APPENDIX 1

Full Business Case (FBC)					
1. General Information					
Directorate	Place	Portfolio/Com		Homes and Neighbourhoods	
Project Title	Full Business Case: Safety Works to Parks Pools and Flood Alleviation works 3 Year Programme	Project Code		Corporate Resources CA-01940	
Links to Corporate	located throughoreservoirs and far amended. All resimore that could evith the Environring reservoirs designals. A further 26 pool 10,000 cubic meare governed by Both categories emaintained to a high physical condition of land, property Also the Flood Rauthorities to estrisk. The works with the culmination of deadline for deliving pose a potential with the Constitute It should be reconstituted that the constitute of the mature of the weather and erosimal section 12 midentify urgent with the programal section 12 midentification and the programal section 12 midentific	isk Regulations 200 ablish a framework identified in Appending of priority projects altation with the Enter of recommendations wery and other health risk to the public idency Parks Managegnised that some of asset which can be sion on an annual beports from the EA's orks previously not a mme.	ese are classed as of the Reservoirs Act of the Reservoirs Act of a dam failure must are known as larged astoring water and only 2 pools, have a cost than 25,000 cubic anagement Act 2010 ols must be monitore to do this would reand could pose a half of assessing and not the for assessing and not the for assessing and not the form and the form assessing and any in and safety works of the se priorities mand serverely impacted asis and also the new inspecting engines in inspecting engines in inspecting engines in and therefore the following specifically for a severely impacted as inspecting engines in inspecting engines in and the reformation of the following specifically for a severely impacted and the reformation and the reformation of the following specifically for a severely impacted and the reformation and the reformation of the following specifically for a severely specifically for a severely impacted and the reformation and the reformation of the following specifically for a severely impacted and the reformation and the reformation of the following specifically for a severely impacted and the reformation and the reformation of the following specifically for a severely impacted and the reformation and the reformation of the following specifically for a severely impacted and the reformation and the reformation of the following specifically for a severely impacted and the reformation and the following specifically for a severely impacted and the reformation and the following specifically for a severely impacted and the reformation and the following specifically for a severely impacted and the reformation and the following specifically for a severely impacted and the following specificall	category 1 1975 as cubic metres or est be registered e raised can include capacity of c metres) and o red and mean their igh risk to loss as EA and local managing flood mitigating that ced by BCC (EA). These are which could is in consultation by change due to on by inclement ew Section 10 ers which can fore not included Birmingham is a	
and Service Outcomes	great city to live in' by creating a healthy environment and ensuring public spaces are safe for its citizens. The proposal will have a positive impact on the sustainable environment, protecting land and property.				
Project Definition Document Approved by	N/A-	Date of Approval			
Benefits	Mea	sure	Impa	act	

Quantification- Impact	Safe environment for the general public	Zero accidents relating to pools, reservoirs and water courses			
on Outcomes	Reduced risk of flooding	In times of extraordinary weather			
		flooding is contained within the perimeter of the water course/pool			
	A well maintained portfolio of pools	Minimal recommendations of work			
	and reservoirs	required by the Environment			
	Improved environment for wild life	Agency following their inspections. Wild life habitats flourish			
	Improved environment for vina me	evidenced by sightings of specific			
	Improved working relationship with	species No notices served on BCC for			
	Improved working relationship with the EA	non-compliance.			
	Meeting our statutory obligations	Mitigating and managing the risk of flood.			
Project Deliverables	Ensuring pools, reservoirs and wate				
	infrastructure are safe and meet the Reservoirs Act 1975 and the Flood				
	address the recommendations of the				
	health and safety issues reducing risproperty.	sk of loss of life and damage to land			
Scope	The scope of work comes under the				
	will be specific to each site and dep				
	from the E.A could include the follow Construction of auxiliary spillways	ving .			
	Construction of concrete weirs				
	Provision of embankment works				
	Desilting of pools New penstocks				
	Structural and stabilisation works				
Scope exclusions	Installation of land drainage Any pools, reservoirs or water cours	ses in Rirmingham that are not			
Scope exclusions	managed by Birmingham City Council i.e. managed by other public				
	sector organisations e.g. managed l	by the Canal and River Trust or in			
	private ownership. Any works outside the direct vicinity of the pool, reservoir or water				
	course (unless impacting on the flood alleviation works) but maybe				
D	within the same park or area of POS				
Procurement Implications	The works will be procured via the F Works Framework agreement (P33)				
iniplications	February 2017 and runs for a period	of 20 months with the option to			
	extend a further 12 months, giving a	total of 32 months.			
Taxation Implications	There are no tax implications for this	s programme of works.			
Accountable Body	N/A				
Project Manager	Lesley Steele, BPS, 0121 303 8857	;Lesley.Steele@Birmingham.gov.uk;			
Budget Holder	Rob James Acting Corporate Director Place; Rob.James@Birmingham.Gov.uk				
Sponsor	Steve Hollingworth, Service Director Sport, Events, Open Spaces and Wellbeing;0121 464 2023; Steve.Hollingworth@Birmingham.gov.uk				
Project Accountant	Lisa Pendlebury, Business Analyst, Lisa.pendlebury@birmingham.gov.u	ık			
Project Board	Lesley Steele (as above), Joe Hayd	en, Iqbal Sangha, Dennis Bennett			
Members	(Highways representatives), Lisa Pe Contractors, Constituency Parks Ma				

Head of City Finance	Guy Olivant	Date of HoCF	
(HoCF)		Approval:	

2. Budget Summary	(Detailed	workings s	hould also	be supplied	l)		
	,	Voyager Code	Financial 2018/19	Financial 2019/20	Late Yea		Totals
Capital Costs & Fund	ding		£	£	£		£
Expenditure:			415,997	1,291,059	776,1	138	2,483,194
Totals			415,997	1,291,059	776,1	138	2,483,194
<u>Funding</u>		Corporate P.B	415,997	1,291,059	776,1	138	2,483,194
Totals			415,997	1,291,059	776,13	8	2,483,194
Revenue Consequen	ces						
Expenditure							
Income							
Savings							
Totals							
Funded By:							
Current Budgetary Provision Other revenue resources in (Please itemise)		Specify codes where budget is found on Voyager					
Totals							
Planned Start date for delivery of the project	December	2018	Planned Date of March 2021 Technical completion			2021	

3. Checklist of Documents Supporting the FBC							
Item	Mandatory attachment	Number attached					
Financial Case and Plan							
 Detailed workings in support of the above Budget Summary (as necessary) 	Mandatory	Appendix A					
Statement of required resource (people, equipment, accommodation) – append a spreadsheet or other document	Mandatory	В					
Whole Lifecycle Costing analysis (as necessary)	Mandatory	N/A					
 Milestone Dates/ Project Critical Path (set up in Voyager or attached in a spreadsheet) 	Mandatory	С					
Project Development products							
 Populated Issues and Risks register 	Mandatory	D					
Stakeholder Analysis	Mandatory	Е					

APPENDIX 1

APPENDIX B

Statement of required resources

<u>Internal</u>

Highways engineer Constituency Parks Manager Client Project Manager Business Analyst Health and Safety representative

External

Environment Agency Inspecting Engineer Civil Engineering Contractor Grounds Maintenance Contractor

APPENDIX C

Key Milestones

Activity	Date
Approval of Full Business Case – Cabinet	13 th November
	2018
Instruct Highways to place orders for the phase 1 (2018/19)	26 th November
of works with their Framework Contractors	2018
Phase 1 works start on site	December
	2018
Phase 2 works start on site	April 2019
Phase 3 works start on site	April 2020
Report on completion of 2018- 2021 programme and seek	April 2021
approval for new 3 year programme	

APPENDIX D **Risk register and issues**

Description	Impact	Probability	Existing Controls	Action	Lead
of risk				Required	Responsibility
Unforeseen additional works arise in course of contract causing additional funding requirement	Medium	Low	All known risks will be evaluated and a cost apportioned to them in order to mitigate them. This will be included within the overall project.cost. The risk register will be reviewed at intervals throughout the project.	Revisit priorities and review scheme of works. Value engineering exercise to be carried out. Reassess activity schedule.	Highways
Disruption to day to day operations on public accessible sites	Low	Low	.All areas of work will be fenced off and alternative routes sign posted.	Additional security measures will be considered if required on a individual project basis. The priority will be to protect the public.	Contractor
Works not completed on time	Low	Medium	Contractor/Highways will work to an agreed programme of scheduled activities that will be reviewed at each progress meeting. Slippage of activities will be highlighted at an early stage.	Revisit programme to adjust/reschedul e activities. However if the slippage is due to inclement weather this will be out of the control of the contractor and Highways as health and safety of the workforce must be the priority.	Highways
Inclement weather	High	High	Contractor will monitor the 5 day weather forecast	All works are outside. Health and Safety is imperative. Work will only be carried out when	Contractor/High ways

				weather conditions are deemed safe to do so. Budgets will be monitored and cash flows aligned with activities/progra	
Public expectations are undeliverable.	Low	Low	Parks staff will keep the public updated via social media /BCC website display boards, meetings etc.	mme of works. Consultation will be ongoing throughout the life of the project to ensure expectations are kept real.	Parks
Work does not go ahead and EA serve notice on BCC	High	Low	A programme of work has been compiled by Highways from the recommendations outlined in the reports from the EA's inspecting engineers	Work towards identifying a rolling programme of funding to support these statutory obligations	Parks and Nature Conservation
Departure of key staff members	Low	Medium	Work will be done on a team basis, regular project meetings will be held to ensure a spread of knowledge across key personnel	Recruit/identify replacement promptly	All

APPENDIX E

Stakeholder Analysis

Users and Local Links Birmingham City Council

Public Acting Strategic Director Place

Contractors Director Sport, Events, Open Spaces &

Wellbeing

Groups/Clubs Constituency Parks Managers

Environment Agency Local Elected Members

Cabinet Member Homes and Neighbourhoods

Highways

Health and Safety representative

Degree of Influence

- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Environment Agency Highways Cabinet Member Acting Strategic Director Place Service Director Sport, Events, Spaces & Wellbeing Constituency Parks Managers Local Elected Members Health and Safety Rep	Open	Contractor
Public Groups/clubs		
	Highways Cabinet Member Acting Strategic Director Place Service Director Sport, Events, Spaces & Wellbeing Constituency Parks Managers Local Elected Members Health and Safety Rep	Highways Cabinet Member Acting Strategic Director Place Service Director Sport, Events, Open Spaces & Wellbeing Constituency Parks Managers Local Elected Members Health and Safety Rep

Stakeholder	Stake in project	Potential impact on project	What does the project expect from the stakeholder	Perceived attitudes and/or risks	Stakeholder management strategy
Acting Corporate Director Place	Overall responsibility	High	To agree and support the project at high level	Proposal has a limited life	Regular progress updates in the form of highlight reports detailing progress and any issues.
Health & Safety representative	Represent staff & park users	Medium	To support the proposals in the project and protect the health and safety of the park users and staff	Facilities are not fit for purpose/ comply with legislation	To maintain a dialogue and keep them informed of progress.
Service Director Sport, Events, Open Spaces and Wellbeing	Owner of facility	High	Sponsor the project	Concerns about long term durability of works	Regular progress updates in the form of highlight reports detailing progress and any issues.
Parks staff	End user/client	High	Contribute to the brief and outcomes. To manage the facility upon completion	Facility is not fit for purpose	Regular consultation and client involvement.
Contractor/ Highways	Civil engineering works	High	Works to be completed to meet the EA's recommendati ons,, delivered on time and within budget. All works to be completed to a high standard and to meet relevant legislation and guidelines	Unforeseen costs and/or delays. Works below required standard	Surveys undertaken to determine risks. Contract will be monitored throughout to identify any areas for concern.
Elected Members	Link with public	Medium	Consultation with community and support for project	Complaints from park users ref disruption to park usage	Provide regular updates via local meetings/social media

APPENDIX 1

Public	Users of the Parks	Low	To accept that BCC has a requirement to meet its health and safety and statutory responsibilities	An inappropriate use of public resources	Updates on social media e.g. BCC website