PROJECT DEFINITION DOCUMENT (PDD)									
1. General Informati	on								
Directorate	Place	Portfolio/Committee	Skills, Learning & Culture						
Project Title	RECONFIGURATION & REFURBISHMENT OF CIVIC HOUSE, ERDINGTON FOR BIRMINGHAM ADULT EDUCATION SERVICE	Project Code	(as per Voyager)						
Project Description	savings of £1million from the reduction in the Skills Funding approximately 10% on the 14 A series of measures will nee achieve these reductions inclu	Service (BAES) as a service is a academic year 2015/16 onward g Agency grant. This represents /15 grant allocation. d to be implemented in order fou uding a rationalisation of the BA orts the rationalisation of BAES p	s. due to a reduction of r the service to ES building						
	offer a more streamlined efficient the city. BAES currently occupies two Centre in Sutton Coldfield and deliver British Sign Language Languages and courses for po Osborne Centre delivers Engli BAES deliver course which su English, Maths and IT skills and provides course up to and inco vocational skills which can su Administration and Floristry and such as Floristry and Sugar C	r, relocating the service into a hu ient service in a central location buildings in the north of the city d the Osborne Centre in Erdingt , Art and Crafts, IT, Counselling eople with learning difficulties. In lish, Maths, Pottery and Woodw upport unemployed people back re all essential skills for the work cluding level 2 (GCSE). The serv pport people into work, for exan nd Sugar Craft. Some learners of Craft will go on to a business ent	in the north of ; Boldmere on. Both centres Skills, n addition, rork and Textiles. into work. k place and BAES vice also delivers nple Business from subjects						
	Boldmere and Erdington. This 17.7% of the population of Su compared with 28.7% of the p data shows by constituency th population of Sutton Coldfield Erdington District has a signifi- 1. Boldmere Centre This building is a former scho requires a significant level of the There is a nursery based in a Nursery on a 10 year lease w 26 <sup>th</sup> June 2017; notice can be adjacent to Boldmere Centre	tinue to provide service provisions is is illustrated by recent census of the Vesey do not have Level 2 population of Erdington. August that unemployed claimants repre- and 4.9% of the population of E icant number of unemployed pe of building and is owned freehout repair internally and externally. In annexe on site. This is leased with a break clause that comes in the served 12 months prior to this was sold by BCC for residential t is anticipated that there would	data which shows qualifications, unemployment sent 0.8% of the Erdington. ople (6.2%). Id by BAES. It to Boldmere to effect on the date. The land development						
	an old Victorian brick school b which has to be patch repaire BAES do not pay for occupati repairs and maintenance of th While the rooms are a good s it to be deemed fit for purpose There is a demand by Educat	Corre Junior and Infant sch building. Regular repairs, in part of to keep the building open, are fon they are responsible for the building. Fize complete refurbishment wou and to continue to accommodation fon for further accommodation in a Pupil Placement (APP) require	icular to the roof required. Whilst utility bills and Ild be required for ate the service. n the Erdington						

Project	Civic House is a substantial three storey Birmingham City Council owned
Description	1970s office building located in the centre of Erdington. It is next door to 67
Description	Sutton New Road office building also owned by the council and is part of the Central Administration Buildings (CAB) portfolio.
	As part of the CAB transformation it was anticipated that Civic House would transfer back to the Place Directorate to be utilised as an adult education hub for the north of the city. This project forms part of the Council's LoCAL programme focusing on improving and rationalising the customer facing building portfolio and this specific proposal has been endorsed by the LoCAL Board The CAB business case identifies the savings from releasing the building as being revenue. Adult Education will become responsible for the revenue running costs for the building as of April 2016.
	The building appears not to have any major defects, although upon approval of the Cabinet report and Project Definition Document (PDD) extensive surveys will be carried out to determine the extent of any repairs/refurbishment necessary.
	The building is a 3 minute walk from the Osborne Centre and is well located for access by public transport. It is also served by a good road network and public car parking. BAES will use a variety of social media to publicise the move, including internal information to existing learners, BAES website and local networks.
	It is proposed that BAES appropriate the building at nil capital cost in April 2016 from Corporate Landlord, whose portfolio it currently sits in. The building would be refurbished and reconfigured to provide 11 classrooms. The proposal will see the existing open plan layouts of the first and second floors of Civic House converted into classrooms with the ground floor being utilised for reception and back office. Planning permission is not required.
	Consultation has commenced with staff in the format of briefings on the logistics of the proposed new location and on the initial draft layout plans for Civic house, specifically classroom sizes and classroom layouts. User consultation has also commenced in the format of surveys and posters.
	Procurement – It is proposed that the contract will be administered by Acivico and procured via the Constructing West Midlands (CWM) Framework (Lot 7). The contractor allocation will be determined from an 80:20 price: quality ratio matrix.
	A report and full business case and contract award will be presented in March 2016 with a start on site anticipated at the end of April 2016. Construction works will be completed by the end of July2016 to allow for the furniture and equipment and commissioning of the building to take place in August. The building will be operational in September 2016.
	The proposed amalgamation of the service provision currently offered at the Boldmere and Osborne Centres will allow BAES to identify future savings associated with operating two buildings and consolidating the service into one building. This will include reviewing staffing, reduced utility costs and nominal repairs and maintenance costs related to a refurbished building.

Links to Corporate	BAES is the largest Commun makes strong contributions to								
and Service	Plan 2015+ specifically 'A Pro	sperous City' – focu	sing on 'Lea	arning, skills and					
Outcomes	local employment 'and 'A Democratic City' – offering modern services that serve our citizens								
	In the academic year 2013/14 19,137 qualifications were achieved through adult education.								
Project Benefits	Opportunity to consolidate the BAES into one key building in the north								
•	of the city and to secure ongoing revenue savings.								
	<ul> <li>Retention of a valuab Coldfield</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Retention of a valuable and well used BAES in Erdington and Sutton Coldfield</li> </ul>							
	Potential to attract mo								
	purpose facility plus in the facility operates a		ng of the tim	netable to ensure					
	Release the Council f	rom ongoing mainter	nance requi	irements on					
	buildings which are n			infra atru atura					
Project	<ul> <li>Building is in a prime</li> <li>One refurbished and</li> </ul>								
Deliverables	retained classes can	be taught	•						
	<ul> <li>A building which will r 15 years +</li> </ul>	equire less frequent	repairs and	l extend its life by					
	Potential reduction in	revenue costs as it v	will only cov	er one building.					
Key Project Milestor				elivery Dates					
Project Definition Docume		-	ovember 17						
, ,	undertaken and completed	20	November 2015 - January 2016						
Full Business Case appro	oval		22 <sup>nd</sup> March 2016						
Start on site		Ар	oril 2016						
Completion on site			th July 2010	6					
Furniture and equipment	-		igust 2016	0010					
Building operational to the	Progression of this so		September						
Dependencies on other projects or	<ul> <li>Progression of this sc proposed surveys and</li> </ul>			oucome or me					
activities	Finalisation of funding	g package							
	<ul> <li>Appointment of contra</li> </ul>								
	Outcome of on-going								
Achievability	Serving notice on the     Birmingham Property		anagement	) Acivico					
	(contract administration	on) have all got exter	nsive exper	ience and					
	knowledge of working similar to this propose			ent projects					
	The project team will	include and involve t		ervice Managers					
Dreiset Menerer	in the delivery of this Lesley Steele BCM Operation		0057						
Project Manager	Lesley.Steele@birmingham.g	ov.uk							
Project	Steve Vaughan, Senior Busin Steve.vaughan@birmingham		75 5831,						
Accountant Project Sponsor	Ifor Jones, Service Director P	<u> </u>							
Project Sponsor	ifor.jones@birmingham.gov.u	k							
Proposed Project	Lesley Steele (details as above Steere BAES, Sere Smith A			above), Liz					
Board Members	Stearn, BAES, Sara Smith A Sara.Smith@Acivico.co.uk, 0								
Head of City	Parmjeet Jassal	Date of HoCF		6 November					
Finance (HoCF)		Approval		2015					
Other Mandatory Informat	tion								

# Issues and Risks updated

Yes Appendix 1A

# 2. Options Appraisal Records

Option 1	Do Nothing
Information Considered	The condition of the existing buildings that the service is delivered from. The cost of delivering the service from these buildings including staff and running costs. A joined up service delivery approach. Location and infrastructure including links to public transport Capital funding.
Pros and Cons of Option	Advantages: The service will continue to operate as it does currently for the foreseeable future until either of the buildings becomes unusable due to the level of repair/refurbishment required. No capital funding will be required and disposal of Boldmere Centre will not be necessary. Both centres are well established within the communities they serve. <b>Disadvantages:</b> The service will continue to have to pay utility bills, repair and staffing costs for both sites. Service delivery from two sites is segmented. Poor learning environment which may put off potential new learners. Education has expressed an interest in taking back the Osborne Centre to provide extra school places. This puts the service provided from this location at risk. Little scope for rationalising the service provision to remove duplication of learning modules to condense the timetable and secure savings to contribute to budget pressures.
People Consulted	Principal BAES, Cabinet Member Skills, Learning & Culture, Ward Members, existing users, BAES staff
Recommendation	Abandon
Principal Reason for Decision	The level of repair required on both buildings will increase to the point where either a large capital investment is required to improve the building or the building in closed and the service closed or relocated. Costs to maintain two buildings within a mile of each other is high

Option 2	Refurbish Boldmere Centre and transfer the service from Osborne Centre
Information Considered	The condition of the existing buildings that the service is delivered from. The cost of delivering the service from these buildings including staff and running costs. A joined up service delivery approach. Location and infrastructure including links to public transport Capital funding.
Pros and Cons of Option	Advantages:Adult Education already own and occupy the Boldmere Centre site.Revenue savings identified from vacating the Osborne Centre.The Centre is established within the communityEasier to manage the service from one buildingOn site car parking.Close to a main bus route and within walking distance of the train station.Scope to rationalise the lesson time tables and reduce staffing costsDisadvantages:A large capital sum would be required to complete the works to the standardrequired to make the building fit for purpose. There is no capital fundingavailable to AE to undertake this work.The location of Boldmere Centre may make it less accessible for somemembers of the public to access especially of an evening when publictransport is less frequent.The building has limited capacity.



People Consulted	Principal BAES, Cabinet Member Skills, Learning & Culture, Ward Members, existing users, BAES staff
Recommendation	Abandon
Principal Reason for Decision	There is no capital funding available for any works of that size to be undertaken on Boldmere Centre. The building is not fit for purpose.

Option 3	Refurbish Osborne Centre and close and transfer services from Boldmere Centre
Information Considered	The condition of the existing buildings that the service is delivered from. The cost of delivering the service from these buildings including staff and running costs. A joined up service delivery approach. Location and infrastructure including links to public transport Capital funding.
Pros and Cons of Option	Advantages:The existing building would be refurbished to increase its capacity and reduce repair costs/running costs.Revenue savings would be identified from vacating the Boldmere Centre.The Centre is established within the communityThere is onsite car parking.A capital receipt would be generated from the sale of Boldmere Centre which would contribute towards the capital works.The Osborne Centre has out buildings on site which provide the opportunity to offer pottery, woodwork and upholstery in an appropriate environment.Scope to rationalise some of the services and eliminate duplication
	<b>Disadvantages:</b> The Osborne Centre is owned by Education and makes up part of the Osborne Primary School site. There is requirement to provide extra school places. Education has expressed an interest in taking the building back to address this requirement. A large capital sum would be required to complete the works to the standard required to make the building useable and fit for purpose. BAES would be investing in a building that is not in their property portfolio and hence there would be no return for their investment if they ever vacated the premises. The building layout would need reconfiguring as access to some classrooms is via other classrooms plus the site is split into two buildings which is more difficult to manage.
People Consulted	Principal BAES, Cabinet Member Skills, Learning & Culture, Ward Members, existing users, BAES staff
Recommendation	Abandon
Principal Reason for Decision	The building would need considerable capital investment to make it fit for purpose. This would require BAES to invest in a building which they don't own. Education require the building to be handed back to provide extra school places

Option 4	Refurbish Civic House Erdington, sell Boldmere Centre and vacate Osborne Centre and move into Civic House.
Information Considered	The condition of the existing buildings that the service is delivered from. The cost of delivering the service from these buildings including staff and running costs.
	A joined up service delivery approach. Location and infrastructure including links to public transport Capital funding.
Pros and Cons of Option	Advantages: The service will have a fit for purpose hub type building on the north of the city providing a better environment for learners. The location of Civic House is central and served well by public transport, a good road network and the area is well served by free/cheap public car

	parking.
	A capital receipt would be generated from the sale of Boldmere Centre which
	would fund the capital works.
	Central location will attract users from a wider area.
	Revenue costs are reduced operating from one building
	Easier to manage the service from one building
	Disadvantages:
	The cost of the refurbishment will be significant
	Staff parking is limited.
	Some classes that are currently offered at the existing centres may be lost
	e.g. Pottery.
People Consulted	Principal BAES, Cabinet Member Skills, Learning & Culture, Ward Members,
	existing users, BAES staff
Recommendation	Proceed
Principal Reason	The sale of Boldmere Centre will generate a capital receipt to support the
for Decision	refurbishment cost of Civic House.
	This option provides an opportunity to join up service delivery and offer an
	improved timetable of classes.
Option 5	Exit both sites (Boldmere Centre and Osborne Centre) and operate a
	reduced learning offer out of community rooms.
Information	The condition of the existing buildings that the service is delivered from.
Considered	The cost of delivering the service from these buildings including staff and
	running costs.
	A joined up service delivery approach.
	Location and infrastructure including links to public transport
	Capital funding.
Pros and Cons of	Advantages:
Option	A capital receipt would be generated from the sale of Boldmere Centre.
	Reduced repairs and maintenance costs as BAES would have no liability for
	the buildings.
	BAES could access a wider area of users.
	Disadvantages:
	Difficult to manage the service from a lot of different venues scattered over a
	larger radius.
	Difficult to sustain a service when there is no long term security of venues.
	It does not offer BAES any long term stability of longevity for service
	provision making it difficult to forward plan future learning offers.
	The needs of local areas cannot be fully met.
	Hire costs would be high limiting number of classes offered.
	Staffing costs would be higher with some duplication due to service being
	devolved over a larger area.
	No control over hire/rental costs of rooms/venues which would impact on the
	budgets and also the BAES offer to the local community each year.
People Consulted	Principal BAES, Cabinet Member Skills, Learning & Culture, Ward Members,
	existing users, BAES staff
Recommendation	Abandon
Principal Reason	No long term stability for the service provision. Revenue delivery costs would
for Decision	be high. Reduced learning offer to the local communities.
	-

		3. Summary of Options Appraisal – Price/Quality Matrix									
	0	ption	s			Weighting	We	eighted Sc	core		
	1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5
Criteria											
Total Capital Cost	8	6	6	5	8	15	1.2	0.9	0.9	0.75	1.2
Upfront Revenue Cost	2	4	4	5	6	15	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.75	0.9
Full Year Revenue Consequences	2	4	4	8	3	15	0.3	0.6	0.6	1.2	0.45
Quality Evaluation Criteria											
1) Council Plan 2015 +	4	6	6	8	5	20	0.8	1.2	1.2	1.6	1
2) Service Provision	2	4	4	8	3	25	0.5	1	1	2	0.75
3) Sustainability	2	4	4	6	2	10	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.2
Total						100%	3.3	4.7	4.7	6.9	4.5

4. Option Recommended Option 4 is the preferred option to progress to Full Business Case and target cost and contract award. This would provide a high profile BAES hub facility in a good location serving the north of the city.

8. Financial Information						
	Voyager Code	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	Later Years	Totals
Capital Costs & Funding		£	£	£	£	£
<u>Expenditure</u>						
Development costs to proceed to Full Business Case		44,804	0	0	0	44,804
Other Costs to complete project						
		0		0	0	
Construction including fees and contingency		0	1,176,125	0	0	1,176,125
Furniture & Equipment		0	160,000	0	0	160,000
Total capital receipts		44,804	1,336,125	0	0	1,380,929
<u>Funding</u>						
Development costs funded by BAES Reserves		44,804	0	0	0	44,804
Other Costs Funded by : Prudential Borrowing/capital Receipts		0	1,336,125		0	1,336,125
Totals		44,804	1,336,125		0	1,380,929

Revenue Consequences					
Non-employee expenditure - Civic House	0	48,417	83,000	83,000	
Termination costs for nursery	0	0	50,000	0	
Prudential Borrowing *	0	0	98,305	98,305	
Loss Of BAES Income	0	43,510	74,588	74,588	
Totals	0	91,927	305,893	255,893	

Funded By					
Non-Employee Budget Osborne Ctre	0	44,274	106,257	106,257	
Non-Employee Budget Boldmere Ctre	0	32,906	106,974	126,974	
Revenue release from disposal	0	0	98,305	98,305	
Staff savings	0	51,000	102,000	102,000	
Totals	0	128,180	413,536	433,536	

(Savings)		0	(36,253)	(107,643)	(177,643)	
* Borrowing £1,336m over 20 years at factor of 0,7358						

\* Borrowing £1.336m over 20 years at factor of 0.7358

6. Project Development Requirements/Information						
Products required	Detailed design					
to produce Full	Structural survey					
Business Case	Floor loading assessment					
	Mechanical installation condition survey					
	Electrical installation condition survey					
	Thermal model					
	Acoustic survey					
	Drainage survey					
Estimated time to	It is anticipated that it will take 3 months to work the scheme up to target cost					
complete project	and circulate a Full Business Case and Contract Award report					
development						
Estimated cost to						
complete project	Total cost £44,804 inclusive of the following: Roof condition survey £1,500					
development						
acterophient	Structural loadings survey £1,200					
	Mechanical condition survey £1,000					
	Electrical condition survey £1,000					
	Thermal modelling £4,500					
	Acoustic survey £1,000					
	Drainage survey £3,500					
	Acivico design fee to stage D £31,104					
Funding of	BAES revenue reserve budget					
development costs						

Planned FBC	22 <sup>nd</sup> March 2016	Planned Date for	31 <sup>st</sup> August 2016
Date		Technical	
		Completion	

## Appendix 1A

### Project Definition Document: Risk Register Civic House BAES

No.	Description of risk	Impact	Probability	Existing Controls	Action Required	Lead Responsibility
1	Unforeseen additional works arise in course of contract causing additional funding requirement.	Medium	Low	All unknown elements of work will be costed as tier 1 and 2 risk and included as a contingency within the target cost	Revisit priorities and review scheme of works. Value engineering exercise to be carried out. Review activity schedule.	Acivico, Contractor, BPS
2	Work not completed on time	High	Low	Acivico & the Contractor will prepare a programme that will be reviewed at each progress meeting. Slippage of activities will be highlighted at an early stage.	Revisit programme to adjust/ reschedule activities.	Acivico Contractor BPS
3	Stakeholder expectations are undeliverable	Medium	Low	In depth consultation is being carried out with all stakeholders.	Consultation will be ongoing throughout the life of the project. Different consultation tools e.g. social media ,BAES website, meetings, display boards etc. will be used to ensure that the wider audience is included.	BAES
4	Departure of key staff members	Low	Low	Much work is done on a team basis so cover is in place	Recruit and replace	All
5	Revenue costs are unaffordable to operate the building	Low	Low	The existing revenue budget is for 2 x sites this will be transferred to one site; Civic House. Current estimated figures have identified a saving by consolidating the service into one building	The refurbishment works will look at ensuring lighting, heating etc. is designed to be sustainable and more cost effective to operate.	Corporate Finance BAES

6	New reconfigured floor layout does not get Building Regulations approval	High	Low	Acivico will consult with Building Control at an early stage of the design process to ensure that it meets all legislation	An ongoing dialogue will be maintained with Building Control throughout the delivery of the project and issues addressed as they arise.	Acivico
7	Demand for classes cannot be accommodated	Low	Low	A review will be carried out based on the classes offered at the existing centres and a new programme drafted for Civic House.	Need for classes will be reviewed and programmed accordingly	BAES