

Birmingham City Council

Report to Cabinet

14th May 2019



Subject: Houses in Multiple Occupation Article 4 Direction

Report of: Director, Inclusive Growth

Relevant Cabinet Members: Councillor Ian Ward, Leader of the Council
Councillor Sharon Thompson, Cabinet Member for Homes and Neighbourhoods
Councillor John Cotton, Cabinet Member for Social Inclusion, Community Safety and Equalities

Relevant O &S Chair(s): Councillor Penny Holbrook, Housing & Neighbourhoods

Report author: Uyen-Phan Han, Planning Policy Manager,
Telephone No: 0121 303 2765
Email Address: uyen-phan.han@birmingham.gov.uk

Are specific wards affected?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, name(s) of ward(s): All wards		
Is this a key decision?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
If relevant, add Forward Plan Reference: 006417/2019		
Is the decision eligible for call-in?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Does the report contain confidential or exempt information?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

1 Executive Summary

- 1.1 Cabinet approval is sought to authorise the making of a city-wide direction under Article 4 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015. This will remove permitted development rights for the change of use of dwelling houses (C3 Use Class) to houses in multiple occupation (C4 Use Class) that can accommodate up to 6 people.
- 1.2 Cabinet approval is also sought to authorise the cancellation of the Selly Oak, Harborne and Edgbaston Article 4 Direction made under Article 4(1) of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 1995. This is to avoid duplication as the city-wide Article 4 Direction will cover these areas.

2 Recommendations

- 2.1 That Cabinet authorises the Director, Inclusive Growth to prepare a non-immediate Article 4 direction which will be applied to the City Council's administrative area to remove permitted development rights for the change of use of dwelling houses (C3 use) to small houses in multiple occupation (C4 use).
- 2.2 That Cabinet authorise the cancellation of the existing Article 4 direction covering Selly Oak, Harborne and Edgbaston. The cancellation will take effect on the same day that the new city-wide Article 4 direction comes in to force.
- 2.3 That notice of the new Article 4 direction, and cancellation of the existing Article 4 direction, are publicised for a period of at least six weeks, to allow members of the public to submit comments on the proposals.
- 2.4 That Cabinet receive a further report following the end of the representation period to consider any comments received during the representation period and to consider whether in light of these comments, the new direction should be confirmed and the cancellation of the existing direction should be confirmed.

3 Background

- 3.1 The Government re-categorised the change of use of C3 family housing to C4 small HMOs as permitted development in April 2010. This means that any such proposals do not require a planning application to be submitted to the City Council. Larger HMOs accommodating more than 6 people continue to require planning approval. The proposed city-wide Article 4 Direction will therefore only apply to the creation of smaller HMOs but it will allow a consistent approach to be applied for all HMO developments throughout the city.
- 3.2 HMOs are recognised as meeting important and specific housing needs within the city. Policies TP27 and TP30 of the adopted Birmingham Development Plan (BDP) seek to create mixed, balanced and sustainable neighbourhoods by requiring all new residential development to deliver a wide choice of housing sizes, types and tenures. High concentrations of HMOs can present challenges to the future sustainability of neighbourhoods and impact on their character and residential amenity. In connection with this, the Community Cohesion Strategy identifies that insecure housing and high levels of transience are an area of concern in the city.
- 3.3 Local councillors and residents in a number of wards across the city have expressed concern about the high concentration of HMOs in their area. Some of these comments have suggested that it may be appropriate to apply further Article 4 directions, to enable the creation of new HMOs to be managed in these areas.
- 3.4 In response to these concerns, the City Council has undertaken an exercise to identify and map the existing distribution of HMOs across the city. The distribution of these HMOs confirms that there are significant concentrations in particular areas of the city, particularly in Bournbrook / Selly Oak / Harborne /

Edgbaston, North Edgbaston / Ladywood, Handsworth / Lozells / Soho, Erdington / Gravelly Hill and Balsall Heath West / Moseley. It also confirms that while there are concentrations in such areas, there is also a reasonably even spread of HMOs across the rest of the city with the exception of the far north where the distribution is more sparse.

- 3.5 Taking in to account this pattern of distribution, officers have identified a number of different options for how Article 4 directions could be applied. These are explained in more detail below but in summary they include a single city-wide direction, multiple area-based directions which are focused on the locations where concentrations have been identified, or not applying any further Article 4 directions.
- 3.6 Having considered the advantages and disadvantages of each option, officers recommend to Cabinet that a city-wide Article 4 direction should be applied, as this will enable the most consistent and comprehensive approach to be applied to manage the distribution of HMOs across the city.
- 3.7 All of the options are capable of being supported by the new preferred policy approach to manage the distribution of HMOs, which was recently subject to public consultation within the Development Management in Birmingham document. The preferred policy would carry forward the criteria contained within the Planning Policy Document for the existing Article 4 direction covering parts of Selly Oak, Harborne and Edgbaston Wards, i.e. that an over-concentration of HMO properties would be considered in cases where they constitute more than 10% of residential properties within 100 metres of an application site. The proposed new policy also includes criteria to prevent the sandwiching of C3 housing by C4 uses and other non-family housing, and also to prevent a continuous frontage of three or more non-family houses.
- 3.8 The existing Selly Oak, Harborne and Edgbaston Article 4 direction was confirmed by Cabinet in September 2014. It will be necessary to cancel the existing direction as the removal of permitted development rights will be covered by the proposed new city-wide Article 4 direction. It is proposed that the cancellation will take place at the same time as the confirmation of the new city-wide Article 4 direction.
- 3.9 The process for making and cancelling Article 4 directions is set out within Schedule 3 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015. This requires local authorities to publicise the proposed direction via the following means;
- Local advertisements of the direction;
 - Display of a minimum of two notices in different locations for a minimum period of six weeks;
 - Notifying owners and occupiers within the affected area (these regulations can be relaxed where this would be impractical, for example across a very large area such as the entire city)

- Sending the above documentation to the Secretary of State for review.
- 3.10 Although not a statutory obligation, it is considered good practice for notice of the direction to be published on the local authority website.
- 3.11 Following this representation period and after considering any comments received, a further report to Cabinet will be required to consider any comments and seek approval to confirm the direction if appropriate. It is recommended that if Cabinet confirm the direction, the direction should not come into force until a period of 12 months has passed. This is because there is a risk that in the event of an immediate Article 4 direction, compensation claims could be made against the City Council by landowners and developers for abortive expenditure or losses and damages directly related to the withdrawal of permitted development rights. Allowing a 12 month grace period for enforcing the city-wide Article 4 direction would enable developers of new small HMOs to become aware of the removal of these rights before planning and commencing the conversion of such properties. The date that the Article 4 direction is confirmed must be within two years following the date on which the representation period began.
- 3.12 Once the Article 4 direction has been confirmed, the local planning authority must as soon as practicable:
- a) Give notice of the confirmation and date the Article 4 direction comes into force to affected owners and occupiers in the same way as required for the notification of the making of the direction (see paragraph 3.9 above);
 - b) Send a copy of the Article 4 direction to the Secretary of State.
- 3.13 The same process is to be followed in order to cancel the existing Article 4 direction covering the Selly Oak, Harborne and Edgbaston wards and it is proposed that this should take place alongside the process for the new Article 4 direction as set out above.
- 3.14 The evidence underpinning the proposed Article 4 direction can also be used to support the work of the Neighbourhood Directorate in exploring potential ways that selective and additional licencing can be introduced and monitored in the city, including addressing the impacts of increasing numbers of unregulated supported exempt providers.

4 Options considered and Recommended Proposal

Option 1: City-Wide Article 4 Direction

- 4.1 **This is the recommended option.** It will provide a blanket approach to be applied across the city, with an Article 4 direction put in place to remove permitted development rights for all future conversions of family housing to HMOs. The advantages of this option are that it would ensure consistency and more comprehensive management of HMO distribution in the future. The disadvantages include greater demands on the City Council's resources to process planning applications and enforce planning decisions.

Option 2: Area-Based Article 4 Directions

- 4.2 This option would involve applying a number of smaller Article 4 directions to cover locations where high numbers and concentrations of HMOs appears to be an issue and where the impacts of them are being felt. The advantages of this option are that it would be a more targeted approach that would be less resource intensive to administer, although the disadvantages would be that the designation process would be more resource intensive as it would require multiple periods of publicity and overall there would be an inconsistent approach to managing the creation of new small HMOs across the city.

Option 3: Do Nothing

- 4.3 This option would see a continuation of the existing approach, with the existing Article 4 direction covering Selly Oak, Harborne and Edgbaston remaining in place and no further Article 4 directions being applied. The advantages of this option would be that there would be no further resources required to apply further Article 4 directions but the disadvantages would include the lack of management and potentially increased proliferation of new HMOs across the city.

5 Consultation

- 5.1 The work has been led by officers in the Planning Policy and the Service Development teams within the Inclusive Growth Directorate. Officers from the HMO Licensing, Development Management and Council Tax teams have been heavily engaged, particularly in providing the data to identify the locations and extent of existing HMOs across the city. Meetings have been held with individual Elected Members who have raised concerns about the numbers and concentrations of HMOs within their Wards.
- 5.2 The views of all of the City Council's Elected Ward Members, residents, property owners and businesses within the city will be sought as part of the representation period for the direction. These views will then be considered as part of the process for confirming the Article 4 direction, which will be the subject of a further Cabinet Report.

6 Risk Management

- 6.1 With an immediate Article 4 direction there is potential for applicants to claim compensation from local planning authorities if they have had planning permission refused for a development scheme that they would normally be able to carry out under permitted development rights. Any such compensation claims can only be made against abortive expenditure or losses and damages directly related to the withdrawal of permitted development rights¹. To avoid the risk of such compensation claims being made against the City Council it is recommended that a non-immediate Article 4 direction is applied, with a lead-in

¹ Provision made under [Section 108 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990](#)

time of 12 months to be provided before the direction would be brought in to force. The maximum period of time that an Article 4 direction can be applied after being confirmed is two years following the date on which the representation period began.

7 Compliance Issues:

7.1 How are the recommended decisions consistent with the City Council's priorities, plans and strategies?

7.1.1 The city-wide Article 4 direction will contribute towards the vision contained in the City Council's Plan 2018-2022, in particular Outcome 4 'Birmingham is a great city to live in'. It will also have a role to play in the City Council's management and control of 'insecure housing and high levels of transience' which is identified as a concern within the Community Cohesion Strategy (2018).

7.1.2 Implementation and enforcement of the direction will be supported by preferred policy DM10 in the Development Management in Birmingham document which has recently been subject to public consultation. It will also support policies PG3 (Place making), TP27 (Sustainable neighbourhoods), TP30 (The type, size and density of new housing), TP31 (Affordable housing), TP32 (Housing regeneration) and TP35 (The existing housing stock) of the adopted Birmingham Development Plan (2017).

7.2 Legal Implications

7.2.1 Article 4 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015 (as amended) allows local planning authorities to make Directions withdrawing permitted development rights where the authority considers it expedient that development should not be carried out unless express planning permission has been obtained for the same. Government Guidance contained in the National Planning Policy Framework and the Planning Practice Guidance advises that Article 4 Directions to remove national permitted development rights should be limited to situations where this is necessary to protect local amenity or the wellbeing of the area. The potential harm that the direction is intended to address should be clearly identified. There should be a particularly strong justification for the withdrawal of permitted development rights relating to a wide area (e.g. those covering the entire area of a local planning authority).

7.2.2 Once a non-immediate Direction comes into force, a planning application will be required for any change of use from C3 (dwellinghouse) to C4 (small HMO) city wide. Permitted development rights will remain to change from C4 use to C3.

7.2.3 Article 4 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015 (as amended) also allows local planning authorities to cancel existing Article 4 directions so that permitted development rights which were previously removed are restored. Once the non-immediate Cancellation Direction comes into force, the new City-wide Article 4 Direction will also take effect which means that a planning application will be required for any change of use from C3 (dwellinghouse) to C4 (small HMO) city wide. Permitted development rights will remain to change from C4 use to C3.

7.3 Financial Implications

7.3.1 The total estimated revenue cost of publicising both the City-wide Article 4 Direction and the Selly Oak, Harborne and Edgbaston Cancellation Direction, principally through notices in the local press, is £5,000, to be funded from existing service budgets.

7.3.2 The resource cost implications arising from processing increased numbers of planning applications and enforcing the city-wide Direction are anticipated to be met from within existing Inclusive Growth planning budgets or from additional planning fee income generated as a result. However, this will be closely monitored to ensure that sufficient resources are available.

7.3.3 As detailed within Section 6 'Risk Management', there is potential for applicants to claim compensation from local planning authorities if they have planning permission refused for a development scheme that they would normally be able to carry out under permitted development rights. Whilst a lead-in time of 12 months is proposed to be provided before the city-wide Article 4 direction is brought into force to limit such compensation claims, it is anticipated that any residual compensation claims arising after this time will be limited and met from within existing budgets or from additional planning income generated as a result of the Direction.

7.4 Procurement Implications (if required)

7.4.1 No implications

7.5 Human Resources Implications (if required)

7.5.1 No implications

7.6 Public Sector Equality Duty

7.6.1 The proposal supports good relations and community cohesion between different communities by encouraging greater mixed housing provision. The initial findings of the equality assessment will be updated following the review of representations received.

7.6.2 The results of the public consultation on the draft document will be used to update the Equalities Analysis and inform the final policy when it is brought forward for adoption by the City Council.

7.6.3 Maintaining an appropriate proportion of HMOs in an area will provide more mixed and diverse communities, increase custom for local businesses, provide a greater local workforce and provide a greater choice of accommodation for local residents. The impacts and benefits of HMOs will become more manageable through the application of one or more Article 4 directions and the policy approach proposed within preferred policy DM10 of the Development Management in Birmingham DPD.

8 Appendices

8.1 Draft City-Wide Article 4 Direction

8.2 Draft Notice for Proposed City-Wide Article 4 Direction

8.3 Draft Selly Oak, Harborne and Edgbaston Article 4 Cancellation Direction

8.4 Draft Notice for Cancellation of Selly Oak, Harborne and Edgbaston Article 4 Direction

8.5 Technical Paper – Options for Applying Article 4 Directions for the Creation of New Small HMOs

9 Background Documents

9.1 Cabinet Member Report 9th September 2014; Policy for managing houses in multiple occupation in the proposed Article 4 Direction area

9.2 Cabinet Report 15th September 2014; Confirmation of Article 4 direction relating to houses in multiple occupation in parts of Selly Oak, Harborne and Edgbaston

9.3 Article 4 Direction and supporting Planning Policy Document for Selly Oak, Harborne and Edgbaston (2014)

**TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (GENERAL PERMITTED DEVELOPMENT)
(ENGLAND) ORDER 2015 (AS AMENDED)****DIRECTION MADE UNDER ARTICLE 4(1)**

WHEREAS BIRMINGHAM CITY COUNCIL being the appropriate local planning authority within the meaning of article 4(5) of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015 ("the Order"), are satisfied that it is expedient that development of the description(s) set out in Schedule 1 below should not be carried out on the Land shown edged red on the attached plan at Schedule 2 ("the Land"), unless planning permission is granted on an application made under Part III of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended.

NOW THEREFORE the said Council in pursuance of the power conferred on them by article 4(1) of the Order hereby direct that the permission granted by article 3 of the said Order shall not apply to development on the said land of the description(s) set out in Schedule 1 below:

SCHEDULE 1

Development consisting of a change of use of a building from a use falling within Class C3 (dwellinghouses) of the Schedule to the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 (as amended) to a use falling within Class C4 (houses in multiple occupation) of that Schedule, being development comprised within Class L(b) of Part 3 of Schedule 2 to the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015 and not being development comprised within any other Class.

Made under the Common Seal of Birmingham City
Council this day of 2019.

The Common Seal of Birmingham City Council was
affixed to this Direction in the presence of:

Authorised signatory

SCHEDULE 2: PLAN

Proposed Article 4 Direction Boundary



STATUTORY NOTICE

**TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (GENERAL PERMITTED DEVELOPMENT)
(ENGLAND) ORDER 2015 (AS AMENDED)**

**NOTICE OF INTENDED CITY WIDE ARTICLE 4 DIRECTION TO BE MADE
UNDER ARTICLE 4(1) OF THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (GENERAL
PERMITTED DEVELOPMENT) (ENGLAND) ORDER 2015 (“the Order”)**

BIRMINGHAM CITY COUNCIL (“THE COUNCIL”) GIVES YOU NOTICE that the Council intend to confirm the City Wide Article 4 Direction (“the Direction”) relating to the Land in Birmingham City specified in Schedule 2 to the Direction.

The effect of the City Wide Article 4 Direction is that permission granted by Article 3 of the Order shall not apply to the Land specified in Schedule 2 to the Direction.

A copy of City Wide Article 4 Direction 2019 and a copy of the map defining the Land may be viewed at the offices of the Council’s Planning and Development Department, 1 Lancaster Circus Queensway, Birmingham, B4 7DJ during business hours or can be viewed on the Council’s website.

The Council invites representations concerning the City Wide Article 4 Direction 2019 between X 2019 and X 2019 that being a period of at least 21 days from the date of this Notice and 6 weeks from when the Notice was displayed within the land.

Subject to the outcome of consideration of any representations received between X 2019 and X 2019, the City Wide Article 4 Direction 2019 shall be confirmed by the Council and take effect on X 2020.

BIRMINGHAM CITY COUNCIL
TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990 (AS AMENDED)
TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (GENERAL PERMITTED DEVELOPMENT) (ENGLAND) ORDER 2015
(AS AMENDED)

CANCELLATION OF DIRECTION MADE UNDER ARTICLE 4(1) OF THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (GENERAL PERMITTED DEVELOPMENT) ORDER 1995 USING ARTICLE 4(1) OF THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (GENERAL PERMITTED DEVELOPMENT) (ENGLAND) ORDER 2015

THE SELLY OAK, HARBORNE AND EDGBASTON ARTICLE 4 CANCELLATION DIRECTION 2019

WHEREAS

Birmingham City Council (“the Council”) being the appropriate local planning authority within the meaning of article 4 (5) of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015 (as amended) (“the Order”) is satisfied that it is expedient that the Selly Oak, Harborne and Edgbaston Article 4(1) Direction (a copy of which is attached as Schedule 1 to this Direction) should be cancelled.

NOW THEREFORE the Council in pursuance of the power conferred on them by article 4 (1) and Schedule 3(1) (13) of the Order hereby directs that the Selly Oak, Harborne and Edgbaston Article 4 Direction is cancelled.

THIS CANCELLATION DIRECTION if confirmed shall come into force on [insert date]

Made under the Common Seal of Birmingham City Council thisday of2019

The Common Seal of Birmingham City Council was hereto affixed to this Direction in the presence of

Authorised Signatory

SCHEDULE 1

BIRMINGHAM CITY COUNCIL**TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (GENERAL PERMITTED DEVELOPMENT)
ORDER 1995 AS AMENDED****DIRECTION MADE UNDER ARTICLE 4(1) TO WHICH ARTICLE 5 APPLIES**

WHEREAS Birmingham City Council being the appropriate local planning authority within the meaning of Article 4(4) of the General Permitted Development Order, as satisfied that it is expedient that development of the description(s) set out in the Schedule below should not be carried out on the land shown edged/coloured red on the attached plan, unless planning permission is granted on an application made under Part 3 III of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended.

NOW THEREFORE the said Council in pursuance of the power conferred on them by Article 4(1) of the Town and Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995 (as amended), hereby direct that the permission granted by Article 3 of the General Permitted Development Order shall not apply to development on the said land of the description(s) set out in the Schedule below.

THIS DIRECTION is made under Article 4(1) of the said Order, in accordance with Article 5, shall come into force on the 30th day of November 2014.

SCHEDULE

Development consisting of a change of use of a building to a use falling within Class C4 (houses in multiple occupation) of the Schedule of the Use Classes Order from a use falling within Class C3 (dwellinghouse) of that Schedule being development comprised within Class 1 of Part 3 of Schedule 2 of the General Permitted Development Order and not being development comprised within any other Class.


Confirmed under the Common Seal of Birmingham City Council on this 19th day of September 2014.

The Common Seal of Birmingham City Council was affixed to the Direction in the presence of




**Authorised
Signatory**

Chief Executive Officer



119636

Article 4 Direction Area



Development Directorate
1 Lancaster Circus
Queensway
Birmingham B2 2JE.

Not to Scale



© Crown Copyright and database right 2013 Licence No. 100021326
You are not permitted to copy, sub-licence, distribute or sell any of this data to third parties in any form

STATUTORY NOTICE

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (GENERAL PERMITTED DEVELOPMENT) (ENGLAND) ORDER 2015 (AS AMENDED)

NOTICE OF INTENDED CANCELLATION OF DIRECTION MADE UNDER ARTICLE 4(1) OF THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (GENERAL PERMITTED DEVELOPMENT) ORDER 1995 USING ARTICLE 4(1) OF THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (GENERAL PERMITTED DEVELOPMENT) (ENGLAND) ORDER 2015 (“the Order”)

BIRMINGHAM CITY COUNCIL (“THE COUNCIL”) GIVES YOU NOTICE that the Council intend to cancel the Article 4 Direction (“the Direction”) relating to the land in parts of the Selly Oak, Harborne and Edgbaston Wards.

The effect of the cancellation of the Direction is that permission granted by Article 3 of the Order shall apply to the land specified in Schedule 1 to the Direction and that such development can be carried out on the land in accordance with the Order.

A copy of the Selly Oak, Harborne and Edgbaston, Article 4(1) Cancellation Direction 2019 and a copy of the map defining the land may be viewed at the offices of the Council’s Planning and Development Department, 1 Lancaster Circus Queensway, Birmingham, B4 7DJ during business hours or can be viewed on the Council’s website.

The Council invites representations concerning the Selly Oak, Harborne and Edgbaston, Article 4(1) Cancellation Direction 2019 between X 2019 and X 2019 that being a period of at least 21 days from the date of this Notice and 6 weeks from when the Notice was displayed within the land.

Subject to the outcome of consideration of any representations received between X 2019 and X 2019, the Selly Oak, Harborne and Edgbaston, Article 4(1) Cancellation Direction 2019 shall be confirmed by the Council and take effect on X 2020.



Planning Policy Technical Paper

**Options Analysis for Applying New Article 4 Directions to Remove Permitted
Development Rights for the Change of Use of C3 housing to C4 HMOs**

May 2019

Executive Summary

This technical paper explores the evidence and options for Birmingham City Council to apply further Article 4 directions to manage the future creation of new Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMOs) that can accommodate between 3 and 6 unrelated people. Larger HMOs that can accommodate more than 6 people already require planning approval and so any Article 4 direction would only apply to the creation of smaller HMOs.

The paper includes an exploration of different options that have been identified for how Article 4 directions could be applied in the city. The options that have been identified are as follows;

- Option 1: a city-wide direction which would provide a blanket approach to the creation of all new HMOs;
- Option 2: a number of different Article 4 directions that cover specific areas of the city, where there are existing concentrations of HMOs or where there is a high potential for future concentrations, or;
- Option 3: a 'do nothing' approach to apply no further Article 4 directions in the city.

These options are explored in more detail at the end of this paper.

Summary of Main Points

- The City Council has previously applied an Article 4 direction to remove permitted development rights for the change of use from dwellings to HMOs in parts of Selly Oak, Edgbaston and Harborne.
- Further data gathering of HMO licencing, council tax and planning application records has shown that, whilst there are particular concentrations of HMOs in areas such as Selly Oak, Ladywood/North Edgbaston, Handsworth, Perry Barr, Erdington and Acocks Green, there is otherwise a reasonably even distribution of HMOs across the city. The exception is in the north of the city in and around Sutton Coldfield where the distribution of HMOs is relatively sparse.
- This suggests that the option to apply different Article 4 directions in specific areas could be applied, but there may be a risk that such an approach will push the creation of new HMOs to other nearby areas.
- A city-wide Article 4 direction would enable a consistent approach to be applied to manage the overall distribution of HMOs in the city, but this would also cover areas that do not have significant concentrations.
- The process of applying an Article 4 direction is prescribed by the Use Classes Order (2015). This requires a 28 day public consultation period whereby the proposed direction is publicised via advertisements, site notices and (where practical) notifying all owners and occupiers in the area affected.
- The consultation process would have to be followed each time an area-based Article 4 direction is proposed, but only once if a city-wide direction is applied.
- An increased number of planning applications will need to be submitted to, and processed by, the City Council as a result of new Article 4 directions. A city-wide direction will result in more planning applications than area-based directions.

- A non-immediate direction would allow for a specified period of time (e.g. 12 months) to allow future applicants to be made aware of the intention to remove permitted development rights.
- The Development Management in Birmingham Preferred Options Consultation Document contains a detailed preferred planning policy that could be applied whichever option is applied. This seeks to ensure that no more than 10% of residential properties in an area are HMOs, that there would not be continuous frontages of such properties, and that family houses do not become sandwiched between non family housing. Non family housing is defined as including HMOs, student accommodation, hotels, hostels, nursing homes and self-contained flats.
- Through the existing Selly Oak Article 4 direction, the City Council has developed effective mapping tools and officer experience which will aid decision making if further Article 4 directions are to be applied.

1. Introduction

Background

- 1.1 In 2009, the Government consulted on how best to address the impact of high concentrations of HMOs in certain areas. In 2010, the Government amended the Use Classes Order to create a new use class for HMOs, meaning that changes of use from a dwelling (Class C3) to a HMO (Class C4) would require a planning application.
- 1.2 This was followed by a further change to reduce bureaucracy in areas where HMOs were not a problem by removing the requirement for a planning application for changes of use from a dwelling to a small HMO accommodating six people or less. The Government allowed planning authorities to serve an Article 4 Direction removing permitted development rights for changes of use from C3 to C4 where this was an issue. This allows local authorities, for example, to prevent existing concentrations of HMOs from worsening and prevent new concentrations forming in other areas.

National Planning Policy and Legislation:

- 1.3 There are two national statutory instruments that are relevant to the use of buildings as HMOs; the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 (as amended) – commonly referred to as the ‘Use Classes Order’ – and the General Permitted Development Order (GPDO, 2015).
- 1.4 The Use Classes Order defines two different categories of HMO as follows:
 - Smaller HMOs that contain between 3 and 6 people who are unrelated to each other. These are identified under use class C4.
 - Larger HMOs containing more than 6 unrelated people, which are identified as a ‘sui generis’ use.
- 1.5 The GPDO provides permitted development rights to convert ordinary family housing (C3 use class) to small C4 HMO use without the need for planning approval. Larger sui generis HMOs on the other hand will always require planning approval to be created from either C3 housing or any other land use.
- 1.6 The GPDO also includes measures for local planning authorities to remove such permitted rights from certain types of development and within certain defined locations. These measures are provided for within Article 4 of the GPDO and are therefore known as ‘Article 4 directions’.
- 1.7 Paragraph 53 of the revised National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) states that “The use of Article 4 directions to remove national permitted development rights should be limited to situations where this is necessary to protect local amenity or the well-being of the area”.
- 1.8 Planning Practice Guidance supplements this and states that “The potential harm that the direction is intended to address should be clearly identified” and that “There should be a particularly strong

justification for the withdrawal of permitted development rights relating to a wide area (e.g. those covering the entire area of a local planning authority”¹

- 1.9 It must be noted that introducing such a direction does not mean that future proposals for change of use from C3 to C4 housing would be refused but it would allow the City Council to have greater scrutiny of such proposals coming forward within the area affected by the direction. The City Council’s own local planning policies, such as that proposed within the Development Management in Birmingham DPD, can however set out criteria for when new HMOs would or would not be considered to be acceptable.

Local Planning Policy:

- 1.10 Policies TP27 and TP30 of the adopted Birmingham Development Plan (BDP) seek to create mixed, balanced and sustainable neighbourhoods by requiring all new residential development to deliver a wide choice of housing sizes, types and tenures. As HMOs are meeting a specific need for housing they do have a role to play in meeting these policy requirements for mixed and balanced communities but a proliferation of HMOs in an area can have the opposite effect.
- 1.11 To add further detail to the BDP policies, the Development Management in Birmingham Development Plan Document (DMB) Preferred Options Consultation Document includes a preferred policy (Policy DM10) which would manage the creation of new HMOs. The policy would apply to all applications for HMOs (large Sui Generis HMOs and small C4 HMOs where an Article 4 Direction exists). The criteria that it prescribes for considering whether or not a HMO would be appropriate are as follows;
- where it would not result in more than 10% of residential properties within a 100 metres radius of the application site being HMOs;
 - where it would not result in a C3 dwelling house being sandwiched between two HMOs or other non-family residential uses (e.g. hotels, care homes or student accommodation);
 - where it would not result in a continuous frontage of three or more non-family houses, and;
 - where it complies with relevant standards for HMOs and the DMB DPD policy relating to standards for residential development (Policy DM11).
- 1.12 The policy is worded in such a way that it can support either city-wide or area-based Article 4 Directions. Its implementation would be supported by mapped data showing the location of all residential properties and HMOs in the area affected by the direction. The City Council’s GIS team has been preparing this mapped data at a city-wide scale to help to inform the future approach. This is described in further detail below.
- 1.13 Once adopted, Policy DM10 will replace the existing policy on HMOs contained in the saved policies of the Unitary Development Plan (2005) and the planning policy for the Article 4 Direction Area of Selly Oak, Harborne and Edgbaston (2014).

¹ [Paragraph: 038 Reference ID: 13-038-20140306](#)

The impacts of concentrations of HMOs

- 1.14 The BDP recognises that different types of residential accommodation are important to meeting the wide ranging housing needs of people in the city. The housing market both nationally and locally has seen trends of rising house prices, falling ownership and an expanding – but increasingly unfit – private rented sector. The growth of the private rented sector is likely to correlate with a growth of HMOs. A balance must be struck between meeting the wide range of housing need, including people on low incomes and providing for larger family housing and managing the potential negative impacts of harmful concentrations of HMOs.
- 1.15 High concentrations of HMOs can cause a number of negative impacts on local communities. This is largely due to:
- harm to residential character and amenity, particularly through increased noise nuisance and disturbance, increased pressure on parking, additional refuse, and adverse impacts on the physical environment; and
 - imbalance to communities, due to higher levels of population transience and loss of housing suitable for families undermining objectives to create mixed communities;
 - a high proportion of privately rented accommodation with short-term lets where the standards of upkeep of the property are generally lower.
- 1.16 Larger HMOs are likely to have a proportionately greater impacts on surrounding occupants and neighbourhoods as each additional resident will increase the level of activity, for example through more frequent comings and goings, different patterns of behaviour and consequential noise and disturbance. A property occupied by a group of unconnected adults is likely to have a greater impact than a typical family home with a similar number of occupants as lifestyles and movement patterns will be less connected.
- 1.17 Equally, the cumulative effect of incremental intensification in an area caused by numerous changes of use from small HMO to large HMOs can be significant, affecting both immediate neighbours and the wider area. In connection with this, the Community Cohesion Strategy identifies that insecure housing and high levels of transience are an area of concern in the city.
- 1.18 Local councillors and residents in a number of wards across the city have expressed concern about the high concentration of HMOs in their area.. Some of these comments have suggested that it may be appropriate to apply further Article 4 directions, to enable the creation of new HMOs to be managed in these areas.
- 1.19 In response to these concerns, the City Council has undertaken an exercise to identify and map the existing distribution of HMOs across the city and explore how Article 4 Directions can be applied in the city.

2. Data and Evidence on HMOs in Birmingham

- 2.1 To provide evidence to determine whether or not Article 4 directions would be appropriate to apply at either an area-based or city-wide scale, the City Council's Planning Policy and GIS teams have

been undertaking an exercise to map existing HMO properties across the city. This has been informed by combining data from the following sources;


- licensed HMO properties;
- planning approvals for the creation of new HMOs;
- council tax records that show the property to be in a shared use.

- 2.2 In total, 6,128 individual HMOs have been identified across the city. Of these, 1,082 have been identified from the HMO licensing data, 443 from previous planning approvals for the creation of new HMOs and 3,594 have been identified from council tax records. This leaves 1,009 properties which have been identified from more than one of the above sources.
- 2.3 It must be noted that each of these data sources have been collated to meet the specific requirements of the service area that they originate from and so they each include or exclude different types of HMO accommodation. Further explanation on these differences is described below.
- 2.4 In regard to licensing of HMO properties, the City Council operates a mandatory only licensing scheme, as defined under Subsection 254(5) and Schedule 14 to the Housing Act 2004. This means that the following types of property are not considered to be HMOs for the purposes of licensing:
- properties managed by a local authority or registered social landlord;
 - student halls of residence;
 - buildings occupied by religious communities;
 - predominantly owned by owner-occupiers;
 - occupied by persons who form two households; or
 - occupied by a resident landlord and a maximum of two other households who are not part of the landlord's household
- 2.5 Where properties do not fall within any of the above categories but can accommodate 5 or more people and include shared facilities such as kitchens and bathrooms, they will be categorised as an individual HMO licensable unit. In a converted or purpose built block of flats this can mean that there are multiple HMOs which are counted separately from owner occupied units within the same building.
- 2.6 The planning approval data will identify larger HMOs accommodating more than 6 people that have been created since 2010, when the national planning regulations were changed. The exception to this is in Selly Oak, Edgbaston and Harborne where the creation of smaller HMOs accommodating between 3 and 6 people have been identified since 2014, when the Article 4 direction covering this area was brought in to force.
- 2.7 The council tax data includes only 'Class N' exempted properties, where the property is occupied solely by full-time students. This data has been filtered down further to exclude student halls of residence and any properties that are likely to be self-contained flats rather than HMOs.

- 2.8 The combined effect of all of these data sources means that the mapping exercise to identify existing HMOs across the city is as comprehensive as possible. There can be a high degree of confidence in the robustness of the data where a property has been identified as a HMO from all three sources.
- 2.9 As set out in the Annex to this paper, other local planning authorities have also included data from other sources such as the electoral register, 2011 Census and the 2015 Indices of Multiple Deprivation. Whilst these other sources can help to supplement the data that has already been collected by the City Council, they are unlikely to identify further HMO properties as they can only provide broader evidence to indicate areas where HMO properties may be concentrated.
- 2.10 While best efforts have been made to identify as many HMOs as possible using the above data, a complete picture of all HMOs in the city will always be difficult to achieve. The most significant reason for this is because smaller HMOs do not require mandatory licensing or planning approval. There may also be cases of unauthorised development of HMOs which have not yet been brought to the attention of planning enforcement, and due to the existing rules on permitted development many residential properties can fall in and out of HMO use quickly and easily without the City Council having a record of the change of use.
- 2.11 The data collected can therefore offer a detailed indication, but not a comprehensive picture of the prevalence and distribution of HMOs in the city.


3. Spatial analysis of HMOs in Birmingham

- 3.1 The map on the next page shows the distribution of HMO properties across the city that have been identified through the City Council's mapping exercise, categorised by the data sources described above. It is intended that this mapped data will become a 'live' dataset which is kept continually up to date. The map shows a snapshot of the HMOs identified as of 21st February 2019.
- 3.2 Please note that the City Centre has been excluded from the mapping exercise. This is due to the high density pattern of development in the area which in recent years has predominantly comprised of 1 and 2 bedroomed apartments. Such properties are not capable or appropriate to be converted to HMOs.
- 3.3 The map shows that overall there is a reasonably even distribution of HMOs across the city, with particular concentrations in and around certain areas such as Bournbrook & Selly Park, North Edgbaston, Handsworth, Lozells, Erdington and Stockland Green. The existing Article 4 direction is also shown on the map and the high concentration of HMOs within that area is clearly evident. Only the north of the city has a relatively sparse distribution of HMOs.




3.4 The following maps focus in on the areas of the city where greater concentrations of HMOs have been identified. The buffers shown have been drawn by applying the criteria proposed in preferred policy DM10 of the Development Management in Birmingham DPD, i.e. by applying a 100 metre


buffer around each individual HMO and then calculating the percentage of the housing stock that are HMOs within that buffered area. Areas shown in red already exceed the 10% threshold proposed in policy DM10 while areas shown in yellow are below, but close to exceeding the 10% threshold.




- 3.5 The above map clearly shows the scale of HMOs that are within and around the existing Article 4 direction area covering Selly Oak, Harborne and Edgbaston. After undertaking recent a site visit to this area, officers are of the view that the existing Article 4 direction continues to be appropriate.
- 3.6 The next map shows particular concentrations of HMOs around the Edgbaston Reservoir and the City Road and Sandon Road areas. It also shows that there are further concentrations spread around the wider surrounding area, particularly around the Bristol Road and Pershore Road areas.




3.7 The next map below shows the concentrations of HMOs that have been identified around Gravelly Hill, Erdington, and Stockland Green. These are largely focused along a corridor following the A5127 and also around Erdington District Centre.



- 3.8 As the next map shows, the concentrations of HMOs in and around Handsworth, Birchfield and Lozells are very localised. The most significant concentrations are focused around Soho Road District Centre, in particular at the eastern end near the junctions with Hamstead Road and Villa Road. There are also smaller concentrations of HMOs at Perry Barr and the area around Selborne Road and Endwood Court Road in Handsworth Wood.



- 3.9 The above maps illustrate that HMOs are often focused on specific locations such as town and district centres and transport hubs, but beyond such locations their distribution is reasonably dispersed. A further example of this is shown on the map of Moseley below, where there is a single concentration at Alcester Road, Close to Kings Heath District Centre, but overall the area has a dispersed pattern of HMOs.



3.10 The implication of these localised concentrations is that if smaller area based Article 4 directions are applied to them, then this may not help to manage and address the impacts arising from a high proportion of HMOs that may be distributed across a wider area. In particular, a high wider distribution may have a cumulative impact on an area's transport, community and other infrastructure, due to the higher population numbers being accommodated within the HMOs.

3.11 As part of the research for this paper, officers undertook field visits to the many areas of the city illustrated above where higher proportions of HMOs are evident. This has helped to identify the following impacts, which appear to be linked to a prevalence of HMOs in an area;

- More vehicles parked in front of properties and on streets
- Some HMOs were poorly maintained, resulting in a degradation of the quality of the local environment and raising questions about the quality of the living environment for the inhabitants
- Higher numbers of wheelie bins cluttering streets and pavements
- Property frontages cluttered with 'rooms to let' signs, multiple satellite dishes, electricity and gas meters, doorbells and occasionally multiple front doors.

3.12 The photographs below demonstrate examples of locations where such impacts were observed.



3.13 Such impacts appeared to be magnified where an area also contained large concentrations of self-contained flats and other types of communal accommodation. These other types of residential accommodation will also be managed through the application of preferred DMB policy DM10.

3.14 In addition to these more immediate impacts, a high concentration of HMOs can also have wider impacts on the surrounding area which may be less obvious, such as;

- Increased traffic and demand for public transport
- More pressure on community facilities and infrastructure
- Less families, resulting in reduced demand for school places and undermining the viability of local schools

3.15 It must be noted that not all of the impacts of HMOs will be negative, and maintaining an appropriate proportion of HMOs in an area will provide more mixed and diverse communities, increase custom for local businesses, provide a greater local workforce and provide a greater choice of accommodation for local residents.

3.16 The impacts and benefits of HMOs will however become more manageable through the application of one or more Article 4 directions and the policy approach proposed within preferred policy DM10 of the Development Management in Birmingham DPD.

3.17 The evidence and data gathering that has been undertaken to identify the distribution of HMOs in the city will continue to be kept up to date and it will therefore be possible to monitor how the prevalence of HMOs across the city will change in the future. This monitoring will include reviewing the status of locations that currently exceed or come close to the 10% threshold, and identifying locations where new concentrations of HMOs have occurred.

4. Options for Applying Article 4 directions in Birmingham



4.1 This section explores the positive and negative implications of different options that have been identified by officers for how Article 4 directions could be applied in the city. These options have been identified from the analysis of the HMO distribution data, findings from officer site visits, examples from elsewhere as outlined in the Annex to this paper, and the requirements of national and local planning policy.

Option 1: City-Wide Article 4 Direction

4.2 This option would see a blanket approach applied across the city, with an Article 4 direction put in place to remove permitted development rights for all future conversions of family housing to Houses in Multiple Occupation.

4.3 Another potential approach to exclude the northern part of the city from the Article 4 direction is also explored under this option, due to very small numbers of HMOs that have been identified in this part of the city.

4.4 Either of these alternatives would require the cancellation of the existing Article 4 direction covering Selly Oak, Harborne and Edgbaston as the new direction would apply the same approach across the city. The maps below illustrate the approaches that could be applied under this option.



Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This option would enable a consistent approach to be applied across the city, leading to greater clarity for landlords, applicants and decision-makers. • It would ensure that the concentrations of new HMOs are not simply moved around the city, i.e. applying an Article 4 direction in one area of the city may move the problem to an adjoining area. • Only one round of consultation would be required before applying the direction, rather than multiple consultations for different areas. • Excluding the northern part of the city from the Article 4 direction would provide flexibility for the creation of new HMOs in this area and support the objective to maintain an overall balance of communities across the city. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consultation on the Article 4 direction would be a bigger exercise • A city-wide direction would lead to a greater number of planning applications being submitted for conversions that would normally take place under permitted development rights.


Option 1 Summary:

- 4.5 A city-wide Article 4 direction would ensure that a comprehensive and consistent approach could be applied throughout the city, making it easier and clearer for applicants and planning officers to understand when planning approval would be required for the creation of new small HMOs. It would

however lead to an increased workload for the City Council to process such planning applications. In regard to the designation process, this option would require a single and more simplified publicity period to be undertaken, but one which on the other hand could make it more difficult for residents, businesses and property owners to be aware of and engage in the process. A further alternative under this option would be to apply a slightly smaller Article 4 direction which would exclude Sutton Coldfield, as the identified distribution of HMOs in the north of the city is very sparse and indicates that there may be less of an issue to manage in this area. Excluding this area from the direction may however lead to local feeling that HMO creation is being pushed towards this area from the rest of the city.

Option 2: Area Specific Article 4 Directions

- 4.6 This option would involve applying a number of smaller Article 4 directions to cover locations where high numbers and concentrations of HMOs appears to be an issue and where the impacts of them are being felt. Whilst the actual areas where such directions would be applied would require further discussion and agreement, the analysis earlier in this paper has suggested that areas such as North Edgbaston, Ladywood, Balsall Heath, Gravelly Hill, Erdington, Handsworth and Lozells may benefit from applying Article 4 directions to some or all of their areas. Such locations are illustrated on the map below. Any such area based directions would be made in addition to the existing Article 4 direction covering Selly Oak, Harborne and Edgbaston which would continue to apply.




Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Article 4 directions could be applied in a more targeted and focused way to tackle specific concentrations where they occur. Applying smaller Article 4 directions would make it easier to notify all owners and occupiers within the affected area as part of the consultation exercise. This option would result in a lower number of planning applications being received by the City Council for conversion from C3 to C4 housing. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is a risk that applying an Article 4 direction to a specific area may push the creation of new HMOs to nearby areas not covered by the direction. This may lead to an inconsistent approach to the rules concerning the conversion of C3 dwellings to C4 HMOs in different areas of the city. Applying many different Article 4 directions would lead to individual consultation exercises having to be applied across the city.

Option 2 Summary:

- 4.7 This option would allow for a more focused approach to manage the creation of new small HMOs in areas where the evidence has identified that there are existing concentrations or potential future concentrations of such properties. Such areas would be applied in a similar way to the existing Article 4 direction in Selly Oak, Edgbaston and Harborne, the boundaries for which appear to continue to be appropriate when assessed against the current evidence.
- 4.8 This option would provide more potential for local communities to get involved in the process as there is a greater likelihood that the City Council will have to notify every property in the affected area. As a result, the process for designating each area could be more resource intensive and would have to be undertaken multiple times if different Article 4 directions are to be applied. It will also lead to different planning approaches being applied in different areas of the city, with properties outside the affected areas not requiring planning approval for new small HMOs but other similar proposals for properties nearby requiring approval.

Option 3: Do Nothing

- 4.9 This option would see a continuation of the existing approach, with the existing Article 4 direction covering Selly Oak, Harborne and Edgbaston remaining in place and no further Article 4 directions being applied. It must be noted that under this option, most of the requirements of preferred policy DM10 in the DMB consultation document would only be applicable to the existing Selly Oak, Harborne and Edgbaston Article 4 direction area. This existing situation is illustrated on the map below.



Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This option would require the least resources, as no further publicising would be required and there will be no resulting increase in planning applications for the City Council to process. • It would provide clarity for developers of HMOs, as the same national permitted development rules as elsewhere will apply. • The existing Article 4 direction in Selly Oak, Edgbaston and Harborne will continue to apply, with no amendment of boundaries necessary. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This option will minimise the potential to manage the creation of small HMOs throughout most of the city. • As a result, areas with existing and increasing concentrations of HMOs may continue to proliferate. • It would be more difficult to identify and monitor the effects that new HMOs can have on local infrastructure and balanced communities. • Preferred Policy DM10 in the Development Management in Birmingham DPD would have a more limited scope and implementation.

Option 3 Summary:

- 4.10 This option would follow a business as usual approach. There would be no new Article 4 directions and no amendment of the existing Article 4 direction covering Selly Oak, Edgbaston and Harborne. No publicity or further decision making would be required, and the resource implications for the City Council would be minimised. It would however limit the ability of the City Council to manage the effects resulting from the creation of new small HMOs on local communities and infrastructure.

5. The Process for Applying Article 4 Directions

5.1 Schedule 3 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 prescribes the process that Local Planning Authorities have to follow in applying an Article 4 direction. This involves publicising the direction via the following means;

- Local advertisements of the direction
- Display of a minimum of two notices in different locations within the area affected, for a minimum period of six weeks
- Notifying owners and occupiers within the affected area (although the regulations are relaxed where this would be impractical, for example if the Article 4 direction is proposed to be applied across a very large area such as the entire city)
- Sending the above documentation to the Secretary of State for review

5.2 Once the direction has been publicised the City Council must allow a period of 21 days within which public comments can be made on it and then at least a further 28 days, or up to a maximum of 2 years, to confirm the direction. Where there is an urgent need to apply an Article 4 direction 'Immediate' directions can be brought in to force straight away and for a temporary period of six months. In such cases the above publicising arrangements must be carried out within that six month period or the direction will expire.

5.3 It is important to note that there is potential for applicants to claim compensation from local planning authorities if they have had planning permission refused for a development scheme that they would normally be able to carry out under permitted development rights. Any such compensation claims can only be made against abortive expenditure or losses and damages directly related to the withdrawal of permitted development rights². A way of reducing the likelihood of compensation claims being made against the City Council following the introduction of an Article 4 direction is to delay its introduction. This would allow a lead-in time for when the direction would be brought in to force. The maximum period of time that an Article 4 direction can be applied after being approved is two years.

6. Conclusions and Justification for Preferred Approach

6.1 HMOs are recognised as meeting important and specific housing needs within the city. Policies TP27 and TP30 of the adopted Birmingham Development Plan (BDP) seek to create mixed, balanced and sustainable neighbourhoods by requiring all new residential development to deliver a wide choice of housing sizes, types and tenures. High concentrations of HMOs can present challenges to the future sustainability of neighbourhoods and impact on their character and residential amenity. In connection with this, the Community Cohesion Strategy identifies that insecure housing and high levels of transience are an area of concern in the city.

6.2 The evidential work undertaken confirms the wide distribution of HMOs in the city and the identification of significant concentrations in particular areas of the city (with the exception of Sutton Coldfield where the distribution is more sparse) particularly in Bournbrook/ & Selly Oak/

² Provision made under [Section 108 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990](#)

Harborne/ Edgbaston, Park, North Edgbaston/ Ladywood, Handsworth/, Lozells/ Soho, Erdington/ Gravelly Hill and Balsall Heath West/ Moseley and Stockland Green.

- 6.3 Taking in to account this pattern of distribution, officers have identified a number of different options for how Article 4 directions could be applied. These are explained in more detail below but in summary they include a single city-wide direction, multiple area-based directions which are focused on the locations where concentrations have been identified, or not applying any further Article 4 directions.
- 6.4 Having considered the advantages and disadvantages of each option, officers recommend to Cabinet that a city-wide Article 4 direction should be applied, as this will enable the most consistent and comprehensive approach for the distribution of HMOs across the city. On balance, whilst designating a city-wide Article 4 direction would be a large exercise and increase the demands on the planning service, particularly in the short term, it would reduce the risk of concentrations of HMOs being 'moved' around the city and provide a more consistent and comprehensive approach to the management of new HMOs.
- 6.5 The City Council will be in a good position to support future decision making under any approach. A criteria-based policy approach to manage the creation of new HMOs in Article 4 areas has been proposed within the Development Management in Birmingham Development Plan Document (DMB) preferred options consultation document and there is already an internal GIS tool that will enable quick decisions on such developments to be made whichever approach is applied.

Annex: Examples from Elsewhere

Data Sources used by other Local Planning Authorities to identify HMOs:

The tables below summarise the data sources that other local planning authorities have used to identify where existing HMOs exist, and thus provide an indication of whether an area wide or authority wide approach may be more appropriate in Birmingham.

Authority-wide directions:

LPA:	HMO Licences	Planning Applications	Council Tax Records	Electoral Register	Census 2011	IMD 2015	Environmental Health
Croydon Borough Council	✓	✓					
Manchester City Council	✓		✓				
Southampton City Council	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Wolverhampton City Council	✓	✓					

Area-based directions:

LPA:	HMO Licences	Planning Applications	Council Tax Records	Electoral Register	Census 2011	IMD 2015	Environmental Health
Leeds City Council			✓				✓
Liverpool City Council	✓						

The analysis suggests that the local authorities that have applied authority-wide Article 4 directions have drawn from the widest range of data available. All of them have used HMO licensing records and most have used planning application data. Manchester also used council tax records, as did Southampton who also went further and used data from the electoral register, Census 2011 and the Indices of Multiple Deprivation 2015.

Authorities that applied area-based Article 4 directions tended to use data from one or two sources. Leeds drew from council tax sources and environmental health case data, whereas Liverpool used HMO licensing data. This may suggest that the need to apply Article 4 directions could have originally been identified from these individual data sources, which may have identified specific patterns or concentrations of records being created in certain geographical areas.

Further explanation of the approach applied in each local authority area is provided below.

Southampton

Southampton City Council has been applying a city-wide Article 4 direction since 2012 and has recently reviewed its approach through a newly adopted SPD:

<http://www.southampton.gov.uk/planning/planning-policy/supplementary-planning/planning-hmo.aspx>

The approach followed in determining whether or not a new HMO might be appropriate is similar to that being explored in Birmingham's DMB preferred options document. The proportion that they have applied is also 10% but the distance that they have applied is 40 metres (100 metres in DMB). They have also included criteria for circumstances where less than 10 residential dwellings fall within the buffer and so it might not be possible to apply the 10% proportion (this may not be applicable in Birmingham as the distance of 100 metres suggests that this situation is unlikely to arise). Interestingly, after 18 months of applying two different thresholds (10% and 20%) in different areas of the city, the council opted to simply apply a single 10% threshold across the city as a whole. A report to the City Council's Overview and Scrutiny Panel meeting on 12th June 2014 states the reasons for this as being that the National HMO Lobby and the National Organisation of Residents Associations identifying a 10% threshold as a tipping point for balanced communities, and that the neighbouring areas of Bournemouth and Portsmouth had also applied 10% thresholds.

As well as the three data sources that have been applied in Birmingham (see list above), Southampton also included data from the Electoral Register, i.e. identifying properties where there are more than two people registered to vote who are unrelated to each other.

Wolverhampton

Wolverhampton City Council has also applied a city-wide Article 4 direction to manage the creation of HMOs and has adopted an SPD to guide decision making on such proposals:

<http://www.wolverhampton.gov.uk/article/2424/Supplementary-Planning-Documents-and-Development-Briefs>. Unlike the Southampton example, this SPD and the strategic policy in the Black Country Core Strategy that it supports provide more general guidance on the matters to be considered in determining proposals for new HMOs. These include character and appearance, residential amenity, living space, parking and highway safety.

Sheffield

Sheffield City Council has applied an Article 4 direction to the city centre and adjoining areas to the south west. This area was identified as more than 10% of the residential properties falling within it are shared houses. There is a policy in the adopted local plan which restricts shared housing to 20% of all residential properties, so the area covered by the direction is intended to prevent areas with existing concentrations of shared housing from exceeding this limit. Information on the direction is available via the following link: <https://www.sheffield.gov.uk/content/sheffield/home/planning-development/planning-permission-hmo.html>

Liverpool

Liverpool City Council adopted a HMO Strategy in April 2017 to provide better coordination of council services and more joined up working in relation to the provision of HMOs in the city. This includes the City Council's HMO licensing, housing, environmental health and planning services, and registered providers operating in the city. It sets a framework to define the specific roles and

responsibilities of each service area, the circumstances under which each of the teams will take action and what information and data they will use when undertaking their work. For example it states that Planning Enforcement will focus on properties with 7 or more residents (i.e. larger sui generis HMOs) and that these will be identified from licensing records. It also states that any planning enforcement decisions related to HMOs will be guided by the adopted UDP, SPGs, Interim Planning Guidance and Article 4 directions where they have been applied.

Manchester

Manchester City Council applied an Article 4 direction to the entire local authority area. The main driver for this was to better manage the creation of new student HMOs. As this was the main reason, the data used to inform the direction were council tax records to identify student exemptions and HMO licensing records. Policy H11 of the adopted Core Strategy sets some very broad parameters for managing the creation of new HMOs; “Change of use from a C3 dwelling house to a C4 HMO will not be permitted where there is a high concentration of residential properties within a short distance of the application site”. Supporting justification states that a more detailed development management policy will be adopted to apply specific criteria to define what is meant by ‘high concentration’ and ‘short distance’. The submitted version of the policy was more specific but the Inspectors Report ruled that the figures applied in that version were not justified by the evidence. https://www.manchester.gov.uk/info/500207/planning_and_regeneration/4847/article_4_direction_changing_the_use_of_your_property

Leeds

Leeds City Council has applied an Article 4 direction which covers most of the built up area of the city, but not the entirety of the local authority area. The administrative area also covers some significant areas of countryside which wouldn’t be appropriate to apply an Article 4 direction to. Most of the urban areas excluded from the Article 4 direction have little or no presence of HMOs identified within them.

There are no detailed criteria for managing the distribution of HMOs but the adopted Core Strategy contains a policy (H6) which sets out broad criteria for considering proposals that involve the creation of new HMOs within the Article 4 direction area. This includes ensuring that the needs for HMOs can be met whilst ensuring that they don’t become concentrated in certain areas and have good access to employment and education opportunities.

<https://www.leeds.gov.uk/planning/planning-policy/supplementary-planning-documents-and-guidance/houses-in-multiple-occupation-article-4-direction>

Bristol

Bristol City Council has applied three Article 4 directions that cover most of the western part of the city, including the city centre and surrounding areas: <https://www.bristol.gov.uk/planning-and-building-regulations/additional-planning-restrictions-article-4>

The adopted Site Allocations and Development Management Policies document contains a policy to manage the creation of new HMOs. A Local Plan Review Consultation document has been published

which contains a proposed policy (ULH 7) that would apply a 10% threshold to HMOs within an as yet unspecified area, avoids sandwiching of residential properties and which will take account of student properties in the area. The last consultation on the Local Plan Review was under regulation 18 and so it is likely that these criteria will become more specific at the next consultation stage.

Croydon

Croydon Borough Council have recently been publicising a proposed Article 4 direction that would remove permitted development rights for the creation of small HMOs across the whole administrative area:

https://getinvolved.croydon.gov.uk/KMS/DMart.aspx?strTab=Activities&PageContext=PublicDMart&PageType=item&DMartId=536&breadcrumb_pc=PublicDMart&breadcrumb_pg=search&breadcrumb_pn=DMart.aspx&filter_Status=1. The evidence sources that were used to identify HMOs were the mandatory licensing records and planning approvals for the change of use and conversion of residential properties to large HMOs. These two data sources identified particular concentrations of HMOs in the northern part of the borough, but also a reasonably widespread distribution across the rest of the area. There is an overarching policy in the adopted local plan (DM1.2) which seeks to restrict the loss of 3 bedroom family housing or housing of less than 130m², which are the types of housing that had typically been converted to small HMOs. The proposed borough-wide Article 4 direction is intended to support the implementation of this policy.