Title of proposed EIA *	Street Naming and Numbering policy Please provide the title of your policy or service area.		
Reference No	EQUA896  Please do not amend. A reference number will automatically be applied once the form is saved.		
EA is in support of *	Amended Policy 🕶		
Review Frequency *	Two Years  Please select how regularly you plan to review the assessment.		
Date of first review *	01/06/2024  Based on the review frequency, please enter the date when your first review		
Directorate *	will take place.  Inclusive Growth		
Division	Transport & Connectivity		
Service Area	Property & Technical Development Please add if applicable		
Responsible Officer(s) *	Julie Kavanagh x		
	This is the person responsible for completing, submitting and reviewing the assessment. If you get the message 'The user does not exist or is not unique'. Please enter the full email address.		
Quality Control Officer(s) *	<u>Jaswinder Gandham</u> x  This is the person responsible for checking the quality of the assessment. If you get the message 'The user does not exist or is not unique'. Please enter their full email address.		
Accountable Officer(s) *	Philip Edwards x		
	This is the person responsible for making the final decision on the EIA and the policy, plan, procedure etc. If you get the message 'The user does not exist or is not unique'. Please enter their full email address.		
Purpose of proposal *	To review the process of naming new streets and addressing proper		
Data sources	□ Survey(s)  ☑ Consultation Results □ Interviews ☑ relevant reports/strategies □ Statistical Database (please specify) □ relevant research □ Other (please specify) What sources of data have been used to produce the screening of this policy/proposal? (Please tick all that apply)		
Please include any other sources of data	National Code of Practice for Street Naming & Numbering		
ASSESS THE IMPACT AGAINST THE PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS	Include how any potential negative impact be removed or mitigated.		
Protected characteristic: Age *	□ Service Users / Stakeholders □ Employees □ Wider Community ☑ Not Applicable Please select those directly impacted or affected.		
Age details:	No impact		

For the selected characteristics, please add further details. Describe the potential positive and negative impact of the policy or service and how any negative impacts will be mitigated. Describe who is affected, how they are affected and any additional comments.

Socio-economic impacts

The clear and unambiguous addressing of properties enables emergency services to locate properties quickly which has been proven in certain circumstances to save lives.

Residents and property owners can verify their address on-line to access public and private sector services efficiently and often at lower cost.

Unique addressing makes it more difficult to use an incorrect address for fraudulent purposes

Please indicate any actions arising from completing this screening exercise.

When naming new, and renaming existing sttreets, the relevance of that name to people with protected characteristics will be considered to ensure that negativce effects are avoided

Please indicate whether a full impact assessment is recommended

NO 🗸

If yes, please continue to complete the remaining questions. If no, please go to the quality control section below.

What data has been collected to facilitate the assessment of this policy/proposal?

There is no data available on the negative effects of naming of streets

Consultation analysis

What are the main findings from the analysis of the data?

Councillors are being consulted on the draft policy and amendments will be made in accordance with relevant comments.

Adverse impact on any people with protected characteristics.

Who was consulted, what are the results of the consultation exercise?

No adverse impact is anticipated

Based on the analysis of the data does the policy/proposal have any adverse impact?

Could the policy/proposal be modified to reduce or eliminate any adverse impact? None anticipated

Can the policy/proposal be modified to reduce or eliminate any adverse impact? on any particular group(s)?

How will the effect(s) of this policy/proposal on equality be monitored?

Annual report to the Cabinet Member for Roads and Transport to consider additional suggestions for new street names

What data is required in the future?

Suggested names for new streets, whether accepted or rejected

	Please describe the data needed to ensure effective monitoring of this policy/proposal?
Are there any adverse impacts on any particular group(s)	
If yes, please explain your reasons for going ahead.	No
Initial equality impact assessment of your proposal	The revised policy includes a process for the early consideration of use of the name of people no longer living as a new street name, in deviation from the national Code of Practice. The purpose of this is to enable members of Birmingham's more recently settled comunities to commemorate celebrated members and promote good relations between that community with others which do not share the protected characteristics.
	Please give details on any initial assessment carried out. For a full assessment please complete the rest of the form. AS OF 29/11/2018 YOU ARE NO
Consulted People or Groups	LONGER REQUIRED TO COMPLETE THIS BOX.  Councillors
	AS OF 29/11/2018 YOU ARE NO LONGER REQUIRED TO COMPLETE THIS BOX
Informed People or Groups	AS OF 29/11/2018 YOU ARE NO LONGER REQUIRED TO COMPLETE THIS
Summary and evidence of findings from your EIA *	The revised policy includes a process for the early consideration of use of the name of people no longer living as a new street name, in deviation from the national Code of Practice. The purpose of this is to enable members of Birmingham's more recently settled comunities to commemorate celebrated members and promote good relations between that community with others which do not share the protected characteristics.

	findings. Attach any so	ments including any consultation or engagement source data using the attachment button above. Please mitigate against any negative impacts.		
QUALITY CONTORL SECTION				
Submit to the Quality Control Officer for reviewing?	Please tick this box and 'Save' the document once you have finished. Your nominated Quality Control Officer will by notified to review the assessment and decide whether it can proceed for approval or reject it.			
Quality Control Officer comments				
	Please untick 'Submit	to quality control officer box' before saving.		
Decision by Quality Control Officer	IMPORTANT: Quality (	Proceed for final approval  IMPORTANT: Quality Control Officer - Please untick the above box 'Submit to the Quality Control Officer for reviewing?' before provide your decision.		
Submit draft to Accountable Officer?	Quality Control Officers only - Please tick the box when you are happy for the assessment to be submitted for approval.			
Decision by Accountable Officer		Approve  IMPORTANT: Accountable Officer - Please untick the above box 'Submit draft to Accountable Officer' before providing your final decision.		
Date approved / rejected by the Accountable Officer	30/09/2022	<b>10</b>		
Reasons for approval or rejection				
Please print and save a PDF copy for your records	✓			
Version: 63.0 Created at 14/04/2022 04:53 PM by $\square$ Julie Kavanagh Last modified at 03/10/2022 08:53 AM by Workflow on behalf of $\square$ Janet L Hinks		Save Cancel		