	PROJECT DEFINITION DOCUMENT (PDD)							
	1. General Information							
	Directorate	Education & Skills	Portfolio/Committee	Education and Early				
				Years				
	Project Additional Places Programme –		Project Code	CA-01903-02				
Title Basic Need Allocation 2022-23+		Basic Need Allocation 2022-23+						
		Future Years						
	Project	Department for Education (DfE) Ba	asic Need (BN) grant and Spec	cial Provision Funding				
	Description	Allocation (SPFA) is awarded to the Local Authority (LA) for the purposes of providing						
		additional mainstream and special school places respectively. These funds are allocated to						
		enable the Council to meet its statutory obligation to provide sufficient school places for our						

Additional Primary Places

The Additional Primary Places programme started in September 2010 in Birmingham. Over 21,500 additional places had been provided to date across primary and secondary schools. Reception numbers are now showing a forecast of decline as a result of falling birth rates and reduced net migration. This means that there may be a significant number of surplus

places in some areas of the city in the coming years which will need to be carefully

children. The capital projects range from remodelling of existing accommodation, new build extensions to whole new build schools. The "2022-23+ Future Years" programme will address the additional places requirements for September 2020 onwards as identified in the

School Place Planning Requirements 2018/19 to 2024/25 document (Appendix 5).

managed.

Going forwards the emphasis in primary will be to adjust supply to meet future fluctuations in demand, particularly in light of political changes. The process of removing places declared as 'surplus to requirements' will need to take place in some areas. This approach is called "decommissioning". When deciding whether places are surplus we will need to:

- Target areas where places are not expected to be required in the future; bearing in mind fluctuating in-year demand ("cohort growth") and increased housing demands. We may end up decommissioning places in one area and commissioning places in another area of the city.
- **Determine** which schools are undersubscribed and how many places are surplus to requirements. The impact on a school's future financial viability is considered alongside the quality of provision and the impact of removal of a parental choice.
- Decide whether places will be decommissioned on a temporary or permanent basis, and how the surplus space will be managed. This could mean the removal of poorer quality assets or reconfiguration of space to provide specialist or SEND (special educational needs or disability) provision.

Approximate Number of Reception places to be decommissioned

No.	2022	2023	Total 2022 - 2023
FE	8.5	32.5	41
Places	255	975	1230
1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1			

¹ SCAP 2018 as published in School Place Planning Requirements 2018/19 to 2024/25

Further moderation is ongoing to look at latest updates as a result of changes to school provision, births and migration. We are working in transitional times due to Covid-19 impacting on enrolment, as well as the impact of political changes on migration rates. Forecasts are under review and moderation before release later in 2022.

Additional Secondary Places

The Additional Secondary Places programme started in September 2014 in Birmingham, although some schools had commenced expansion and new schools had opened prior to then.

Year 7 numbers are now showing a significant forecast increase as the increased primary cohorts move through to the secondary phase. This means that additional places have been required across the city since 2018 and will be until at least 2024. The plan is to increase capacity through bulge classes in the first instance to meet immediate need, and this approach has taken place to secure places for 2019/20, 2020/21 and 2021/22. Further temporary and permanent expansions will be planned to meet need in future years. New schools are also being delivered to support additional secondary places required to open between 2021/22 to 2023/24.

Our strategy in Birmingham to meet Basic Need is set out in the School Place Planning Requirements 2018/19 to 2024/25: School Place Planning Requirements (Appendix 4 page 39) document and has 4 key strands:

- 1. Make optimum use of existing space, buildings and sites to provide sufficient, suitable, high quality additional places where needed.
- 2. Work with all schools, academies and new schools to meet Basic Need through coordinated expansion plans.
- 3. Allocate annual Basic Need Capital investment effectively and efficiently to areas where basic need requirements can only be met through either re-modelling, refurbishment or new-build projects, ensuring that the needs of our most vulnerable young people are prioritised and capital projects make best use of existing resources.
- 4. Identify alternative funding sources and models to deliver requirements including S106, school contributions, bidding opportunities, Local Co-ordinated Voluntary Aided Programme (LCVAP), Community Infrastructure Levy, future Basic Need allocations, diversion of other capital funding.

The impact on a school's financial viability is considered alongside the quality of provision and the impact of expansion on parental choice and diversity of provision.

Number of additional Year 7 places required

Year 7	No.	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	Total
SCAP 2019	FE	7	8	7	6	3	4	35
SCAP 2019	Places	210	240	210	180	90	120	1050

We are working in transitional times due to Covid-19 impacting on enrolment, as well as the impact of political changes on migration rates. Forecasts are under review and moderation before release later in 2022.

Additional Special School Places

Since 2012, we have created over 850 additional SEND places using the Basic Need allocation across mainstream and special schools.

Commissioning of places

Identification of schools to expand will be either through an Expression of Interest process where schools come forward to offer additional places or through a targeted approach where LA officers will identify schools in the right locality. In either case the only the schools meeting the following criteria will be considered for expansion:

- 1. Location in relation to Basic Need
- 2. School leadership and governance it is expected that schools that expand will be Outstanding or Good and have a strong governance practice in place.

- 3. The capacity of the school to provide suitable accommodation on the site this could be within existing space internally or externally and within planning / buildability constraints.
- 4. Popularity of the school whilst this is not a driver for expansion it is important that we recognise parental preference.
- 5. Potential of the expansion to create overprovision or reduce diversity of provision in an area this would be unwelcomed.

It may be necessary to carry out early feasibilities and enabling works, including temporary classrooms, prior to developing a scheme to a Full Business Case approval.

Once existing schools have been fully utilised it is likely that the Council will need to establish new schools through the Free School presumption route to meet any gaps in provision for both mainstream and special provision.

Future Governance and reporting back

Projects will be subject to approval through the Council's gateway processes, utilising a programme approach where appropriate.

A regular update for projects and programme over £20m will be presented to the Capital Board and an annual Cabinet report updating Cabinet on progress on delivery and outcomes as well as to seek approval for future funding.

Links to Corporate and Service Outcomes

Projects have been developed and delivered to maximise alignment with local priorities, in particular to impact on developing skills, employment opportunities, public health and community cohesion. Works will contribute to the Council Business Plan and Budget 2022+, particularly 'A Prosperous City', by ensuring the provision of school places enabling children to benefit from education through investment at a neighbourhood school.

Project Benefits	The benefit of expanding these schools will enable Birmingham City Council to meet its statutory obligation under the Education Act 1996 to provide special, primary and secondary pupil places to all of its school-age resident children. The consequences of the City Council not meeting this duty are serious and would involve considerable financial and reputational costs. This project will ensure that quality places will be available for local children thus contributing to the safeguarding agenda.		
Project	Provision of additional special and	secondary pupil places	
Deliverables	across various districts.		
Key Project Mileston	es	Planned Delivery Dates	
PDD approval by Cab	PDD approval by Cabinet		
FBC/Contract Awards approval by Cabinet		1 st June 2022 onwards	
Planned programme of works commences		1 st June 2022 onwards	
Post Implementation r	eview	Throughout 2022/23	

Dependencies on other projects or activities	 Planning permission may be required. If schools have listed status consultation with English Heritage and BCC's Conservation team may be required. Placing orders with contactor/s from May 2022 onwards Completion of statutory consultation to increase capacity Confirmation of appropriate schools across various districts Scope of work identified
Achievability	 Schools in scope for expansion are identified Programme and costs have been developed where possible Funding strategy is in place Client liaison between Edl and Acivico is taking place weekly to ensure work is instructed, monitored and delivered on time Project officers from the Edl team will oversee the delivery of the projects in consultation with key stakeholders i.e. Acivico, contractors, schools, surveyors and other property professionals. The team is extremely experienced in managing expansion project

Project Manager	Zahid Mahmood, Capital Programme Manager 0121 464 9855, <u>zahid.mahmood@birmingham.gov.uk</u>					
Project Accountant	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Project Sponsor						
Proposed Project Board Members	Jaswinder Didially, Head of Education Infrastructure 0121 675 0228, jaswinder.didially@birmingham.gov.uk Zahid Mahmood, Capital Programme Manager 0121 464 9855, zahid.mahmood@birmingham.gov.uk Clare Sandland, Head of City Finance CYP 0121 675 3570, clare.sandland@birmingham.gov.uk					
Head of City Finance (HoCF)	Date of HoCF Approval					
Other Mandatory In	Other Mandatory Information					
 Has project 	budget been set up on Voyager?	Yes				
Issues and PDD and on Ve	Risks updated (Please attach a copy to the oyager)	Yes				

2. Options Appraisal Records

opiiono i ippi anomi i todo i aio						
Option 1	Create additional places in temporary accommodation					
Information Considered	What information was considered in making the decision					
	 Best use of DfE non ring-fenced Basic Need and School Condition grants in investing in quality spaces Planning Guidance 					
	Ofsted safeguarding principles					

	Delivery of quality places			
Pros and Cons of Option	 What were the advantages/positive aspects of this option? Less cost to BCC Easier to deliver than permanent build 			
	Faster to deliver			
	Meets BCC statutory obligation to provide places			
	Can be removed once demand reduces			
	What are the disadvantages/negative aspects of this option?			
	Safeguarding risks increase as temporary buildings tend to be standalone away from the main building			
	 Governing body/parental resistance to temporary accommodation 			
	 Planning approval will not be given for more than 3 years following which units would need to be removed 			
	Isolation from main school			
	Does not improve the school environment			
People Consulted	Head Teachers, School Governors, DfE, Acivico			
	consultants, contractor partners			
Recommendation	Proceed or Abandon this Option? <i>Proceed in certain</i>			
	circumstances where provision is required for short period			
Principal Reason for	Suitable where short term solution is required.			
Decision				

	Γ=							
Option 2	To increase class sizes							
Information Considered	What information was considered in making the decision?							
	Class size legislation							
	Best use of DfE un-ring-fenced Basic Need Funding							
	Ofsted safeguarding principles							
	Teacher/HT/Governor associations							
	Delivery of quality places							
Pros and Cons of	What were the advantages/positive aspects of this							
Option	option?							
	Less cost to BCC							
	Faster to implement							
	What are the disadvantages/negative aspects of this							
	option?							
	 Does not guarantee to meet BCC statutory obligation for provision of places 							
	Not best use of DfE un-ring-fenced Basic Need							
	Infant class size legislation requires no more than 30							
	pupils to be taught by one teacher in Key Stage 1 classes.							
	 Admissions authority would have to employ additional teachers at significant cost. 							
	Safeguarding risks increase							
	Governing body/parental/Teaching Associations							
	resistance to increased class sizes							
	 Increased Health & Safety issues due to potential overcrowding 							
	Negative impact on standards							
	. 10gailt a impact of total datas							

	Negative impact on applications for places			
People Consulted	Head Teachers, School Governors, DfE, Acivico			
	consultants, contractor partners			
Recommendation	Proceed or Abandon this Option? Abandon			
Principal Reason for	Class size legislation, Trade Union/Professional			
Decision	Association and parental concerns will lead to negative			
	impact on school and reduction in applications			

Option 3	To provide permanent new build and remodelled							
opiion c	accommodation							
Information Considered	What information was considered in making the decision							
	Best use of DfE un-ring-fenced Basic Need funding							
	Planning Guidance							
	Ofsted safeguarding principles							
	Delivery of high quality places							
Pros and Cons of	What were the advantages/positive aspects of this							
Option	option?							
	Best use of DfE Basic Need funding							
	School and community (parental and wider) buy in							
	Delivers quality places							
	Will meet timescale using CWM Framework							
	Complies with safeguarding principles							
	What are the disadvantages/negative aspects of this							
	option?							
	Funding requirement							
	Possible disruption to school and community while							
_	build takes place							
People Consulted	Head Teachers, School Governors, DfE, Acivico							
	consultants, contractor partners							
Recommendation	Proceed or Abandon this Option? Proceed where							
	provision is required in the long term							
Principal Reason for	Best use of DfE Basic Need funding where long term							
Decision	solution is required.							

3. Summary of Options Appraisal – Price/Quality Matrix							
Ratings from 1 (lowest) - 10 (highest)	Options			Weighting	Weighted Score		
Criteria	1	2	3	_	1	2	3
Total Capital Cost	5	10	3	25	125	250	75
Full Year Revenue Consequences	1	5	10	5	5	25	50
Quality Evaluation Criteria							
Programme allows occupation by Sep 2022- 23	10	10	10	20	200	200	200
Effectiveness: allows delivery of quality education	1	3	10	20	20	60	200
Service delivery and service user requirements	1	2	10	20	20	40	200

and delivers quality places							
Achievable : will meet statutory responsibility on school places	10	2	10	10	100	20	100
Total				100%	470	595	825

4. Option Recommended

Which option, from those listed in the Options Appraisal Records above, is recommended and the key reasons for this decision.

Option 3 to build new and remodel existing accommodation in order to expand existing school sites to meet BCC basic need of additional special, primary and secondary places. Reasons:

- Best use of Government Grant available
- Will allow schools to meet requirements for additional places
- Can be delivered within time scales using CWM Framework
- Will meet BCC statutory obligations and provide a local place for local children.

5. Capital Costs &	Financial Year	Financial	Financial Year	Totals
Funding	2022/23	Year 2023/24	2024/25	
	£m	£m	£m	£m

Totals	17.733	22.482	10.583	49.423
Provision	4.500	2.000		6.500
S106 Funding High Needs	1.104	2.000		3.104
Special Provision Funding	0.129			0.129
Corporate Resources	10.000	6.482		16.482
Funding Basic Need Grant	2.000	12.000	9.208	23.208
Totals	17.733	22.482	10.583	49.423
Implementation Cost High Needs	4.500	2.000		6.500
Implementation Cost SPFA	0.129			0.129
Implementation Cost Basic Need	11.104	20.482	9.208	40.794
Expenditure Development Funding to proceed to Full Business Case (BN & HNP)	2.000			2.000

6. Project Development Requirements/Information				
Products required to	The work includes:			
produce Full Business	Selection of school			
Case	A range of detailed surveys, many of which are intrusive			
	 Extensive feasibility work in preparing and agreeing schemes with the Client and each school end user Scheme design and specification by all disciplines to a stage 			
	where Planning and Building Regulations applications can be submitted including payment of their fees			
	Detailed design			
	Specification,			

	 Project planning Procurement to a stage where contracts can be entered into and the scheme built.
Estimated time to	Up to 3 months to complete all programmes to stage D design
complete project	and obtain target costs for schemes. FBC`s will then be provided
development	for final programme.
Estimated cost to	Development of proposals to FBC/Contract Award stage by Edl
complete project	and Acivico are estimated at £2,000,000. These costs will be
development	incurred in progressing each scheme to stage D, development of
	design and cost plan, after which contracts can be entered into
	and construction can begin.
Funding of	DfE Basic Need Grant
development costs	

Planned FBC	April	Planned date for Technical	Throughout 2022/23
date	2022onwards	Completion	_