

BIRMINGHAM CITY COUNCIL

PUBLIC REPORT

Report to:	Audit Committee
Report of:	Assistant Director, Audit & Risk Management
Date of Meeting:	31st January 2023
Subject:	Risk Management Update
Wards Affected:	All

1. Purpose of Report

1.1 To update Members on the management of strategic risks and implementation of the Risk Management Framework.

2. Recommendation

Audit Committee Members:

2.1 Note the progress in implementing the Risk Management Framework and the assurance and oversight provided by the Council Leadership Team (CLT).

2.2 Review the strategic risks and assess whether further explanation / information is required from risk owners in order to satisfy itself that the Risk Management Framework has been consistently applied.

3. Risk Management Framework

3.1 The Risk Management Framework sets out the processes for identifying, categorising, monitoring, reporting and mitigating risk at all organisational levels.

3.2 The framework is implemented through a network of Directorate Risk Representatives. Risk representatives assist directorate management teams in producing and maintaining up-to-date risk registers and supporting action plans.

3.3 Strategic risks are reviewed and challenged through the Corporate Leadership Team.

4. Strategic Risk Register

4.1 The Strategic Risk Register is reviewed on a monthly basis by the nominated directorate risk representatives and independently reviewed and challenged by CLT.

4.2 Since September 2022, when the Strategic Risk Register was last reported to Committee, the following key changes have occurred:

- SR3.4 – Counter Terrorism / emergency planning restated as two separate risks:
 - SR3.4a - Business Continuity - Failure to maintain critical services; and
 - SR3.4b - Business Continuity - Failure to respond to emergency / terrorism.Both risks being allocated a residual likelihood and impact rating of medium / significant.
- SR4.1 – Loss of personal / sensitive data, residual risk reduced from a likelihood and impact rating of significant / medium to medium / medium.
- SR4.3 – Cyber attacks, residual risk reduced from a likelihood and impact rating of high / high to medium / significant.
- SR7.4 – Commonwealth Games delivery, closed and deleted.

4.3 The revised strategic risks have been plotted on a heat map within Appendix A and are summarised within Appendix B. The profile of the strategic risks, against each 'PESTLE' category is given below:

Residual Risk Exposure	Severe	Material	Tolerable	Total
SR1 - Political				
SR2 - Economical	1	1		2
SR3 - Social	1	3		4
SR4 - Technological	1	1		2
SR5 – Legal	4		1	5
SR6 - Environmental	3	1		4
SR7 - Cross Cutting	1	5		6
totals	11	11	1	23

4.4 Risk SR5.1 Inadequate Property Portfolio (including Health & Safety and Working conditions) remains with a High / High residual likelihood and impact score

4.5 Assurance on the management of these risks has been provided, or is scheduled on the Committee's work programme, via the Cabinet Member Assurance Sessions.

4.6 Risks are assigned weightings according to the definitions set out in the Strategic Risk Management Framework as follows:

Measures of likelihood:

Description	Example Detail Description
High	Almost certain, is expected to occur in most circumstances. Greater than 80% chance.
Significant	Likely, will probably occur in most circumstances. 50% - 80% chance.
Medium	Possible, might occur at some time. 20% - 50% chance.
Low	Unlikely, but could occur at some time. Less than 20% chance.

Measures of impact:

Description	Example Detail Description
High	Critical impact on the achievement of objectives and overall performance. Critical opportunity to innovate/improve performance missed/wasted. Huge impact on costs and/or reputation. Very difficult to recover from and possibly requiring a long-term recovery period.
Significant	Major impact on costs and objectives. Substantial opportunity to innovate/improve performance missed/wasted. Serious impact on output and/or quality and reputation. Medium to long term effect and expensive to recover from.
Medium	Waste of time and resources. Good opportunity to innovate/improve performance missed/wasted. Moderate impact on operational efficiency, output and quality. Medium term effect which may be expensive to recover from.
Low	Minor loss, delay, inconvenience or interruption. Opportunity to innovate/make minor improvements to performance missed/wasted. Short to medium term effect.

4.7 The strategic risk register is reviewed on a monthly basis by CLT to ensure robust oversight and that appropriate action is being taken.

5. Directorate Risks

5.1 Each Directorate maintains their own risk registers. These Directorate risk registers contain the operational risks facing the Council and are managed at a local level.

5.2 The top operational risks are being captured as part of the ongoing corporate business planning process and will be subject to a similar level of scrutiny as Strategic Risks. This will include reporting all significant operational risks to the Audit Committee.

6. Role of the Audit Committee

6.1 Members have a key role within the risk management and internal control processes.

6.2 The Audit Committee terms of reference, sets out its responsibilities and in relation to risk management these are:

- providing independent assurance to the Council on the effectiveness of the risk management framework and the associated control environment;
- whether there is an appropriate culture of risk management and related control throughout the Council;
- to review and advise the Executive on the embedding and maintenance of an effective system of corporate governance including internal control and risk management; and
- to give an assurance to the Council that there is a sufficient and systematic review of the corporate governance, internal control and risk management arrangements within the Council.

7. Legal and Resource Implications

7.1 The work carried out is within approved budgets.

8. Equality Impact Assessment Issues

8.1 Risk management forms an important part of the internal control framework within the Council.

8.2 The Council's risk management framework has been Equality Impact Assessed and was found to have no adverse impacts.

9. Compliance Issues

9.1 Decisions are consistent with relevant Council Policies, Plans and Strategies.

Sarah Dunlavey

Assistant Director, Audit & Risk Management

Telephone No: 0121 675 8714

e-mail address: sarah.dunlavey@birmingham.gov.uk

Risk Heat Map, Changes September – January 2023

Strategic Risk Map – January 2023				
Likelihood	High			SR5.1 Health & Safety / Inadequate property portfolio
	Significant	SR3.3 Equality representation across the workforce SR7.6 Supply Chain Disruption	SR6.1 BCC to be net zero carbon SR6.2 aspiration City to be net zero carbon SR6.3 Climate resilient SR7.2 Rising pressure of demand in adults and children's	SR2.2 Homelessness & housing shortage SR5.6 Safeguarding Children
	Medium	SR2.6 Future Financial Resilience SR3.7 Public Health interventions SR4.1 Loss of personal/sensitive data SR4.3 Cyber attacks SR5.2 Approach to equalities - legislative SR6.4 Ability to address air pollution SR7.5 CWG Legacy Realisation	SR3.4a Business Continuity - Failure to maintain critical services SR3.4b Business Continuity - Failure to respond to emergency / terrorism SR4.3 Cyber attacks SR6.4 Ability to address air pollution SR7.5 CWG Legacy Realisation	SR5.4 Meeting social care requirements SR5.5 View of BCC by regulators SR7.1 Service Improvement
	Low	SR5.2 Approach to equalities - legislative	SR3.6 Preventing crime agenda	
Key		Low	Medium	Significant
Impact				
Severe	Immediate control improvement to be made to enable business goals to be met and service delivery maintained/improved.			
Material	Close monitoring to be carried out and cost effective control improvements sought to ensure service delivery is maintained			
Tolerable	Regular review, low cost control improvements sought if possible.			

Strategic Risk Register – Summary

<u>Risk No.</u>	<u>Risk</u>	<u>Risk Owner</u>	<u>Inherent Risk</u>	<u>Residual Risk</u>	<u>Target Risk</u>	<u>Direction of Travel</u>	<u>Action Status</u>				<u>Total</u>
			Red	Amber	Green	Not Rated					
SR2 Economic											
SR2.2	Homelessness and less affordable housing with rising housing requirements	Director – PPS & Director City Housing	Severe	Severe	Material		0	6	1	0	7
SR2.6	Future Financial Resilience	Director Council Management	Severe	Material	Tolerable		0	0	3	0	3
SR3 Social											
SR3.3	Equality representation	Director of People Services	Material	Material	Tolerable		0	1	1	0	2
SR3.4a	Significant disruption to Council services (Business continuity) and failure to effectively maintain critical services	Chief Executive	Material	Material	Material	Restated	0	0	2	0	2
SR3.4b	Disruption to Council services (Business continuity) and failure to effectively manage and respond to emergency incidents, including acts of terrorism.	Chief Executive	Severe	Material	Material	Restated	0	1	0	0	1
SR3.6	Inability to effectively influence the preventing crime agenda	Director City Operations	Severe	Material	Tolerable		0	2	3	0	5
SR3.7	Public Health approach to early interventions ineffective	Director of Public Health	Severe	Material	Tolerable		0	4	0	0	4
SR4 Technological											
SR4.1	Loss of personal and sensitive data	Assistant Director for IT&D & CIO	Material	Material	Tolerable		0	0	1	0	1
SR4.3	Risk of Cyber Attacks	Assistant Director for IT&D & CIO	Material	Material	Material		0	0	3	0	3

Appendix B

<u>Risk No.</u>	<u>Risk</u>	<u>Risk Owner</u>	<u>Inherent Risk</u>	<u>Residual Risk</u>	<u>Target Risk</u>	<u>Direction of Travel</u>	<u>Action Status</u>				<u>Total</u>
			Red	Amber	Green	Not Rated					
SR5 Legal											
SR5.1	Inadequate Property Portfolio (including Health & Safety and Working conditions)	CLT / Directorate Leads / Managers / Employees	Severe	Severe	Material	↔	0	6	2	0	8
SR5.2	Ineffective approach to Equalities	Director Strategy, Equality and Partnerships	Severe	Tolerable	Tolerable	↔	1	1	1	0	3
SR5.4	Inability to fully meet social care requirements	Director of Children and Families	Severe	Severe	Tolerable	↔	0	2	0	0	2
SR5.5	View of BCC by Regulators	Directors of Children and Families and Adult Social Care	Severe	Severe	Tolerable	↔	0	6	1	0	7
SR5.6	Safeguarding Children	Directors of Children and Families	Severe	Severe	Tolerable	↔	0	1	3	0	4
SR6 Environmental											
SR6.1	Birmingham City Council to be net zero carbon by 2030	Director of Planning, Transport & Sustainability	Severe	Severe	Material	↔	0	0	0	4	4
SR6.2	Council aspiration for the City to be net zero carbon by 2030	Director of Planning, Transport & Sustainability	Severe	Severe	Material	↔	0	4	0	0	4
SR6.3	A climate resilient and adapted Council and city	Director of Planning, Transport & Sustainability	Severe	Severe	Material	↔	0	5	2	0	7
SR6.4	Ability to address air pollution	Director of Planning, Transport & Connectivity	Material	Material	Material	↔	0	0	3	0	3
SR7 Cross Cutting											
SR7.1	Service Improvement	Director of Council Management	Severe	Material	Tolerable	↔	0	3	1	0	4

Appendix B

<u>Risk No.</u>	<u>Risk</u>	<u>Risk Owner</u>	<u>Inherent Risk</u>	<u>Residual Risk</u>	<u>Target Risk</u>	<u>Direction of Travel</u>	<u>Action Status</u>				<u>Total</u>
			Red	Amber	Green	Not Rated					
SR7.2	Rising pressure of demand	Directors of Children and Families / Adult Social Care	Severe	Severe	Tolerable	↔	0	9	4	0	13
SR7.3B	Workforce Performance	Chief Executive	Material	Material	Tolerable	↔	0	0	8	0	8
SR7.5	Birmingham 2022 Commonwealth Games Legacy Realisation	Chief Executive	Material	Material	Tolerable	↔	1	2	2	0	5
SR7.6	Supply Chain Disruption	Director of Council Management	Severe	Material	Tolerable	↔	0	4	1	0	5

