



BIRMINGHAM CITY COUNCIL

LICENSING SUB COMMITTEE A

THURSDAY 15 OCTOBER 2020

DAHLAK LOUNGE, HAMPTON STREET, BIRMINGHAM B19 3LS

That having considered the application made and certificate issued by West Midlands Police under Section 53A of the Licensing Act 2003 for an expedited review of the premises licence held by Mr Biniam Yemane Mebrahtu in respect of Dahlak Lounge, Hampton Street, Birmingham B19 3LS, this Sub-Committee determines:

- that the licence be suspended pending a review of the licence, such a review to be held within 28 days of receiving the Chief Officer of Police's application, and
- that Biniam Yemane Mebrahtu be removed as the Designated Premises Supervisor

Before the meeting began the Sub-Committee was aware of the amended *Health Protection (Coronavirus, Restrictions) (No. 2) (England) Regulations 2020*, the updated version of the Guidance entitled '*Closing Certain Businesses and Venues in England*' originally issued by HM Government on 3rd July 2020, and the Guidance entitled '*Keeping Workers and Customers Safe in Covid-19 in Restaurants, Pubs, Bars and Takeaway Services*' issued originally by HM Government on 12th May 2020 and updated regularly thereafter.

The Sub-Committee was also aware of the special local lockdown measures (specifically for Birmingham) which had been announced by HM Government on Friday 11th September 2020, then introduced on Tuesday 15th September 2020. These measures had been an attempt to control the sharp rise in Covid-19 cases in the city.

Furthermore the Sub-Committee was aware of the further national measures to address rising cases of coronavirus in England as a whole, which had been announced by HM Government on 22nd September 2020. These national measures had been published on the "gov.uk" website on that date, and detailed the new requirements for all businesses selling food or drink (including cafes, bars, pubs and restaurants), ordering that all such premises must be closed between 22.00 hours and 05.00 hours. Other requirements for such premises included seated table service, wearing of masks, and participation in the NHS Test and Trace programme. These measures were an attempt by HM Government to control the sharp rise in Covid-19 cases nationally.

The pandemic had continued to be the top story in the national news across the Spring, Summer and now into the Autumn of 2020; the Birmingham lockdown, and also the new national measures announced on 22nd September, had been very widely publicised and discussed both in news reports and on social media. The

Prime Minister, together with HM Government's Chief Medical Officer and Chief Scientific Officer, had resumed the televised 'Coronavirus Briefing' broadcasts which had been a feature of the first few months of the pandemic. In recent days HM Government had also designated a pyramid-style 'Three Tier' system for the nation, to indicate the level of risk for each area. Birmingham had been designated as 'Tier 2', meaning a 'high' level of risk.

The Dahlak Lounge premises had been granted the premises licence on 12th March 2020, less than two weeks before the national lockdown was imposed.

Mr Biniam Yemane Mebrahtu attended the meeting, as the premises licence holder and also as the designated premises supervisor. Two other individuals also notified the Licensing department of their attendance – Mr Olayinka Soremi and Mr Victor Joseph, who described themselves as Mr Mebrahtu's "business partners". Mr Victor Joseph was the person who addressed the Sub-Committee. It was noted however that the premises licence was in the name of Mr Mebrahtu alone, not a partnership.

Members heard the submissions of West Midlands Police, namely that the background to the certificate issued by the Chief Superintendent under s53A(1)(b) of the Act was that, in Birmingham, it had been observed that the death rate, the rate of infection, and the rate of hospital admissions were all steadily increasing; there were more Covid patients in Birmingham hospitals currently than there had been at the start of the March 2020 lockdown.

From the 4th July 2020, when the new arrangements for reopening were being publicised and the lockdown was being eased for licensed premises such as pubs and bars, information on how to trade was readily available to such premises - via the "gov.uk" website, and also the very many news reports, both on television and on general social media. The requirements included no loud music, no dancing, queue management, and 2m social distancing (or 1m with mitigation measures).

On the 16th August 2020, West Midlands Police observed a general failure by the Dahlak Lounge premises to follow the Government Guidance. Whilst dealing with an incident nearby in the early hours of the morning, Police found that loud music was emanating from Dahlak Lounge at a volume which could be heard in the street.

Upon entering, Police observed that there was no social distancing or limitation of numbers of patrons as per the Covid-19 requirements, to allow for safe operation. Police described the premises as "packed" with patrons. Under the fire risk assessment, the capacity limit was 120 patrons - 60 on the ground floor and 60 on the first floor. Far more than these numbers were estimated by Police to have been inside. Loud music was playing, making normal conversation impossible, and therefore requiring raised voices – a known risk for Covid transmission. The Police ascribed these failures to unsatisfactory management by the premises licence holder Mr Mebrahtu, who was also the designated premises supervisor.

Police offered advice and help to the licence holder via email, to assist him in understanding what was required to trade in a Covid-safe manner. Police also held a meeting with him on 26th August, and spent a lot of time explaining the social distancing requirements. Surprisingly, Mr Mebrahtu was not aware that his premises had any capacity limit for numbers of patrons. Police requested that he supply the Covid-19 risk assessment which is a mandatory requirement under the Government Guidance; Mr Mehbratu stated that the risk assessments had been done for both Covid risk and fire risk.

Then from September 2020, the measures imposed by HM Government became stricter – closure at 22.00 hours, music to be limited to 85 decibels, no standing (table service only), wearing of masks, and participation in the NHS Test and Trace programme. This information was readily available to licensed premises via the “gov.uk” website, television and on social media.

On 10th October 2020 Police received a complaint from a member of the public that loud music was emanating from the Dahlak Lounge and that there were numerous cars in the car park. Police attended at around 21.10 hours, which was within the permitted opening hours (closure required at 22.00). Police found that very loud music was indeed emanating from Dahlak Lounge, at a volume which could be heard in the street – despite the shutters to the premises being pulled down and locked, and the premises appearing to all intents and purposes to be ‘closed’. Around twenty people were in the car park. One individual inside the premises was seen to look out of an upstairs window; moments later, the volume of the music reduced significantly. A person, thought to perhaps be a security guard, then unlocked the door from the inside.

Upon entering, Police were astonished to find the situation inside to be even worse than that which had been observed on the 16th August. Around 150 people were found on the ground floor; no social distancing whatsoever was being observed and many patrons were standing or walking about. Others were seated together, either on long benches or in booths, but nobody was keeping a Covid-safe distance from others. The music being played had already been turned down, but the Police found that they still could not hear anything above it. Masks were not being worn by many customers, and even some of the staff, except for the security guards; smoking of shisha by patrons was going on.

Staff hurriedly began pulling patrons out of their seats, exhorting them to “move, move, you’ve got to move”, and ordering those seated in booths to “get out”, on the basis that the premises had exceeded its capacity limit.

Police observed that the door through which they had entered, which had been unlocked for them by somebody inside, was in fact a front fire escape. There was also a rear fire exit, but this was found to lead only to the outdoor smoking area – an entirely enclosed area, with no means of escape beyond that. A second front fire exit was also unsatisfactory to Police, given the small size of the door to it, and the presence of a trip hazard created by the flooring and the irregular-sized door. Emergency lighting and signage was not in place at the front fire exit; indeed sofas and benches had been placed in the path of the main escape route. The shutters had also been pulled down and locked. The premises’ view was that this was to stop people from getting in.

This was all completely unacceptable in terms of fire safety, but was made infinitely more serious by the fact that many patrons inside were smoking shisha, which by its nature increases the risk of fire. Moreover, as the Police explained, ventilation arrangements are key to compliance with the Health Act 2006 when smoking shisha, yet the Dahlak Lounge had the main shutters pulled down and locked. Any outbreak of fire would have been a disaster even with social distancing and a proper limit of numbers - yet Police had observed around 150 people on the ground floor, which had a capacity limit of 60 persons.

The licence holder claimed to Police that the fire assessment had confirmed that he “could have more than 250 people inside”; upon examining the fire risk assessment document, Police observed that the capacity had changed to “220” in total for both

floors (not 120 in total), yet the document was still dated July 2020. Also unsatisfactory was the reliance on what was called the “extra fire exit” to justify the increase in the capacity limit; this turned out to be the irregular sized door with the trip hazard.

The Covid risk assessment produced by the licence holder was also found to be wholly unsatisfactory. It was regarded by Police as having been approached by the licence holder as a mere tick-box exercise, rather than a proper consideration of what was required to trade safely during the pandemic. Police had requested CCTV from the premises, but this had not been forthcoming; the licence holder told Police that he had found that the files downloaded to his telephone were of a file size too big to be emailed to Police.

The Police were therefore concerned that the premises licence holder was being reckless in his style of operating, and was endangering public health by risking the spread of Covid-19. All in all, the scene discovered on the 10th October was quite a contrast to his declaration in September that the premises was both fully Covid-compliant and fully fire risk compliant.

The Police explained that the premises’ decision to trade in this unsafe manner, which was not compliant with the Government Guidance, was an overt risk to the health of individuals, families and local communities, at a time when the country is experiencing a national emergency. The Covid-19 virus is a pandemic which has required all licensed premises to act responsibly and in accordance with the Government Guidance when trading, in order to save lives. It was therefore a flagrant risk to public health for any licensed premises to breach the Government Guidance by trading in an unsafe manner.

The Police also remarked that in recent dealings it appeared that the licence holder was perhaps trying to place some of the blame for his failings on the Police. The Sub-Committee looked askance at this. It was quite apparent that the Police had given the Dahlak Lounge a great deal of advice and help, including a meeting, in August 2020. However, attempts by the Police to advise those at the premises had not been accepted. The premises was completely unsatisfactory in terms of Covid, in terms of fire safety, and also in terms of compliance with shisha requirements. The recommendation of the Police was therefore that the Sub-Committee should suspend the licence pending the review hearing.

Mr Victor Joseph then addressed the Sub-Committee to state that the licence holder was in the process of instructing a legal representative, and that no submissions would be made until this had been arranged.

In deliberating, the Sub-Committee agreed with the Police that the causes of the serious crime appeared to originate from unsatisfactory internal management procedures at the premises. The Sub-Committee found the Police observations relating to Covid, fire risk and shisha to be alarming, and not something that inspired the slightest confidence in the management arrangements at the premises. All in all, the Sub-Committee considered the licence holder to have failed to take his responsibilities seriously.

The Sub-Committee therefore determined that it was both necessary and reasonable to impose the interim step of suspension to address the immediate problems with the premises, namely the likelihood of further serious crime.

The Sub-Committee considered whether it could impose other interim steps, including modification of licence conditions, but considered that this would offer little to address the real issues, which were the unsatisfactory practices and the irresponsible attitude shown by the licence holder, both of which were a significant risk to public health in Birmingham.

However, the Sub-Committee determined that the removal of the designated premises supervisor was a very important safety feature given that it was this individual who was responsible for the day to day running of the premises, ie the decision to defy the Government Guidance in order to trade as usual. Therefore the risks could only be properly addressed first by the suspension of the Licence, and secondly by the removal of the DPS, pending the full Review hearing.

In reaching this decision, the Sub-Committee has given due consideration to the City Council's Statement of Licensing Policy, the Guidance issued by the Home Office in relation to expedited and summary licence reviews, and the submissions made by the Police at the hearing.

All parties are advised that the premises licence holder may make representations against the interim steps taken by the Licensing Authority. On receipt of such representations, the Licensing Authority must hold a hearing within 48 hours.

All parties are advised that there is no right of appeal to a Magistrates' Court against the Licensing Authority's decision at this stage.