

Title of proposed EIA	Section 75 Agreement for the Better Care Fund 2020/21
Reference No	EQUA641
EA is in support of	Amended Policy
Review Frequency	Annually
Date of first review	31/01/2022
Directorate	Adults Social Care
Division	Commissioning
Service Area	
Responsible Officer(s)	 Sarah Feeley
Quality Control Officer(s)	 Michael Walsh
Accountable Officer(s)	 Louise Collett
Purpose of proposal	To enable the council to extend the Section 75 agreement with the NHS Birmingham and Solihull Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) and Sandwell and West Birmingham CCG for the purpose of the Birmingham Better Care Fund
Data sources	relevant reports/strategies; Other (please specify)
Please include any other sources of data	NHS Section 75 Guidance NHS draft Section 75 agreement and variation NHS Hospital Discharge process Government Better Care Fund statement 2020/21
ASSESS THE IMPACT AGAINST THE PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS	
Protected characteristic: Age	Not Applicable
Age details:	
Protected characteristic: Disability	Not Applicable
Disability details:	
Protected characteristic: Sex	Not Applicable
Gender details:	
Protected characteristics: Gender Reassignment	Not Applicable
Gender reassignment details:	
Protected characteristics: Marriage and Civil Partnership	Not Applicable
Marriage and civil partnership details:	

Protected characteristics: Pregnancy and Maternity	Not Applicable
Pregnancy and maternity details:	
Protected characteristics: Race	Not Applicable
Race details:	
Protected characteristics: Religion or Beliefs	Not Applicable
Religion or beliefs details:	
Protected characteristics: Sexual Orientation	Not Applicable
Sexual orientation details:	
Socio-economic impacts	
Please indicate any actions arising from completing this screening exercise.	
Please indicate whether a full impact assessment is recommended	NO
What data has been collected to facilitate the assessment of this policy/proposal?	Government policy statement, NHS guidance, minimum contribution information.
Consultation analysis	Consulted - Better Care Fund Programme Board, Better Care Fund Commissioning Executive, Adult Social Care Management Team. All in agreement that the council should continue with the statutory requirement for a Section 75 to pool funds for the Better Care Fund.
Adverse impact on any people with protected characteristics.	No there are no identified adverse impacts from this proposal.
Could the policy/proposal be modified to reduce or eliminate any adverse impact?	Not applicable
How will the effect(s) of this policy/proposal on equality be monitored?	Through the services commissioned and the review of the extension of the Section 75 agreement.
What data is required in the future?	The data required is already being collected through the service delivery, there are no new areas covered within the Section 75 agreements.
Are there any adverse impacts on any particular group(s)	No
If yes, please explain your reasons for going ahead.	Not applicable
Initial equality impact assessment of your proposal	
Consulted People or Groups	
Informed People or Groups	

Summary and evidence of findings from your EIA

The initial assessment has not identified any areas that will be affected through the extension of the Section 75 agreement. The funding arrangements and services commissioned through this pooled funding arrangement in this financial year 2020/21.

QUALITY CONTORL SECTION

Submit to the Quality Control Officer for reviewing?

No

Quality Control Officer comments

Decision by Quality Control Officer

Proceed for final approval

Submit draft to Accountable Officer?

Yes

Decision by Accountable Officer

Approve

Date approved / rejected by the Accountable Officer

10/02/2021

Reasons for approval or rejection

Please print and save a PDF copy for your records

Yes

Julie Bach

■ Sarah Feeley

Person or Group

Content Type: Item

Version: 27.0

Created at 02/02/2021 08:44 AM by ■ Sarah Feeley

Last modified at 10/02/2021 09:49 AM by Workflow on behalf of ■ Louise Collett

Close