | OS03 |
| | | site works generally. | drain location under the weight of the lifting machinery used for the curtain wallin. Contractor to be | | |
| | | | aware that poor ground around drain runs may be present, precautions to be taken to protect the surface finish and provide a stable base for lifting from. Structural Engineer to advise any further | | |
| | | | measures required. | | |
| O S0 4 | Possibility of buried explosives | These may include unexploded wartime bombs and mines as well as 'lost' | Not relevant to refurbishment works | | OS0 |
| | | caches of explosive materials such as shells, grenades and bullets. | | N/A | |
| O S0 5 | Contaminated land | 'Brownfield' sites, especially those in former industrial areas, may be | Not relevant to refurbishment works | | O S0 |
| | | heavily contaminated with a wide variety of polluting materials. Sites used | | N/A | |
| | | for motor trade purposes may have been polluted by petrol, diesel and oils. | | N/A | |
| 0.50.6 | | | Discountied Marco 2 Asharta and act of D2752C conformation ACMs are assessed. Charactic ashartacles | | 0.80 |
| O S0 6 | Contaminated existing structures inc. presence of asbestos | Crocidolite and amosite asbestos types were banned in 1985. Chrysotile asbestos use was only finally banned in construction in 2000. Always | Riverside Type 3 Asbestos report ref. J237536 confirms ACMs are present. Chrysotile asbestos has been identified in the boarding to the rear of the glass façade, within the existing floor tiling/adhesive | | USU |
| | | consider that asbestos may be present in buildings constructed before | backing and the putty/ mastic to the window frames. The contractor shall report immediately to the | | |
| | | 2000. Building owners have a legal duty to manage asbestos under The | contract administrator if any further suspected asbestos materials are discovered at a later date. Such | | |
| | | Control of Asbestos Regulations. | materials shall not be disturbed and safe methods of removal agreed with the contract administrator. | | |
| O S0 7 | Site subject to extreme weather events | These may include sites locally exposed to high winds or surge tides | Building not subject to extreme weather events | N/A | O S0 |
| | High ambient noise levels | High ambient noise levels from neighbouring site activities may cause | Site not exposed to loud ambient noise levels. | 11/ 6 | 0.80 |
| | | distraction to construction workers and prevent warning sounds being | | | 2 200 |
| | | heard, for example from vehicle/ plant horns. Sudden noises such as | | N/A | |
| | | caused by aircraft overflying the site at low level may also be | | | |
| O S0 9 | Hazards arising from the Client's existing site activities | problematical. Industrial clients in particular may have a whole range of processes being | Site not exposed to hazards arising from existing site activities. | | OS0 |
| 0 208 | Tiazatus atising nom die Chemis existing site activities | undertaken in their properties producing, heat, fumes, flames, gases and | one novexposed w nazards arising nom existing site activities. | N/A | 0.50 |
| | | ash together with liquid toxic wastes | | , - | |
| O S1 0 | Potentially dangerous electromagnetic radiation | Be aware of radio, radar and microwave transmitters which require safety | No known electromagnetic radiation on or in close proximity to site. | | 0 S1 |
| | | stand-off distances. Equipment on existing building roofs may have to be | | N/A | |
| | | switched off during construction activities. | | | |

| OS11 | Poor air quality | This hazard may arise from the client's site activities, from neighbouring site activities or during local air pollution events such as regular 'smogs'. | No known poor air quality. | N/A | OS11 |
|-------|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----|-------|
| O S12 | Potentially dangerous site flora | This category can include invasive species such as Japanese Knotweed which can damage buildings and pavings and species such as Giant Hogweed, the sap of which causes skin burns. | No known dangerous site flora. | N/A | O S12 |
| OS13 | Potentially dangerous site fauna | This can include vermin and their products, for example bird droppings. Potentially dangerous animals include gulls. In some locations, both coastal and inland, gulls will 'dive bomb' people and construction operatives working on roofs can be at particular risk if gulls are nesting close by. | No known dangerous site fauna. | N/A | 0813 |
| OS14 | Other hazard - designer to define | | placeholder | | O S14 |
| OS15 | Other hazard - designer to define | | placeholder | | OS15 |
| O S16 | Other hazard - designer to define | | placeholder | | OS16 |

Section 2 - Hazards to be mitigated and/or controlled that occur in the Construction Phase - Reference prefix 'CH'

| Ref. | Hazard | General Notes & Prompts | Designer's Mitigation / Control Notes | RAG | Ref. |
|------|--------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----|------|

Note - It is the responsibility of the Principal Contractor to plan, co-ordinate and control site activities to eliminate or reduce risks to health and safety for its workers in the construction phase. Notwithstanding, it is also the duty of the Designers to consider the health and safety implications of their designs at all project stages and play a full role in eliminating or reducing risks to health and safety which may occur on the construction site. Review the listed hazards against your design and specification choices and record your contribution to eliminating, mitigating or controlling the risks to health and safety that will occur during the construction phase.

| CH0 1 | Drowning | Could your design be modified such that buildings and external works are not immediately adjacent to bodies of deep water or so that workers do not have to work directly over the water? | No known deep bodies of water identified. | N/ A | CH01 |
|-------|--|---|---|------|------|
| CH02 | O ther water-related health risks inc. diseases | Could your design be modified such that workers are less exposed to working around bodies of water which could be contaminated with sources of pollution, whether chemical or biological? | No known other water-related health risks to consider. | N/ A | CH02 |
| CH03 | Injury from collapse of ground and/or building substructures | Could your design be modified such that workers are less exposed to working in deep excavations or where the ground conditions are unstable? The civil/ structural engineer should also be able to make a contribution to such mitigations. | The proposed refurbishment works do not involve any excavation works. Contractor to be aware that poor ground around drain runs may be present, precautions to be taken to protect the surface finish and provide a stable base for lifting from. Structural Engineer to advise any further measures required. | | CH03 |
| CH04 | Injury from collapse of building superstructures | Could your design be modified such that workers are less exposed to collapses? For example, could masonry walls - which can be unstable before being fully tied together or before the mortar has set - be replaced with framed or stud partitions? | The project involves small areas of infill using SFS and a masonry outer leaf at first, second and third floor, Contractor to ensure that appropriate measures are in place during construction to mitigate risk to workers and building users below. | | CH04 |
| CH05 | Injury from impact by site vehicles / site plant movements | Could your design be modified such that there is more space around the building on the site to permit safer manoeuvring of vehicles/ plant such as lorries, excavators, dumpers, telehandlers, MEW Ps and so on? Can you make better use of the site to permit segregation of pedestrians? | The existing building is set back from the main vehicular access drive, vehicle and plant use to be carefully planned to ensure safe manoeuvring Contractor to ensure construction traffic and management plan created to separate vehicle and operatives wherever possible. Vehicle and plant use to be carefully planned, particularly with respect to access to rear of the building where access is restricted Contractor to ensure that appropriate measures are in place during construction to mitigate risk to workers. | | CH05 |
| CH06 | Injury related to falling objects | | The façade refurbishment involves the prefabrication of large units of glazing/panels to form the curtain walling replacment. Areas of infill to the external walls have designed as SFS with a masonry outer leaf. Contractor to ensure that appropriate measures are in place during construction to mitigate risk to workers and provide method statements where necessary. | | CH06 |
| СН07 | Injury related to falls from height | Could your design be modified such that workers are less exposed to falls from height? For example, can the cladding and roofing design contribute to guarding against falls by means of parapets? Could the permanent stairs be used during construction to obviate use of temporary stairs? | Due to the nature of the project being the refurbishment of an existing building, the existing stairs can be used throughout the construction period. The existing curtain walling is to be replaced to the rear elevations including the side and front elevation of centre dance. There are some areas of flat roof to the rear of the building which may provide safe access/ working area to the floors above. Contractor to ensure that appropriate measures are in place during construction to mitigate risk to workers and provide method statements where necessary. | | CH07 |
| CH08 | Injury from chemicals and the like | Could your design be modified such that workers are less exposed to hazardous materials? For example, could liquid coatings such as paints be specified as water-based products rather than solvent-based? | Water-based paints and non-hazardous materials/ products to be specified where possible. | | CH08 |

| CH09 | | Could your design be modified to reduce concrete cutting/drilling/grinding | | | CH09 |
|------|---|--|---|-------|------|
| | like | by better planning and/or specification? For example, ideally all holes | | | |
| | | through concrete floor slabs for services should be pre-planned and cast | | N/A | |
| | | insitu to avoid subsequent concrete drilling operations. | | | |
| CH10 | Injury from exposure to excessive noise | Could your design be modified to reduce exposure to noise? For example, | No injury from exposure to excessive noise envisaged - no requirement for foundations | | CH10 |
| | | bored piling is a potentially quieter operation than percussive piling. The | | N/A | |
| | | civil/ structural engineer should also be able to make a contribution to such mitigations. | | | |
| CH11 | Burns / scalds / cuts | Could your design be modified to reduce the occurrences of 'hot works'? | Any hot works required to be assessed by the contractor and detailed in their method statements | | CH11 |
| | | For example, can steel components be bolted together rather than welded? | | | |
| | | Can metal pipework be specified to obviate soldering? The relevant | | | |
| | | engineers should also be able to contribute to such mitigations. | | | |
| CH12 | Injury from slips / trips / falls | | Due to the nature of the project being the refurbishment of an existing building the project involves an | | CH12 |
| | | in a clean, tidy and workmanlike state. For example, large quantities of | extensive amount of stripping out. Contractor to ensure that there is adequate disposal systems in place | | |
| | | | to mitigate tripping hazards. Safe routes to be maintained for personnel. Contractor to ensure that | | |
| | | hazards if not constantly tidied away to skips. | appropriate measures are in place during construction to mitigate risk to workers. | | |
| CH13 | Trapping inc. in confined spaces | Could your design be modified to reduce the occurrences of confined | Existing risers/ plant positions are to be retained. | | CH13 |
| | | spaces? For example could one large service riser be substituted for a | | N/A | |
| | | number of smaller risers? | | 13,71 | |
| CH14 | Injury from fire | Could your design be modified to reduce opportunities for fires to be | The facade refurbishment will be a combination of replacement curtain walling, SFS and masonry outer | | CH14 |
| | | started? For example, could a cold-applied roof membrane be specified | leaf infill. Any existing steel framing exposed and requiring to be encased and/or coated with | | |
| | | instead of a 'torch-on' type? Could masonry be substituted for timber- | intumescent paint in line with advice from the BCO. | | |
| | | framed construction which has a high fire load before covering in? | | | |
| CH15 | Musculo-skeletal injuries form heavy lifting and the like | Could your specification be modified such that workers do not have to | Due to the nature of the project being the refurbishment of an existing building the works requires the | | CH15 |
| | | repetitively lift heavy weights? For example, can smaller unit sizes of | lifting of heavy sections of glazing/ panelling, specialist lifting equipment is to be used to lift materials | | |
| | | masonry blocks - 'midi blocks' - or concrete paving slabs be specified? | into place Contractor to ensure that appropriate measures are in place during construction to mitigate risk to workers. | | |
| CH16 | Vibration injuries from vibrating tools | Could your design be modified such that workers do not constantly have to | | | CH16 |
| | | break out concrete or masonry? For example, can service holes in concrete | | N/A | |
| | | be pre-planned and cast insitu not have to be cut out later? | | 117.7 | |
| CH17 | Other hazard - designer to define | | placeholder | | CH17 |
| CH18 | Other hazard - designer to define | | placeholder | | CH18 |
| CH19 | Other hazard - designer to define | | placeholder | | CH19 |

Section 3 - Register of Residual Risks after Handover in the Use, Maintenance and Demolition Phases

Which site-wide issues from the Design and Construction Phases have remained as Residual Risks in the completed building?

| Ref. | Residual Risk | General Notes | Designer's Mitigation / Control Notes | RAG | Ref. |
|-------|--|---------------|---------------------------------------|-----|-------|
| | | | | - | |
| | Residual Risk arising from a Site Feature Hazard | | | | |
| RR0 1 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR0 1 |
| RR02 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR02 |
| RR03 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR03 |
| RR0 4 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR04 |
| RR0 5 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR05 |
| RR0 6 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR0 6 |
| RR0 7 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR0 7 |
| RR0 8 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR0 8 |
| RR0 9 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR0 9 |
| RR1 0 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR10 |
| | Residual Risk arising from Utilities & Services | | | | |
| RR1 1 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR1 1 |
| RR12 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR12 |
| RR1 3 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR13 |
| RR1 4 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR1 4 |
| RR1 5 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR1 5 |
| RR1 6 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR16 |

| RR1 7 | Item - define | placeholder | RR1 7 |
|-------|---|-------------|-------|
| RR1 8 | Item - define | placeholder | RR1 8 |
| RR1 9 | Item - define | placeholder | RR1 9 |
| RR2 0 | Item - define | placeholder | RR20 |
| | Residual Risk arising from Other Site Hazards | | |
| RR2 1 | Item - define | placeholder | RR2 1 |
| RR2 2 | Item - define | placeholder | RR2 2 |
| RR2 3 | Item - define | placeholder | RR23 |
| RR2 4 | Item - define | placeholder | RR2 4 |
| RR2 5 | Item - define | placeholder | RR2 5 |
| RR2 6 | Item - define | placeholder | RR2 6 |
| RR2 7 | Item - define | placeholder | RR2 7 |
| RR2 8 | Item - define | placeholder | RR2 8 |
| RR2 9 | Item - define | placeholder | RR2 9 |
| RR3 0 | Item - define | placeholder | RR30 |

What are the Residual Risks associated with the finished building itself?

| Ref. | Residual Risk in the finished building(s) | Notes | Designer's Mitigation / Control Notes | RAG | Ref. |
|-------|--|--|---------------------------------------|-----|-------|
| RR3 1 | Risks associated with access to high areas - externally | These are common residual risks and likely to be present | placeholder | | RR31 |
| RR3 2 | Risks associated with access to high areas - internally | These are common residual risks and likely to be present | placeholder | | RR32 |
| RR33 | Risks associated with cleaning high areas - externally | These are common residual risks and likely to be present | placeholder | | RR33 |
| RR3 4 | Risks associated with cleaning high areas - internally | These are common residual risks and likely to be present | placeholder | | RR34 |
| RR3 5 | Risks associated with replacing building fabric - externally | These are common residual risks and likely to be present | placeholder | | RR35 |
| RR3 6 | Risks associated with replacing building fabric - internally | These are common residual risks and likely to be present | placeholder | | RR36 |
| RR3 7 | Risks associated with maintaining M&E plant - internally | These are common residual risks and likely to be present | placeholder | | RR3 7 |
| RR3 8 | Risks associated with maintaining M&E plant - externally | These are common residual risks and likely to be present | placeholder | | RR38 |
| RR3 9 | Risks associated with dismantling / removing M&E plant | These are common residual risks and likely to be present | placeholder | | RR3 9 |
| RR4 0 | Risks associated with demolishing building structures | These are common residual risks and likely to be present | placeholder | | RR40 |
| RR4 1 | Risks associated with incorporated building materials | These are common residual risks and likely to be present | placeholder | | RR4 1 |
| RR42 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR42 |
| RR4 3 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR43 |
| RR4 4 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR44 |
| RR4 5 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR45 |
| RR4 6 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR46 |
| RR4 7 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR4 7 |
| RR4 8 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR48 |
| RR4 9 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR49 |
| RR5 0 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR5 0 |
| RR5 1 | Item - define | | placeholder | | RR5 1 |